EXTRACTION OF $Pd(II)$
------------------------

 $\{Pd(II) = 100 \ \mu g; \ pH \ 1.0; \ [HMMCO] = 0.002M \ in \ isobutanol\}$ 

Diverse ion	Added as	Tolerance limit (µg)
ion Ag+ Al <sup>3+</sup> Cd <sup>2+</sup> Co <sup>2+</sup> Cr <sup>3+</sup> Cu <sup>2+</sup> Fe <sup>2+</sup> Fe <sup>2+</sup> Fe <sup>3+</sup> Ga <sup>3+</sup> Hg <sup>2+</sup> In <sup>3+</sup> Mn <sup>2+</sup> Rh <sup>3+</sup> Rh <sup>3+</sup> Rh <sup>3+</sup> Tl+ VO <sup>2+</sup> Zn <sup>2+</sup> ZrO <sup>2+</sup> F <sup>-</sup>	Added as AgNO <sub>3</sub> Al <sub>2</sub> (SO <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> .16H <sub>2</sub> O $3CdSO_4.8H_2O$ $CoSO_4.7H_2O$ $K_9SO_4.Cr_2(SO_4)_3.24H_2O$ $CuSO_4.5H_2O$ $FeSO_4.7H_2O$ $(NH_4)_2SO_4.Fe_2(SO_4)_3.24H_2O$ $Ga(NO_3)_3.8H_2O$ $HgCl_2$ In(NO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> .5H <sub>2</sub> O MnCl <sub>2</sub> .4H <sub>2</sub> O NiSO <sub>4</sub> .6H <sub>2</sub> O RhCl <sub>3</sub> .3H <sub>2</sub> O TINO <sub>3</sub> VOSO <sub>4</sub> .H <sub>4</sub> O ZnSO <sub>4</sub> .7H <sub>2</sub> O ZnSO <sub>4</sub> .7H <sub>2</sub> O NaF	
B4O7 <sup>2-</sup> Mo7O24 <sup>6-</sup> WO4 <sup>2-</sup>	$Na_{2}B_{4}O_{7}.10H_{2}O$ ( $NH_{4}$ ) <sub>6</sub> Mo <sub>7</sub> O <sub>24</sub> .4H <sub>2</sub> O	11000 1200 3000
PO4 <sup>3-</sup> SCN-	$Na_{2}WO_{4}.2H_{2}O$ $KH_{2}PO_{4}$ KSCN	5000 5000
C <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> 2- Tart <sup>3~</sup>	$H_2C_2O_4.2H_2O$ Tartaric acid	2500 2000
Cit <sup>3-</sup> EDTA <sup>4-</sup>	Citric acid EDTA (disodium salt)	60 None

\*Masked with calculated amount of EDTA (disodium salt).

been found to be  $\pm 1.0\%$  and 1.1% respectively. The total operation requires 30 min. Thus, the method is simple, rapid, applicable at tracer concentrations and affords clear-cut separation of Pd(II) from large number of ions.

We are gratful to the CSIR, New Delhi, for the award of a junior research fellowship to one of us (B.K.D.). Our thanks are also due to Prof. R. H. Sahasrabudhey for providing the necessary facilities.

## References

- DESHMUKH, B. K., VVAS, C. X. & KHARAT, R. B., J. Indian chem. Soc. 52 (1993), 385.
  NIELSCH, W., Z. analyt. Chem., 142 (1954), 30.
  SCHLENSKAYA, V. I. & RASHEVSKAYA, A. I., Chem. Abstr., Chem. Cont. Cont

- Schlenskara, V. I. & RASHEVSKARA, A. L., Onem. Hoson, 48 (1954), 13529i.
  BHATKI, K. S. & RANE, A. T., Solvent. extr. Chem. Proc. Int. Conf. Goteborg, (1967), 147.
  BANKS, C. V. & SMITH, R. V., Anal. chim. Acta, 21
- (1959), 308.
- 6. SOLOWAY, S., Chem. Abstr., 54 (1960), 3895f.
  - DE, A. K., KHOPKAR, S. M. & CHALMERS, R. A., Solvent extraction of metals (Von Nostrand, London), 1970, 100.
  - 8. BORKHADE, K. T., Synthesis of nitrogen and oxvgen heterocyclic compounds, Ph.D. thesis, Nagpur University, 1972.
  - 9. VOGEL, A. I., A text book of quantitative inorganic analysis (Longmans, Green, London), 1968, 511.

## Direct Determination of Fe(II) with 8-Hydroxy-7-nitrosoquinoline-5-sulphonic Acid

SHAHID ABBAS ABBASI, B. G. BHAT & R. S. SINGH Department of Chemistry, IIT Powai, Bombay 400076

Received 16 October 1975; accepted 4 November 1975

The title compound has been employed for the rapid, sensitive and selective determination of Fe(II). Interference studies suggest that present method is potentially useful for the determination of Fe(II) in various environmental constituents. Iron has been determined in tap water.

