i I

Thermodynamics of molecular interactions in some water + nonelectrolyte Thbrmodynamics of molecular int~ractions in some water -T **nnxtures** +nonelectrolyte

V K Sharma*, O P Yadav[†] & Jaibir Singh

Department of Chemistry, Maharshi Dayanand University, Rohtak 124001, India

Received 21 December 1994; revised 6 March 1995; accepted 5 April 199 5

Molar excess volumes, V^E , and molar excess enthalpies, H^E , for the various water(i)+pyridine $dr + \alpha$ - or $+\beta$ - or $+\gamma$ -picoline(j) mixtures have been determined as a function of composition at 98.15 and 308.15 K. The observed data have been analysed by graph theoretical approach which suggest that while water exists as a mixture of dimer and trimer pyridine or α - or β - or γ -picolines exists as a dimer or an equilibrium mixture of monomer and dimer in pure state. Further these mixtures are characterised predominantly by the presence of 1:1 molecular complex.

Recent studies¹ have shown that water exists as an associated molecular entity in pure state in water $\#$ formamide and $+N$,N-dimethylformamide mixtures. Since pyridine is both N and π -electron donor, the addition of pyridine or its homologues (α, β) - and y-picolines) to water may cause (i) rupture of self association in pyridine or its homologues and (ii) structure breaking of water bonding. Thus the addition of pyridine or its homologues to water brings about changes in their respective topology. Since V^E and H^E reflects the packing and interactional effects, it would be of interest to extract information about the nature of association in water, pyridine or its homologues from V^{E} and H^{E} data and the nature of interactions existing between water and nonelectrolyte components.

Materials and Methods

 \cdot

Pyridine and α -, β - and γ -picolines (Fluka, A.R. grade) were purified by standard methods^{2,3}. Deionised doubly distilled water was used throughout. The purities of the compounds were checked by measuring their densities at 293.15 ± 0.01 K and these agreed to within $\pm 5 \times 10^{-5}$ g cm⁻³ with the corresponding literature values⁴⁻⁶.

Molar excess volumes were determined in a V-shaped dilatometer in the manner described elsewhere⁷. The change in the liquid level of the dilatometer capillary was measured with a cathetometer that could read to ± 0.001 cm. The uncertainty in our measured V^E values is ± 0.5 %.

CALL THAT EXHIBIT

CONTRACTOR

Molar excess enthalpies for the various mixtures were measured in a flow microcalorimeter (LKB Broma, Sweden) in the manner described elsewhere⁸. The uncertainty in the measured H^E values is \sim 1%.

Results

Molar excess volumes, V^E , and molar excess enthalpies for the various $(i + j)$ mixtures as a function of composition at 298.15 and 308.15 K, recorded in Tables 1 and 2, can be expressed as

$$
X^{E}(X=V \text{ or } H) = x_{i}(1-x_{i})[X^{(0)}+X^{(1)}(2x_{i}-1)+X^{(2)}(2x_{i}-1)^{2}] \dots (1)
$$

Where x_i is the mole fraction of component i. $Xⁿ(n=0-2)$ are adjustable parameters. These parameters were obtained by fitting X^E ($X=V$ or $H|X_i$ (1 - *x_i*) data to Eq. 1 by the method of least squares. These parameters are recorded together with the standard deviations $\sigma(X^E)$ of $X(X=V)$ or H) defined by

$$
\sigma(X^{\rm E}) = [\Sigma (X^{\rm E}_{\rm Exp1} - X^{\rm E}_{\rm Calc.~Eq.~1})^2/(m-p)]^{0.5} \quad \dots (2)
$$

(where X_{Expt}^E is the experimentally measured values and $\hat{X}_{\text{Calc, Eq. 1}}^{\text{E}}$ is the values calculated from Eq. 1, m is the number of data points and p is the number of adjustable parameters in Eq. 1) in Tables 1 and 2.

