# Vaginal douching among married Turkish women and relation to quality of life

<sup>1</sup>Sahin Sevil\*, <sup>1</sup>Kaplan Sena, <sup>2</sup>Unsal Alaattin, <sup>1</sup>Abay Halime, <sup>1</sup>Pinar Gul & <sup>3</sup>Yuzbasioglu Nazli

<sup>1</sup>Yıldırım Beyazıt University, Faculty of Health Sciences, Ankara, Turkey;

<sup>2</sup>Eskisehir Osmangazi University, Medical Faculty, Public Health Department, Eskisehir, Turkey;

<sup>3</sup>Ankara Dr Nafiz Körez Sincan State Hospital, Ankara, Turkey

E-mails: sevilsahin1@gmail.com; ataykaplan@yahoo.com; alaattin@ogu.edu.tr; abay\_colak@hotmail.com; gpinar\_1@hotmail.com; nazli06@gmail.com

Received 19 August 2015, revised 18 September 2015

This study is intended to review vaginal douching practices and associated factors and assessment of quality of life among married Turkish women. This is a cross-sectional study conducted on women admitted to Ankara Dr Nafiz Korez Sincan State Hospital in Turkey between March 01 and June 30, 2014. The study group consisted of 663 women. A Personal Information Form was used to collect data on some socio-demographic characteristics of the women, vaginal douching practices and some variables believed to be associated and the Short Form-36 (SF-36) to determine their quality of life. Mean age of the women in the study group was 33.46±10.38 yrs. In this study, prevalence of vaginal douching was determined to be 41.2%. Vaginal douching was mostly performed for cleaning and feeling comfortable (27.6%). The frequency of vaginal douching was higher in premenopausal women, women with a history of dyspareunia, with chronic pelvic pain, with urinary incontinence, with a history of sexual intercourse at least once or more in a week, with a history of genitourinary infection and those using traditional birth control method (p<0.05, for each one). No difference was observed between women who douche and do not douche in terms of scores obtained from all domains of SF-36 (p>0.05 for each domain).

**Keywords:** Genitourinary infection, Married women, Quality of life, Sf-36, Vaginal douching **IPC Int. Cl.**<sup>8</sup>: A01D 12/00, A01D 13/00, A01D 12/32, A01D 12/40, A61H 33/00-A61H35/00

Douching is commonly performed both in our country and all around the world. Vaginal douching is the process of washing out the vagina with a liquid solution for rinsing the vagina after menstruation or sexual intercourse, preventing pregnancy, treating infection or for personal hygiene<sup>1</sup>. Prevalence rates of douching vary considerably from country to country and region to region. Based on the data from the National Survey of Family Growth (2005), the overall prevalence of vaginal douching in the United States (US) declined from 36.7% in 1988 to 26.9% in 1995 but increased to 32.2% in 2002<sup>2</sup>. Furthermore, vaginal douching practice is more common among women in African and Asian countries compared to other countries. The frequency of douching was reported to be 46-97% in some African countries<sup>1,3</sup>. This rate is 89.6% in Indonesia, one of South East Asian countries, 76.7% in Cambodia and 21.2% Shanghai, China<sup>4</sup>. Some studies conducted in Turkey reported that the frequency of vaginal douching is 30.4-81%<sup>5</sup>. Social, cultural and educational factors

such as nationality, cultural norms, geographical area, race and ethnicity affect the frequency of douching<sup>6</sup>. Several studies revealed reasons and contributing factors for vaginal douching in Turkey. Reasons that Turkish women douche include personal hygiene, religious beliefs, contraception, infection prevention and removing menstrual blood<sup>7,8</sup>. Douching reduces the acidic environment in the vagina and therefore has an adverse effect on reproductive health<sup>9</sup>. Genital infections may also spread by ascending route from cervix to uterus and then to the tubes and abdominal cavity through pathogen transport with the agent used for douching<sup>8</sup>. The studies conducted on vaginal douching suggested that douching is associated with pelvic inflammatory disease and increases sexually transmitted infections, tendency to viral and bacterial vaginitis in case of immune deficiency as well as the risk of vulvovaginal candidiasis and ectopic pregnancy<sup>10</sup>. These infections affect the sex life, family life, daily activities and psychology of women adversely, and bad odor and genital itching resulting from the genital infections cause social isolation of

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author

women, have a negative impact on their social lives and decrease their quality of life<sup>11</sup>. Vaginal douching is an important public health issue as it adversely affects the woman health<sup>4,12</sup>. However, in the Turkish society, douching is neglected by a majority of public and healthcare professionals. Nurses should therefore address douching practices and relevant beliefs of women presenting to the hospital. Nurses should encourage women of all age groups for stopping or abstaining from douching, one of the high risk hygiene practices, by using culturally sensitive training strategies. Screening programs covering entire population should be implemented so as to reduce the frequency and prevent douching from spreading<sup>1,4</sup>.

