# Organophosphorus reagents as extractants: Part 2—Synergic effect of triphenylphosphine oxide on the extraction of  $Co(II)/Zn(II)$  from binary mixtures  $Co(II)/Zn(II)$  from binary mixtures<br>with  $Cr(III)$ , Ag(I), Hg(II), Mg(II) with  $Cr(III)$ , or AI(III) using 8-hydroxyquinoline

Tarlok S Lobana\* & Pushvinder K Bhatia Department of Chemistry, Guru Nanak Dev University,<br>Amritsar 143 005 Received 3 November 1989; revised and accepted 5 January 1990

Suitable combinations of 8-hydroxyquinoline (HQ) and triphenylphosphine oxide  $(Ph_3PO)$  show synergism of 10-12% in the extraction of  $Co(II)$  and  $Zn(II)$  compared to the use of HQ alone. At the synergic points,  $Co(II)/Zn(II)$ are separated from their binary mixtures with Cr(III), Ag(I), Hg(II), Mg(II) or Al(III). The recovery is  $95-97\%$ and the nature of the species is  $CoQ<sub>2</sub>(Ph<sub>3</sub>PO)$  and  $ZnQ_2(Ph_3PO)$ <sub>2</sub> respectively for Co(II) and  $Zn(II)$ . The effects of  $pH$  of solutions, dilution of the phases and various anions are studied.

Organophosphorus reagents like trioctylphosphine oxide (TOPO) and tributylphosphine oxide (TBPO) have been reported to act as synergists in the extraction of  $Co(II)$  or  $Zn(II)$  using  $\beta$ -diketones, 1-phenyl-3-methyl-4-(trifluoroacetyl)pyrazol-5-one and 8-hydroxyquinoline<sup>1-8</sup>. However, separations of Co(II) or Zn(II) from binary or ternary mixtures have not been attempted. Since the aryl substituents show higher extractions<sup>9</sup>, we decided to use  $Ph_3PO$  alongwith  $HQ$  for liquid-liquid extraction studies of  $Co(II)$ and Zn(II).

## Experimental

Stock solutions of  $HQ(0.1M)$  and  $Ph_3PO^{10}(0.2M)$ were made in CHCl<sub>3</sub>; those of  $CoCl<sub>2</sub>.6H<sub>2</sub>O(0.01M)$ and  $ZnSO_4$ .7H<sub>2</sub>O (0.01*M*) were made in water. For studying the effect of other metal ions, solutions of different molarities were prepared. Each solution was standardised using  $0.01M$  EDTA with xylenol orange as the indicator<sup>11</sup>. A stock solution of  $o$ -phenanthroline *(0.05M)* was also prepared. All the solvents and materials were of reagent grade and purified before use.

## *Procedure for extraction*

 $With HQ: To a 0.01 M solution of  $\text{Co}^{2+}(1 \text{ ml})$ , 4 ml of$ distilled water was added and the resulting mixture

was equilibrated (15 min) with 5 ml of HQ. For the  $Zn^{2+}$ system, the quantities used were: 1 ml of  $0.01 M Zn^{2+}$ solution, 7 ml of distilled water, 5ml of HQ and 3 ml of  $o$ -phenanthroline ( $o$ -phenanthroline avoids precipitation of  $HQ$  as its hydrate in  $CHCl<sub>3</sub>$  layer). The metal contents were determined from the organic and aqueous layers. For the organic layer, stripping with I ml of cone. HCl and 5ml of distilled water transferred the metal ions to the aqueous phase.

*With Ph<sub>3</sub>PO:* Co(II) showed no extraction, while for Zn(II) the procedure was the same as above except that no addition of o-phenanthroline was required.

*With Ph3PO and HQ:* The following solutions were used: (i)  $Co^{2+}$  (1 ml), HO (5 ml), Ph<sub>3</sub>PO (5 ml) and distilled water (9 ml) (ii)  $Zn^{2+}$  (1 ml), HQ (5 ml), Ph<sub>3</sub>PO  $(5 \text{ ml})$ ,  $o$ -phenanthroline  $(3 \text{ ml})$  and distilled water  $(12 \text{ ml})$ ml). Rest of the procedure was the same as indicated above. For the separation of  $Co(II)/Zn(II)$  from binary mixtures, the volumes used were: (i)  $Co<sup>2+</sup>$  solution (1 ml), metal salt solution (1 ml), HQ (5 ml, *0.09M),* Ph<sub>3</sub>PO (5 ml, 0.05*M*), distilled water (9 ml).

