

# MICROBIOTEC 19

December 5<sup>th</sup>-7<sup>th</sup>, 2019  
University of Coimbra (Pólo II)

CONGRESS OF MICROBIOLOGY  
AND BIOTECHNOLOGY 2019

## BOOK OF ABSTRACTS

**SPM**  
Sociedade Portuguesa de Microbiologia

**spbt**  
sociedade  
portuguesa de  
biotecnologia

1 2  9 0  
UNIVERSIDADE D  
COIMBRA

## I10. Industrial and Food Microbiology and Biotechnology

### P403. Enumeration and isolation of acid acetic bacteria in kombucha during fermentation

Cosme Damião Barbosa, Wildon César Rodrigues Santos, Verônica Ortiz Alvarenga, Helena da Conceição Albano, Paula Cristina Maia Teixeira, Carlos Augusto Rosa, Inayara Cristina Alves Lacerda  
Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, Minas Gerais, Brasil; Escola Superior de Biotecnologia da Universidade Católica Portuguesa, Porto, Portugal

E-mail: [barbosacosme@yahoo.com.br](mailto:barbosacosme@yahoo.com.br)

Kombucha is a non-alcoholic fermented beverage. The fermentation is performed by a consortium of lactic acid bacteria, yeast, and acetic bacteria. The exact microbial composition is dependent on the source of the inoculum and the conditions of fermentation. However, the dominant bacteria in Kombucha tea culture are acetic acid bacteria (AAB). The main genera of AAB present in Kombucha are *Acetobacter* and *Gluconobacter*. These microorganisms are responsible cellulose floating matrix producing on the surface of fermented tea. Considering the limitations of commercial culture medium for acetic bacteria enumeration and identification, this work aimed to evaluate formulated culture media describe in literature. The samples of Kombucha (water, sucrose [0.8g.L<sup>-1</sup>], green tea [0.15g.L<sup>-1</sup>]) were collected in different times of fermentation (0, 3, 7, 10 and 15 days) for AAB enumeration. A total of 8 culture medium: YGM, YG, R.A.E, MYP, AE, Suomaleinem, Moraes and GYC was used for AAB enumeration and plates were incubated at 30°C for 96 h. The BAA counts ranged to 4.16 (0 days) from 5.96 log<sub>10</sub>. mL<sup>-1</sup>(15 days) in AE; in RAE the BAA count varied from 4.19 (0 days) from 5.4 log<sub>10</sub>. mL<sup>-1</sup>(15 days); the results observed in GYC was 4.12 for 0 days and 6.84 log<sub>10</sub>. mL<sup>-1</sup> for 15 days; in MYP, the counts ranged to 4.63 (0 days) from 7.20 log<sub>10</sub>. mL<sup>-1</sup>(15 days); in Moraes, the count's recovery was 4.16 in 0 days and 5.96 log<sub>10</sub>. mL<sup>-1</sup> in 15 days; in Suomaleinem the counts varied to 4.85 (0 days) from 6.78 log<sub>10</sub>. mL<sup>-1</sup>(15 days); in Carr, the counts were 4.21 in 0 days and 6.87 log<sub>10</sub>. mL<sup>-1</sup> in 15 days and DSM the AAB count was 3.21 (0 days) and 5.96 log<sub>10</sub>. mL<sup>-1</sup>(15 days). In general, a higher count of AAB, during the fermentation, was the recovery in Sumomaleinem, except at the end of fermentation, the higher count was found in MYP. The lower microbial recovery was observed in DSM (3.62 log<sub>10</sub>. mL<sup>-1</sup> [0 days] and 5.93 log<sub>10</sub>. mL<sup>-1</sup>[15 days]). Thus, the composition of culture media influenced by AAB recovery.