# Classification of solvents on the basis of topology of the molecule 

Minati Kuanar \& Bijay K Mishra*<br>Centre of Studies in Surface Science and Technology, Department of Chemistry, Sambalpur University, Jyoti Vihar 768 019, India

Received 21 November 1996, revised 30 January 1997


#### Abstract

Attempt has been made to classify the solvents on the basis of the topology of the molecule. The principal components of nine topological parameters for all the solvents have been determined. From the first principal component $\left(\mathrm{PC}_{1}\right)$ the solvents have been classified into positive and negative principal component class, where most of the nonpolar solvents have negative PC, values and most of the polar solvents have positive $\mathrm{PC}_{1}$ values.


Solvents influence the chemical or physical processes by solvating the substrate either through Van der Waals force, hydrogen bonding or by providing solvent pockets or cages for encapsulating the substrate. To provide these characteristics the functional groups present in the solvent molecule, their orientation, and structure of the molecule as a whole play important roles. According to the characteristics of the solvent various modes of classification have been proposed by different workers. Parker ${ }^{1}$ has classified the organic solvents, considering polarity into account, to three major groups; viz protic, dipolar aprotic and apolar aprotic. With increasing complexity in nature of study in chemical processes the solvents are classified into a number of classes. Richardt ${ }^{2}$ and Griffith ${ }^{3}$ have reviewed and discussed several classifications of solvents. By considering dielectric constant and dipole moment Dack ${ }^{4}$ has grouped the solvent into four different classes. Similarly by considering functional groups, acidity, basicity, proticity, H -bonding characteristics, polarity, polarizability, nucleophilicity and electrophilicity, solvents have been classified into three to fourteen different groups ${ }^{1,5-12}$. In most cases the number of classes and the number of classification parameters are arbitrarily chosen.

The topological parameters find a unique place in correlation chemistry because they represent the molecule as a whole. Various physicochemical properties have been well-correlated with different topological parameters ${ }^{13-18}$. In this paper we have made an attempt to consider the topological parameters as the basis set for classification of solvents.

## Data base and Analytical method

The solvent parameters, Kirkwood function (K), molecular refraction (MR), molecular dipole moment ( $\mu$ ), Hilderbrand parameter ( $\delta$ ), index of refraction ( $\mathbf{n}$ ), boiling point ( bp ), and HOMO and LUMO energies ( $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{H}}, \varepsilon_{\mathrm{L}}$ ) values have been taken from ref. (19). Log $P$ values of the solvents have been obtained from the collection of Leo et al. ${ }^{20}$.

## Calculation of topological parameters

Three different, yet related, type groups of parameters have been selected to represent solvents numerically.

1. Wiener numbers $(W \& \bar{W})$ and information indices $\left(I_{D}^{W} \& \bar{I}_{D}^{W}\right)$-Wiener number $(W)$ is the first topological index and represents the sum of all possible topological distances of a hydrogen suppressed molecular graph ${ }^{21}$. W is calculated from the distance matrix of the molecular graph. It is a square $n \times n$ matrix, where $n$ is the number of vertices in the hydrogen depleted molecular graph. The entries of the matrix being $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{ij}}=\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{ji}}$ representing the number of bonds between vertices $i$ and $j$ by the shortest path. To differentiate heteroatom the $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ and $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ entries are modified as assigned by Randic et al $L^{22}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{ii}}=1-\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{c}} / \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{i}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Z_{c}=6$, and $Z_{i}$ atomic number of atom $i$.
$d_{i j}=\Sigma k_{r}$
$k_{r}=1 / W_{r}\left(Z_{c}^{2} / Z_{i} \times Z_{j}\right)$
where $W_{r}$ is the bond weight with value $1,1.5,2$ and 3 for a single, aromatic, double and triple
bond respectively, $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{j}}$ being the atomic number of atom ' j '.