This study was intended to determine the frequency of vaginal douching, to review the factors associated with vaginal douching and to assess quality of life among married women.

#### Material and methods

#### Setting and sampling

This is a cross-sectional study conducted on married women admitted to Ankara Dr Nafiz Korez Sincan State Hospital in Turkey between March 01, 2014 and June 30, 2014. Total number of patients who presented to the gynecology polyclinic of the hospital during the study was 1050, 663 of which (63.2%) constituted the study group.

# **Procedures**

The women included in the study were discussed in the waiting room of the hospital's gynecology polyclinic and they were first informed about the subject matter and objective of the study. Participation in the study was voluntary and after the informed consents of the women who agreed to take part in the study were obtained, previously prepared questionnaires were completed by the investigators with face-to-face interview technique. This procedure lasted for about 15 - 20 min.

#### Development of the questionnaires

Personal Information Form prepared in line with the literature<sup>2-12</sup> and the Short Form-36 (SF-36) were used to collect data in the study. The Personal Information Form included questions about some socio-demographic characteristics of women, their practice of vaginal douching, and some variables believed to be associated with douching and their information about douching. Additionally, the SF-36

was used to assess quality of life and it was developed in 1992<sup>13</sup>. Its reliability and validity study in Turkey was conducted in 1999<sup>14</sup>. The questionnaire consists of 36 items and assesses quality of life in 8 domains (physical functioning, physical role functioning, social role functioning, emotional role functioning, mental health, vitality, bodily pain, and general health perceptions). Domain scores of the questionnaire range between 0 and 100, higher scores represent a better quality of life<sup>13</sup>. In this study, the women who replied "Yes" to the question "Do you perform vaginal douching?" were considered to "douche". The women who are actively engaged with a revenuegenerating business were defined as "employed". Family income was assessed by the women as poor, average and high based on their own perceptions. Having menstruation in equal intervals was defined as "regular menstruation". If a woman experienced menstrual bleeding in equal intervals between 21 and 35 days, it was evaluated as "regular menstruation (normal)"; if the menstruation interval was less than 21 days, it was considered to be "short"; if the menstruation interval was more than 35 days, it was considered to be "long". Menstruation of less than 2 days was accepted as "short", between 2 and 6 days as "normal", and more than 6 days as "long" 15. If a woman had pain in the abdominal, groin, and lumbar region on the day before the menstrual period and/or the first day of menstrual period, it was considered to be "dysmenorrhea" <sup>16</sup>. Additionally, the women who had pain during or after the sexual intercourse within last one year were regarded to have "dyspareunia". The women who had constant or intermittent pain in the lower part of abdomen and pelvis which is not associated with menstruation, pregnancy or sexual intercourse in the last 6 months were defined to have "chronic pelvic pain" in this study<sup>17</sup>. Additionally, the women with a physician-diagnosed genitourinary infection within last 1 yr were regarded to have "a history of genitourinary infection" in this study. The women who had urinary tract infection 4 or more times within last 1 yr were defined to have a "history of re-current urinary infection".

# Ethical approval

Permission for the study was obtained by submitting a petition prior to collect data. Accordingly, the ethical committee permission dated 20/01/2014 with number 74897384 was obtained from the Turkish Institute of Public Hospitals. Legal

permission was also obtained from the Hospital Management. Participants completed an informed consent form in which they were assured of the confidentiality of their responses following which they provided informed consent that participation was voluntary and anonymous. All women gave their informed consent prior to their inclusion in the study. Rules specified in the Helsinki Declaration were observed in the data collection phase.

