## **Rapid Communication**

# A low-spin carboxyl-bonded iron(III) complex

Soma Karmakar & Animesh Chakravorty\* Department of Inorganic Chemistry, Indian Association for the Cultivation of Science, Calcutta 700 032, India

Received 31 October 1995

The ligand  $HON = C(Ph)N = N - C_6H_4CO_2H (H_2L)$ has afforded the low-spin (S = 1/2) iron(III) complex,  $Et_4N[Fe(PhL)_2]$  which has been structurally characterised revealing the presence of *cis*-FeN<sub>4</sub>O<sub>2</sub> coordination sphere. Carboxyl-bonded low-spin iron(III) species are very rare. Spin-pairing contracts metal radius, the Fe-N and Fe-O lengths being significantly shorter than those in representative high-spin complexes.

Carboxyl coordination is a very common feature in the chemistry and biochemistry of trivalent iron<sup>1-4</sup>. Virtually all the known species are highspin (S=5/2) consistent with the large spin-pairing energy<sup>5</sup> of iron(III) ( $3d^5$ ) and the low ligandfield strength of the carboxyl group<sup>6</sup>. Herein we describe an example of the unusual low-spin (S=1/2) situation achieved with the help of the new ligand 1, abbreviated as H<sub>2</sub>L.



## Experimental

EPR spectra were measured on a Varian E-109C spectrometer. Magnetic susceptibility was determined with a Model 155 PAR vibrating sample magnetometer. X-ray structure determination

Table	1-Atomic coordi	nates ( $\times 10^4$ ) for 1	Et <sub>4</sub> N[Fe(PhL) <sub>2</sub> ]
	, x	у	z
Fe	0	2090(2)	7500
O(1)	-962(13)	1045(7)	6447(12)
O(2)	1703(11)	3456(7)	8980(11)
O(3)	1020(10)	2712(6)	7987(9)
N(1)	-774(12)	2093(9)	8726(11)
N(2)	-1483(13)	1622(8)	8784(11)
N(3)	-924(15)	1389(8)	7242(14)
C(1)	972(17)	3078(10)	8796(16)
C(2)	57(19)	3046(9)	9505(12)
C(3)	104(22)	3503(9)	10295(15)
C(4)	-666(17)	3513(11)	10992(18)
C(5)	-1492(17)	3071(9)	10960(15)
C(6)	-1545(16)	2594(9)	10211(14)
C(7)	-742(15)	2589(9)	9499(14)
C(8)	-1610(17)	1204(10)	8004(16)
C(9)	-2324(16)	640(10)	8071(16)
C(10)	-2672(16)	442(11)	9001(16)
C(11)	-3329(16)	-111(12)	9087(18)
C(12)	-3676(16)	-415(11)	8265(18)
C(13)	-3347(17)	-236(11)	7348(19)
C(14)	- 2664(15)	294(10)	7222(14)

was done on a crystal of dimension  $0.21 \times 0.17$  $\times$  0.15 mm<sup>3</sup> with the help of a Nicolet R3m/V diffractometer (MoK<sub>a</sub> radiation,  $\lambda = 0.71073$  Å) using the SHELXTL-PLUS program<sup>7</sup>. Crystal data are : crystal system, orthorhombic; space group, Pbcn; a = 12.709(8) Å, b = 20.14(2) Å, Ă, V=3436(5) Å; Z=4;c = 13.428(12)R = 10.01%;  $R_w = 7.18\%$ . Data were collected in the 20 range 3-45° and 682 reflections with I >  $2\sigma(I)$  were used for structure solution employing direct methods. The crystal was relatively weakly diffracting and due to paucity of observed data, only the iron atom was made anisotropic. The hydrogen atoms were added at calculated positions. Coordinates of non-hydrogen atoms of the FeL<sub>2</sub> anion are given in Table 1. Further details can be had from the author (AC).

The synthesis of the ligand  $H_2L$  and the complex  $Et_4N[FeL_2]$  are outlined in the text and details will be given elsewhere. Analytical data, found (calc.) are as follows: for  $H_2L$  : C, 62.54(62.45); H, 4.21(4.09); N, 15.52(15.61) and for  $Et_4N[FeL_2]$  : C, 60.10(60.04); H, 5.15(5.28); N, 13.71(13.62).

#### **Results and discussion**

The reaction of  $H_2L$  with iron(III) chloride in methanol containing  $Et_4NCl$  afforded pink-co-



loured Et<sub>4</sub>N[FeL<sub>2</sub>] in excellent yields. The ligand  $H_2L$  was synthesised by converting diazotised anthranilic acid to 2-hydrazinobenzoic acid which was reacted with benzaldehyde furnishing the corresponding hydrazone. The latter was nitrosated<sup>8</sup> with *n*-butylnitrite affording yellow coloured  $H_2L$  in good yield (50%).