From the distance matrix, W is computed as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{W}=\sum_{\mathrm{ij}} \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{ij}} / 2 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The mean wiener number is thus determined as
$\overline{\mathrm{W}}=2 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{n}(\mathrm{n}-1)$
The information indices are obtained by the statistical treatment of the topological distances of the chemical graph through the formalism of information theory. The information index $\left(I_{D}^{W}\right)$ is defined as:
$\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{D}}^{\mathrm{W}}=\mathrm{W} \log _{2} \mathrm{~W}-\Sigma\left(\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{d}}\right)\left(\mathrm{d} \log _{2} \mathrm{~d}\right)$
where the distance $d$ appears $k_{d}$ times in the partition. The mean infomation index ( $\overline{\mathrm{I}}_{\mathrm{D}}^{\mathbf{W}}$ ) is calculated as:
$\overline{\mathrm{I}}_{\mathrm{D}}^{\mathrm{W}}=\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{D}}^{\mathrm{W}} / \mathrm{W}$
2. Molecular connectivity and valence molecular connectivity ${ }^{1} x$ and ${ }^{1} x^{\nu}$-In the hydrogen suppressed molecular graph, a $\delta$ value is assigned to each vertex (atom) corresponding to the number of non-hydrogen atoms bonded to it. A connectivity value for a bond $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{k}}$ (connecting atom i and j ). is computed as follows.
$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{k}}=\left(\delta_{\mathrm{i}} \delta_{\mathrm{j}}\right)^{1 / 2}$
Finally ${ }^{1} x$ is calculated as the sum of all connectivity terms.
${ }^{1} \boldsymbol{x}=\Sigma \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{k}}$
${ }^{1} x^{\vee}$ is calculated from the hydrogen depleted molecular graph, by assigning $\delta_{\mathrm{v}}$ value to each vertex as follows ${ }^{23,24}$
$\delta_{\mathrm{v}}=\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{v}}-\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{i}}$
where $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\boldsymbol{i}}$ represents the number of valence electrons and $h_{i}$ denotes the number of hydrogen atoms attached to the particular atom. Thereafter, ${ }^{1} x^{v}$ is calculated as in the case of ${ }^{1} x$ by substituting $\delta_{\mathrm{v}}$ for $\delta$, for $\delta$ in Eq. 7 .
3. Information content (IC), structural information content (SIC) and complementary information content (CIC)-A total moleculat graph (where hydrogen is not suppressed) is constructed for the molecule. For each vertex (atom), partition coordinates are assigned according to the bonding of the connected atoms with its immediate neighbourhood. The coordinates bear the information of
types of bonds between the concerned atom and the adjacent atom. The coordinates are, then, classified according to their partition coordinates. From the probability of the class and the number of atoms in the molecular graph IC has been calculated using Shanon's formula ${ }^{25}$
$\mathrm{IC}=-\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{k}} P_{i} \times \log _{2} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}}$
where $P_{i}=n_{i} / n$ is the probability that a randomly selected element will lie in the ith partitioning class, and n is the number of atoms in the molecular graph. Structural information content defined by Basak et al ${ }^{26}$ is as follows:
SIC $=1 C / \log _{2} n$
Another information-theoretical topological index i.e. complementary information content (CIC), defined by Ray Chaudhury et al. ${ }^{27}$ is
$C I C=1 / n \sum_{i=1}^{k} n_{i} \times \log _{2} n_{i}$
where k is the number of partitioning class. The values obtained by these methods are given in Tables 1 and 2.

## Analysis

The topological parameters have wide applications in explaining homologous series. However, very few topological parameters have yet been reported to explain the functional groups. The change in topological parameters due to change in functional groups can be well established by giving weight to the vertex due to the heteroatoms. Connectivity parameters ${ }^{1} x^{v}$ can differentiate the molecular graph due to heteroatom ${ }^{22}$. As an example cyclohexane, benzene, pyridine, dioxane and piperidine have same ${ }^{1} x$ values ( 3.00 ) whereas the ${ }^{1} x^{v}$ values of all the solvents are different. All the parameters derived from $W$ are also degenerate.

The interrelationship of the topological parameters have been given in Table 3. There is no high correlation between the parameters except $W$ and $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{D}}^{\mathrm{W}}(r=0.992)$.

In principal component analysis (PCA) a matrix is first constructed consisting of the correlations (covariances) among the variables of interest. The eigenvalues and eigenvectors of this matrix are then determined. The eigenvectors so obtained are orthogonal, and the sum of their eigenvalues equals the original number of variables. Each eigenvector is a linear combination of the original

Table 1-First principal component $\left\langle\mathrm{PC}_{1}\right.$ ) of Tls, selected topological parameters ( $\mathrm{W}, \overline{\mathrm{W}}, \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{D}}^{\mathrm{W}}$ and $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{D}}^{W}$ ) for a set of 83 solvents

