1   **Microbial diversity of type I sourdoughs prepared and back-sloped with wholemeal and refined soft (*Triticum***

2   ***aestivum*) wheat flours**

3

4   **Short version of the title: biodiversity of type I sourdoughs**

5

6   Manuela Taccari, Lucia Aquilanti*, Serena Polverigiani, Andrea Osimani, Cristiana Garofalo, Vesna Milanović, and

7   Francesca Clementi

8

9   Dipartimento di Scienze Agrarie Alimentari ed Ambientali (D3A), Università Politecnica delle Marche, via Brecce

10   Bianche, 60131 Ancona, Italy

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29   ** Corresponding author at:* Dipartimento di Scienze Agrarie, Alimentari ed Ambientali, Università Politecnica delle

30   Marche, via Brecce Bianche, 60131, Ancona, Italy. Tel.: +39 071 2204959; fax.: +39 071 2204988. E-mail address:

31   l.aquilanti@univpm.it (L. Aquilanti)

Abstract: Type I sourdough fermentations were carried out for 20 days with daily back-slopping under laboratory and artisan bakery conditions using one wholemeal and two refined soft wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) flours, preliminarily subjected to chemical and rheological testing. The bacterial and yeast diversity and dynamics were investigated though plate counting and a combined culture-dependent and -independent PCR-DGGE approach; pH, total titrable acidity and concentration of key organic acids (phytic, lactic and acetic) were investigated through conventional tests. The three flours differed for both chemical and technological traits. Regarding the establishment and stabilization of microbial consortia during the continuous sourdough propagation, a microbial succession was seen, with atypical sourdough species detected at day 0 (such as f.i. *Lactococcus lactis* and *Leuconostoc holzapfelii/citreum* group for bacteria, or *Candida silvae* and *Wickerhamomyces anomalus* for yeasts) progressively replaced by taxa more adapted to the sourdough ecosystem (*Lactobacillus brevis*, *Lb. alimentarius/paralimentarius*, *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*). In the mature sourdoughs, a quite different species composition was seen. As sourdoughs propagated with the same flour at laboratory and artisan bakery level were compared, an influence of both the substrate and the propagation environment on the sourdough microbial diversity was assumed.

Practical Applications: Foods manufactured with wholemeal flours boast numerous health benefits, mainly due to their high content in bran fibre; however, these flours are poorly exploited in bread-making due to their scarce leavening ability and their richness in anti-nutrients (phytates). The use of wholemeal sourdoughs might represent a valid alternative to bakers' yeast for the future development of technologically and nutritionally superior raw materials for all cereal foods, such as bread, breakfast and snack products manufacture.

Keywords: High rate extraction rate flour, high-fibre sourdough, high-fibre bread-making, sourdough microbiota, PCR-DGGE.

Introduction

Soft wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) is the most widely used cereal for the production of bakery products and, in particular, bread. The latter can be manufactured with both high and low extraction rate flours; a 100% extraction rate flour corresponds to wholemeal flour containing all the caryopsis components, whereas low extraction rate flours are refined, whiter flours, commonly classified as type 00 or 0, which are progressively deprived of increasing amounts of bran and germ, and thus B vitamins, fat, iron and other minerals.

Refined flours have a higher aptitude to leavening and are preferred to wholemeal flours for the manufacture of some baked leavened products, such as special occasion cakes (i.e. Panettone, Pandoro or Colomba) (Garofalo and others 2008; Garofalo and others 2011). On the contrary, wholemeal flours have a lower gluten content, which explains the production of doughs with a lower stability, resistance and extensibility (Azizi and others 2006), but are richer in phytates (Buddrick and others 2014), which sequester and, hence, prevent the intestinal absorption of some essential minerals, such as calcium, zinc, iron and magnesium (Kumara and others 2010). However, wholemeal flours are richer in bran fiber, which confers not only improved sensory and nutritional properties to bread but also health benefits, such as the reduction of blood cholesterol and glycemic index, and the promotion of weight loss by increased satiety (Stevenson and others 2012). Previous studies clearly demonstrated that the use of high extraction rate flours also leads to a reduction in the bread staling rate (Venkateswara and others 1985; Azizi and others 2006).

In ancient times unrefined flours were typically processed with sourdough (Poutanen and others 2009). Sourdough is a biological ecosystem, composed of lactic acid bacteria (LAB) and yeasts, which may be deliberately added to doughs made of flour and water or spontaneously selected during sourdough continuous propagation (De Vuyst and others 2014). The use of sourdough has undoubtedly a great potential for the manufacture of leavened bakery products with increased sensory properties, nutritional value, and prolonged shelf-life (Gobbetti and others 2014). Doughs started with sourdoughs are characterized by more balanced biochemical (f.i. fermentation quotient, profile of volatile compounds, etc.) and rheological (f.i. structural stability) traits than doughs started with the sole baker's yeast, where alcoholic fermentation end-products largely predominate (Cossignani and others 1996). In the case of doughs prepared with wholemeal flours, the use of sourdough appears particularly advantageous for the activation of endogenous phytases during prolonged fermentation (Buddrick and others 2014), which directly implies the improvement of bread mineral bioavailability (Lopez et al., 2003). Leavening and bread-making trials conducted with flours characterized by low technological aptitudes, such as barley (Zannini and others 2009; Mariotti and others 2014) or even gluten-free flours (Arendt and others 2007) demonstrated the usefulness of sourdough technology for the improvement of bread qualities.

In the past decades, this technology was successfully explored for the improvement of volume and shelf-life of high-fibre breads (Salmenkallio-Marttila and others 2001; Katina and others 2005) and a new type of sourdough, consisting

94 of wheat bran pre-fermented with selected yeasts and lactic acid bacteria, was supplemented to bread doughs, with

95 encouraging results.

96 The composition of the sourdough microbiota plays a main role for taste and quality of baked goods. For this reason,

97 scientific research focuses largely on the characterization of microbiota of sourdoughs fermented under different

98 conditions, on selection of suitable starter cultures for the baking industry, and on metabolic properties of sourdough

99 microorganisms. Despite intense research conducted in the last decades, it is still unclear to which extent the sourdough

100 microbiota is affected and selected by the kind of substrate, the process parameters (f.i. temperature and number of

101 refreshments, dough yield, etc.), and the establishment of diverse microbial interactions.

