# VanA-Type Enterococci from Humans, Animals, and Food: Species Distribution, Population Structure, Tn1546 Typing and Location, and Virulence Determinants<sup>7</sup>

F. Biavasco,<sup>1</sup>\* G. Foglia,<sup>1</sup> C. Paoletti,<sup>1</sup> G. Zandri,<sup>1</sup> G. Magi,<sup>1</sup> E. Guaglianone,<sup>2</sup> A. Sundsfjord,<sup>3</sup> C. Pruzzo,<sup>4</sup> G. Donelli,<sup>2</sup> and B. Facinelli<sup>1</sup>

Institute of Microbiology and Biomedical Sciences, Polytechnic University of Marche, Ancona, Italy<sup>1</sup>; Department of Technologies and Health, Istituto Superiore di Sanità, Rome, Italy<sup>2</sup>; Department of Microbiology and Virology, University of Tromsø, Tromsø, Norway<sup>3</sup>; and Department of Biology, University of Genoa, Genoa, Italy<sup>4</sup>

Received 22 September 2006/Accepted 1 March 2007

VanA-type human (n = 69), animal (n = 49), and food (n = 36) glycopeptide-resistant enterococci (GRE) from different geographic areas were investigated to study their possible reservoirs and transmission routes. Pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) revealed two small genetically related clusters, M39 (n = 4) and M49 (n = 13), representing *Enterococcus faecium* isolates from animal and human feces and from clinical and fecal human samples. Multilocus sequence typing showed that both belonged to the epidemic lineage of CC17. purK allele analysis of 28 selected isolates revealed that type 1 was prevalent in human strains (8/11) and types 6 and 3 (14/15) were prevalent in poultry (animals and meat). One hundred and five of the 154 VanA GRE isolates, encompassing different species, origins, and PFGE types, were examined for Tn1546 type and location (plasmid or chromosome) and the incidence of virulence determinants. Hybridization of S1- and I-CeuI-digested total DNA revealed a plasmid location in 98% of the isolates. Human intestinal and animal E. faecium isolates bore large (>150 kb) vanA plasmids. Results of PCR-restriction fragment length polymorphism and sequencing showed the presence of prototype Tn1546 in 80% of strains and the G-to-T mutation at position 8234 in three human intestinal and two pork E. faecium isolates. There were no significant associations (P > 0.5) between Tn1546 type and GRE source or enterococcal species. Virulence determinants were detected in all reservoirs but were significantly more frequent (P < 0.02) among clinical strains. Multiple determinants were found in clinical and meat Enterococcus faecalis isolates. The presence of indistinguishable vanA elements (mostly plasmid borne) and virulence determinants in different species and PFGE-diverse populations in the presence of host-specific *purK* housekeeping genes suggested that all GRE might be potential reservoirs of resistance determinants and virulence traits transferable to human-adapted clusters.

Enterococci are gram-positive, opportunistic bacteria that inhabit the gastrointestinal tracts of humans and many animals. They are also present in food, as starter cultures for the production of cheese and fermented sausages or as fecal contaminants of raw meat, milk, and milk products. Some specific strains are available as probiotics in animal feeds (20, 22). However, enterococci have gained notoriety as a major cause of nosocomial infection and are increasingly isolated from the bloodstream, urinary tract, and surgical sites. Enterococcus faecalis causes 80 to 90% of human enterococcal infections, and Enterococcus faecium causes most of the remaining cases (other enterococcal species being infrequently involved) (27). The emergence of multidrug resistance (i.e., resistance to multiple antibacterial agents), including high-level resistance to glycopeptides, among enterococci, particularly E. faecium, has resulted in clinical isolates resistant to all antibiotics of proven efficacy (7). Glycopeptide-resistant enterococci (GRE), which have emerged as nosocomial pathogens in the past 10 to 15 years (7), are a global problem despite major epidemiological differences between Europe and the United

\* Corresponding author. Mailing address: Institute of Microbiology and Biomedical Sciences, Polytechnic University of Marche, Via Ranieri, Monte d'Ago, 60131 Ancona, Italy. Phone: 39 071 2204 637. Fax: 39 071 2204 622. E-mail: f.biavasco@univpm.it. States. A high frequency of GRE has been reported in hospitals in the United States, whereas extremely low frequencies have been reported in the community, in animals, and in food of animal origin (7, 12, 29, 39, 51).

