Mechanism of Oxidation of *p*-Phenylenediamine by Manganese(III) Acetate in Aqueous Sulphuric Acid Medium

M S RAMACHANDRAN*, T S VIVEKANANDAM & N R SUBBARATNAM

School of Chemistry, Madurai Kamaraj University, Madurai 625 021

and

N RAJARAM

American College, Madurai 625 002

Received 30 August 1982; revised 19 April 1983; accepted 23 June 1983

Oxidation of *p*-phenylenediamine by manganese(III) acetate in aqueous sulphuric acid medium is first order each in [Amine] and [Mn(III)]. Increase in $[H^+]$ decreases the pseudo-first order rate constant and added Mn^{2+} has no effect on the rate. The reaction shows a positive salt effect. The reaction product has been isolated and characterised as *p*-benzoquinone. The probable mechanism involves formation of a complex between monoprotonated amine and Mn(III), which decomposes in a slow step to form the product.

It has been suggested that many manganese(III) complexes are likely to have biological relevance as model systems¹. The manganese(III) porphyrin complexes have been studied^{1,2} as possible models for the closely related and biologically important Fe system. A number of kinetic studies using manganese(III) perchlorate in perchloric acid, manganese(III) sulphate in sulphuric acid and manganese(III) acetate in acetic acid medium have been published and these were the subject of a review by Davies³. However, so far no attempt has been done using manganese(III) acetate in sulphuric acid medium. Manganese(III)-p-phenylenediamine system is a possible model for the oxidation of pbacteria/enzyme⁴. phenylenediamine by some Therefore, it was thought of interest to study the kinetics of oxidation of p-phenylenediamine by manganese(III) acetate in sulphuric acid medium.

Solution of manganese(III) acetate was always prepared afresh in 10N H₂SO₄ and estimated iodometrically. *p*-Phenylenediamine (Koch-Light) was recrystallised twice from ethanol. The ionic strength (μ) of the medium was maintained using sodium sulphate. All the other reagents used were of AR (BDH) grade. All solutions were prepared in doubly distilled conductivity water.

The requisite reaction mixture and required amount of p-phenylenediamine were separately equilibrated at the desired temperature and mixed. Aliquots (5 ml each) were withdrawn at regular time intervals and the unreacted Mn(III) estimated iodometrically. For all runs $[Mn(III)]_o$ was less than [p-phenylenediamine]_o, $[H^+]$ and $[Mn^{2+}]_o$.

The stoichiometry of the reaction was determined by mixing Mn^{3+} and *p*-phenylenediamine in various proportions ([Mn^{3+}] always in excess over [*p*phenylenediamine]) and estimating remaining unreacted Mn^{3+} . It was found that 2 mol of Mn(III) reacted with 1 mol of *p*-phenylenediamine to give 2 mol of Mn(II) and 1 mol of *p*-benzoquinone. The product, *p*benzoquinone was isolated from the reaction mixture by extraction with ether and characterised by UV, IR and direct comparison with an authentic sample.

The kinetic results can be summarised as follows: (i) The disappearance of Mn(III) follows a perfect first order up to 40% of the reaction. The first order rate constants at various [Mn(III)], and at constant [amine] remain almost unchanged. However, at varying [amine], and constant [Mn(III)] the rate constants are directly proportional to [amine], (Table 1). The plot of $1/k_{obs}$ versus 1/[amine] at constant [H⁺] is linear with a positive intercept indicating a possible complex formation between amine and Mn(III). (ii) The pseudo-first order rate constant decreases with increase in [H⁺] (Table 2). At constant [amine] a plot of $1/k_{obs}$ versus [H⁺] is linear with positive intercept showing a possible interaction between some reacting intermediate and the hydrogen ion. (iii) The reaction shows a positive salt effect. The observed rate constant does not change with change in $[Mn^{2+}]$ (Table 2).