Discussion

We are unaware of any V^E and H^E data for the water (i) + pyridine, $+\alpha$ -, $+\beta$ - and $+\gamma$ -picoline (j) mixtures with which to compare our results. V^E and H^E values for the $(i+j)$ mixtures are ne-

^tChaudhary Charan Singh Haryana Agricultural University, $\begin{array}{c|c}\n\hline\n\text{Hissar.}\n\end{array}$

 λ

 $H^{(0)} = -5304.35; H^{(1)} = -803.94; H^{(2)} = 905.65; \sigma(H^E) = 5.94$

 $H^{(n)}(n= 0-2)$ and (H^E) are in J mol⁻¹

gative over the entire range of composition at 298.15 and 308.15 K. While V^E data for equimolar composition at 308.15 K vary in the order py-
ridine > β -picoline > γ -picoline β -picoline ridine > β -picoline > γ -picoline > α -picoline, data for equimolar mixture vary in the order ypicoline $>$ 8-picoline $>$ pyridine $>$ α -picoline.

At the simplest qualitative level, the present *HE* data for the various $(i+j)$ mixtures may be explained on the basis of the following assumptions:

(i) water, pyridine, α -, β - and γ -picolines are associated entities in pure state; (ii) pyridine, α -, β - and γ -picoline undergo specific interactions with water; (iii) specific interaction between water and pyridine, α -, β - and γ -picoline weakens the i-i or j-j interactions resulting in their depolymerization; and (iv) the respective monomers then undergo specific interaction to form i-j molecular entity.

 H^E data for water(i) + pyridine(i) mixtures suggest that contribution to observed H^E data due to unlike interactions [due to factor (ii) and (iv)] outweigh those due to like interactions [due to factor (iii)]. Since the base strengths of pyridine and α -, β - and γ -picolines increases⁹ in the order, pyri- $\text{dine} < \gamma \text{-picoline} < \beta \text{-picoline} < \alpha \text{-picoline}$, it would then mean that interactions between π electron cloud on N-atom in picolines and hydrogen atom of water must be stronger in water $+\alpha$ -picoline mixture than water + β -picoline or water $+\gamma$ -picoline mixtures and should vary in the order y-picoline $> \beta$ -picoline $> \alpha$ -picoline.

The experimental H^E values for these mixtures support this view point. However more negative H^E values for water(i) + pyridine(i) mixtures than water(i) + β - and + γ -picoline(j) mixtures suggest that contribution to observed H^E due to factors (ii) and (iv) is more predominant in water(i) + pyridine(j) mixtures. The *VE* values suggest that pyridine gives least packed arrangement while picolines give relatively more packed structure.

If the addition of water(i) to pyridine, α -, β and γ -picoline(j) causes changes in the topology of i and or j, it must be reflected in the equilibrium thermodynamic properties of the $(i+j)$ mixture. Since V^E is a packing effect, it would be worthwhile to analyse V^E data in terms of graphtheoretical approach^{10,11} that employs connectivity parameter of the third degree. With assumption of no particular molecular state for i and j, *VE* according to this approach is given by Eq. 3,

$$
V^{E} = \alpha_{ij} \left[\sum \{ x_i(^{3} \xi_i)_{m} \}^{-1} - \sum x_i^{3} \xi_i \right] \qquad \qquad \dots (3)
$$

where ${}^{3}\xi_{i}$ and $({}^{3}\xi_{i})_{m}$ in Eq. 3 denote respectively the connectivity parameter to the third degree of component i in the pure state and in the mixture and is defined¹² by

and is defined¹² by
\n
$$
{}^{3}\xi = \sum_{m \le n \le o \le p} [\delta_{m}^{v} \delta_{n}^{v} \delta_{o}^{v} \delta_{p}^{v}]^{-1/2} \qquad \qquad \dots (4)
$$