Results of studies conducted in northern European countries have revealed a low overall prevalence of GRE infection in Europe, with GRE being detected mostly in the nonhospitalized healthy population and among animals (7, 51). However, their incidence in clinical infections has been rising in southern Europe (Portugal, Greece, and Italy) and, though remaining generally lower that those described in the United States (European Antimicrobial Resistance Surveillance System, http://www.earss.rivm .nl, last accessed 10 October 2005), rates of 20% for clinical infections and of 7.5% for intestinal colonization in at-risk hospital wards have been reported in Italy (25).

The spread of GRE was linked to the use of the glycopeptide avoparcin as a growth promoter in animal husbandry (37, 51), until its ban in the European Union in 1997. Despite indirect evidence for dissemination to humans of glycopeptide resistance selected in animals by clonal spread or horizontal resistance gene transfer (51), in Italy and other southern European countries GRE isolation has so far been reported mostly in hospital settings (7, 25; http://www.earss.rivm.nl), whereas relatively few data are available with regard to isolation in the community and nonhuman sources (5, 7, 15).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>v</sup> Published ahead of print on 9 March 2007.

Strain and origin (n)	Source ( <i>n</i> )	Area	Yr	Isolate(s)
Italian strains	( )			
Human intestinal (43)	Inpatients <sup><math>a</math></sup> (37)	North	1997	VI1 VI46
Tuman mesunar (43)	inpatients (57)	North	2002	M124, M125, M126, M128, M129, M130, M131, M132, M133, M134, M154, M155, M156, M157, M158, M159, M160
		Center	1997	AN8
			2002	AN7, AN9, AN12, AN13, AN14, AN15, AN16, AN17, AN19, AN20, AN23, AN47, AN48, AN49, AN50, AN51, AN52
	Outpatients (6)	North	2002	MI27
		Center	2002	AN10, AN11, AN18
			2003	AN53, AN61
Animal (43)	Poultry (38)	North	1997	PD1, VI2, PD3, VI4, VR5, PD6, PD7, VR8, VI9, VI10, VI11, VR12, PD13, PD33, PD34, PD35, PD36, PD37, PD38, PD39, PD40, PD41, PD42
			2001	VE31, VE44, VE45, VE46, VE47, VE50
		Center	2003	AN14, AN25, AN26, AN27, AN28, AN29, AN30, AN43, AN49
	Pig (5)	Center	2003	AN21, AN22, AN23, AN24, PG15
Food (36)	Poultry meat (27)	North	1998	PM3, PM12, PM13
			2000	PM14, PM15, PM16, PM17, PM18, PM19, PM20, PM21, PM22, PM23, PM24, PM25, PM31, PM32
		<b>G</b>	2001	PM26, PM27, PM28, PM33
		Center	1998	PM10, PM11
	D 1 (7)	<b>C</b> (	2003	PM2, PM30, PM35, PM36
	Pork meat (/)	Center	2003	KMI
		South	1998	KM4, KM5, KM6, KM7, KM8, KM9
	Cheese (2)	North	2003	CH29, CH34
Human clinical (21)	Blood (10)	North	1997	VI4, MI66
		_	1998	MI65
		Center	1998	R35, AN64
			2002	R36, R39, R40
			2003	AN113, AN338
	Urine (9)	North	1997	V12, V13, UD5
		-	2001	GE69
		Center	1997	AN21, AN67
			1998	AN22
		-	2002	R38, AN68
	Wound (1)	Center	2002	R37
	Bile (1)	North	1997	UD6
Non-Italian strains				
Human intestinal (5)	Community	Norway	1998	HI-N41 to HI-N45 (31)
Animal (6)	Poultry	Norway	1998	A-N16 to A-N20 (31)
	···· .	Belgium	1997	FAIR-E-16210 (EU-FAIR project CT97-3078)
		-		· · · /

TABLE 1. Strains collected in this study

<sup>a</sup> No enterococcal infection.