| Solvent | PC ${ }_{1}$ | W | $\bar{W}$ | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{D}}^{\mathbf{W}}$ | $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{D}}^{\mathbf{w}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hexane | -2.972 | 35.00 | 2.333 | 129.6 | 3.704 |
| Cyclohexane | -1.635 | 27.00 | 1.800 | 102.1 | 3.782 |
| Triethylamine | -2.284 | 43.85 | 2.088 | 186.7 | 4.256 |
| Carbondisulphide | 3.993 | 1.998 | 0.666 | 4.278 | 2.141 |
| Sulpholane | 0.180 | 21.07 | 1.003 | 89.80 | 4.263 |
| Dimethyl sulphoxide | 2.622 | 3.548 | 0.591 | 10.16 | 2.864 |
| Dimethylformamide | 1.389 | 13.89 | 1.389 | 45.93 | 3.306 |
| Dimethylacetamide | 0.189 | 23.55 | 1.570 | 90.43 | 3.839 |
| Hexamethylphosphotriamide | -4.134 | 79.01 | 1.437 | 441.6 | 5.589 |
| N -methylpyrrolidone | -0.482 | 33.78 | 1.609 | 146.0 | 4.322 |
| Nitromethane | 3.275 | 5.140 | 0.857 | 14.64 | 2.848 |
| Acetonitrile | 4.060 | 2.715 | 0.905 | 4.363 | 1.607 |
| Butyronitrile | 0.938 | 17.29 | 1.729 | 54.41 | 3.147 |
| Propionitrile | 2.493 | 8.001 | 1.333 | 19.73 | 2.466 |
| Acetone | 2.153 | 7.375 | 1.229 | 19.03 | 2.580 |
| Butanone | 0.926 | 15.75 | 1.575 | 50.69 | 3.218 |
| 3-Pentanone | -0.493 | 27.87 | 1.858 | 103.7 | 3.718 |
| Cyclohexanone | -1.623 | 38.50 | 1.833 | 164.4 | 4.271 |
| Diethyl ether | -0.052 | 17.25 | 1.725 | 62.21 | 3.606 |
| Dibutyl ether | -5.588 | 110.2 | 3.063 | 543.4 | 4.929 |
| Diisopropyl ether | -1.935 | 42.25 | 2.012 | 180.3 | 4.266 |
| Tetrahydrofuran | 0.667 | 13.75 | 1.375 | 45.41 | 3.303 |
| Dioxane | -0.325 | 23.00 | 1.533 | 88.79 | 3.848 |
| Dimethoxy-1,2-ethane | -0.364 | 28.00 | 1.867 | 102.5 | 3.660 |
| Acetic anhydride | 0.036 | 35.25 | 1.679 | 151.3 | 4.291 |
| Methyl acetate | 1.848 | 13.50 | 1.350 | 44.73 | 3.313 |
| Ethyl acetate | 0.371 | 25.12 | 1.675 | 95.47 | 3.800 |
| Diethyl carbonate | -1.902 | 57.87 | 2.067 | 268.8 | 4.645 |
| Propanediol 1,2-carbonate | 0.315 | 32.00 | 1.524 | 138.9 | 4.341 |
| Benzene | -0.164 | 18.09 | 1.206 | 68.42 | 3.782 |
| Toluene | -0.742 | 30.12 | 1.434 | 127.8 | 4.244 |
| $o$-Xylene | - 1.756 | 44.82 | 1.601 | 209.0 | 4.662 |
| p-Xylene | -1.945 | 46.16 | 1.649 | 214.0 | 4.637 |
| Mesitylene | -2.954 | 64.20 | 1.783 | 321.9 | 5.014 |
| Styrene | -1.617 | 45.65 | 1.630 | 211.4 | 4.630 |
| Fluorobenzene | -0.846 | 28.44 | 1.354 | 122.2 | 4.297 |
| Chlorobenzene | -0.990 | 26.88 | 1-280 | 115.9 | 4.311 |
| Bromobenzene | -1.113 | 25.97 | 1.237 | 111.7 | 4.302 |
| Iodobenzene | -1.418 | 25.68 | 1.223 | 110.3 | 4.295 |
| $\sigma$-Dichlorobenzene | -1.819 | 37.05 | 1.323 | 176.0 | 4.751 |
| $m$-Dichlorobenzene | -1.839 | 37.73 | 1.347 | 179.1 | 4.746 |
| Pyridine | 0.893 | 17.37 | 1.158 | 66.36 | 3.817 |
| Nitrobenzene | -1.626 | 57.38 | 1.594 | 288.8 | 5.032 |
| Benzonitrile | -0.959 | 44.29 | 1.582 | 205.8 | 4.646 |
| Acetophenone | -2.310 | 64.42 | 1.817 | 325.9 | 4.981 |
| Diphenyl ether | -8.400 | 183.8 | 2.357 | 1115 | 6.064 |
| Anisole | -1.420 | 44.65 | 1.595 | 208.1 | 4.661 |
| Ethylbenzoate | -4.998 | 124.0 | 2.254 | 691.3 | 5.575 |
| Carbontetrachloride | 1.060 | 8.236 | 0.824 | 30.93 | 3.755 |
| Chloroform | 1.588 | 5.118 | 0.853 | 15.93 | 3.112 |
| Dichloromethane | 2.206 | 2.706 | 0.902 | 6.114 | 2.259 |
| sym-Dichloromethane | 0.912 | 7.412 | 1.235 | 20.80 | 2.806 |