102 This study deals with the question how the composition of the sourdough microbiota is influenced by the substrate and

103 the sourdough propagation conditions. More specifically, the focus was laid on both the flour extraction rate and the

104 back-sloping environment. Based on the above premises, this study was aimed at investigating the biochemical (pH,

105 total titratable acidity, concentration of phytic acid (phytate), lactic acid and acetic acid, and fermentation quotient) and

106 microbiological traits of spontaneously fermented sourdoughs prepared and propagated daily at artisan and laboratory

107 scale with wholemeal soft wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) flour, with a view to their further exploitation in high-fibre bread-

108 making. Conventional sourdoughs produced with refined flour from soft wheat grains processed by roll milling or stone

109 grinding were also analyzed, in parallel. Preliminary chemical, farinograph, alveograph, and micro-visco-amylograph

110 tests were also conducted on the three flours whereas the evolving microbial diversity of the high-fibre and

111 conventional type I sourdoughs were investigated through a combined PCR-DGGE approach, relying on the analysis of

112 the bacterial and yeast DNA extracted directly from the (sour)dough samples and the bulks of colonies harvested from

113 selected dilution agar plates used for viable counting.

114

115 **Materials and Methods**

116

117 Composition and traits of the three flours

118 Three soft wheat (*T. aestivum*) flours designed for making bread were used in this study. Wheat was cultivated during

119 the growing season 2013-2014, at the farmers' cooperative "Il Biroccio" (Filottrano, Ancona, Italy). A wholemeal

120 (hereafter referred to as "F_A") and two type 0 (hereafter referred to as "F_B" and "F_C") flours were obtained through steel

121 roller milling (F_A and F_B) or stone grinding (F_C). The grains were thoroughly cleaned by winnowing, sieving and

122 sorting. Flours were kept in sealed containers at -20 °C before use.

123

124 Chemical analyses

4

For each flour, the following chemical analyses were performed according to international standard methods: moisture (UNI EN ISO 712:2010); ash (UNI-ISO 2171:2010); falling number (UNI EN ISO 3093:2007); and protein (UNI EN ISO 20483:2007). Commercial kits were used for the determination of phytic acid (phytate/total phosphorous) (K-PHYT 12/12, Megazyme, Wicklow, Ireland).

Rheological analyses

The three flours were subjected to farinograph (UNI 10790:1999), alveograph (UNI EN ISO 29971:2008), and micro-visco-amylograph tests (UNI 10872:2000), according to international standard methods, using the following equipment: Chopin alveograph (alveo-consistograph with alveolink NG, Chopin, Villeneuve-la-Garenne, France), Brabender farinograph (Farinograph-E, Brabender, Duisburg, Germany), Brabender micro-visco-amylograph (Micro visco-amylo-graph, Brabender, Duisburg, Germany).

Propagation of type I sourdoughs

Type I sourdough preparation was started as follows: 100 mL of tap water and 150 g of the flours F_A, F_B or F_C were mixed to produce 250 g of dough [dough yield (dough weight × 100/flour weight), 166], according to a traditional protocol (Zannini and others 2009) without the use of starter cultures or baker's yeast. The doughs were kneaded manually for 5–10 min until the correct consistency was obtained and fermented for 24 h in glass containers covered with a lid. The resulting sourdoughs were propagated daily over a period of 20 days according to the back-slopping procedure, where 100 g of the sourdough from the day before was used as the inoculum [40% (w/w)] of a new mixture of flour (100 g) and tap water (50 mL) (dough yield, 156). Sourdough propagation was performed at both artisan bakery and laboratory level, at room temperature; in the first case, it was performed at an artisan bakery in the Marche region (central Italy), where the sourdough technology had never been used before, and the manufacture of bread is based on the use of compressed bakers' yeast. Sourdoughs propagated at laboratory and artisan bakery level with the three flours F_A, F_B and F_C are hereafter referred to as "S_A-l", "S_B-l", and "S_C-l" and "S_A-b", "S_B-b", "S_C-b", respectively.

Sampling was performed on the first unfermented doughs (t_0) and the sourdoughs immediately before each daily refreshment step; for each type of sourdough, a total of 21 samples were collected, cooled to 4 °C and analyzed in duplicate within 2 h of collection. At each refreshment step all samples were subjected to the measurement of pH and total titratable acidity (TTA), whereas the sole samples collected after 0 (t_0), 5 (t_5), 10 (t_{10}), and 20 (t_{20}) days of daily back-slopping were subjected to both plate counting of presumptive LAB and yeasts and PCR-DGGE analyses.

Organic acids content of laboratory and artisan bakery sourdoughs was determined at the end of the 20-day propagation.

Viable counts of presumptive lactic acid bacteria (LAB) and yeasts

LAB and yeast cell counts were determined by mixing 10 g of each dough and sourdough sample with 90 mL of a sterile aqueous peptone solution (0.1% peptone, w/v), followed by homogenization with a Stomacher apparatus (400 Circulator, International PBI, Milan, Italy) at 260 rpm for 3 min. Presumptive lactobacilli were counted on modified de Man Rogosa Sharpe (mMRS) agar, containing 1% maltose (w/v) plus 5% fresh yeast extract (v/v) and Sourdough Bacteria (SDB) medium modified according to Vogel et al. (1994), (hereafter referred to as mSDB), the latter being elective for *Lactobacillus sanfranciscensis* (Foschino and others 2004). Both media were supplemented with cycloheximide (0.1 g L^{-1}) to inhibit the growth of yeasts. Plates were incubated in sealed jars with the Anaerogen system (Oxoid, Basingstoke, UK) at 30 °C for 48 h. Yeasts were counted on Wallestein Laboratory Nutrient (WLN) medium added with chloramphenicol (0.1 g L^{-1}) to inhibit the growth of bacteria and incubated at 25 °C for 72 h. The results of the viable counts were expressed as means of the Log of colony forming units (cfu) per gram of sample ± standard deviation. After counting, plates spiked with the highest (-6, -7 or -8) and lowest dilution (-2) were used for bulk formation, following the procedure previously described by Garofalo and others (2015).