The VanA phenotype, expressing inducible, high-level vancomycin and teicoplanin resistance, is the most common in Europe (3, 5, 25, 29, 37). The *vanA* cluster—detected primarily in *E. faecium* and *E. faecalis* and less frequently in other enterococcal species—is carried by Tn1546 and is transferable by conjugation (2, 9). Considerable heterogeneity may exist among Tn1546 elements, largely resulting from the presence of insertion sequences or from deletions in nonessential genes and intergenic regions (32, 51, 57).

The pathogenesis of enterococcal infections is still poorly understood, although several virulence factors, such as aggregation substance(s) (AS), gelatinase (Gel), cytolysin (Cyl), and enterococcal surface protein (Esp), have been described (24, 27). AS are pheromone-inducible surface proteins of *E. faecalis* that facilitate the conjugative exchange of plasmids (carrying virulence and/or antibiotic resistance genes) and also contribute to pathogenicity by enhancing adhesion to and internalization by cultured human cells, as well as favoring intracellular survival within macrophages (10, 52, 55). Although sex pheromone plasmids are highly specific for *E. faecalis*, they have also been detected in vancomycin-resistant *E. faecium* strains (28, 40). Gel, a secreted Zn metalloprotease, and Cyl, a lytic toxin, have been implicated in the pathogenicity of *E. faecalis* on the basis of both epidemiological data and studies of animal models (24, 27, 48). Esp is a surface protein involved in the ability to colonize and in immune evasion in *E. faecalis* and *E. faecium* (21, 24). Enterococci are also known to produce slime (17, 18) and to form biofilms, which have been regarded as virulence features of clinical isolates (16, 18).

Strain	Purpose	Reference or source
E. faecium BM4147	Glycopeptide resistance genotype, Tn1546 typing, probe synthesis and <i>ddl</i> PCR; <i>vanA</i> control strain	38
E. faecalis V583	Glycopeptide resistance genotype, ddl PCR; vanB control strain	45
E. gallinarum ATCC 49573	Glycopeptide resistance genotype, ddl PCR; vanC-1 control strain	ATCC
E. casseliflavus ATCC 14432	Glycopeptide resistance genotype, <i>ddl</i> PCR; <i>vanC-2</i> control strain	ATCC
E. durans ATCC 19432	ddl PCR	ATCC
<i>E. faecalis</i> OG1RF(pCF10)	Clumping, aggregation substance identification; sex pheromone plasmid-harboring strain	10
E. faecalis OG1RF(pAD1)	Clumping, aggregation substance identification; sex pheromone plasmid-harboring strain	10
E. faecalis JH2-2	Sex pheromone production	40
E. faecalis OG1RF	Sex pheromone production	40

TABLE 2. Control strains used in the study

Several reviews have addressed the genetic basis, reservoirs, and spread of glycopeptide resistance in enterococci (7, 9, 51) and enterococcal virulence (24, 27, 47). A combination of glycopeptide resistance and virulence in enterococci could pose a serious threat to human health. However, data on the presence of virulence traits in GRE are scarce (42, 44).

The present study was undertaken to explore the relatedness of GRE of different origins (human, animal, and food) and from different geographic areas to gain a better understanding of the involvement of the different reservoirs in the emergence and spread of virulent clones, i.e., those that in addition to antibiotic resistance have also acquired a number of genes conferring infectivity and virulence. To do this, human, animal, and food GRE were analyzed for population structure (using pulsed-field gel electrophoresis [PFGE], *purK* allele sequence analysis, and multilocus sequence typing [MLST]) and Tn1546 type and location (chromosome or plasmid) as well as for the presence and expression of the main virulence determinants.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Bacterial strains and media.** We studied a total of 154 VanA-type GRE from humans (n = 69), animals (n = 49), and food (n = 36). See Table 1 for details. Most of the strains (n = 142) were collected throughout Italy between 1997 and 2003 (64 human, 42 animal, and 36 food isolates). The 11 non-Italian GRE isolates were from Belgium (n = 1) and Norway (n = 10); of these, five were human intestinal and six were animal isolates. Reference and control strains are listed in Table 2.