(Contd)

Table 1-First principal component $\left(\mathrm{PC}_{1}\right)$ of Tis, selected topological parameters $\left(\mathbf{W}, \bar{W}, I_{D}^{W}\right.$ and $\bar{I}_{\mathrm{D}}^{W}$ ) for a set of 83 solvents

| Solvent | $\mathbf{P C}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{W}$ | $\overline{\mathbf{W}}$ | $\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{D}}^{\mathbf{w}}$ | $\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{D}}^{\mathbf{W}}$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trichloroethylene | 1.094 | 9.177 | 0.918 | 32.95 | 3.590 |
| Dichloro-1,1-ethane | 1.195 | 6.412 | 1.069 | 18.24 | 2.844 |
| Formamide | 4.005 | 2.857 | 0.952 | 5.579 | 1.953 |
| N-Methylformamide | 3.070 | 7.517 | 1.253 | 19.77 | 2.629 |
| Water | 5.932 | 0.250 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Methanol | 4.594 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0.811 | 0.811 |
| Ethanol | 3.191 | 3.750 | 1.250 | 6.549 | 1.746 |
| Butanol | 0.496 | 19.25 | 1.925 | 61.61 | 3.201 |
| Tert-Butyl alcohol | 0.883 | 15.25 | 1.525 | 50.52 | 3.313 |
| Glycol | 2.276 | 9.000 | 1.500 | 24.02 | 2.669 |
| Isopropyl alcohol | 1.931 | 8.500 | 1.417 | 22.23 | 2.615 |
| $s$-Butyl alcohol | 0.729 | 17.25 | 1.725 | 56.09 | 3.251 |
| Diethylene glycol | -1.256 | 47.75 | 2.274 | 202.6 | 4.243 |
| Benzyl alcohol | -1.294 | 47.65 | 1.702 | 221.5 | 4.648 |
| 1-Propanol | 1.893 | 9.500 | 1.583 | 24.24 | 2.552 |
| Isobutyl alcohol | 0.803 | 17.00 | 1.700 | 54.36 | 3.197 |
| Isoamyl alcohol | -0.482 | 31.00 | 2.066 | 117.2 | 3.779 |
| 2-Pentanol | -0.623 | 31.00 | 2.066 | 116.9 | 3.771 |
| 3-Pentanol | -0.600 | 30.00 | 2.000 | 113.7 | 3.788 |
| 1-Pentanol | -0.927 | 34.00 | 2.267 | 127.0 | 3.736 |
| Tert-Pentyl alcohol | -0.239 | 27.00 | 1.800 | 103.7 | 3.842 |
| 1-Octanol | -5.853 | 118.2 | 3.285 | 582.5 | 4.926 |
| Cyclohexanol | -1.744 | 40.75 | 1.940 | 174.5 | 4.282 |
| Trifluoroethanol | 1.359 | 23.00 | 1.534 | 91.63 | 3.983 |
| 2-Methoxyethanol | 1.217 | 17.00 | 1.700 | 55.58 | 3.269 |
| Acetic acid | 3.530 | 6.875 | 1.146 | 18.73 | 2.724 |
| Trifluoroacetic acid | 1.573 | 32.66 | 1.555 | 144.4 | 4.419 |
| Piperidine | -0.557 | 25.86 | 1.724 | 98.48 | 3.808 |
| Aniline | -0.247 | 29.40 | 1.400 | 139.3 | 4.737 |
| Propylamine | 1.839 | 9.714 | 1.619 | 24.47 | 2.519 |
| Diethylamine | 0.698 | 18.43 | 1.843 | 58.75 | 3.188 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 2-Selected topological parameters (IC, SIC, CIC, ${ }^{1} x$ and ${ }^{\prime} x^{v}$ ) for set of 83 solvents