Determination of pH, total titratable acidity (TTA), organic acids (phytic, lactic and acetic acid), and fermentation quotient (FQ)

The pH measurements on doughs and sourdoughs were carried out with a pH meter equipped with a solid electrode (HI2031, Hanna Instruments, Padua, Italy) which was inserted directly into the sample. Total titratable acidity (TTA) was measured on 10 g of dough or sourdough homogenized with 90 mL of distilled water using a Stomacher apparatus (International PBI). TTA was expressed as the amount (mL) of 0.1 N NaOH needed to achieve a final pH of 8.5. Lactic and acetic acids were determined in the water-soluble extracts of the 20-day back-slopped sourdoughs, according to the following procedure. Ten grams of each sourdough were homogenized with 90 mL of Tris-HCl 50 mM buffer (pH 8.8). After incubation at 25 °C for 30 min under stirring the suspension was centrifuged at 4.000 rpm for 10 min at 4 °C, and the supernatant was analyzed using the commercial kits K-ACETIC 02/11 and K-DLATE 12/12 (Megazyme). The fermentation quotient (FQ) was determined as the molar ratio between D,L-lactic and acetic acids. Phytic acid (phytate/total phosphorous) was determined using the commercial kit K-PHYT 12/12 (Megazyme).

Bacterial and fungal DNA extraction from (sour)doughs and cell bulks

Microbial DNA extraction from the dough and sourdough samples was performed using the PowerFood™ Microbial DNA Isolation Kit (Mo Bio Laboratories, Carlsbad, USA) following the kit manufacturer's instructions. DNA extraction from the LAB and yeast bulk cell suspensions was performed according to the procedures described by

187   Hynes and others (1992) and Makimura and others (1999), respectively. The procedure indicated by Hynes and others

188   (1992) was slightly modified as described by Osimani and others (2015). All the DNA suspensions were subjected to

189   optical readings at 260, 280 and 234 nm with a UV-Vis Shimadzu UV-1800 Spectrophotometer (Shimadzu

190   Corporation, Kyoto, Japan) for the assessment of the DNA quantity and purity.

191

192   Amplification reactions

193   Two microliters of each microbial DNA suspension (adjusted to 25 ng/μL) were amplified in 25 μL reaction volume

194   using bacterial universal primer sets (338f$_{GC}$- 518r), targeting the variable regions V3 of the 16S rRNA gene, as

195   previously described (Osimani and others 2015). Two microliters of each microbial DNA suspension (adjusted to 25

196   ng/μL) were amplified in 25 μL reaction volume using universal primers for the eumycetes (NL1$_{GC}$-LS2), as previously

197   described (Osimani and others 2015). All the PCR reactions were performed in the thermal cycler My Cycler (Bio-Rad

198   Laboratories, Segrate, Italy); aliquots (5 μL) of the PCR products were routinely checked on 2% agarose (w/v) gels,

199   prior to PCR-DGGE analysis.

200

201   Polyacrylamide gel preparation and DGGE runs

202   PCR products were analyzed using the DGGE Bio-Rad D-code™ apparatus (Bio-Rad Laboratories). DGGE runs were

203   performed in 8% polyacrylamide (acrylamide/bis-acrylamide mix 37.5:1, w/v) gels with a 30-60% urea-formamide

204   (w/v) gradient. Gels were subjected to a constant voltage of 130 V for 4 h at 60 °C. After electrophoresis, gels were

205   stained in 1X TAE buffer containing SYBR Green I (1× final concentration; Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR, USA) and

206   photographed under UV transillumination, using the Complete Photo XT101 system (Explera, Jesi, Italy).

207

208   Band sequencing and identification

209   For DGGE band sequencing, bands of interest were excised by gel cutting tips, resuspended in 50 μL of sterile water,

210   and incubated overnight at 4 °C to allow diffusion of DNA from the gel cuts. Five microliters of the eluted DNA were

211   re-amplified using the conditions described above, with the forward primer without the GC clamp and sent to Beckman

212   Coulter Genomics (Hope End, Takeley, United Kingdom) for purification and sequencing. The sequences obtained in

213   FASTA format were compared with those deposited in the GenBank DNA database (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/)

214   using the basic blast search tools. An identity of the partial 16S and 26S rRNA gene sequences to type strain sequences

215   ≥ 97% was used as the criterion for species identification. Due to the slowly diverging nature of the 16 rRNA gene

216   (Felis and Dellaglio 2007), a few sequences could not be univocally assigned to a single species, but to a microbial

217   group, including strictly correlated species, namely the *Lactobacillus graminis/curvatus* group, the *Lactobacillus*

218   *alimentarius/paralimentarius* group, the *Lactobacillus pentosus/plantarum/paraplantarum* group, the *Weissella*

219   *confusa/cibaria* group, and the *Leuconostoc holzapfelii/citreum* group. Similarly, a discrimination between

220   phylogenetically closely related species, namely *Kazachstania unispora, Kazachstania servazzii, Kazachstania aerobia*

221   was not achieved through the analysis of the D1 region of yeast DNA, as previously reported (Garofalo and others

222   2015).

223

224   Statistical analysis

225   Chemical and rheological properties of three flours were analyzed by one-way ANOVA. All the data referring to dough

226   and sourdough microbial communities (viable counts of LAB and yeasts) and biochemical traits (pH, TTA,

227   concentration of phytic acid, lactic acid and acetic acid, FQ) were subjected to two-way ANOVA focusing on both the

228   influence of the flour and the sourdough propagation environment, and on their cross interaction. Due to the presence of

229   a cross interaction for several parameters a Tukey's HSD test at $P < 0.05$ was performed for mean separation of all

230   possible combinations of the two factors. Principal component analysis (PCA) using a correlation matrix was carried

231   out to visualize the effects of the flour and the sourdough propagation environment on the above parameters. Finally, a

232   two way cluster permutation test was performed using all the data referring to microbial communities and biochemical

233   traits, and used to overlay confidence ellipses on the PCA score plots. For cluster analysis the Ward's method was

234   applied. All the statistical analyses were performed using JMP 9 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC).

235

236   **Results and Discussion**

237

238   Chemical and rheological traits of the three soft wheat flours

239   The results of chemical and rheological analyses carried out on the three flours are shown in Table S1 in the

240   supplementary material. With the exception of moisture, for all the chemical traits investigated, F_A differed from F_B and

241   F_C. Analogously, differences were seen in some rheological properties of wholemeal (F_A) and type 0 flours (F_B and F_C),

242   namely consistency, water absorption, dough development time, mixing tolerance index after 10 min, maximum

243   viscosity, falling number, phytic acid.

