Role of Mercury(II) Acetate in Oxidation of Azide Ion by N-Bromosuccinimide in Aqueous Medium

T S VIVEKANANDAM & M S RAMACHANDRAN*

School of Chemistry, Madurai Kamaraj University, Madurai 625 021

Received 19 October 1982; revised 14 February 1983; accepted 9 March 1983

Rate of oxidation of azide ion by N-bromosuccinimide (NBS) in aqueous medium is found to be proportional to $[N_3^-]$ and [NBS] at constant [Hg(OAc)₂]. The product of oxidation is pure nitrogen. The reaction is independent of ionic strength. The reaction proceeds through an initial complex formation presumably between NBS and Hg(OAc)₂, the resulting complex acting as an active oxidant. The role of Hg(OAc)₂ as a scavenger of formed Br⁻ and as a catalyst has been established.

As a follow-up of our earlier work on the oxidation of azide $ion^{1,2}$, we report in this note the results of investigation on the kinetics of oxidation of azide by N-bromosuccinimide (NBS) in aqueous medium.

Stock solutions of NBS (Fluka, AG purum) and azide (Riedel) were always prepared afresh and standardised. The ionic strength (μ) of the medium was maintained between 0.15 and 1.20 mol dm⁻³ using NaClO₄. All the other reagents used were of AR (BDH) grade. All solutions were prepared in doubly distilled water. The reaction was followed by measuring the nitrogen gas evolved as described in our earlier publication² and for stoichiometric runs unreacted N₃⁻ was also determined cerimetrically.

The stoichiometry of the reaction was determined under the conditions $[N_3^-] >> [NBS]$ after the completion of the reaction. The results showed that, in neutral medium, the stoichiometry in the presence and absence of Hg(OAc)₂ could be represented by Eq. (1).

$$2 N_3^- + NBS \rightarrow Succinimide + 3 N_2 + Br^-$$
 ...(1)

The evolution of N₂ followed a perfect first order upto 60% of the reaction. The first order rate constants at various [NBS], constant [N₃] and [Hg(OAc)₂] showed that the rate was directly proportional to [NBS] (Table 1). Further at constant [NBS] and [Hg(OAc)₂], the rate was directly proportional to the [N₃] (Table 1). The plot of $1/k_{obs}$ versus $1/[N_3]$ was linear with a definite positive intercept showing the formation of a complex intermediate in the reaction. At constant [N₃] and [NBS] addition of Hg(OAc)₂ increased the rate, which reached a constant value at [Hg(OAc)₂] = [NBS] (Table 2). The plot of k_{obs} versus [Hg(OAc)₂] was linear with an intercept only when

$[Hg(OAc)_2] = 0.01 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}; \text{ temp.} = 30^{\circ}C$							
	$\begin{bmatrix} N_{3} \end{bmatrix}$ (mol dm ⁻³)	[NBS] (mol dm $^{-3}$)		$\frac{k_{\rm obs} \times 10^3}{({\rm s}^{-1})}$			
	0.15	0.005	0.15	3.15			
	0.15	0.006	0.15	3.04			
	0.15	0.007	.0.15	3.04			
	0.15	0.008	0.15	3.17			
	0.15	0.010	0.15	3.12			
	0.15	0.005	0.55	3.15			
	0.15	0.005	0.75	3.04			
	0.15	0.005	0.95	3.09			
	0.06	0.005	1.20	0.94			
	0.09	0.005	1.20	1.97			
	0.12	0.005	1.20	2.83			
	0.15	0.005	1.20	3.10			
	0.18	0.005	1.20	4.33			
	0.21	0.005	1.20	4.58			
	0.24	0.005	1.20	4.83			
	0.28	0.005	1.20	5.18			

Table 1—Rate Constants of Reaction Between N₃ and NBS

in Neutral Medium

Table 2—Rate Dependence on $[Hg(OAc)_2]$

$[N_3] = 0.15$	mol	dm ⁻³ ; [NBS] = 0.005 mol dm ⁻³ ; μ =	=0.55 mol				
dm^{-3} ; temp. = 30°C							

$[Hg(OAc)_2] \times 10^4$ (mol dm ⁻³)	$k_{obs} \times 10^3$ (s ⁻¹)	$[Hg(OAc)_2] \times 10^4$ (mol dm ⁻³)	$\frac{k_{\rm obs} \times 10^3}{({\rm s}^{-1})}$
5	0.44	40	2.50
10	0.65	45	2.80
15	0.98	50	3.10
20	1.20	65	3.10
25	1.60	80	3.15
30	1.92	100	3.10
35	2.20		

 $[Hg(OAc)_2] < < [NBS].$ Under the condition $[Hg(OAc)_2] > [NBS]$, k_{obs} remained constant, implying some interaction between NBS and $Hg(OAc)_2$. The addition of succinimide had negligible effect on the rate. The rate was independent of the ionic strength of the medium. The reaction became fast in the presence of H⁺ ion and the rate could not be measured.

In the light of the above experimental results, the following tentative mechanism can be proposed (see Scheme 1).

Oxidant +
$$N_3^- \rightleftharpoons$$
 Complex ...(2)

Complex
$$\rightarrow N_3^{,*} + \text{Oxidant}^{,*}$$
 ...(3)

Oxidant
$$+ N_3^- \xrightarrow{-1ast} N_3^- + (succinimide)^- + Br^- \dots (4)$$

629

$$2 N_3 \xrightarrow{\text{fast}} N_6 \rightarrow 3 N_2 \qquad \dots (5)$$

Scheme 1

The intermediate (N₆) in step (5) has been identified earlier by Hayon and Simic in the photolysis of azide ion³. The Br⁻ ion in step (4) may be removed⁴ by Hg(OAc)₂ either as HgBr₂ or HgBr₄²⁻.