GRE were isolated on Slanetz-Bartley agar (Becton Dickinson, Milan, Italy) containing 6  $\mu$ g/ml vancomycin. Fecal and meat homogenates were previously enriched in selective tryptone soy broth (Oxoid, Basingstoke, United Kingdom) containing 0.4 mg/ml sodium azide and 6  $\mu$ g/ml vancomycin. Brain heart infusion broth and agar (Oxoid) were used for routine culture. Tryptone soy broth supplemented with 1% glucose was used for slime production assays. Gelatin infusion broth containing 40 mg/ml gelatin (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Richmond, CA) was used to determine gelatinase production. Blood agar base (Oxoid) supplemented with fresh horse blood (5%) was used to investigate hemolysin production. Isolates were maintained in glycerol at  $-70^{\circ}$ C and subcultured twice on Slanetz-Bartley agar before testing.

**Species identification and PFGE typing.** GRE were identified at the species level with API ID32-STREP kits (bioMérieux Italia, Rome, Italy) and additional biochemical tests (22) and species-specific enterococcal *ddl* PCR performed with the primers listed in Table 3. PFGE of SmaI (New England Biolabs, Beverly, MA)-digested total DNA was performed essentially as described previously (3) using a CHEF Mapper apparatus (Bio-Rad) with pulse time increasing from 1 to 20 s over 20 h at 200 V (6 V/cm). Genetic relatedness was interpreted according to the method of Tenover et al. (53). Strains differing by six or fewer bands were grouped into the same PFGE type (1, 2, 3, etc.) and subdivided into PFGE subtypes (1a, 1b, 1c, etc.) based on single-band differences. PFGE data were analyzed separately for each species, considering each band as a separate putative locus and scoring it as present (1) or absent (0) in each accession.

grams were constructed by the use of the Dice coefficient and the unweightedpair group method using arithmetic averages.

MLST. MLST was performed as described by Homan et al. (30) and included the genes *purK*, *adk*, *atpA*, *ddl*, *gdh*, *gyd*, and *pstS*. Sequence types (ST) were obtained from the MLST database at http://www.mlst.net. PCR was performed in a Gene Amp PCR system 2400 thermal cycler (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). Amplification reactions were carried out in a 50-µl final volume containing 2.5 U AmpliTaq Gold (Applied Biosystems). Amplified fragments were purified from the reaction mix using a Montage PCR purification kit (Millipore Corporation, Bedford, MA). Sequencing was performed using ABI Prism (Applied Biosystems) with dye-labeled terminators; sequences were analyzed by the ClustalW method available at http://align.genome.jp.

**Detection of glycopeptide resistance and virulence genes.** Total DNA extraction was done as described previously (3). Vancomycin resistance and virulence genes were detected by PCR using a Hybaid PCR Express thermal cycler (Hybaid Ltd, Ashford, United Kingdom). Primers and target genes are listed in Table 3. Virulence genes were detected using primers internal to (i) highly conserved regions in the AS genes of pAD1, pPD1, and pCF10 of *E. faecalis*, (ii) *asa373* of pAM373, (iii) *gelE*, (iv) *cylB*, and (v) *esp*. EcoRI digestion of AGG amplicons was performed according to the manufacturer's instructions (Roche Molecular Biochemicals, Mannheim, Germany), and restriction fragments were separated by 2.0% agarose gel electrophoresis.

*vanA* gene location. The plasmid or chromosomal location of *vanA* was assessed using three different methods: (i) *vanA* hybridization of plasmid content extracted by an alkaline lysis method; (ii) *vanA* hybridization of S1-digested total DNA; (iii) and *vanA* hybridization of I-CeuI-digested total DNA; (iii) and *vanA* hybridization of I-CeuI-digested total DNA. The first two methods were performed as described previously (23) using a biotin-labeled *vanA* probe and a BrightStar BioDetect kit (Ambion, Huntingdon, United Kingdom). *vanA* hybridization of I-CeuI-digested total DNA was performed essentially as described previously (33). DNA was digested with 5 U of I-CeuI (New England Biolabs), separated by PFGE, transferred onto a nylon membrane, and hybridized sequentially with 16S rRNA gene and *vanA* biotin-labeled DNA probes.