### Data analysis

IBM SPSS Statistics 17.0 (SPSS/IBM Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) was used for all analyses. The statistical analysis was carried out using Chi-square and Mann-Whitney U test. Statistical significance was set at p<0.05. Conform to the normal distribution of scores of SF-36 quality of life scale were evaluated by means of the Shapiro-Wilk normality test. Because of the p-value is less than 0.05, Mann-Whitney U Test were employed in the statistical analyses.

#### Results

The ages of women in the study group ranged from 18 to 64 with a mean age of 33.46±10.38 yrs. Of the women, 114 (18.0%) were aged 24 yrs and below, 276 (43.6%) were aged 25-34 yrs, 137 (21.6%) were aged 35-44 yrs, and 106 (16.7%) were aged 45 yrs and above. The number of women who douche was determined to be 261 (41.2%) in this study. The distribution of women who douche and do not douche

Table 1- Distribution of douching statuses of women by some socio-demographic and obstetric characteristics

	Vaginal douching status				
Socio-demographic and	No	Yes	Total	Test value	
obstetric characteristics	n (%)*	n (%)*	n (%)**	p	
Age group					
≤ 24	59 (51.8)	55 (48.2)	114 (18.1)		
25-34	155 (56.2)	121 (43.8)	276 (43.6)	0.032	
35-44	84 (61.3)	53 (38.7)	137 (21.6)	0.032	
≥ 45	74 (69.8)	32 (30.2)	106 (16.7)		
Educational level					
Primary school and lower	142 (65.1)	76 (34.9)	218 (34.4)		
Junior high-High school	177 (54.5)	148 (45.5)	325 (51.3)	0.046	
University	53 (58.9)	37 (41.1)	90 (14.2)		
Working status					
Unemployed	294 (58.7)	207 (41.3)	501 (79.1)	0.022	
Employed	78 (59.1)	54 (40.9)	132 (20.9)	0.932	
Family type					
Nuclear	289 (59.7)	195 (40.3)	484 (76.5)	0.205	
Extended	83 (55.7)	66 (44.3)	149 (23.5)	0.385	
Family income					
Good	78 (52.7)	70 (47.3)	148 (23.4)		
Moderate	270 (60.7)	175 (39.3)	445 (70.3)	0.230	
Poor	24 (60.0)	16 (40.0)	40 (6.3)		
Marriage period (year)					
$\leq 4$	87 (53.4)	76 (46.6)	163 (25.8)		
5-14	140 (56.9)	106 (43.1)	246 (38.9)	0.061	
≥ 15	145 (64.7)	79 (35.3)	224 (35.4)		
Menopause					
No	319 (56.9)	242 (43.1)	561 (88.6)	0.007	
Yes	53 (73.6)	19 (26.4)	72 (11.4)	0.007	
Number of pregnancy					
0	18 (46.2)	21 (53.8)	39 (6.2)		
1-2	184 (60.1)	122 (39.9)	306 (48.3)	0.142	
3-4	121 (56.3)	94 (43.7)	215 (34.0)	0.142	
5 and ↑	49 (67.1)	24 (32.9)	73 (11.5)		
Number of birth					
0	40 (51.3)	38 (48.7)	78 (12.3)		
1-2	218 (59.7)	147 (40.3)	365 (57.7)		
3-4	93 (56.4)	72 (43.6)	165 (26.1)	0.031	
5 and ↑	21 (84.0)	4 (16.0)	25 (3.9)		
Total	372 (58.8)	261 (41.2)	633 (100.0)		
Percentages were calculated *based on the line total and **based on column total.					

by some socio-demographic and obstetric characteristics is given in Table 1. The number of premenopausal women who reported irregular menstruation was 144 (25.7%) and the number of women with dysmenorrhea was 269 (48.0%). The number of patients with dyspareunia, chronic pelvic pain and urinary incontinence was 168 (26.5%), 100 (15.8%) and 186 (29.4%), respectively. The number of women with a history of physician-diagnosed genital infection within last 1 yr was 419 (66.2%) and the number of women with a history

of urinary tract infection was 199 (31.4%). The distribution of women who douche and do not douche in the study group by some gynecological characteristics is given in Table 2. In the study group, there were 216 women (27.6%) who douche for cleaning and feeling comfortable and 7 women (0.9%) who do not know why they douche. Of the women who douche, 119 (45.6%) reported that they learnt it by themselves and 63 (24.1%) reported that they learnt it from their mother and elders. Almost half of the women (n=128; 49.1%) douched at least