244   It has previously been reported that the reduced moisture of high extraction rate flours is mainly dependent on their

245   content in wheat bran, the latter being characterized by a lower moisture with respect to aleuronic particles (Azizi and

246   others 2006). However, in this study, no significant reduction in such a quality parameter was seen in F_A compared with

247   F_B and F_C. According to what was reported by Azizi and others (2006), even the significantly lower falling number of

248   F_A, which indicates a higher alfa-amylase activity, and the higher ash and protein content of this flour might be directly

imputable to its higher bran content. It has previously been reported that the alfa-amylase activity of wheat flour depends on its extraction rate and quality, with wholemeal flour, and especially the bran fraction, having the highest enzyme activity (Martinez-Anaya 2003). As expected, F_A was also characterized by a higher phytic acid content than the refined flours F_B and F_C. In fact, it is known that the flour refining process reduces the levels of phytate (Buddrick and others 2014), which are concentrated in the aleuronic layers of grains (Poutanen and others 2009).

As far as the rheological traits of the three flours are concerned, a high water absorption, which significantly differed from that of F_B and F_C, was seen in F_A. The occurrence of a linear correlation of this trait with the flour extraction rate has previously been elucidated by Azizi and others (2006), who reported an increase in this parameter in high extraction rate flours, which are generally characterized by coarser particle sizes. Even the weaker resistance of F_A to mechanical shocks (revealed by a significantly lower mixing tolerance index after 10 min) and the markedly higher dough development time might be attributed to the higher content in wheat bran, which translates into a reduction in the gluten network and quality. In terms of acidification, the results collected demonstrated that just two refreshment steps were needed to significantly reduce the pH of all the sourdoughs to mean values < 4.5, whereas a higher variability was seen in both the maximum increase in TTA during the 20-day propagation and the number of refreshments needed to reach TTA values > 8.0. It has previously been proposed that sourdoughs achieve their maturity, in terms of constant acidification and leavening capacity, within 5 to 7 days of continuous propagation (Ercolini and others 2013).

Microbial viable counts and acidification kinetics during sourdough propagation

After 5 days of propagation at laboratory level, the mean viable counts of presumptive LAB of S_A-l, S_B-l, and S_C-l reached values $\geq$ 9.2 Log cfu/g, irrespective of the growth medium used (mSDB or mMRS), whereas at the same sampling time, the artisan bakery sourdoughs were characterized by mean cell numbers of presumptive LAB $\geq$ 9.0 Log cfu/g (S_A-b on mMRS; S_A-b, S_B-b, and S_C-b, on mSDB) and > 8.0 Log cfu/g (S_B-b and S_C-b on mMRS), respectively (Figure 1). The viable cell counts estimated by plating on mSDB stayed almost constant during subsequent propagation in all the sourdoughs analyzed, whereas those estimated by plating on mMRS showed variable fluctuations, consisting in a slight decrease in laboratory sourdoughs (S_A-l, S_B-l, and S_C-l) and, conversely, a slight increase in artisan bakery sourdoughs (S_A-b, S_B-b, and S_C-b).

No yeasts could be enumerated in all the initial doughs (t_0) prepared at laboratory level, considering a detection limit of 2 Log cfu/g, whereas doughs prepared at artisan bakery level contained a significantly ($P > 0.001$) higher (at least 1.0 log unit) yeast cell density with respect to presumptive LAB (Figure 1). Nevertheless, after 20 days of daily propagation, all the sourdoughs were characterized by a ratio between LAB and yeasts stabilized at ca. 100:1 (Figure 1).

279   In all the sourdoughs analyzed, a pH drop below 4.5 was seen between the 1st and the 2nd day of propagation, whereas

280   differences were seen in the refreshment step, where pH values ≤ 4.0 were reached (remaining almost constant until the

281   end of the 20-day propagation period), namely: days 10, 3 and 7 in S_A-l, S_B-l and S_C-l, respectively, and days 5, 4 and 4

282   in S_A-b, S_B-b and S_C-b, respectively (Figure 1).

283   Differences were also seen between the sourdoughs propagated at laboratory and artisan bakery level in the significant

284   maximum increase in TTA reached during the 20-day propagation, namely: ≥ 20 mL in S_A-l and S_A-b, and ≥ 11 mL in

285   S_B-l, S_B-b, S_C-l and S_C-b, respectively. Analogously, there were also variations in the propagation day when the first

286   significant increase in sourdough TTA was recorded, namely: days 2, 1 and 2 in S_A-l, S_B-l and S_C-l, respectively, and

287   day 2 in S_A-b, S_B-b and S_C-b (Figure 1).

288   A mean fermentation quotient (FQ) > 5 was seen in all the 20-day back-slopped sourdoughs analyzed, with the

289   exception of S_B-l, which was characterized by a mean molar ratio of lactic and acetic acid of 1.92. In the two laboratory

290   sourdoughs S_B-l and S_C-l, this molar ratio reached levels as high as 13.74 and 12.55, respectively.

291

292   Biochemical characteristics of the mature 20-day back-slopped sourdoughs

293   The values of pH, TTA, concentration of phytic acid, lactic acid and acetic acid, and FQ of the mature high-fibre and

294   conventional type I sourdoughs are shown in Table 1. The mean pH and TTA values recorded at the end of the 20-day

295   propagation were, with a few exceptions, in the typical ranges of 3.6-3.8 and 8-13, respectively (Brummer and Lorenz

296   1991). The significantly higher TTA observed in the sourdoughs propagated with wholemeal flour (S_A-l and S_A-b) is

297   feasibly correlated to the higher ash content of F_A compared with F_B and F_C, which has been reported as having an

298   influence on sourdough buffering ability (Mariotti and others 2014). As regards the content in lactic acid and acetic

299   acid, mean values notably higher than those reported for wheat sourdoughs, ranging from 600 to 800 mg/100 g

300   sourdough and from 80 to 160 mg/100 g sourdough, respectively (Barber and others 1992; Hansen and Hansen 1994),

301   were seen. Fermentation quotients exceeding 1 (and in most cases higher than 5) were also seen in all the 20-day back-

302   slopped sourdoughs, thus suggesting a predominance of the species with an obligately homofermentative or

303   facultatively heterofermentative metabolism.

304   Although the phytic acid content of flour and mature sourdough is not directly comparable, at the end of the 20-day

305   continuous propagation, a trend toward the reduction of this parameter was seen. More in detail, a complete

306   hydrolytation of this compound was observed in S_B and S_C, whereas a noticeable decrease was seen in S_A sourdoughs

307   propagated with wholemeal flour (F_A), containing the highest amount of this anti-nutrient. Sourdough fermentation has

308   been found to decrease the amount of phytates, either through a mere pH drop to values < 5.5, sufficient to activate the

309   endogenous phytates, or through the phytase activity of LAB and yeasts (Poutanen and others 2009; Mariotti and others

310 2014). In particular, in whole-wheat flours, the solubilization of minerals due to fermentation has been shown to be

311 strictly dependent on the bran particle size, being effective in finely milled bran, but not in coarse bran (Lioger and

312 others 2007).