**Molecular analysis of Tn1546-like elements.** The structure of Tn1546-like elements was analyzed by PCR and amplicon restriction analysis (PCR- restriction fragment length polymorphism) essentially as described by Palepou et al. (43). Long PCR was performed using TaKaRa Ex *Taq* (Cambrex Bio Science, Milan, Italy) and a Hybaid PCR Express thermal cycler. Primers (sequence and position) and target genes are listed in Table 3. Long PCR amplicons of the whole Tn1546 were analyzed by digestion with ClaI, whereas amplicons of the *orf2-vanX* region were digested with DdeI to detect the point mutation at position 8234 (31). Strains giving different results from the prototype were amplified using primer pairs targeting the left (*orf1-orf2*) and right (*vanX-vanZ*) region of Tn1546.

**Phenotypic assays.** Clumping assays were performed as described previously (40). Production of Gel was determined as described previously (11). For  $\beta$ -hemolysis detection, strains were grown on horse blood agar plates for 1 to 2 days at 37°C. Biofilm formation was tested using the slime production assay described previously (17).

**Statistical analysis.** The prevalence of the different species, different Tn*1546* types, and virulence traits in the various reservoirs were compared using Fisher's test. Statistical analysis was performed with the S-PLUS 6 statistical program (S-PLUS 6.1 for Windows, Professional Edition, release 1). A *P* of <0.05 was regarded as statistically significant.