Table 2-Distribution of vaginal douching statuses of women by their genitourinary diseases and gynecological characteristics

	Vaginal douching status			
Some genitourinary diseases and	No	Yes	Total	Test value
gynecological characteristics	n (%)*	n (%)*	n (%)**	p
Menstrual regularity***				
Regular	239 (57.3)	178 (42.7)	417 (74.3)	0.710
Irregular	80 (55.6)	64 (44.4)	144 (25.7)	0.713
Dysmenorrhea ***				
No	179 (60.3)	116 (39.7)	292 (52.0)	
Yes	143 (53.2)	126 (46.8)	269 (48.0)	0.089
Dryamamannia	` ,	,	,	
Dyspareunia No	301 (64.7)	164 (35.3)	465 (73.5)	
Yes	71 (42.3)	97 (57.7)	168 (26.5)	0.001
	71 (12.3)	)	100 (20.5)	
Chronic pelvic pain	227 ((1.0)	200 (20 0)	<b>500</b> (0.4.0)	
No	325 (61.0)	208 (39.0)	533 (84.2)	0.009
Yes	47 (47.0)	53 (53.0)	100 (15.8)	
Urinary incontinence				
No	281 (62.9)	166 (37.1)	447 (70.6)	0.001
Yes	91 (48.9)	95 (51.1)	186 (29.4)	0.001
Frequency of sexual intercourse				
At least 2-3 times a week	105 (49.3)	108 (50.7)	213 (33.6)	
At least once a week	109 (53.2)	96 (46.8)	205 (32.4)	0.001
At least once in two weeks	69 (74.2)	24 (25.8)	93 (14.7)	0.001
Once in three weeks and longer	89 (73.0)	33 (27.0)	122 (19.3)	
Use of birth control method				
None	156 (67.5)	75 (32.5)	231 (36.5)	
Modern method	192 (56.8)	146 (43.2)	338 (53.4)	0.001
Traditional method	24 (37.5)	40 (62.5)	64 (10.1)	
History of physician-diagnosed genital	infection in last 1 year	r		
No	151 (70.6)	63 (29.4)	214 (33.8)	
Yes	221 (52.7)	198 (47.3)	419 (66.2)	0.001
History of physician-diagnosed urinary	tract infection in last	1 veer		
No	268 (61.8)	166 (38.2)	434 (68.6)	
Yes	104 (52.3)	95 (47.7)	199 (31.4)	0.024
History of recurring urinary infection	` ,	, ,	` '	
No	305 (59.8)	205 (40.2)	510 (80.6)	
Yes	67 (54.5)	56 (45.5)	123 (19.4)	0.281
Total	372 (58.8)	261 (41.2)	633 (100.0)	0.201
	(50.0)	( · · · · · · /	(100.0)	

Percentages were calculated \*based on the line total and \*\*based on column total. \*\*\*Except for menopausal women

1 time or less in a month. In the study group, 165 women (17.0%) reported that they douche after sexual intercourse, 46 women (4.8%) reported douching before sexual intercourse and 126 women

Table 3-Some characteristics of women who douche in the study group by their douching practices

Reasons for douching*	Number (%)
To feel comfortable and for cleaning	216(27.6)
To clean menstrual blood	69(8.8)
To prevent vaginal odor	93(11.9)
To eliminate vaginal itching and irritation	98(12.5)
To smell good for sexual partner	95 (12.2)
To prevent pregnancy	39(5.0)
Religious beliefs (e.g. to perform ablution)	142 (18.2)
Tradition (learnt from elders)	23(2.9)
No reason	7(0.9)
*Total	782(100.0)
Whom they learnt how to douche	
Mother/elders	63 (24.1)
By herself	119 (45.6)
Friend	35 (13.4)
Healthcare professional	27 (10.3)
Religious functionary/religion book	10 (3.8)
Spouse	7(2.7)
Total	261(100.0)
Frequency of douching	
Every day	33(12.6)
Once a week	100 (38.3)
Once a month and less	128 (49.1)
Total	26 (100.0)
T' (1 1' *	,
Time of douching*	165(17.0)
After sexual intercourse	165(17.0) 88(9.1)
After using the bathroom After taking a shower	90(9.3)
After menstruation	126(13.0)
After menstrual bleeding	85(8.8)
In the presence of vaginal odor	89(9.2)
In the presence of vaginal discharge	80 (8.3)
In the presence of vaginal itching	99(10.2)
Before sexual intercourse	46 (4.8)
After full ablution	100 (10.3)
*Total	968(100.0)
Substance used for douching	
Water	98 (37.5)
Soap and water	105 (40.2)
Other (water with vinegar, shower gel,	58 (22.3)
shampoo, etc.)	(====)
Total	261(100.0)
Duration of douching	
<1 minute	43 (16.5)
1-4 minutes	133 (51.0)
≥ 5 minutes	85 (32.6)
Total	261 (100.0)
	(0.0)