(ii)  $\text{Zn}^2$ <sup>+</sup> solution (1 ml), metal salt solution (1 ml), HQ (5 ml, 0.09 M), Ph<sub>3</sub>PO (5 ml, 0.01 M), o-phen (3 ml) and distilled water (12 ml).

 $Co(II)$  was estimated spectrophotometrically using sodium N, N-diethyldithiocarbamate<sup>12</sup>;  $Zn(II)$ by EDTA<sup>11</sup>, Hg(II) and Ag(I) by  $KSCN<sup>11</sup>$  and other metal ions by  $0.1M$  EDTA (xylenol orange indicator $)$ <sup>11</sup>.

The distribution coefficient  $(K_d)$  was calculated using the relation:

 $K_d = ($ Amount of Co(II)/Zn(II) in organic layer per ml)/(Amount of  $Co(II)/Zn(II)$  in aqueous layer per ml)

#### Results and discussion

The extraction of  $Co(II)/Zn(II)$  into CHCl<sub>3</sub> by HQ increased with the increase in molarity of HQ [for Co(II), increase in [HQ] from 0.01 to O.IOMincreased % E from 11.7 to 97.4 and  $K_d$  from 0.13 to 32.63; for Zn(II), increase in (HQ] from 0.01 to O.15M increased % E from 14.9 to 96.3 and  $K_d$  from 0.17 to 25.75]. From the plots of log  $K_d$  versus log [HQ], the nature of the extracted species was found to be  $CoQ<sub>2</sub>$  in the 0.09-0.10*M* concentration range and  $\text{ZnQ}_2$ .HQ in *0.09-0.15M* concentration range.

There was no extraction of  $Co(II)$  with  $Ph<sub>3</sub>PO$  under the experimental conditions, while Zn(II) showed poor extraction ( $\sim$  40% at 0.2*M* Ph<sub>3</sub>PO) (Ph<sub>3</sub>PO, 0.05-0.20*M;*  $K_d$ , 0.07 to 0.67; %E, 6.7-39.9). The nat-

ure of the species was found to be  $ZnSO_4(Ph,PO)$ , on the basis of log  $K_d$  versus log[Ph<sub>3</sub>PO] plot. Due to the unequal sizes of P and O in PO, the  $\pi$ -bonding is weak and thus greater charge density concentration on oxygen enhances its affinity for relatively softer  $\chi$ n(II) as compared to Co(II).

Significantly, when a combination of  $0.0\frac{h}{M}$  HQ and  $0.05M$  Ph<sub>3</sub>PO was used, Co(II) showed 97.5% extraction, registering a synergism of  $10\%$  (for  $0.09M$ HQ alone,  $\%$  E was 86.9) (Table 1). Whereas increase in concentration of HQ from  $0.080$  to  $0.090M$  led to an increase in the extraction of  $Co(II)$ , there was no effect on the extraction of  $Co(II)$  when concentration of Ph<sub>3</sub>PO was varied in the vicinity of  $0.05M$  at constant HQ concentration (0.09*M*). The nature of the extracted species is believed to be  $CoQ_2(Ph_3PO)$ .



(a) Variation of Ph<sub>3</sub>PO concentration in the vicinity of  $0.05M$ caused no effect on extraction.

I ' • ;11;il\_ll· r

In the extraction of  $Zn(II)$ , a combination of  $HO$  $(0.09M)$  and Ph<sub>3</sub>PO(0.01*M*) showed synergism of about 12%,(% E, 95.0). There was no measurable extraction of  $Zn(II)$  with 0.01 M Ph<sub>3</sub>PO when used alone. and extraction with HQ (0.09M) alone was  $83.6\%$ . The nature of the species is suggested to be  $ZnQ_2(Ph_3PO)_2$ . The higher slopes of 2.5 for HQ (at constant concentration of  $Ph_3PO$ ) and 3 for  $Ph_3PO$ (at constant HQ concentration) might be due to the presence of the excess reagents in the organic phase.