Under the reaction condition, reactive substrate species may be either the diprotonated amine or the

Table 1—Effect of Va	arying [Amine]	and [Mn(III)] on th	he
Pseudo-fi	rst Order Rate (Constants	
[Mn(II)] = 0.02 mo = 2.00	1 dm^{-3} ; [H ⁺] mol dm ⁻³ ; temp.	= 1.0 mol dm^{-3} ; = 35° C	μ
[Amine] $(mol dm^{-3})$	$[Mn(III)] \times 10^3$ (mol dm ⁻³)	$k_{\rm obs} \times 10^2$ (min ⁻¹)	
0.0500	3.76	6.91	
0.0625	3.76	9.90	
0.0750	3.76	11.36	
0.0875	3.76	12.66	
0.1000	3.76	14.92	
0.1250	3.76	17.96	
0.0500	2.39	6.50	
0.0500	4.78	6.56	
0.0500	5.50	6.50	
0.0500	7.50	6.00	

Table 2—Effect of	of Varying [H Cons	$[^+], \mu \text{ and } [M]$	$[n^{2+}]$ on the Rate
[Amine] = 0.05 me	ol dm ⁻³ ; [M temp.	$[n(III)] = 3.77$ $= 35^{\circ}C$	\times 10 ⁻³ mol dm ⁻³ ;
$[H^+]$ (mol dm ⁻³)	$[Mn^{2+}]$ (mol dm ⁻³)	μ (mol dm ⁻³)	$\frac{k_{\rm obs} \times 10^2}{(\min^{-1})}$
0.50	0.02	2.00	13.82
0.60	0.02	2.00	11.52
0.75	0.02	2.00	9.98
0.85	0.02	2.00	8.25
1.00	0.02	2.00	6.50
1.25	0.02	2.00	6.00
1.00	0.02	2.15	6.90
1.00	0.02	2.25	7.10
1.00	0.02	2.30	7.60
1.00	0.02	2.40	8.10
1.00	0.025	2.00	6.70
1.00	0.030	2.00	6.70
1.00	0.04	2.00	6.80
1.00	0.05	2.00	6.70

monoprotonated amine present in small amount due to the equilibrium

 $[Amine H^+]_{di} \rightleftharpoons [Amine H^+]_m + H^+$

Since k_{obs} is independent of either [Mn(II)] or [Mn(III)], the possibility of Mn(IV) as the active oxidant species is ruled out. The reactive oxidant species may be hydrated Mn(III). If the undecomposed manganese(III) acetate were the active species, this would lead to complex kinetics, since the reduction potentials of such complex Mn(III) acetate species would be much less than aquomanganese(III) and it would be expected that Mn(II) acetate would be readily oxidised to Mn(III) acetate by some radicals that would not oxidise Mn(II)_{aq} to Mn(III)_{aq}, as observed in the oxidation of nitrite ion⁵ and hydrogen peroxide⁶.

Based on the results described above and considering the experimental conditions employed, the most probable mechanism may be given either by Scheme 1 or Scheme 2.

The presence of radicals (I), (II) and (III) in the oxidation of *p*-phenylenediamine to *p*-benzoquinone in water or in 50% v/v aq. methanol, was established earlier by ESR studies⁷⁻⁹. Disproportionation of radical (I) to give radical (II) and parent amine $(2I \rightleftharpoons II + amine)$ can be neglected since the equilibrium constant, K is very small⁹ $(3.5 \times 10^{-5} \text{ mol}^2 \text{ dm}^{-6})$. Under this condition the rate equation can be expressed by Eq. (1)

$$-\frac{\frac{1}{2}d[\text{Mn(III)}]}{dt} = k[\text{complex}]$$
$$= \frac{k_1 K[\text{amine H}^+]_{\text{di}} [\text{Mn(III)}]_{\text{T}}}{[\text{H}^+] + K[\text{amine H}^+]_{\text{di}}} \qquad \dots (1)$$



The pseudo-first order rate constant, k_{obs} is then given by Eq. (2)

$$k_{\rm obs} = \frac{k_1 K[\text{amine H}^+]_{\rm di}}{[\text{H}^+] + K[\text{amine H}^+]_{\rm di}} \qquad \dots (2)$$

$$[Amine H^+]_{di} \rightleftharpoons [Amine H^+]_m + H^+ \qquad \dots (i)$$

Amine
$$H^+]_m + Mn(III) \rightleftharpoons Complex$$
 ... (ii)

$$Complex \xrightarrow{\kappa_1} I + Mn^{2+}$$
 (iii)

$$\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{Mn}^{3+} \rightarrow \mathbf{II} + \mathbf{Mn}^{2+} \qquad \dots \text{ (iv)}$$