313

314 Statistical correlations between propagation environment, flour, microbial communities and biochemical traits

315 The two way ANOVA highlighted a cross interaction of the sourdough propagation environment and the type of flour

316 on TTA (P = 0.0008), acetic acid content (P < .0001), FQ (P = 0.0014), yeast (P = 0.0075) and LAB viable counts (the

317 latter assessed on mMRS) (P < .0001). Both the variability factors considered had a significant effect on all the

318 chemical/microbiological traits analyzed; the only exceptions were represented by phytic acid content, which was not

319 influenced by the sourdough propagation environment, and viable counts of LAB (assessed on mSBD), not influenced

320 by the type of flour (Table 1).

321 PCA indicated eigenvalues of 4.24, 3.44 and 0.79 for the first 3 components, respectively, thus suggesting the adoption

322 of two principle components. These two components accounted for 47.1% and 38.3% of total variance of the data,

323 respectively. The first principle component was dominated by pH, TTA, and content of phytic acid and lactic acid. The

324 second component had the highest scores for acetic acid, FQ, LAB and yeast viable counts. The PCA analysis indicated

325 that the type of flour strongly influenced PC1 whereas the sourdough propagation environment had less influence.

326 Although the correlation with PC2 of the type of flour in the sourdoughs produced at laboratory level was minimal, it

327 was magnified in the sourdoughs propagated at the artisan bakery (Figure 2). According to the permutation test,

328 sourdoughs were distributed into two major clusters (A and B). Irrespective to the propagation environment, cluster B

329 included the sourdoughs refreshed with the flour F_A, characterized by the highest values of pH, lactic acid content,

330 TTA, and phytic acid content. Cluster A included sourdoughs S_B and S_C, whose further clustering (A_I and A_{II}) was

331 influenced by the propagation environment. Acetic acid content and LAB viable counts assessed on mMRS were higher

332 in the sourdoughs propagated at laboratory level whereas FQ and LAB viable counts assessed on mSBD were lower

333 (Figures 2 and 4).

334

335 PCR-DGGE analyses

336 The results of PCR-DGGE analyses are shown in Figure 3 and in Tables S2 to S5, in the supplementary material.

337 Overall, multiple genera (*Lactobacillus*, *Leuconostoc*, *Weissella*, *Lactococcus,* and *Staphylococcus*) were identified in

338 both the high-fibre and refined-flour sourdoughs with the double PCR-DGGE approach used; these genera correspond

339 to those commonly retrieved in *T. aestivum* flour (Ercolini and others 2013). In almost all the mature sourdoughs

340 analyzed (with the exception of S_C-b) the closest relatives to *Lb. brevis* and the *Lb. alimentarius/paralimentarius* group

were stably detected in both the mMRS and mSDB bulks, irrespective of the flour and, hence, the flour extraction rate or the propagation environment. *Lb. brevis* is an obligate heterofermentative species, which is frequently isolated from sourdoughs (De Vuyst and others 2009). This species has been used with some success for the pre-fermentation of wheat bran destined for the manufacture of wheat bread supplemented with bran (Salmenkallio-Marttila and others 2001), thus clearly demonstrating the adaptability and even competitiveness of such a microorganism in high-fibre cereal environments. *Lb. paralimentarius* is another common sourdough inhabitant which has first been isolated from this peculiar ecosystem (Cai and others 1999).

The detection of both these species at the highest dilutions suggests their occurrence at cell densities ≥ 6 Log cfu/g. Although newly-developed sequencing techniques, also referred to as next generation sequencing (NGS) methods, have recently been applied to the study of complex food ecosystems, a recent investigation exploiting and comparing NGS and PCR-DGGE clearly demonstrated how this latter technique still represents a valid tool for the profiling of the sourdough core microbiota (Ercolini and others 2013).

In the present study, an apparently flour-dependent occurrence of *Weissella* spp. was seen in S_A-l and S_A-b, and in S_B-l and S_B-b, whereas for other LAB, a greater influence of the propagation environment might be assumed, as was the case for the sourdoughs refreshed in the laboratory, which were characterized by the establishment of the closest relatives to the *Lb. graminis/curvatus* group (detected in S_A-l, S_B-l and S_C-l) and *Lb. plantarum/pentosus/paraplantarum* group (detected in S_B-l and S_C-l).

Together with *Lb. fermentum*, which occurred in the sole laboratory sourdough S_C-l, the *Lb. plantarum/pentosus/paraplantarum* group is recognized as a highly adapted sourdough-typical LAB (Van der Meulen and others 2007; Weckx and others 2010), stably co-dominating with *Lb. fermentum* in laboratory wheat sourdough fermentations carried out under semi-aseptic conditions (Vogelmann and others 2009).

Finally, for the occurrence of other bacteria, a contribution of both parameters might be hypothesized, as in the case of the *Leuc. holzapfelii/citreum* group and *Leuc. mesenteroides/pesudomesenteroides*, which were exclusively detected in S_A-l, and of *Pediococcus pentosaceus* and the two minority taxa *Leuc. holzapfelii/citreum* group and *Staphylococcus pasteuri* detected in the sole S_C-b. Insight into the impact of both these parameters on the sourdough microbiota is readily available in the literature; several investigations have proved the influence of the substrate (Vogelmann and others 2009; Zannini and others 2009; Ercolini and others 2013), whereas a number of other studies comparing the LAB and yeast diversity of sourdoughs back-slopped at laboratory and artisan bakery level pointed out the effect of the bakery environment (equipment, air, staff) on the establishment of an in-house dominant microbiota (Scheirlinck and others 2007; Van der Meulen and others 2007; Vrancken and others 2010; Weckx and others 2010; Minervini and others 2012).

372   Regarding the yeast dynamics, to our knowledge, this is the first study investigating the spontaneously developing yeast

373   microbiota in Italian sourdoughs in controlled laboratory fermentations without the addition of any starter culture.