 $Co(II)/Zn(II)$  was separated from the binary mixtures  $M(II)$ -Cr(III),  $M(II)$ - $M(II)$ ,  $M(II)$ -Al(III),  $M(II)$ -Ag(I) and M(II)-Hg(II) (M = Co, Zn) at the synergic points using combinations of  $0.09M$  HO and  $0.05M$  Ph<sub>3</sub>PO for Co(II) and  $0.09M$  HQ and  $0.01M$ Ph,PO for Zn(II). On adding the following salts (mg/ml), the  $K_d$  and  $\%$  E values (single extraction) for Co(II) and Zn(II) were found to be  $\sim$  32 ( $\sim$ 97) and  $\sim$  19( $\sim$  95) respectively: CrCl<sub>3</sub>.6H<sub>2</sub>O (5.20); MgSO. 4.7H<sub>2</sub>O (2.43); AlCl<sub>3</sub>.6H<sub>2</sub>O (5.38); AgNO<sub>3</sub>(10.78) and  $HgCl<sub>2</sub>(20.06)$ . Co(II) interferred in the extraction of Zn(II) and vice-versa; a ten-fold increase in the concentration of the metal salt added had the same effect. The extraction became quantitative when slightly higher HQ concentration (i.e. 0.092M) was used.  $Hg(II)$  and  $Ag(I)$  got transfered to the organic layer alongwith  $Co(II)/Zn(II)$ . The stripping of the organic layer with conc. HCl released Co(II) to the aqueous phase, while  $Hg(I)/Ag(I)$  remained in the organic phase. Further stripping of the organic layer with cone.  $HNO<sub>3</sub>$  facilitated release of  $Hg(II)/Ag(I)$  to the aqueous layer.

The anions tested  $(NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, CH<sub>3</sub>COO<sup>-</sup>, SO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup>$  and  $Cl^-$ ) caused no interference. Further, there was no effect of dilution on the extraction, even when organic to aqueous phase ratio was I:80. The extraction was maximum in the  $pH$  range 4.0-10.0 and poor at  $pH = 2.0$  [22.4%, Co(II); 15.3%, Zn(II)]. Here the  $pH$ of the solution was varied by using Robinson-Britton buffer (CH<sub>3</sub>COOH-NaOH).

#### **Acknowledgement**

Financial assistance from the CSIR, New Delhi (Scheme No. 1(1095/87-EMR.II) and research facilities to (PVKB) by the Guru Nanak Dev University, Amritsar are gratefully acknowledged.

### **References**

- I Wang S M & Li N c..1 *inorg nucl Chern,* 31 (1969) 755.
- 2 Wang S M & Walker W R, *J inorg nucl Chem*, 28 (1966) 875.
- 3 Casey R J & Faraday M. *.I inorg nuc/ Chern,* 29 (1967) 1139.
- 4 WalkerW R& Farell M *S,./inorgnuc/Chem,* 28(1966) 1485.
- 5 Shigematsu T, *Bull chem Soc Japan*, 43 (1970) 793.
- 6 Umetani S, Matsui M, Kuzunishi T & Nishkawa Y, *Bull Inst Chem Res, Kyoto Univ, 60 (1982) 254; Chem Abstr, 129940j* (1983).

934

- 7 Shigematsu T, *Bull/nst Chon Res, Kyoto Vnil',* 45 (1967) 2908; *Chem Ahstr,* 69 (1968) 70584s,
- 8 Akawa H & Kawamoto H, Nippon Kagaku Zasshi, 92 (1971) *1156; Chern Ahstr,* 76 (\972) IQ4508g,
- 9 (a) Lobana T S & Sandhu S S, *Coord Chem Rev*, 42 (1982) 283,
	- (b) Lobana T S & Bhatia P V K. *Indian <sup>J</sup> Chrm,* 29A (1990) 93,

J.

- 10 Lobana T S, *Nat Acad Sci Leu (Allahabad),* 8 (1985) 271; *Chrm Ahstr,* 106 (1987) 19651Or.
- 11 Basette J, Denny R C, Jeffery G H & Mendham J, *Vogel's text book 'of quantitative inorganic analysis* ELBS and Longman, London) 1978,265,
- 12 Sandell E B & Onishi H (eds), *Photometric determination of* traces of metal, Vol. 3, Part 1, 4th Edn (John Wiley, NY) 1978, 420,