<sup>\*</sup>Because of multiple reports in terms of reason and time for douching, percentage was calculated based on reasons and time for douching, not on the number of persons.

(13.0%) stated that they douche after menstruation. 105 women (40.2%) reported vaginal douching by using water and soap. Half of the women who douche stated that their administration duration was 1 to 4 min. The distribution of reasons for douching, whom they learnt douching, frequency of douching, when they douche, substance used while douching and duration of douching is given in Table 3. No difference was observed between women who douche and do not douche in terms of median scores obtained from 8 domains of SF-36 (p>0.05 for each domain). The distribution of median scores obtained by women who douche and do not douche from the domains of SF-36 is given in Table 4.

## Discussion

In our study, frequency of douching was determined to be 41.2%. These results indicate that frequency of douching is high and similar in our country and other countries<sup>2,3,5,7,18,19,20,21</sup>. The studies conducted show that there is a strong relationship between frequency of douching and age<sup>11,18,22</sup>. The study of Funkhouser et al. (2002) determined that frequency of douching was 53.5% in those aged below 35 yrs and 79.2% in those aged above 55 yrs<sup>6</sup>. Similarly, an increase in douching along with the advancing age was reported in a Turkish study<sup>11</sup>. However, some studies reveal that vaginal douching is a more common practice in adolescent girls and young women<sup>18,22</sup>. In addition to the significant differences among the studies, frequency of douching was determined to be significantly lower in the women aged 45 yrs and above compared to other age groups in our study (p<0.05). Another factor affecting vaginal douching is the education level of women. Previous studies reported that the incidence of douching increased with lower education<sup>21</sup>. In the US, the frequency of douching was higher in high school graduates compared to university graduates<sup>23</sup>. Several studies conducted in Turkey indicated that there was a relationship between education level and frequency of douching<sup>5,7,11</sup>. Unlike the reported study results, the frequency of douching was determined to be lower in women whose education level is primary school and lower (p<0.05).

It is known that douching is more common in women with poor economic conditions. There are many researchers reporting similar results<sup>5,7,11,22</sup>. No relationship was determined between family income and the frequency of douching in our study (p>0.05).

Table 4-Distribution of median scores obtained from the domains of SF-36 by women who douche and do not douche in the study group

	SF-36		
Domains	Vaginal do	Test value z; p	
	No (n=372) Median (min-max)	Yes (n=261) Median (min-max)	
Physical functioning	80.0 (0.0-100.0)	80.0 (0.0-100.0)	1.540; 0.123
Role-physical	100.0 (0.0-100.0)	100.0 (0.0-100.0)	1.800; 0.072
Bodily pain	65.0 (0.0-100.0)	65.0 (13.7-100.0)	1.229; 0.219
General health perception	57.0 (0.0-97.0)	57.0 (0.0-100.0)	1.424; 0.154
Vitality	50.0 (0.0-95.0)	50.0 (0.0-100.0)	0.592; 0.554
Social functioning	62.5 (0.0-100.0)	62.5 (0.0-100.0)	0.079; 0.937
Role-emotional	100.0 (0.0-100.0)	100.0 (0.0-100.0)	1.424; 0.154
Mental health	54.5 (0.0-100.0)	59.1 (0.0-95.5)	1.066; 0.286