'HE well-known amongst the spectrophotometric methods for the direct determination of Fe(II) are those which employ 1,10-phenanthroline<sup>1</sup> and thiocyanate<sup>2</sup> as complexing agents. In both the methods interferences are observed from various ions commonly associated with Fe(II) including Cu(II) and Ni(II). The 1,10-phenanthroline method which is more sensitive amongst the two ( $\epsilon = 1.1 \times$ 10<sup>4</sup> at 505 mµ) requires longer time for maximum colour development. Methods of equal or higher sensitivity which are based on extractive determination, viz. those employing 2-nitroso-1-naphthol<sup>3</sup>, dithiazone<sup>4</sup>, diethyldithiocarbamate<sup>5</sup> and cupferron<sup>6</sup> likewise suffer from limited selectivity and complications during colour development. The present method employing the disodium salt of 8-hydroxy-7-nitroso-quinoline-5-sulphonic acid (NHOS) is highly selective, sensitive ( $\epsilon = 1.85 \times 10^4$ ) and extremely rapid.

With Fe(II), NHQS rapidly forms a bright green complex at pH 3.5-6. Complex formation takes place at lower pH values also but its rate of formation decreases as the pH is lowered. Several other ions, e.g. Cu(II), Ni(II), UO<sub>2</sub>(II), etc., also form complexes with NHQS at this pH range but while the Fe(II)-NHOS system does not disproportionate if the acidity of the system is lowered below  $p_{\rm H} \simeq 0.5$ . other complexes readily breakdown. This phenomena has been utilized for the selective determination of Fe(II).

Deionized water was used for all purposes. All other chemicals were reagent grade unless specified. Standard solutions of Fe(II) and other metal ions were prepared by standard methods7. A Perkin-Elmer 492-5000 spectrophotometer was used for recording absorption spectra. Measurements at a constant wavelength were done with a SF-4 (USSR) spectrophotometer using matched quartz cells.

The reagent NHOS was prepared by nitrosation of 8-hydroxyquinoline-5-sulphonic acid and subsequent conversion of mono-sodium salt to disodium salt, following the method of Aly et al.<sup>8</sup>. Its saturated solution in water was used.

Determination of iron(II)- To 8 ml of acetic acid-sodium acetate buffer solution of  $\rho H \simeq 5$ , 5 ml reagent solution and 5 ml sample solution containing  $0.5 \times 10^{-4}$  to  $5 \times 10^{-4}M$  Fe(II) were added in succession. After standing for 1 min, the mixture was brought to  $pH \simeq 0$  by dropwise addition of conc HCl, transferred to a 25 ml volumetric flask, made up to the mark and measured spectrophotometrically at 705 nm against a reagent blank prepared in a likewise manner.

The reagent has a negligible absorption at 705 nm. In the absence of interfering ions, a  $1 \times 10^{-3}M$ reagent solution is adequate for complete colour development with Fe(II). In the presence of high concentration of foreign ions, a saturated reagent solution should be used.

The green complex obeys Beer's law in the range 0.2-5.6  $\mu$ g/ml of Fe(II), the molar absorptivity and Sandell sensitivity<sup>9</sup> at  $\lambda_{max}$  (705 nm) being  $1.8 \times 10^4$  litre mole<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup> and  $0.003 \mu g$  of Fe(II) cm<sup>-2</sup> respectively. The colour is stable indefinitely at pH 4.5-6 but once it is made highly acidic, it begins to fade slowly. The absorbance measure-ments should, therefore, be made within 30 min of the acidification of the sample-NHQS system.

The ions commonly associated with Fe(II) in natural or synthetic mixtures, viz. Al(III), Cu(II), Ni(II), Zn(II), Mn(II), Hg(II), Cd(II), Mg(II), Be(II), Cr(VI),  $UO_2(II)$ , Ca(II), Na(I), K(I), are tolerated in ratios 1:100: : Fe(II): foreign ion. Presence of  $SO_4^2$ ,  $NO_3^-$ ,  $CH_3COO^-$ ,  $CI^-$ ,  $Br^-$ ,  $I^-$  has no effect on the determination. Complexing ions citrate, tartarate, ascorbate and thiosulphate are tolerated in ratios 1: 1000. Gold(III), V(IV), Ce(III), Th(IV), La(III) and Ti(IV) are tolerated if present in concentrations 25 times to that of Fe(II) while Pt(IV), Ru(III), Os(III), Pd(II), Ag(I) and Co(II) can be present in a concentration comparable to that of Fe(II). Attempts to mask Co(II) with citrate, tartarate, thiosulphate and nitrite were unsuccessful.