374   A simpler evolution with respect to LAB was observed, and an overall low number of yeast species was identified, in

375   agreement with what was previously reported in an investigation aimed at assessing the yeast species composition of

376   artisan bakery and spontaneous laboratory sourdoughs (Vrancken and others 2010). In terms of yeast cell densities, in

377   both the laboratory and artisan bakery sourdoughs analyzed in this study, a progressive increase was seen in viable

378   counts to Log cfu/g ranging from mean values of 7.47 to 7.97; however, at day 0, an initial yeast population markedly

379   lower (up to 4 orders of magnitude) with respect to artisan bakery sourdoughs was found in the sourdoughs prepared in

380   the laboratory, feasibly due to a very poor yeast contamination of this latter propagation environment. In a further

381   research study, carried out on seven sourdoughs propagated at artisan bakery and laboratory level, after 20 and 80 days

382   of continuous back-slopping, most of the laboratory sourdoughs showed a yeast cell density markedly lower than that of

383   artisan bakery sourdoughs, not exceeding 3 Log cfu/g (Minervini and others 2012).

384   In the present study, a clear dominance of *S. cerevisiae* was seen along the whole 20-day propagation, irrespective of

385   the back-slopping environment or the type of flour. This finding is in agreement with what was very recently found by

386   Ercolini and others (2013) and other authors investigating the yeast ecology of this peculiar ecosystem (Stolz 2003;

387   Garofalo and others 2011), thus confirming the high adaptation of this yeast to wheat sourdoughs.

388   However, for the other yeasts identified, interesting remarks can be made by comparing the sourdoughs prepared with

389   the same flour and propagated at laboratory or artisan bakery level; in more detail, except for S_B-b, the co-dominance of

390   members of the *K. unispora/servazzii/aerobia* group with *S. cerevisiae* was established in the sole artisan bakery

391   sourdoughs S_A-b and S_C-b and the laboratory sourdoughs S_A-l. To date, *K. unispora* has rarely been documented as

392   existing in a sourdough ecosystem, namely once in Belgian artisan wheat sourdough (Huys and others 2013) and twice

393   in rye sourdoughs (Bessmeltseva and others 2014). In S_A-b *P. kudriavzevii*, formerly known as *Issatchenkia orientalis*,

394   the anamorph *Candida krusei*, was also found to stably occur with the previous two species from days 5 to 20 of daily

395   propagation. Interestingly, highly phytase-active stains ascribed to *P. kudriavzevii* have previously been isolated from

396   two Lithuanian rye sourdoughs and a Danish rye sourdough made with wholemeal flour (Nuobariene and others 2012),

397   thus corroborating the positive association of this yeast with whole grain foods containing considerable amounts of

398   phytic acid.

399

400   **Conclusions**

401   To the authors knowledge this is the first report on the exploitation of the back-slopping technique for the production of

402   type I sourdoughs with wholemeal flour. In general, the results collected demonstrated the suitability of this flour for

403 this specific purpose, encouraging further research for its future exploitation in high-fibre bread-making. In particular,

404 wholemeal sourdoughs offer interesting challenges for the quality improvement of high-fibre baked leavened goods, as

405 the positive modification of texture, flavour, nutritional value and shelf-life and the overcome of the deleterious effect

406 of wheat bran on bread volume.

407 When the sourdoughs propagated at artisan bakery and laboratory level are comparatively evaluated irrespective of the

408 type of flour, the overall findings support, on the one hand, what was previously reported about the role of the bakery

409 environment (equipment, air, or staff) on the contamination of sourdough batches, while on the other hand they

410 underline the equally important influence of the substrate on the adaptation capacity of microorganisms (in terms of

411 growth rate and competitiveness).

412

413 **Author Contributions**

414 Conceived and designed the experiments: L. Aquilanti, M. Taccari. performed the experiments: M. Taccari, V.

415 Milanović. Analyzed the data: L. Aquilanti, F. Clementi, S. Polverigiani, A. Osimani. Contributed

416 reagents/materials/analysis tools: S. Polverigiani, C. Garofalo, F. Clementi. Wrote the paper: M. Taccari, L. Aquilanti.

417

425

426 **References**

427 Arendt EK, Ryan LAM, Dal Bello F. 2007. Impact of sourdough on the texture of bread. Food Microbiol 24:165-174.

428 Azizi MH, Sayeddain SM, Payghambardoost SH. 2006. Effect of flour extraction rate on flour composition, dough

429   rheological characteristics and quality of flat bread. J Agric Sci Tech 8:323-330.

430 Barber B, Ortola C, Barber S, Fernandez F. 1992. Storage of packaged white bread. III. Effects of sourdough and

431   addition of acids on bread characteristics. Z Lebensm Unters Forsch 194:442-449.

432 Bessmeltseva M, Viiard E, Simm J, Paalme T, Sarand I. 2014. Evolution of bacterial consortia in spontaneously started

433   rye sourdough during two months of daily propagation. PLoS One 9:1-12.

434   Brummer JM, Lorenz K. 1991. European developments in wheat sourdoughs. Cereal Foods World 36:310-314.

435   Buddrick O, Jones OAH, Cornell HJ, Small DM. 2014. The influence of fermentation processes and cereal grains in
436   wholegrain bread on reducing phytate content. J Cereal Sci 59:3-8.

437   Cai Y, Okada H, Mori H, Benno Y, Nakase T. 1999. *Lactobacillus paralimentarius* sp. nov., isolated from sourdough.
438   Int J Syst Bacteriol 49:1451-1455.

439   Cossignani L, Gobbetti M, Damiani P, Corsetti A, Simonetti MS, Manfredi G. 1996. The sourdough microflora.
440   Microbiological, biochemical and bread making characteristics of doughs fermented with freeze-dried mixed starters,
441   freeze-dried wheat sourdough and mixed fresh-cell starters. Z Lebensm Unters Forsch 203:88-94..

442   De Vuyst L, Vrancken G, Ravyts F, Rimaux T, Weckx S. 2009. Biodiversity, ecological determinants, and metabolic
443   exploitation of sourdough microbiota. Food Microbiol 26:666-675.

444   De Vuyst L, Van Kerrebroeck S, Harth H, Huys G, Daniel HM, Weckx S. 2014. Microbial ecology of sourdough
445   fermentations: diverse or uniform? Food Microbiol 37:11-29.

446   Ercolini D, Pontonio E, De Filippis F, Minervini F, La Storia A, Gobbetti M., Di Cagno R. 2013. Microbial ecology
447   dynamics during rye and wheat sourdough preparation. Appl Environ Microbiol 79:7827-7836.