In Kukulu's study (2006) assessing the relationship between the frequency of sexual intercourse and douching, there was no significant relationship between the same<sup>7</sup>. However, in the study of Ege et al. (2007), the high frequency of vaginal douching was observed in women who had a high frequency of sexual intercourse<sup>5</sup>. Similarly, the frequency of douching was determined to increase along with the frequency of sexual intercourse (p<0.05). Kisa &Taskin (2010) stated that the rate of conception for 3 to 4 times was 32.7% in women with vaginal infection<sup>24</sup>. A statistically significant relationship was determined between vaginal douching and number of pregnancy in the study of Hacialioglu *et al.*  $(2009)^{25}$ . In our study, the frequency of douching was lower in those who got pregnant 4 times or more (p<0.05). Furthermore, Kukulu (2006) found no relationship between the contraception method used and the frequency of vaginal douching<sup>7</sup>. In the study of Karaer et al. (2005) upon comparison of women who douche and do not douche, the women who douche had a higher level of using a contraception method and the frequency of douching was higher in those who use intrauterine device as a contraception method<sup>11</sup>. Furthermore, Sen & Mete (2009) found that 28.5% of those who do not use a modern contraception method use vaginal douching as a contraception method<sup>21</sup>. Consistently, a higher frequency of douching was determined in women who use a traditional contraception method in our study (p<0.05).

Literature emphasized that douching increases infection susceptibility<sup>26</sup>. Zhang *et al.* (1997) determined in their meta-analysis that douching increases the risk of pelvic inflammatory disease by 73%<sup>12</sup>. While Shaaban *et al.* (2013) found that approximately three-fourths of women who douche (73.0%) had genital infection<sup>19</sup>, other studies determined that women who douche had genital

infection symptoms frequently<sup>4,5,11,12</sup>. Other studies found that development of bacterial vaginosis is more likely in women who douche and higher frequency-of douching increases the possibility of infection<sup>3,27</sup>. In our study, a history of physician-diagnosed genital infection was higher in women who douche compared to those who do not douche (p<0.05, for each).

Vaginal douching is a practice learnt from mother, sister or other female relatives and it is passed down from generation to generation through social learning<sup>21,28</sup>. Rupp et al. (2006) stated that 47.0% of women learnt how to douche from their mothers<sup>28</sup>. In the study of Shaaban et al. (2013) women stated that they learnt douching from their mothers, friends, and neighbors and sometimes from a nurse or physician<sup>19</sup>. Consistently, women in our study stated that they learnt douching by themselves (45.6%) and, to a lesser extent, from their mothers/elder relatives (24.1%). These results suggest that women in our region need to be trained in this regard and healthcare professionals should also be informed about the same through in-service training. Studies performed indicated that healthcare professionals have a great influence in this regard<sup>29</sup>.

As for the frequency of vaginal douching, Sen & Mete (2009) reported that 62.8% of the women douched 1-9 times a week<sup>21</sup>. Kukulu (2006) reported that 28.9% of the women douched once a week<sup>7</sup>. Koblin *et al.* (2002) reported that 46.1% of the women in America douched 2-4 times a month<sup>22</sup>. This is a higher rate than the rate reported in other studies, where the frequency of douching varied. In our study, almost half of the women (49.1%) were determined to douche at least once or less in a month.

Studies conducted suggest that women douche most frequently before menstruation, after menstruation, after sexual intercourse and when they have vaginal symptoms such as odor and discharge<sup>19</sup>.

Kisa & Taskin (2010) reported that the infection risk was twice as common among women who douche particularly after sexual intercourse<sup>24</sup>. Based on a review of studies conducted abroad, it was found that women most frequently douche after menstruation and sexual intercourse 18. In Turkey, most of the women were determined to douche after sexual intercourse<sup>7,20</sup>. In our study, 17.0% of women reported that they douche after sexual intercourse and 13.0% reported douching after menstruation. The results of this study as well as other studies suggest that women most frequently douche after sexual intercourse. Vaginal douching is affected from sociocultural and religious values on sex, personal hygiene, health and disease. Vaginal douching is common in cultures that define female body, menstruation and sexual intercourse as dirty and in these cultures women douche in order to feel clean<sup>3,20</sup>. Consistently, women in our study stated that cleaning and feeling comfortable are their primary reason for douching (27.6%). The solutions used for douching decrease the number of bacteria in vaginal flora and cause proliferation of pathogen microorganisms<sup>29</sup>. For douching, women prefer water alone or soapy water in Turkey<sup>20,21</sup>, commercial douching products in the US<sup>18,23,28</sup>, and home-made products (e.g. lemon, saline and vinegar) in Asian and African countries<sup>30</sup>. In our study, soapy water was used for douching the most (40.2%). It is noteworthy that water or soapy water is used in Muslim countries whereas special products are used in Western countries for douching. Upon review of the studies assessing duration of douching, Mishra et al. (2006) stated that more than one third of women douche less than 1 min and Zhang et al. (1997) found that women douche from 1 to 5 min<sup>12,30</sup> Consistently, half of the women who douche stated that they performed for 1 to 4 min in our study. Genital infections affect the sex life, family life, daily activities and psychology of women adversely, and bad odor and genital itching resulting from the genital infections cause social isolation of women, have a negative impact on their social lives and decrease their quality of life. In the literature, vaginal douching is considered the reason of many adverse conditions concerning woman health<sup>11</sup>. It is therefore an important issue that should be considered. However, in our study, no difference was found between women who douche and do not douche with regard to quality of life (p>0.05). Although many studies were conducted in this regard, there are still issues not studied enough and no similar studies were found upon review of the subject.