The room temperature magnetic moment of the complex is 1.92  $\mu_{\rm B}$  corresponding to the idealised  $t_2^{\varsigma}$  (S = 1/2) configuration. Upon decreasing the temperature the moment varies only slightly (250 K, 1.91  $\mu_{\rm B}$ ; 200 K, 1.89  $\mu_{\rm B}$ ; 150 K, 1.86  $\mu_{\rm B}$ ; 90 K, 1.82  $\mu_{\rm B}$ ) as expected for the low-spin  $d^{\varsigma}$  configuration<sup>9,10</sup>. In frozen (77K) dimethylformamide-toluene glass Et<sub>4</sub>N[FeL<sub>2</sub>) exhibits a well-resolved rhombic EPR spectra (g values: 2.173, 2.127, 1.997) consistent with the observed geometry (C<sub>2</sub>, see below) of the coordination sphere.

The X-ray structure of the complex anion  $FeL_2^-$  is shown in Fig. 1 and selected bond parameters are given in the legend.

Two tridentate ligands bind the metal in the hexadentate  $N_4O_2$  fashion utilising pairs of oximato-N, azo-N and carboxyl-O atoms. The relative orientations within the pairs are *cis*, *trans* and *cis* respectively. The metal centre lies on crystallographic two-fold axis making the two meridional halves of the molecule equivalent.

The  $FeN_4O_2$  coordination sphere is severely distorted from ideal octahedral geometry. The angles at the metal centre between *cis*-positioned

donor pairs span the range  $80.8(8)^{\circ}-99.5(8)^{\circ}$  and those between *trans*-positioned pairs are  $169.0(7)^{\circ}$ and  $179.7(4)^{\circ}$ . The five-membered azo-oxime chelate ring, FeN<sub>3</sub>C, is excellently planar with mean deviation of 0.01 Å<sup>-</sup>. The six-membered chelate ring, FeC<sub>3</sub>NO, is also planar with mean deviation of 0.07Å.

Spin-pairing is expected to decrease metal radius<sup>11,12</sup>. This indeed happens in FeL<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>. Thus the Fe-O, Fe-N (oxime) and Fe-N(azo) lengths are 1.917(13), 1.869(18) and 1.918(15)Å respectively compared to the expected<sup>13</sup> high-spin values of 2.0, 2.1 and 2.1 Å respectively.

In FeL<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>, the azo oxime chelation ensures spinpairing<sup>8,14</sup> while carboxylate coordination stabilises the trivalent state. The only known carboxyl coordinated low-spin iron(III) complex is a macrocycle<sup>15</sup>. In FeL<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>, we have the first example of a complex incorporating an acyclic ligand.

### Acknowledgement

Financial support received from the Department of Science and Technology, New Delhi is acknowledged. Affiliation to Jawaharlal Nehru Centre for Advanced Scientific Research, Bangalore, India is acknowledged.

#### References

- 1 Que L Jr & True A E, Progress in inorganic chemistry, 38 (1990) 97.
- 2 Powell A K, Coord Chem Rev, 134 (1994) 130.
- 3 Hartman J R, Rardin R L, Chaudhuri P, Pohl K, Wieghardt K, Nuber B, Weiss J, Papaefthymiou G C, Frankel R B & Lippard S J, J Am chem Soc, 109 (1987) 7387.
- 4 Turowski P N, Armstrong W H, Liu S, Brown S N & Lippard S J, Inorg Chem, 33 (1994) 636.
- 5 Cotton F A & Wilkinson G, Advanced inorganic chemistry, A comprehensive text, 4th ed (Wiley-Interscience), (1980) 644.
- 6 Lever A B P, Inorganic electronic spectroscopy, 2nd ed (Elsevier, New York), (1984) 750.
- 7 Sheldrick G M, SHELXTL-Plus 88, Structure determination software programs; (Nicolet Instrument Corp.; 5225-2 Verona Road, Madison, W153711) 1988.
- 8 Karmakar S, Choudhury S B & Chakravorty A, Inorg Chem, 33 (1994) 6148.
- 9 Figgis B N, Trans Faraday Soc, 57 (1961) 198.
- 10 Figgis B N, Trans Faraday Soc, 57 (1961) 204.
- 11 Shannon R D, Acta Crystallogr, A32 (1976) 751.
- 12 Shannon R D & Prewitt C T, Acta Crystallogr, B25 (1969) 925.
- 13 Lin W, Welsh W J & Harris W R, Inorg Chem, 33 (1994) 884.
- 14 Basu P, Choudhury S B, Pal S & Chakravorty A, Inorg Chem, 28 (1989) 2680.
- 15 Curtis N F, Xin L & Weatherburn D C, Inorg Chem, 32 (1993) 5838.