Determination of Fe(II) in tap water - Tap water (2 litres) was concentrated to 5 ml by boiling. After cooling, it was brought to  $pH \simeq 4$  with HCl and 2 ml of 1% hydroquinone solution was added to it to reduce Fe(III) to Fe(II). From this solution, Fe(II) was determined as described before. Quadruplicate measurements yielded a value  $0.84 \pm 0.02$ ppm.

Composition of the complex - Aly et al.<sup>8</sup> had proposed that in Fe-NHQS system Fe(II) is chelated to the oxygens of nitroso and hydroxyl groups of the ligand. The unpublished kinetic and potentiometric studies of the present workers endorse this view and confirm that in the green complex, Fe and NHOS are combined in a ratio 1:3.

The authors are thankful to the CSIR, New Delhi, for a senior research fellowship (to SAA) and to Dr K. G. Nagarkar for suggestions.

## References

- 1. MARGERUM, D. W. & BANKS, C. V., Analyt. Chem., 26
- (1954), 200.
  CHARLOT, G., Colorimetric determination of elements (Elsevier, New York), 1964, 272.
  GORBACH, G. & POHL, F., Mikrochimie mikrochem. Acta, 38 (1951), 258.
  DURGER F. (1964), 619
- 4. DAWSON, E. C., Analyst, 73 (1948), 618.
- 5. CHALMARS, R. A. & DICK, D. M., Analytica chim. Acta, **31** (1964), 520. 6. DE, A. K., KHOPKAR, S. M. & CHALMERS, R. A.,
- Solvent extraction of metals (Van Nostrand, New York), 1970.

- SKOOG, D. A. & WEST, D. M., Analytical chemistry (Holt, Rinchart & Winston, New York), 1963, 457.
  ALY, M. M., MIKHOYAN, M. & EL-EZABY, S., J. inorg.
- nucl. Chem., 35 (1973). 9. SANDELL, E. B., Colorimetric determination of traces of
- metals (Interscience, New York), 1959.

## Hexacyanoferrate(III) as a Primary Standard for the Determination of Sb(III) in **Bicarbonate Medium**

DEVENDRA MOHAN, P. D. SHARMA & Y. K. GUPTA

Department of Chemistry, University of Rajasthan, Jaipur

Received 27 February 1975; accepted 25 April 1975

Arsenic(III) and antimony(III) in bicarbonate medium can be determined using hexacyanoferrate (III). The method involves liberation of iodine from potassium iodide by ferricyanide in the presence of zinc sulphate and titration of this iodine with As(III) or Sb(III).

SEVERAL methods, such as gravimetric<sup>1</sup>, amperometric<sup>2</sup>, potentiometric<sup>3</sup>, spectrophotometric<sup>4</sup> and titrimetric, are available for the determination of As(III) and Sb(III). Amongst the various titrimetrics methods<sup>5-14</sup> indirect cerimetric method<sup>12</sup> in acid medium and chloramine-T method<sup>6</sup> in alkaline medium, appear to be most suitable. In the iodine method<sup>10</sup>, which is simple and is applicable in bicarbonate medium, iodine is not a primary standard. Other methods of determination are either not direct or not easy to manipulate. Recently silver(III)<sup>15</sup>, copper(III)<sup>16</sup> and N-bromosuccinimide17 have also been reported to react with As(III). It has been found by Deshmukh and Sant<sup>18</sup> that hexacynoferrate(III) can be employed for the determination of As(III) in bicarbonate medium. In the present note an improvement over this method has been suggested. It is found that KI can be added directly in the bicarbonate medium and that there is no necessity of adding HCl. The determination of Sb(III) is also described.

Potassium hexacyanoferrate(III), zinc sulphate, sodium bicarbonate and potassium iodide were of (AnalaR) quality. Sodium metaarsenite BDH(Riedel) was employed as source of As(III) and potassium antimonyl tartrate (May & Baker) for Sb(III). Solutions were prepared by direct weighing in redistilled water (second distillation from permanganate). Aqueous solutions of As<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> obtained by boiling it with water and acid solutions of Sb2O3 obtained by boiling it with 30% perchloric acid, could also be used. Alkaline solutions of As(III) after neutralizing it with HCl could also be used. These solutions were standardized iodometrically<sup>10</sup>.

Procedure - Hexacyanoferrate(III) solution (2-10 ml) of suitable concentration, saturated solution of sodium bicarbonate (5 ml) and potassium iodide (2 g) were taken in an Erlenmeyer flask and the volume made up to 25 ml.  $0.2M \text{ ZnSO}_4$  solution (5 ml) was added with shaking. The liberated iodine was titrated against the unknown As(III) or Sb(III)