# **Conclusion and suggestions**

Almost half of the women in our study douche. Genital infections and urinary infections were more frequently observed in women who douche. There was no difference with regard to quality of life between women who douche and do not douche. It would be advantageous for healthcare professionals to support women in acquiring proper behavior about vaginal douching and for educating them about the fact that douching is unfavorable. More extensive studies are required to demonstrate the relationship between douching and quality of life.

# Acknowledgment

The authors would like to thank all the women and hospital staff who participated in this study.

#### **Authors' Note**

This study was presented as a poster at the 20<sup>th</sup> World Congress on Controversies in Obstetrics, Gynecology & Infertility (COGI), Paris, France on December 4-7, 2014.

#### Authors' contributions

Study concept and design: Sahin S, Abay H, Unsal A, Kaplan S, Pinar G

Acquisition of subjects and data: Sahin S, Abay H, Yuzbasioglu N

Analysis and interpretation of data: Unsal A, Sahin S Preparation of manuscript: Unsal A, Sahin S, Kaplan S, Abay H, Pinar G

All authors have read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

#### References

- Martino JL, Youngpairoj S & Vermund SH, Vaginal douching: Personal practices and public policies, J Womens Health, 13 (9) (2004) 1048-1065.
- Chandra A, Martinez GM, Mosher WD, Abma JC & Jones J, Fertility, family planning, and reproductive health of U.S. women: Data from the 2002 National Survey of Family Growth, Vital and Health Statistics, Series 23, Data From The National Survey of Family Growth, 25 (2005) 1–160.
- Annang L, Grimley DM & Hook EW, Vaginal douche practices among black women at risk: Exploring douching prevalence, reasons for douching and sexually transmitted disease infection, Sex Transm Dis, 33 (4) (2006) 215-219.
- Heng LS, Yatsuya H, Morita S & Sakamoto J, Vaginal douching in Cambodian women: Its prevalence and

- association with vaginal candidiasis, J Epidemiol, 20 (1) (2010) 70-76.
- 5 Ege E, Timur S, Zincir H, Egri M & Sunar Reeder B, Women's douching practices and related attitudes in eastern Turkey, J Obstet Gynaecol Res, 33 (3) (2007) 353-359.
- 6 Funkhouser E, Hayes TD & Vermund SH, Vaginal douching practices among women attending a university in the Southern United States, *J Am Coll Health*, 50 (4) (2002) 177-182.
- 7 Kukulu K, Vaginal douching practices and beliefs in Turkey, *Cult Health Sex*, 8 (4) (2006) 371-378.
- 8 Caliskan D, Subasi N & Sarisen O, Vaginal douching and associated factors among married women attending a family planning clinic or a gynecology clinic, Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol, 127 (2) (2006) 244-251.
- 9 Simpson T, Merchant J, Grimley DM & Oh MK, Vaginal douching among adolescent and young women: More challenges than progress, *J Pediatr Adolesc Gynecol*, 17 (4) (2004) 249-255.
- 10 Chiaffarino F, Parazzini F, De Besi P & Lavezzari M, Risk factors for bacterial vaginosis, Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol, 117 (2) (2004) 222-226.
- 11 Karaer A, Boylu M & Avsar AF, Vaginitis in Turkish women: Symptoms, epidemiologic–microbiologic association, Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol, 121 (2) (2005) 211-215.
- 12 Zhang J, Thomas AG & Leybovich E, Vaginal douching and adverse health effects: A meta-analysis, Am J Public Health, 87 (7) (1997) 1207-1211.
- 13 Ware JE & Sherbourne CD, The MOS 36-item short-form health survey (SF-36). I. Conceptual framework and item selection, *Med Care*, 30 (6) (1992) 473-483.
- 14 Kocyigit H, Aydemir O, Olmez N & Memis A, Reliability and validity of the Turkish version of Short-Form-36 (SF-36), *Drugs and Therapy*, 12 (1999) 102-106. (in Turkish)
- 15 Chan SS, Yiu KW, Yuen PM, Sahota DS & Chung TK, Menstrual problems and health-seeking behaviour in Hong Kong Chinese girls, Hong Kong Med J, 15 (1) (2009) 18-23.
- Patel V, Tanksale V, Sahasrabhojanee M, Gupte S & Nevrekar P, The burden and determinants of dysmenorrhoea: A population-based survey of 2262 women in Goa, India, *British J Obstet Gynaecol*, 113 (4) (2006) 453–463.
- 17 Kennedy SH & Moore SJ, The initial management of chronic pelvic pain. Green top guidelines. London: Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists, (2005) Retrieved from http://jsog.org/GuideLines/The\_initial\_management\_of\_chro nic\_pelvic\_pain.pdf
- 18 Oh MK, Funkhouser E, Simpson T, Brown P & Merchant J,