| Solvent | IC | SIC | CIC | ${ }^{1} x$ | ${ }^{\prime} x^{v}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hexane | 1.140 | 0.243 | 3.560 | 3.914 | 3.914 |
| Cyclohexane | 0.918 | 0.220 | 3.252 | 3.000 | 3.000 |
| Triethylamine | 1.363 | 0.306 | 3.096 | 3.346 | 3.070 |
| Carbondisulphide | 0.918 | 0.579 | 0.667 | 1.414 | 0.408 |
| Sulpholane | 1.907 | 0.488 | 2.000 | 3.207 | 2.411 |
| Dimethyl sulphoxide | 1.571 | 0.473 | 1.751 | 1.732 | 0.983 |
| Dimethylformamide | 1.781 | 0.497 | 1.804 | 2.270 | 1.388 |
| Dimethylacetamide | 1.688 | 0.432 | 2.219 | 2.643 | 1.822 |
| Hexamethylphosphotriamide | 1.571 | 0.323 | 3.287 | 4.830 | 3.466 |
| N-Methylpyrrolidone | 2.092 | 0.523 | 1.908 | 3.304 | 2.545 |
| Nitromethane | 1.842 | 0.656 | 0.965 | 1.732 | 0.812 |
| Acetonitrile | 1.792 | 0.693 | 0.793 | 1.414 | 0.724 |
| Butyronitrile | 1.781 | 0.497 | 1.804 | 2.414 | 1.784 |
| Propionitrile | 1.880 | 0.593 | 1.290 | 1.914 | 1.284 |
| Acetone | 1.571 | 0.473 | 1.751 | 1.732 | 1.204 |
| Butanone | 1.700 | 0.460 | 1.999 | 2.270 | 1.765 |

Table 2 - Selected topological parameters (IC,SIC,CIC, ${ }^{1} \chi$ and ${ }^{1} \chi{ }^{\prime}$ ) for set of 83 solvents

| Solvent | IC | SIC | CIC | ' $\chi$ | ${ }^{1} \chi^{v}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3-Pentanone | 1.674 | 0.418 | 2.326 | 2.808 | 2.525 |
| Cyclohexane | 1.450 | 0.355 | 2.637 | 3.394 | 2.911 |
| Diethyl ether | 1.426 | 0.365 | 2.481 | 2.414 | 1.991 |
| Dibutyl ether | 1.530 | 0.322 | 3.224 | 4.414 | 3.991 |
| Diisopropyl ether | 1.378 | 0.314 | 3.014 | 3.126 | 2.781 |
| Tetrahydrofuran | 1.547 | 0.418 | 2.154 | 2.500 | 2.077 |
| Dioxane | 1.379 | 0.362 | 2.429 | 3.000 | 2.155 |
| Dimethoxy-1,2-thane | 1.549 | 0.387 | 2.451 | 2.914 | 1.894 |
| Acetic anhydride | 2.046 | 0.553 | 1.655 | 3.126 | 1.816 |
| Methyl acetate | 2.049 | 0.592 | 1.410 | 2.270 | 1.316 |
| Ethyl acetate | 1.950 | 0.512 | 1.857 | 2.770 | 1.904 |
| Diethyl carbonate | 1.991 | 0.478 | 2.179 | 3.808 | 2.604 |
| Propandediol 1,2-carbonate | 2.353 | 0.636 | 1.347 | 3.288 | 2.122 |
| Benzene | 1.000 | 0.279 | 2.585 | 3.000 | 2.000 |
| Toluene | 1.533 | 0.392 | 2.374 | 3.394 | 2.411 |
| $o$-Xylene | 1.658 | 0.398 | 2.512 | 3.804 | 2.827 |
| $p$-Xylene | 1.658 | 0.398 | 2.512 | 3.788 | 3.155 |
| Mesitylene | 1.664 | 0.379 | 2.728 | 4.181 | 3.232 |
| Styrene | 1.649 | 0.412 | 2.351 | 3.932 | 2.608 |
| Fhuorobenzene | 1.000 | 0.279 | 2.585 | 3.394 | 2.022 |
| Chlorobenzene | 1.000 | 0.279 | 2.585 | 3.394 | 2.513 |
| Bromobenzene | 1.000 | 0.279 | 2.585 | 3.394 | 2.903 |
| Iodobenzene | 1.000 | 0.279 | 2.585 | 3.394 | 3.626 |
| $o$-Dichlorobenzene | 1.000 | 0.279 | 2.585 | 3.804 | 3.031 |
| $m$-Dichlorobenzene | 1.000 | 0.279 | 2.585 | 3.788 | 3.025 |
| Pyridine | 1.790 | 0.517 | 1.669 | 3.000 | 1.850 |
| Nitrobenzene | 2.006 | 0.527 | 1.801 | 4.304 | 2.499 |
| Benzonitrile | 1.914 | 0.517 | 1.786 | 3.932 | 2.384 |
| Acetophenone | 1.993 | 0.488 | 2.095 | 4.304 | 2.865 |
| Diphenyl ether | 1.548 | 0.342 | 2.976 | 6.449 | 4.230 |
| Anisole | 1.774 | 0.444 | 2.226 | 3.932 | 2.523 |
| Ethylbenzoate | 2.258 | 0.514 | 2.135 | 5.342 | 3.565 |
| Carbontetrachloride | 0.722 | 0.311 | 1.600 | 2.000 | 2.408 |
| Chloroform | 0.722 | 0.311 | 1.600 | 1.732 | 2.085 |
| Dichloromethane | 0.722 | 0.311 | 1.600 | 1.414 | 1.702 |
| sym-Dichloromethane | 0.811 | 0.270 | 2.189 | 1.194 | 2.202 |
| Trichloroethylene | 0.918 | 0.355 | 1.667 | 2.270 | 2.188 |
| Dichloro-1,1-ethane | 0.811 | 0.270 | 2.189 | 1.732 | 1.967 |
| Fromamide | 1.792 | 0.693 | 0.792 | 1.414 | 0.493 |
| N -Methylformamide | 2.281 | 0.720 | 0.888 | 1.914 | 1.024 |
| Water | 0.918 | 0.579 | 0.667 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Methanol | 1.792 | 0.693 | 0.792 | 1.000 | 0.447 |
| Ethanol | 1.880 | 0.593 | 1.290 | 1.414 | 1.023 |
| Butanol | 1.871 | 0.479 | 2.035 | 2.414 | 2.023 |
| Ten-Butyl alcohol | 1.688 | 0.432 | 2.219 | 2.00 | 1.724 |
| Glycol | 1.922 | 0.579 | 1,400 | 1.914 | 1.132 |
| Isopropyl alcohol | 1.781 | 0.497 | 1.804 | 1.732 | 1.413 |
| s-Butyl alcohol | 1.871 | 0.479 | 2.034 | 2.270 | 1.951 |
| Diethylene glycol | 1.970 | 0.482 | 2.188 | 3.414 | 2.210 |
| Benzyl alcohol | 2.046 | 0.512 | 1.954 | 3.932 | 2.580 |