In Scheme 2 involving monoprotonated amine, I, II and III represent the intermediates shown in reaction Scheme 1. Scheme 2 gives the observed rate as

$$\frac{\frac{1}{2}d[\text{Mn(III)}]}{dt}$$

=

$$= \frac{k_1 K_a K_b [\text{amine } H^+]_{\text{di}} [\text{Mn(III)}]_T}{K_a K_b [\text{amine } H^+]_{\text{di}} + [H^+] (1 + K_b [\text{Mn(III)}]_T)} \dots (3)$$

Assuming $K_{\rm b}[{\rm Mn}({\rm III})] \ll 1$, the pseudo-first order rate constant, $k_{\rm obs}$ is derived (Eq. 4) similar to Eq. (2)

$$k_{\rm obs} = \frac{k_1 K_a K_b [\text{amine } H^+]_{\rm di}}{[H^+] + K_a K_b [\text{amine } H^+]_{\rm di}} \qquad \dots (4)$$

Although it is difficult to differentiate between the two mechanisms (Scheme 1 or Scheme 2) on kinetic data, on electrostatic reasons we believe that the Mn(III) ion reacts with small amount of monoprotonated form of *p*-phenylenediamine (Scheme 2) present in solutions rather than the diprotonated as assumed in the first mechanism (Scheme 1). Under the condition $[H^+]$ \ll [amine], all *p*-phenylenediamine will exist in the protonated form. We can, then, safely assume that [amine H⁺]_{di} = [amine]_T. Equation (4) explains the observed kinetics. The values of K_aK_b and k_1 are obtained from (i) substrate variation ($K_aK_b = 1.6$ and $k_1 = 106 \times 10^{-2}$ min⁻¹) and (ii) acid variation ($K_aK_b = 1.4$ and $k_1 = 90.9 \times 10^{-2}$ min⁻¹).

The acid dependence can also be explained by the reaction 10

 $Mn(III)_{aq} \rightleftharpoons MnOH^{2+} + H^+$

A combined role of Mn^{3+} and $MnOH^{2+}$ was proposed in most of the reactions involving Mn(III) in acid media and in fact MnOH²⁺ was found to be more reactive than Mn(III)¹¹. However this will not explain the dependence of k_{obs} on [substrate]. If we assume equilibria of the types (5) and (6)

$$MnOH^{2+} + [Amine H^+]_{di} \rightleftharpoons$$

$$[MnOH^{2+} Amine + H^+ \qquad \dots (5)$$

$$MnOH^{2+} + [Amine H^+]_m \rightleftharpoons$$

$$[MnOH^{2+} Amine H^+] \qquad \dots (6)$$

then the $1/k_{obs}$ should depend on $[H^+]^2$. This should also be valid for the interaction of unprotonated amine with Mn(III)_{aq}. However, experimental results are contrary to this.

The reaction has been studied at four different temperatures from which the activation parameters for overall reaction are calculated. The values found for $\Delta H \ddagger = 46.25 \text{ k J mol}^{-1}$ and $-\Delta S \ddagger = 119.3 \text{ J K}^{-1} \text{ mol}^{-1}$.

M S R acknowledges the authorities of the Madurai Kamaraj University for the financial assistance. N R acknowledges the authorities of the American College, Madurai and the U G C, New Delhi for granting him a fellowship under the Faculty Improvement Programme.

References

- 1 Boucher J, Coord chem Rev, 7 (1972) 289.
- 2 Calvin M, Rev pure appl Chem, 1 (1965) 15.
- 3 Davies G, Coord chem Rev, 4 (1969) 199.
- 4 Migler R & Dechatelet L R, Biochem Med, 19 (1978) 16.
- 5 Jones T E & Hamm R E, Inorg Chem, 14 (1975) 1027.
- 6 Jones T E & Hamm R E, Inorg Chem, 13 (1974) 1940.
- 7 Hawley M D, Ph.D. thesis, University of Kansas, Lawrence, 1965.
- 8 Adams R N, Electrochemistry at solid electrodes, (Marcel Dekker, New York) 1969, p. 246, 357.
- 9 John Albery W, Compton R G & Kerr I S, J chem Soc, Perkin Trans II, (1981) 825.
- 10 Wells C F & Davies G, J chem Soc, (A) (1967) 1858.
- 11 Varadarajan R & Joseph M, Indian J Chem, 19A (1980) 977.