where δ_{m}^{v} etc. have the same significance as described elsewhere¹². Again as the degree of association of i and j is not known with certainty, $(3\xi_i)_{\rm m}$ and $3\xi_i$ (i = i or j) are regarded as adjustable parameters and evaluated by fitting V^E data to Eq. 3. Only those $\left({}^{3}\xi_{i}\right)_{m}$ and ${}^{3}\xi_{i}$ (i=i or j) values were retained that best reproduced the experimental data i.e. for which the variance to fit, ρ , defined by $\rho = \sum [V_{\text{expt}} - V_{\text{calc.}}]^2/(Q - P)^{0.5}$, (where $(Q - P)$ is the number of degrees of freedom) was minimum. Such $(3\xi_i)_{\text{m}}$ and $(3\xi_i)_{\text{m}}$ etc. values alongwith V^E values (calculated using Eq. 3) at various *Xi* are recorded in Table 3.

A number of structures were then assumed for water, pyridine and α -, β - and γ -picolines, and their ${}^{3}\xi'$ values were determined from structural considerations. These $3\xi'$ values were then compared with the corresponding 3ξ values obtained for them via Eq. 3 from V^E data. Any structure or combination of structures that yielded a $3\xi'$ value that compared well with 3ξ values (calculated via $\text{Eq. } 4$) was taken to be a good representation of the structure of that component.

For the present $(i+j)$ mixtures, it was assumed that water(i) exists as molecular entities **I-VI.** The $3\xi'$ values for these molecular entities were then calculated to be 0.426, 1.379, 1.462, 1.229, 1.328 and 1.072 respectively. Since water was found to have ³ ξ value of 1.05 in water(i) + β -picoline mixture, the present analysis suggests that water in pure state exists as an equilibrium mixture of **I, IV** and V (average ${}^{3}\xi' = 1.088$) or an equilibrium mixture of **I, II** and **IV** (average ${}^{3}\xi' = 1.229$). This observation is consistent with the more involved studies $1,13,14$ on the state of aggregation of liquid water. Further, $3\xi'$ values of 0.601, 0.602 and 0.603 for water in water(i) + pyridine, $+\alpha$ - and $+\gamma$ -picoline(j) mixtures suggest the presence of a mixture of molecular entities I and **VI** (average $3\xi' = 0.749$). *Ab initio* molecular orbital calcula- μ ₁₅ on the structural energetic and electronic properties of β - and γ -R-pyridines (R = CH₃, NH_2 , OH, F etc.) have suggested that π -electron cloud density at C_2 , C_4 and C_6 positions in pyridine is less than that in benzene. This suggests that either one, two or all three electron-deficient carbon atoms in pyridine or its homologues (α, α) β - and γ -picolines) are involved in weak interactions with the π -electron cloud of other molecule. Consequently, it was assumed that for the present

mixtures pyridine and α -, β - and γ -picolines exist as VII-IX, X-XII, XIII-XIV, XV-XVI molecular entities. It was assumed that in molecular entities IX and XII electron deficient centres C_2 and C_4 are involved in weak interactions with the π electron doud of pyridine ring. The δ^v value for the various vertices are shown in molecular entities. It was, however, assumed that in molecular entities VIII, IX, XI, XII, XIV, XV, $\delta^{\nu}(\pi=1)^{10}$ (where π -electron cloud of one molecule is involved in specific interaction with another molecule).

The 3ξ ¹ values for the entities VII to XVI were then calculated to be 0.516, 0.706, 1.033, 0.814, 1.05, 1.184, 0.788, 1.371, 0.847 and 0.933 respectively ³ ξ values of 1.30, 1.20, 0.80 and 0.85 for pyridine, α -, β - and γ -picolines (Table 3) in the present $(i + j)$ mixtures suggest that pyridine and α -picoline exist as dimers (mixture of molecular entities VIII-IX and XI-XII respectively). On the other hand β - and γ -picolines exist as equilibrium mixtures of monomer and dimer.