Identification and 16S probe         Itif         ddl_{E_hime}         TTATGTCCCTGTTTTGAAAAA         485-506 <sup>b</sup> 378         36           HiR         TTTTGATAGACCTCTTCCGGT         868-845 <sup>b</sup> 168         189         36           DuF $ddl_{E_function}$ TTATGTCCCAGTATTGAAAAA         485-506 <sup>b</sup> 189         36           DuR         TGAATCATATTGGTATGCAGT         649-672 <sup>b</sup> 189         36           DDLM1 $ddl_{E_function}$ TAGAGACATTGAATATGCC         359-377         528         19           DDLS1 $ddl_{E_function}$ TRAAGACACGTTGAATGCT         887-870         11         19           DDLS2         ACGATTCAAAGCTAAGTTGATTAAGC         39-58 <sup>b</sup> 957         35           I6S1         TGCAATTAAACCACATGCTAGTGGTGAGG         240-260 <sup>b</sup> 726         14           16S2 <sup>c</sup> TCGAAATTAAACCACATGCTC         964-944 <sup>b</sup> 14         14           Glycopeptide resistance         genotype         GACAATGCGGCACGTAGT         175-191         732         19           VANA1         vanA         GGGGAAACGGACCATAGGTC         175-191         732         19           VANB2         GATTGCATCAGGGACACCATAGGTC         175-191         732         19 <th>pose and primers</th> <th>Reference</th>	pose and primers	Reference
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	ation and 16S probe	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		36
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		36
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	<b>M</b> 1	19
$ \begin{array}{ccccc} \text{DDLS1} & ddl_{E_faccalis} & \text{ATCAAGTACAGTTAGTCT} & 98-116 & 941 & 19 \\ \text{DDLS2} & \text{ACGATTCAAAAGCTAACTG} & 1038-1021 \\ \text{PI} & rRNA gene 16S & GCGGCGTGCCTAATACATGC & 39-58^b & 957 & 35 \\ 16S1 & TGCAATGACTAGTTGGTGAGG & 240-260^b & 726 & 14 \\ 16S2^c & TCGAATTAAACCACATGCTC & 964-944^b & 14 \\ \end{array} $	<i>A</i> 2	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	51	19
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		35
$1652^c$ TCGAATTAAACCACATGCTCC $964-944^b$ 14         Glycopeptide resistance genotype $ganotype$ $732$ 19         VANA1 $vanA$ GGGAAAACGACAATTGC $175-191$ $732$ 19         VANA2       GTACAATGCGGCCGTTA       907-891 $732$ 19         VANB $vanB$ ATGGGAAGCCGATAGTC $173-189$ $635$ 19         VANB2       GATTGGTTCCTCGACC $807-791$ $732$ 19         VANC1-1 $vanC1$ GGTATCAAGGAAACCTC $246-272$ $822$ 19         VANC2/3-1 $vanC2/3$ CTCCTACGATTCTTG $455-486$ $439$ 19         VANC2/3-2 $cGAGCAAGACCTTTAAG$ $885-869$ $77-91$ $732$ $73-78$ Tn/546       TIN $ranC2/3$ CTCCTACGATTTACAACGCTAAG $13-38, 10814-10839$ $10,826$ $43$ INV2 $orf2-vanX$ ATGAGGTGATATTTTGCGGAAA $3174-3195^*$ $5,405$ $23$ VANX2       CTATTGGGGACATATGGTGTCGTCT $8579-8599^*$ $4$ $0$ $0$ $41$ ORF1A $orf1$ AGGGCGACATATGGTGTAACA $170-190^*$ <t< td=""><td></td><td>14</td></t<>		14
Glycopeptide resistance genotypeVANA1vanAGGGAAAACGACAATTGC175–19173219VANA2GTACAATGCGGCGCTTA907–89173219VANA2GACAATGCGGCAGCGATAGTC173–18963519VANBvanBATGGGAAGCCGATAGTC173–18963519VANB2GATTTGCTTCCTCGACC807–79172219VANC1-1vanC1GGTATCAAGGAAACCTC246–27282219VANC1-2CTTCCGCCATCATAGCT1067–105173274VANC2/3-1vanC2/3CTCCTACGATTCTCTTG455–48643919VANC2/3-2CGAGCAAGACCTTTAAG885–8697474Tn1546TCTATTGGGGTAATTTTGCGGAAA3174–3195*5,40523VANX2orf2-vanXATGAGGTGATATGTTCGTCT8579–8599*4ORF1Aorf1AGGGCGACATATGGTGTAACA170–190*41ORF1Borf1AGGGCGACATATGGTTCAACA170–190*41ORF1Corf1ACCGTTTTTGCAGTAAGTCTAAAT1871–1894*41ORF2Rorf2TTTCCGCAAAATATCACCTCAT3195–3174*This sINV3vanX-vanZAGACGAACCAATACCCAATAG8578–8596*1,99923VANZ1GGTACGACCAATACCCAATAG8578–8596*1,99923		14
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	ptide resistance	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	otype	
VANA2       GTACAATGCGGCCGTTA       907-891         VANB $vanB$ ATGGGAAGCCGATAGTC       173-189       635       19         VANB2       GATTTGCTTCCTCGACC $807$ -791       73       73       74       74       73       74       74       73       74       74       73       74	A1	19
VANB       vanB       ATGGGAAGCCGATAGTC $173-189$ $635$ $19$ VANB2       GATTTGCTTCCTCGACC $807-791$ $1067-791$ $1067-791$ $1067-1051$ <	42	
VANB2       GATTTGCTTCCTCGACC $807-791$ VANC1-1 $vanC1$ GGTATCAAGGAAACCTC $246-272$ $822$ $19$ VANC1-2       CTTCCGCCATCATAGCT $1067-1051$ $1067-1051$ $1067-1051$ VANC2/3-1 $vanC2/3$ CTCCTACGATTCTCTTG $455-486$ $439$ $19$ VANC2/3-2       CGAGCAAGACCTTTAAG $885-869$ $885-869$ $10,826$ $43$ IR       Tn/546       GGAAAATGCGGATATTTACAACGCTAAG $13-38, 10814-10839$ $10,826$ $43$ INV2       orf2-vanX       ATGAGGTGATATTTTGCGGAAA $3174-3195^*$ $5,405$ $23$ VANX2       CTATTGGGGTATGGTTCGTCT $8579-8599^*$ $4$ $0$ RF1A       orf1       AGGGCGACATATGGTGTAACA $170-190^*$ $41$ ORF1B       orf1       TGGTGGCTCCTTTTCCCAGTTC $907-928^*$ $41$ ORF1C       orf1       ACCGTTTTGCAGTAAGTCTAAAT $1871-1894^*$ $41$ ORF2R       orf2       TTTCCGCAAAAATATCACCTCAT $3195-3174^*$ This s         INV3 $vanX-vanZ$ AGACGAACCATACCCCAATAG $857-8506^*$ $1,999$ $23$ VANZ1       GGTACGGTAA	3	19