448   Felis G, Dellaglio F. 2007. Taxonomy of lactobacilli and bifidobacteria. Curr Issues Intestinal Microbiol 8:44-61.

449   Foschino R, Gallina S, Andrighetto C, Rossetti L, Galli A. 2004. Comparison of cultural methods for the identification
450   and molecular investigation of yeasts from sourdoughs for Italian sweet baked products. FEMS Yeast Res 4:609-618.

451   Garofalo C, Aquilanti L, Clementi F. 2011. The biodiversity of the microbiota of traditional Italian sourdoughs. In:
452   Almeida M.T. (Eds.), *Wheat: Genetics, Crops and Food Production* (pp. 366-392). Hauppauge, NY: Nova Science
453   Publishers, Inc.

454   Garofalo C, Osimani A, Milanović V, Aquilanti L, De Filippis F, Stellato, Di Mauro S, Turchetti B, Buzzini P, Ercolini
455   D, Clementi F. 2015. Bacteria and yeast microbiota in milk kefir grains from different Italian regions. Food Microbiol
456   49:123-133.

457   Garofalo C, Silvestri G, Aquilanti L, Clementi F. 2008. PCR-DGGE analysis of lactic acid bacteria and yeast dynamics
458   during the production processes of three varieties of Panettone. J Appl Microbiol 105:243-254.

459   Gobbetti M, Rizzello CG, Di Cagno R, De Angelis M. 2014. How the sourdough may affect the functional features of
460   leavened baked goods. Food Microbiol 37:30-40.

461   Hansen A, Hansen B. 1994. The influence of wheat flour type on the production of flavour compounds in wheat
462   sourdoughs. J Cereal Sci 19:185-190.

463   Hynes WL, Ferretti JJ, Gilmore MS, Segarra RA. 1992. PCR amplification of streptococcal DNA using crude cell
464   lysates. FEMS Microbiol Lett 94:139-142.

Katina K. 2005. Sourdough: a tool for the improved flavour, texture and shelf-life of wheat bread. Academic Dissertation. VTT publications 569, VTT (Technical Research Centre of Finland), Espoo, Finland (2005), pp. 13-75.

Kumara V, Sinha AK, Makkara HPS, Becker K. 2010. Dietary roles of phytate and phytase in human nutrition: a review. Food Chem 120:945-959.

Lioger D, Leenhardt F, Demigne C, Remesy C. 2007. Sourdough fermentation of wheat fractions rich in fibres before their use in processed food. J Sci Food Agr 87:1368-1373.

Lopez HW, Duclos V, Coudray C, Krespine V, Feillet-Coudray C, Messager A, Demigné C, Rémésy C. 2003. Making bread with sourdough improves mineral bioavailability from reconstituted whole wheat flour in rats. Nutrition 19:524-530.

Makimura K, Tamura Y, Mochizuki T, Hasegawa A, Tajiri Y, Hanazawa R, Uchida K, Saito H, Yamaguchi H. 1999. Phylogenetic classification and species identification of dermatophyte strains based on DNA sequences of nuclear ribosomal internal transcribed spacer 1 regions. J Clin Microbiol 37:920-924.

Mariotti M, Garofalo C, Aquilanti L, Osimani A, Fongaro L, Tavoletti S, Hager A-S, Clementi F. 2014. Barley flour exploitation in sourdough bread-making: a technological, nutritional and sensory evaluation. LWT-Food Sci Technol 59:973-980.

Martinez-Anaya MA. 2003. Associations and interactions of micro-organisms in dough fermentations: effects on dough and bread characteristics. In: Kulp, K., and Lorenz, K., (Eds.), *Handbook of dough fermentations* (pp. 63-195). Marcel Dekker Inc, New York,

Minervini F, Lattanzi A, De Angelis M, Di Cagno R, Gobbetti M. 2012. Influence of artisan bakery-or laboratory-propagated sourdoughs on the diversity of lactic acid bacterium and yeast microbiotas. Appl Environl Microbiol 78: 5328-5340.

Nuobariene L, Hansen AS, Arneborg N. 2012. Isolation and identification of phytase-active yeasts from sourdoughs. LWT-Food Sci Technol 48:190-196.

Osimani A, Garofalo C, Aquilanti L, Milanović V, Clementi F. 2015. Unpasteurised commercial boza as a source of microbial diversity. Int J Food Microbiol 194:62-70.

Poutanen K, Flander L, Katina K. 2009. Sourdough and cereal fermentation in a nutritional perspective. Food Microbiol 26:693-699.

Scheirlinck I, Van der Meulen R, Van Schoor A, Vancanneyt M, De Vuyst L, Vandamme P, Huys G. 2007. Influence of geographical origin and flour type on diversity of lactic acid bacteria in traditional Belgian sourdoughs. Appl Environ Microbiol 73:6262-6269.

495 Salmenkallio-Marttila M, Katina K, Autio K. 2001. Effects of bran fermentation on quality and microstructure of high-

496   fibre wheat bread. *Cereal Chem* 78:429-435.

497 Stevenson L, Phillips F, O'Sullivan K, Walton J. 2012. Wheat bran: its composition and benefits to health, a European

498   perspective. Int J Food Sci Nutr 63:1001-1013.

499 Stolz P. 2003. Biological Fundamentals of Yeast and Lactobacilli fermentation in bread dough. In: Kulp, K., Lorenz,

500   K., (Eds.), *Handbook of dough fermentations*, (pp. 23-43). Marcel Dekker, New York.

501 Van der Meulen R, Scheirlinck I, Van Schoor A, Huys G, Vancanneyt M, Vandamme P, De Vuyst L. 2007. Population

502   dynamics and metabolite target analysis of lactic acid bacteria during laboratory fermentations of wheat and spelt

503   sourdoughs. Appl Environ Microbiol 73:4741-4750.

504 Venkateswara Rao G, Indrani D, Shurpalekar SR. 1985. Effect of milling methods and extraction rate on the chemical,

505   rheological and breadmaking characteristics of wheat flours. J Food Sci Tech 22:38-42.

506 Vogel RF, Böcker G, Stolz P, Ehrmann M, Fanta D, Ludwig W., Pot B, Kersters K, Schleifer KH, Hammes WP. 1994.

507   Identification of Lactobacilli from sourdough and description of *Lactobacillus pontis* sp. nov. Int J Syst Bacteriol

508   44:223-229.