Further, in the present $(i+j)$ mixtures, if it was assumed that nitrogen atom attached to pyridine or its homologues ring is involved in hydrogen bonding with the hydrogen atom of water molecule, then the molecular entity that should determine $\binom{3\xi}{k}$ value of j in these mixtures should be **XVII, XVIII** or **XIX** with $R = H$ or CH_3 . Postulating molecular entity XVII in these mixtures would then yield $(3\xi_i)_m$ values of 1.930, 2.438, 2.144 and 2.132 for pyridine and α -, β - and γ -picolines re-

spectively. On the other hand $({}^{3}\xi_{i})_{m}$ values for pyridine and α -, β - and γ -picolines would be 1.491, 1.730, 1.476 and 1.450 for molecular entity XVIII and 1.089, 1.459, 1.181 and 1.134 for molecular entity XIX. It is thus evident that only (3ξ) _m values evaluated for pyridine and α , β - and γ -picolines (j) are very close to the corresponding $({}^{3}\xi_{i})_{m}$ values of 1.30, 1.20, 0.80 and 0.85 (Table 3) for molecular entity XIX. The present analysis thus suggests that pyridine and α , β - and γ picolines are associated entities and that all these mixtures are characterised predominantly by the presence of molecular entity **XIX** which lends additional support to the qualitative discussion of H^E data for these mixtures.

In order to understand the energetics of various interactions that characterize these mixtures, it was assumed that mixture formation involves (a) the establishment of unlike contact between i and \mathbf{i} (b) the establishment of unlike contact formation between i and j then influences i-i and i-i interactions that ultimately yields respective monomers and (c) the respective monomers then undergo

specific interactions to give i-j molecular entity. Consequently, if x_{ii} is the molar enthalpy interaction parameter of. i-j contact formation, then change in enthalpy due to process (a) would be given^{16,17} by

$$
\Delta H_1 = x_i x_{ij} S_j \qquad \qquad \ldots (5)
$$

where S_i is the surface fraction of j involved in i-j contact and is defined $18,19$ by

$$
S_{\mathbf{j}} = x_{\mathbf{j}} V_{\mathbf{j}} / \sum x_{\mathbf{i}} V_{\mathbf{i}} \qquad \qquad \ldots (6)
$$

so that

$$
\Delta H_1 = x_i x_j x_{ij} V_j / \Sigma x_i V_j \qquad \qquad \ldots (7)
$$

Further if x_{ii} and x_{jj} are the molar interaction energies for i-i and j-j contact, then enthalpy change due to process(b) would be given²⁰ by

$$
\Delta H_2 = k \mathbf{x}_{ii} \mathbf{x}_i^2 \mathbf{x}_j V_j / \Sigma \mathbf{x}_i V_i
$$
 (8)

$$
\Delta H_3 = k' \mathbf{x}_{ij} \; x_i^2 x_j \; V_j / \Sigma \; x_i \; V_i \quad . \tag{9}
$$

where k and k' are constants.

The enthalpy changes due to process (c) would, however, be given²⁰ by

$$
\Delta H_4 = k'' x_1^2 x_1 x_{12} V_1 / \Sigma x_1 V_1 \qquad \qquad \ldots (10)
$$

where x_{12} is the interaction energy per mole for process(c) resulting in the formation of i-j molecular entities and k'' is a constant of proportionality. The overall enthalpy change due to processes(a)- (c) would then be given by

$$
H^{E} = \sum_{i=1}^{4} \Delta H_{1}
$$

=
$$
[x_{i} x_{j} V_{j}/\Sigma x_{i} V_{i}][x_{ij} + k x_{ii} x_{i} + k' x_{ij} x_{i} + k' x_{ij} x_{i} + k'' x_{12} x_{j}]
$$
...(11)

Table 3-Comparison of V^E and H^E values (calculated from appropriate equations (see text) with the corresponding experimental values at 298.15 and 308.15 K for the various $(i + j)$ mixtures as functions of x_i , mole fraction of i; also included are the various interaction energies X_i , X' parameters

4

Table 3+Comparison of V^E and H^E values (calculated from appropriate equations (see text) with the corresponding experimental values at 298.15 and 308.15 K for the various $(i + j)$ mixtures as functions of x_i , mole fraction of i; also included are the various interaction energies X_i , X' parameters – Contd