Based on Scheme 1, the rate law can be represented by Eq. (6).

$$3\frac{d[N_2]}{dt} = -\frac{d[NBS]}{dt} = k_{obs}[NBS][N_3^-] \qquad \dots (6)$$

$$=\frac{K_1 K \lfloor NBS \rfloor \lfloor N_B \rfloor}{1 + K \lceil N_B \rceil} \qquad \dots (7)$$

$$k_{\rm obs} = \frac{k_1 K[N_3^-]}{1 + K[N_3^-]} \dots (8)$$

This accounts for the observed kinetics and the values of K and k_1 are found to be 4.75 ± 0.20 dm³ mol⁻¹ and $5.30 \pm 0.15 \times 10^{-3}$ s⁻¹ respectively.

It may be pointed out that all kinetic studies have been made in the presence of $Hg(OAc)_2$ in order to avoid any possible oxidation by Br_2 which may be produced by the reaction : $NBS + Br^- \rightarrow Br_2$ +(succinimide)⁻. The effect of added $Hg(OAc)_2$ is rather perplexing. If it was acting as a trapping agent for Br^- formed in step (4), then a decrease in its concentration should have resulted in either an increase in the rate constant or at least k_{obs} should have remained constant. This is contrary to our observation. The observed decrease in the rate constant with decrease in $[Hg(OAc)_2]$ can be explained by assuming that $Hg(OAc)_2$ is involved in the ratedetermining step. The interaction of Hg^{2+} with $N_3^$ is ruled out.

Since Hg(II) salts are known⁵ to form complexes with N and O donors we envisage that NBS forms a complex with Hg(OAc)₂ (Eq. 9), which acts as an oxidant. Under this condition, the reaction scheme will be given by Eqs (10) and (11).

 $Hg(OAc)_2 + NBS \rightleftharpoons NBS Hg(II) \text{ complex } \dots (9)$

(NBS Hg(II) complex) + $N_3 \xrightarrow{k_2}$ Product ...(10)

$$NBS_{free} + N_3^{-\frac{\kappa_3}{2}} Product$$
 ...(11)

The rate will be given by Eq. (12).

$$-\frac{d[NBS]}{dt} = k_{obs}[N_3^-][NBS]_T$$
$$= k_2[N_3^-][NBS Hg(II) complex] + k_3[NBS]_{free}[N_3^-] \qquad \dots (12)$$

If the equilibrium constant K_1 is large, than all the Hg(OAc)₂ will be in the form of complex. Therefore, at constant [N₃⁻], [NBS] and when [Hg(OAc)₂] <[NBS], the k_{obs} is given by Eq. (13).

$$k_{obs} = \frac{(k_2 - k_3)[Hg(II)]}{[NBS]_T} + k_3 \qquad \dots (13)$$

This equation explains the effect of Hg(OAc)₂ on the observed kinetics. The values for k_2 and k_3 are 3.26 $\times 10^{-3}$ s⁻¹ and $0.16 \pm 0.02 \times 10^{-3}$ s⁻¹ respectively. The value of k_2 is exactly equal to the value of k_{obs} at $[N_3^-]=0.15$; $[NBS]=5 \times 10^{-3}$ and $[Hg(OAc)_2]=0.01$ mol dm⁻³ (Table 1). This proves the validity of our assumption. Therefore the reactive species of the oxidant is NBS-Hg(II) complex and the values of k_1 and K in Eq. (8) correspond to oxidant NBS-Hg(II) complex since all the kinetics are carried out under the condition $[Hg(OAc)_2] > [NBS]$. This clearly shows that in the oxidation of N_3^- by NBS, Hg(OAc)₂ acts as a catalyst by forming a complex with NBS.

The effect of H^+ can be explained by the fact that HN_3 is the active species and HN_3 reacts more readily and rapidly with NBS than N_3^- as observed in the oxidation of N_3^- by periodate⁶.

From the temperature dependence of the k_{obs} the activation parameters for overall reaction have been computed (under the condition $[Hg(OAc)_2] \ge [NBS]$) as $\Delta H^{\pm} = 58.8 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ and $-\Delta S^{\pm} = 102.1 \text{ J deg}^{-1} \text{ mol}^{-1}$. A comparison of overall $-\Delta S^{\pm}$ for this oxidation with that of the oxidation² of azide by Br₂ suggests that the active oxidant species is not the NBS molecule since the magnitude for the reaction between a negative ion and a dipolar molecule⁷ would be very less, of the order of zero.

It is a great pleasure to acknowledge the continuous encouragement and invaluable suggestions of Prof. N R Subbaratnam. The financial assistance from Madurai Kamaraj University to M S R is thankfully acknowledged.

References

- Ponnuraj V, Ramachandran M S, Vivekanandam T S & Chandra Singh U, Bull chem Soc, (Japan), 51 (1978) 460.
- 2 Vivekanandam T S, Chandra Singh U & Ramachandran M S, Int J chem Kinet, 13 (1981) 199.
- 3 Hayon E & Simic M, J Am chem Soc, 92 (1970) 7486.
- 4 Bailer (jr) J C, The chemistry of co-ordination compounds (Reinhold, New York) 1965, 4.
- 5 Aylett B J, Comprehensive inorganic chemistry, Edited by J C Bailer, H J Emeleus, Ronald Nyholm & A F Trotman-Dickenson (Pergamon, Oxford) 1973, 324.
- 6 Vivekanandam T S & Ramachandran M S, *Indian J Chem*, (In press).
- 7 Laidler K J, Chemical kinetics, (McGraw-Hill, New York) 1965.