Table 2-Selected topological parameters (IC, SIC, CIC, ${ }^{\prime} x$ and ${ }^{1} x^{v}$ ) for set of 83 solvents

| Solvent | IC | SIC | CIC | ${ }^{1} \boldsymbol{x}$ | ${ }^{1} \boldsymbol{x}^{\vee}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-Propanol | 1.947 | 0.543 | 1.638 | 1.914 | 1.523 |
| Isobutyl alcohol | 1.871 | 0.479 | 2.035 | 2.270 | 1.879 |
| Isoamyl alcohol | 1.945 | 0.467 | 2.225 | 2.770 | 2.379 |
| 2-Pentanol | 1.833 | 0.440 | 2.336 | 2.770 | 2.451 |
| 3-Pentanol | 1.833 | 0.440 | 2.336 | 2.808 | 2.489 |
| 1-Pentanol | 1.792 | 0.430 | 2.378 | 2.914 | 2.523 |
| Tert-Pentyl alcohol | 1.792 | 0.430 | 2.378 | 2.561 | 2.170 |
| 1-Octanol | 1.607 | 0.338 | 3.148 | 4.414 | 4.023 |
| Cyclohexanol | 1.634 | 0.385 | 2.614 | 3.394 | 3.075 |
| Trifluoroethanol | 1.880 | 0.593 | 1.290 | 2.561 | 1.005 |
| 2-Methoxyethanol | 2.035 | 0.550 | 1.665 | 2.414 | 1.513 |
| Acetic acid | 2.046 | 0.802 | 0.594 | 1.732 | 0.928 |
| Trifluoroacetic acid | 2.046 | 0.802 | 0.594 | 2.943 | 1.013 |
| Piperidine | 1.736 | 0.425 | 2.351 | 3.000 | 2.707 |
| Aniline | 2.006 | 0.527 | 1.801 | 3.394 | 2.199 |
| Propylamine | 2.035 | 0.550 | 1.665 | 1.914 | 1.615 |
| Diethylamine | 1.674 | 0.418 | 2.326 | 2.414 | 1.121 |


| Table 3-Simple correlation matrix of topological parameters used to derive principal components |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | w | $\overline{\mathbf{w}}$ | $\mathrm{I}_{\text {W }}{ }^{\text {\% }}$ | $\mathrm{I}_{\text {D }}{ }^{\mathbf{w}}$ | IC | SCI | CIC | ${ }^{1} \times$ | ' ${ }^{*}$ |
| w | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\overline{\mathrm{w}}$ | 0.69 | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{D}}^{\mathrm{w}}$ | 0.99 | 0.61 | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{W}$ | 0.76 | 0.58 | 0.72 | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |
| IC | 0.15 | 0.33 | 0.13 | 0.10 | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |
| SIC | -0.27 | -0.25 | -0.24 | -0.42 | 0.72 | 1.00 |  |  |  |
| CIC | 0.55 | 0.63 | 0.49 | 0.65 | -0.31 | -0.85 | 1.00 |  |  |
| ${ }^{1} \times$ | 0.88 | 0.64 | 0.85 | 0.95 | 0.13 | -0.39 | 0.67 | 1.00 |  |
| ${ }^{1} x^{2}$ | 0.75 | 0.65 | 0.70 | 0.82 | -0.17 | -0.69 | 0.86 | 0.85 | 1.00 |