- Early onset of vaginal douching is associated with false beliefs and high-risk behavior, *Sex Transm Dis*, 30 (9) (2003) 689-693.
- 19 Shaaban MO, Youssef AE, Khodry MM & Shaaban SM, Vaginal douching by women with vulvovaginitis and relation to reproductive health hazards, *BMC Women's Health*, 13 (23) (2013) 1-6.
- 20 Hadimli A, Can HO, Sogukpinar N, Bozkurt DO, Akmese BZ, Kocak Y, Sarican SE & Saydam BK, Do women make vaginal lavage with the aim of genital hygiene?, e-Journal of New World Sciences Academy, 7 (3) (2012) 16-27. (in Turkish)
- 21 Sen E & Mete S, Vaginal douching practices of women in Turkey, *e-Journal of Dokuz Eylul University Nursing College*, 2 (1) (2009) 3-15. (in Turkish)
- 22 Koblin BA, Mayer K, Mwatha A, Brown-Peterside P, Holt R, Marmor M, Smith C & Chiasson MA, Douching practices among women at high risk of HIV infection in the United States: Implications for microbicide testing in use, Sex Transm Dis, 29 (7) (2002) 406-410.
- 23 Iannacchione MA, The vagina dialogues: Do you douche?, Am J Nurs, 104 (1) (2004) 40-42.
- 24 Kisa S & Taskin L, Behavioral risk factors that predispose women to vaginal infection in Turkey, *Pak J Med Sci*, 26 (4) (2010) 800-804.
- 25 Hacialioglu N, Nazik E & Kilic M, A descriptive study of douching practices in Turkish women, *Int J Nurs Pract*, 15 (2) (2009) 57-64.
- 26 Bradshaw CS, Morton AN, Garland SM, Morris MB, Moss LM & Fairley CK, Higher-risk behavioral practices associated with bacterial vaginosis compared with vaginal candidiasis, *Obstet Gynecol*, 106 (1) (2005) 105-114.
- 27 Nansel TR, Riggs MA, Yu KF, Andrews WW, Schwebke JR & Klebanoff MA, The association of psychosocial stress and bacterial vaginosis in a longitudinal cohort, Am J Obstet Gynecol, 194 (2) (2006) 381-386.
- 28 Rupp R, Short MB, Head-Carroll Y & Rosenthal SL, Intergenerational transfer of douching information, *J Pediatr Adolesc Gynecol*, 19 (2) (2006) 69-73.
- 29 Brotman RM, Ghanem KG, Klebanoff MA, Taha TE, Scharfstein DO & Zenilman JM, The effect of vaginal douching cessation on bacterial vaginosis: A pilot study, Am J Obstet Gynecol, 198 (6) (2008) 628-635.
- 30 Misra DP, Trabert B & Atherly-Trim S, Variation and predictors of vaginal douching behavior, Women's Health Issues, 16 (5) (2006) 275-282.