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	32	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	C1-1	19
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	21-2	
VANC2/3-2         CGAGCAAGACCTTTAAG         885–869           Tn1546         IR         Tn1546         GGAAAATGCGGATTTACAACGCTAAG         13–38, 10814–10839         10,826         43           INV2         orf2-vanX         ATGAGGTGATATTTTGCGGAAA         3174–3195*         5,405         23           VANX2         CTATTGGGGTATGGTTCGTCT         8579–8599*         4           ORF1A         orf1         AGGGCGACATATGGTGTAACA         170–190*         41           ORF1B         orf1         TGGTGGCTCCTTTTCCCAGTTC         907–928*         41           ORF1C         orf1         ACCGTTTTTGCAGTAAGTCTAAAT         1871–1894*         41           ORF2R         orf2         TTTCCGCAAAATATCACCTCAT         3195–3174*         This s           INV3         vanX-vanZ         AGACGAACCATAACGCCAATAG         8578–8596*         1,999         23           VANZ1         GGTAACGATAACCATAACCATAATA         10577–10555*         4	22/3-1	19
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	22/3-2	
IR         Tn1546         GGAAAATGCGGATTTACAACGCTAAG         13–38, 10814–10839         10,826         43           INV2         orf2-vanX         ATGAGGTGATATTTTGCGGAAA         3174–3195*         5,405         23           VANX2         CTATTGGGGTATGGTTCGTCT         8579–8599*         4           ORF1A         orf1         AGGGCGACATATGGTGTAACA         170–190*         41           ORF1B         orf1         TGGTGGCTCCTTTTCCCAGTTC         907–928*         41           ORF1C         orf1         ACCGTTTTGCAGTAAGTCTAAAT         1871–1894*         41           ORF2R         orf2         TTTCCGCAAAATATCACCTCAT         3195–3174*         This s           INV3         vanX-vanZ         AGACGAACCATAACGCAATAG         8578–8596*         1,999         23           VANZ1         GGTAAGCGTAAACGAACGAATAATA         10577–10555*         4		
INV2orf2-vanXATGAGGTGATATTTTGCGGAAA3174-3195*5,40523VANX2CTATTGGGGTATGGTTCGTCT8579-8599*4ORF1Aorf1AGGGCGACATATGGTGTAACA170-190*41ORF1Borf1TGGTGGCTCCTTTTCCCAGTTC907-928*41ORF1Corf1ACCGTTTTTGCAGTAAGTCTAAAT1871-1894*41ORF2Rorf2TTTCCGCAAAATATCACCTCAT3195-3174*This sINV3vanX-vanZAGACGAACCATACCCCAATAG8578-8596*1,99923VANZ1CGTACGGTAAACGAACGAATAATA10577-10555*4		43
VANX2CTATTGGGGTATGGTTCGTCT8579-8599*4ORF1Aorf1AGGGCGACATATGGTGTAACA170-190*41ORF1Borf1TGGTGGCTCCTTTTCCCAGTTC907-928*41ORF1Corf1ACCGTTTTTGCAGTAAGTCTAAAT1871-1894*41ORF2Rorf2TTTCCGCAAAATATCACCTCAT3195-3174*This sINV3vanX-vanZAGACGAACCATAACCCAATAG8578-8596*1,99923VANZ1CGTACGGTAAACCACACCAATAATA10577-10555*4		23
ORF1Aorf1AGGGCGACATATGGTGTAACA170–190*41ORF1Borf1TGGTGGCTCCTTTTCCCAGTTC907-928*41ORF1Corf1ACCGTTTTGCAGTAAGTCTAAAT1871–1894*41ORF2Rorf2TTTCCGCAAAAATATCACCTCAT3195–3174*This sINV3vanX-vanZAGACGAACCATAACCCAATAG8578–8596*1,99923VANZ1CGTACGGTAAACGACCAATAATA10577–10555*4	K2	4
ORF1Borf1TGGTGGCTCCTTTTCCCAGTTC907-928*41ORF1Corf1ACCGTTTTGCAGTAAGTCTAAAT1871-1894*41ORF2Rorf2TTTCCGCAAAAATATCACCTCAT3195-3174*This sINV3vanX-vanZAGACGAACCATAACCCCAATAG8578-8596*1,99923VANZ1GGTACGGTAAACGACCAATAATA10577-10555*4	A	41
ORF1Corf1ACCGTTTTTGCAGTAAGTCTAAAT1871–1894*41ORF2Rorf2TTTCCGCAAAATATCACCTCAT3195–3174*This sINV3vanX-vanZAGACGAACCATACCCCAATAG8578–8596*1,99923VANZ1GGTACGGTAAACGAGCAATAATA10577-10555*4	В	41
ORF2Rorf2TTTCCGCAAAATATCACCTCAT3195-3174*This sINV3vanX-vanZAGACGAACCATACCCCAATAG8578-8596*1,99923VANZ1GGTACGGTAAACGAGCAATAATA10577-10555*4	С	41
INV3 vanX-vanZ AGACGAACCATACCCCAATAG 8578–8596* 1,999 23 VANZ1 GGTACGGTAAACGAGCAATAATA 10577–10555* 4	R	This study
VANZ1 $GGTACGGTAAACGAGCAATAATA 10577_1055*$		23
	21	4
Virulence factors	e factors	
AGG1 prgB, asaI, aspI AAGAAAAAGAAGTAGACCAAC 601–622 1,555 20		20
AGG2 AAACGGCAAGACAAGTAAATA 2156–2133	2	
ASA373F asa373 GGACGCACGTACACAAAGCTAC 3094–3115 619 13	73F	13
ASA373R CTGGGTGTGATTCCGCTGTTA 3693–3713	73R	
GELE1 gelE ACGCATTGCTTTTCCATC 729–746 419 20	21	20
GELE2 ACCCCGTATCATTGGTTT 1148–1129	22	
CYLB1 cylB ATTCCTACCTATGTTCTGTTA 1199–1219 843 20	51	20
CYLB2 AATAAACTCTTCTTTTCCAAC 2041–2021	2	
ESP1 esp TTGCTAATGCTAGTCCACGACC 1217–1238 932 20		20
ESP2 GCGTCAACACTTGCATTGCCGA 2149–2128		