variables and represents a principal component. The process can be viewed as one in which the first principal component axis is constructed to account for a maximum amount of variance in the data; the second component axis accounts for a maximum amount of the remaining variance under the constraint that it be orthogonal to the first component, and so forth, until all component axes are constructed. The eigenvalues, per cent of variance and cumulative per cent of variance are given in Table 4. The first principal component ( $\mathrm{PC}_{1}$ ) is associated with $63.1 \%$ of total variance of all the topological parameters. The second and third PCs are associated with $22.4 \%$ and $7.2 \%$ of the variance respectively. The first three PCs can explain $92.7 \%$ of the total variance cumulatively. As the PCs are generated from the topological parameters the dominating components of each PC have been identified by simple correlation analysis. For the purpose $\mathrm{PC}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{PC}_{2}$ have been correlated with all the nine topological parameters indi-
vidually and the correlation coefficients are given in Table 5. All the parameters except IC and SIC correlate well with $\mathrm{PC}_{1}$ while these two parameters contribute more to $\mathrm{PC}_{2}$. Since the first principal component $\left(\mathrm{PC}_{1}\right)$ is due to the maximum contribution of all topological parameters, it is planned to consider $\mathrm{PC}_{1}$ as the basis of classification of the solvents.

## Discussion

It is interesting to note that major nonpolar solvents have negative $\mathrm{PC}_{1}$ values while most of the polar solvents have positive $\mathrm{PC}_{1}$ values. To study the quantitative structure property relationship between $\mathrm{PC}_{1}$ values and various physicochemical properties like Kirkwood function (K), molecular refraction (MR), molecular dipole moment ( $\mu$ ), Hilderbrand parameter ( $\delta$ ), refractive index ( n ), boiling point (bp) and the energy of HOMO ( $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{H}}$ ) and LUMO ( $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{L}}$ ), the data are subjected to multiple regression analysis. The statistical results are

Table 4-Eigenvalues, per cent variance and cumulative per cent of variances derived from PCA

| PCs | Eigenvalues | Variance <br> $(\%)$ | Cumulative <br> variance (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 5.679 | 63.1 | 63.1 |
| 2 | 2.015 | 22.4 | 85.5 |
| 3 | 0.646 | 7.2 | 92.7 |
| 4 | 0.414 | 4.6 | 97.3 |
| 5 | 0.105 | 1.2 | 98.4 |
| 6 | 0.089 | 1.0 | 99.4 |
| 7 | 0.033 | 0.4 | 99.8 |
| 8 | 0.017 | 0.2 | 100.0 |
| 9 | 0.002 | 0.0 | 100.0 |

Table 5-Correlation coefficients ( $r$ ) of first principal component $\left(\mathrm{PC}_{1}\right)$ and second principal component $\left(\mathrm{PC}_{2}\right)$ with all the topological parameters

| TIs | $r$ |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\mathrm{PC}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{PC}_{2}$ |
| W | -0.89 | 0.30 |
| $\bar{W}$ | -0.75 | 0.29 |
| $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{W}}^{\mathrm{W}}$ | -0.85 | 0.30 |
| $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{W}}^{\mathrm{W}}$ | -0.89 | 0.12 |
| IC | 0.03 | 0.93 |
| SIC | 0.59 | 0.79 |
| CIC | -0.83 | -0.42 |
| ${ }^{\text {I }} \mathbf{x}$ | -0.94 | 0.18 |
| ${ }^{1} \mathrm{x}^{v}$ | -0.94 | -0.18 |