509 Vogelmann SA, Seitter M, Singer U, Brandt MJ, Hertel C. 2009. Adaptability of lactic acid bacteria and yeasts to

510   sourdoughs prepared from cereals, pseudocereals and cassava and use of competitive strains as starters. Int J Food

511   Microbiol 130:205-212.

512 Vrancken G, De Vuyst L, Van der Meulen R, Huys G, Vandamme P, Daniel HM. 2010. Yeast species composition

513   differs between artisan bakery and spontaneous laboratory sourdoughs. FEMS Yeast Res 10:471-481.

514 Weckx S, Van der Meulen R, Allemeersch J, Huys G, Vandamme P, Van Hummelen P, De Vuyst L. 2010.Community

515   dynamics of bacteria in sourdough fermentations as revealed by their metatranscriptome. Appl Environ Microbiol

516   76:5402-5408.

517 Zannini E, Garofalo C, Aquilanti L, Santarelli S, Silvestri G, Clementi F. 2009. Microbiological and technological

518   characterization of sourdoughs destined for bread-making with barley flour. Food Microbiol 26:744-753.

519

520

521

522

523

524

525

526

527

528

529

530

531 S_A-l S_B-l

532

533

534   S_B-l S_B-b

535

536

537   S_C-l S_C-b

538

Figure 1-Viable counts of presumptive lactic acid bacteria and yeasts, assessed on selective media mMRS (），

mSDB (） and WLN(） and kinetics of acidification (pH and TTA ） of wholemeal (S_A) and type 0 (S_B and S_C)

sourdoughs daily propagated under laboratory (labeled "l") and artisan bakery (labeled "b") conditions for 20 days;

numbers on the χ axis indicate days of propagation. Day 0 corresponds to the first water and flour dough sampled and

analyzed prior to fermentation, whereas days 1 to 20 correspond to sourdough sampled immediately before each daily

refreshment. Means of two independent experiments ± standard deviations are shown.

Figure 2-Score and loading plots of first and second principal components based on microbial community and biochemical characteristics data means from sourdoughs produced with different flours (A, B and C) and in two different propagation environments (laboratory, "l" and artisan bakery, "b"). Clusters A_I, A_{II} and B are indicated by dotted ellipses and correspond to those derived from the cluster analysis shown in Fig. 4.

565

566

567

568

569

570

571

572

573

574

575

576

577

578

579

580

581

582

583

584

585

586

587

588

Figure 3-Lactic acid bacteria (LAB) and yeast species identified through the combined PCR-DGGE approach in the sourdoughs propagated at artisan (S_A-b, S_B-b, S_C-b) and laboratory (S_A-l, S_B-l, S_C-l) level for 1, 5, 10 and 20 days using a wholemeal (F_A) and two type 0 (F_B and F_C) flours. The *z*-axis indicates only the presence or absence of a given

592   species, resulting from either the analysis of the DNA extracted directly from the dough and sourdough samples or the

593   bulk of colonies harvested from selected dilution plates. (A) Laboratory, (B) Artisan bakery.

594

595

596

597

598   **Figure 4**-Dendrogram obtained from two way cluster analysis based on the microbial community and biochemical

599   characteristics of sourdoughs propagated with the three flours (F_A, F_B or F_C) at the laboratory ("l") or artisan bakery

600   ("b").

601

602

603

604

605

606

607

608

609

610

611

612

613

614

615

616   **Table 1-Biochemical properties and microbial viable counts of wholemeal (S_A) and type 0 (S_B and S_C) mature**

617   **sourdoughs after 20 days propagation under laboratory (labeled "l") and artisan bakery (labeled "b")**

618   **conditions.**

Mature sourdough	pH	TTA (mL 0.1 N NaOH)	Phytic acid (g/100g)	Lactic acid (g/100 g)	Acetic acid (g/100 g)	FQ	Yeast (Log cfu/g)	LAB (on mMRS) (Log cfu/g)	LAB (on mSDB) (Log cfu/g)
ANOVA									
Flour	0.0001*	<.0001*	<.0001*	0.0003*	<.0001*	0.0177*	0.0079*	<.0001*	0.1431
Site	0.0274*	0.0117*	1	0.0041*	<.0001*	<.0001*	0.0193*	<.0001*	<.0001*
Flour x Site	0.1924	0.0008*	1	0.9458	<.0001*	0.0014*	0.0075*	<.0001*	0.0572
Mean separation test									
TREATMENT									
S_A-b	4.02 ± 0.02^a	16.00 ± 0.20^b	0.12 ± 0.00^a	17.20 ± 0.10^a	1.96 ± 0.00^b	5.85 ± 0.09^{ab}	7.97 ± 0.02^a	9.26 ± 0.01^a	9.19 ± 0.03^b
S_B-b	3.79 ± 0.01^{cd}	10.15 ± 0.15^d	n.d.	11.60 ± 0.51^{bc}	4.01 ± 0.08^a	1.92 ± 0.04^c	7.47 ± 0.11^b	9.05 ± 0.01^b	8.93 ± 0.05^b
S_C-b	3.87 ± 0.00^{bc}	11.40 ± 0.10^c	n.d.	11.63 ± 0.22^{bc}	1.18 ± 0.10^c	6.61 ± 0.67^b	7.81 ± 0.02^a	9.00 ± 0.00^b	9.01 ± 0.11^b
S_A-l	3.95 ± 0.05^{ab}	18.10 ± 0.10^a	0.13 ± 0.00^a	14.66 ± 0.88^{ab}	1.43 ± 0.05^c	6.83 ± 0.62^b	7.97 ± 0.02^a	8.45 ± 0.02^d	9.75 ± 0.05^a
S_B-l	3.72 ± 0.00^d	9.40 ± 0.20^d	n.d.	9.35 ± 0.83^c	0.45 ± 0.00^d	13.74 ± 1.28^a	7.93 ± 0.06^a	8.62 ± 0.02^c	9.85 ± 0.00^a
S_C-l	3.87 ± 0.00^{bc}	11.70 ± 0.30^c	n.d.	8.94 ± 0.99^c	0.47 ± 0.01^d	12.55 ± 1.10^a	7.78 ± 0.00^{ab}	7.00 ± 0.00^e	9.65 ± 0.03^a

619

620   Values represent means ± st. err. (n.= 2). Means followed by a different letter were significantly different according to

621   the Tukey's test ($P < 0.05$).

622   FQ: Fermentation Quotient; n.d. not detectable.

623

624