Since V_i/V_i has been equal to ${}^3\xi_i/{}^3\xi_i = (Y)$, then Eq. 11 reduces to

$$
H^{E} = [x_i x_j Y/(x_i + x_j Y)][\mathbf{x}_{ij} + k x_i \mathbf{x}_{ii} + k' x_i \mathbf{x}_{jj} + k' x_j \mathbf{x}_{ij}]
$$

+
$$
k''[x_j x_{12}]
$$
 (12)

For the present $(i + j)$ mixtures, it would, however, be more reasonable to assume that

 $x_{ij} \approx k x_{12} \approx X_i$ and $k' \mathbf{x}_{ii} \approx k'' \mathbf{x}_{jj} = X'$ so that Eq. 12 reduces to $H^{\text{E}} = [x_i x_i \sqrt{1/(x_i + x_i)}] [(1 + x_i) X_i + 2x_i X'). \dots (13)]$

Equation 13 contains two unknown parameters $\langle X_i \rangle$ and $\langle X' \rangle$ and for the present analysis, we employed H^E data at arbitrary compositions $(x_i = 0.4$ and 0.5) to evaluate them using Eq. 13. These parameters were subsequently employed to evaluate H^E data at other value of x_i . Such H^E data alongwith X_A and X' are recorded in Table 3 and are also compared with their experimental values. Examination of Table 3 shows that H^E data compare well with the corresponding experimental values. This lends support to the assumption made in deriving Eq. 13 and also to the validity of assumption $V_i/V_i = \frac{3 \xi_i}{3 \xi_i}$.

 \blacktriangleright

Acknowledgement

VKS is grateful to Late Prof. Prem Paul Singh, Department of Chemistry, Maharshi Dayanand University, Rohtak for his valuable suggestions. One of the authors (J S) thanks the UGC, New Delhi for the award of JRF.

THE RESIDENT RINGER IN A 49 YO F.

References

- 1 Singh P P, Sharma P K & Maken S, *Indian* J *Chern,* 31A (1992)619.
- 2 Vogel A I, *A text book of practical organic chemistry* (English Language Book Society, London) 1983.
- 3 Riddick J A & Bunger W B in organic solvents: *Physical properties and methods of purification* (Wiley Interscience, New York, 3rd edn) 1970.
- 4 Findlay T J V, Keniry J S, Kidman A D & Pickles V A, *Trans Faraday Soc,* 63 (1967) 846.
- 5 MullerR& Brenneis H, Z *Electrochern,* 38 (1932) 451.
- 6 Weast R C, *CRC Hand book of chemistry and physics,* 58thedn 1978.
- 7 Singh P P & Sharma S P, J *chem Engg Data,* 30 (1985) 477.
- 8 Dahiya H P, Singh P P & Dagar S, *Fluid phase equilibria,* 33 (1987) 191.
- 9 Brown H C & Barbaras G K, *JAm chem Soc,* 69 (1947) 1137.
- 10 SinghP P, *J chemsoc Faraday Trans 1,84(1988) 1807.*
- 11 Singh P P, Sharma V K & Sharma S P, *Thermochim Acta,* 106 (1986) 293.
- 12 Singh P P, *ThermochimActa,* 66 (1983) 37.
- 13 Goel A, Murty A S N & Rao C N R, *Indian* J *Chern, 9* (1971) 56.
- 14 Hoyland JR & Kier L B, *Theor chim Acta,* 15 (1969) 1.
- 15 Delbene JE,J*Am chem Soc,* 107 (1979) 6184.
- 16 Huggins M L, *J phys Chern,* 34 (1970) 371.
- 17 Huggins M L, *Polymer,* 12 (1971) 389.
- 18 Singh P P & Bhatia M, J *chern sac Faraday Trans I, 85* (1989) 3807.
- 19 *RouvaryDH,RICRev,4(1971)* 1737.
- 20 Singh P P, *J chem soc Faraday Trans I,* 85 (1989) 817.