Fig. 1-Plot of First Principal Component versus $\log \mathrm{P}$

Table 6-Correlation coefficients ( $r$ ) of first principal component $\left(\mathrm{PC}_{1}\right)$ and second principal component $\left(\mathrm{PC}_{2}\right)$ with Physicochemical properties: Kirkwood function (K), molecular refraction (MR), molecular dipole moment ( $\mu$ ), Hilderbrand parameter ( $\delta$ ), index of refraction ( n ), boiling point (bp), and HOMO and LUMO energies ( $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{H}}, \varepsilon_{\mathrm{L}}$ )

| Properties | $\mathrm{PC}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{PC}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{PC}_{1}, \mathrm{PC}_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| K | 0.38 | 0.41 | 0.57 |
| MR | 0.93 | 0.08 | 0.94 |
| $\mu$ | 0.18 | 0.41 | 0.45 |
| $\delta$ | 0.48 | 0.30 | 0.57 |
| $\mu$ | 0.37 | 0.11 | 0.39 |
| bp | 0.43 | 0.34 | 0.55 |
| $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{H}}$ | 0.60 | 0.01 | 0.61 |
| $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{L}}$ | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.03 |

Table 7-Correlation analysis of various empirical properties with First Principal Component ( $\mathrm{PC}_{1}$ ) of TIs

| Chemical processes | $r$ | n | Ref. | Chemical processes | $r$ | n | Ref. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fluorescence maxima of paminophenyl benzimidazole in various solvents | 0.90 | 7 | 29 | Partial rate coefficients ( $k_{1} k_{3} / k_{-1}$ ) on the reaction of 1 -fluoro-2,4-dinitrobenzene (DNFB) with piperidine in aprotic solvents at $15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. | 0.89 | 7 | 32 |
| Fluorescence maxima ( nm ) of 3 -ami-no-fluoroethane in different solvents | 0.90 | 6 | 30 |  |  |  |  |
| Kosower's values on the studies on thermochromic behaviour of 6 -nitrospiropyran. | 0.86 | 8 | 31 | Values of $K_{N}^{\mathrm{F}} K_{A}^{\mathrm{Cl}}$ for the reaction of DNFB and DNCB with piperidine in aprotic solvents at $15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. | 0.81 | 8 | 32 |
| Absorption maxima values on the studies on thermochromic behaviour of 6 -nitrospiropyran. | 0.94 | 9 | 31 | Second order reaction rate coefficients for the reaction of 1 -chloro-2,4-dinitrobenzene with piperidine in hydroxylic solvents at $15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. | 0.85 | 6 | 33 |
| Activation parameter $\left(E_{\mathrm{a}}\right)$ values on the studies on thermochromic behaviour of 6 -nitrospiropyran. | 0.86 | 9 | 31 | Second order reaction rate coefficients |  |  |  |
| Entropy values ( $\Delta S$ ) on the studies on thermochromic behaviour of 6 -nitrospiropyran. | 0.82 | 9 | 31 | for the reaction of 1 -chloro-2,4-dinitrobenzene with piperidine in hydroxylic solvent at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. | 0.88 | 7 | 33 |
| Partial rate coefficients ( $k_{1} k_{2} / k_{-1}$ ) on the reaction of 1 -fluoro- 2,4 -dinitrobenzene (DNFB) with piperidine in aprotic solvents at $15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. | 0.84 | 7 | 32 | Second order reaction rate coefficients for the reaction of 1 -chloro-2,4-dinitrobenzene with piperidine in hydroxylic solvent at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. | 0.89 | 6 | 33 |

given in Table 6. Except molecular refraction the other physicochemical properties do not have good correlation with $\mathrm{PC}_{1}$. Addition of $\mathrm{PC}_{2}$ to the regression equation does not have significant improvement in the correlation coefficient values.

Hansch et al ${ }^{28}$ have introduced $\log \mathrm{P}$, a parameter for hydrophobicity obtained by measuring the partition of organic substrates in octanol-water medium, to use in QSAR studies. The $\mathrm{PC}_{1}$ values for octanol and water are found to be -5.853 and 5.932 respectively. Except the value for diphenyl ether ( -8.4 ), these two values are the extreme two points of the rest. This observation prompted us to correlate the $\log \mathrm{P}$ values with the $\mathrm{PC}_{1}$ values. The plot of $\mathrm{PC}_{1}$ versus $\log \mathrm{P}$ is given in Fig. 1. From the plot it is clear that solvents can be classified into two major classes, one having positive $\mathrm{PC}_{1}$ values and the other with negative $\mathrm{PC}_{1}$ values.

Some solvents, e.g. halomethanes, carbondisulphide etc. having nonpolar characteristics have positive $\mathrm{PC}_{1}$ values. Similarly some polar solvents have also negative $\mathrm{PC}_{1}$ values. Thus it is observed that this classification does not depend solely on polarity. To investigate the applicability of $\mathrm{PC}_{1}$ as a solvent parameter, the experimental data of some chemical processes ${ }^{29-33}$ have been correlated with the $\mathrm{PC}_{1}$ values. The statistical results are given in Table 7. In almost all cases the correlation coefficients are found to be significant ( $0.81-0.94$ ).
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