TABLE 3. PCR primers and products

<sup>a</sup> Positions are from the first base of the coding sequence, except for positions marked with asterisks, which are from the first base of  $IR_L$  of Tn1546.

<sup>b</sup> Escherichia coli numbering.

<sup>c</sup> The P1-16S2 pair was used for species identification; the 16S1-16S2 pair was used for probe synthesis.

# RESULTS

Species and glycopeptide resistance genotype identification. Of the 154 GRE, there were 120 *E. faecium*, 18 *E. durans*, 12 *E. faecalis*, and 4 *E. gallinarum*. Species prevalence in the different reservoirs is reported in Table 4. As expected, *E. faecalis* (among human specimens) and by *E. durans* (among nonhuman samples). *E. faecalis* was not found among animal nor *E. durans* among human samples. *E. faecalis* was more frequently recovered from the clinical than the other reservoirs (P < 0.05). Among the other reservoirs (i.e., animal, food, and human intestinal), the sole significant difference in its frequency was between food and animal samples (P = 0.03).

The results of multiplex PCR targeting vanA, vanB, and

*vanC* showed that all isolates carried the *vanA* gene, including the four *vanC-1 E. gallinarum*.

**PFGE typing.** All isolates were PFGE typed after SmaI digestion of total DNA, yielding 69 different PFGE types (*E.* 

TABLE 4. Species distribution in the different reservoirs

Orinin	No. of isolates								
Origin	E. faecium	E. faecalis	E. durans	E. gallinarum					
Human intestinal	47	1	0	0					
Animal	35	0	12	2					
Food	24	4	6	2					
Human clinical	14	7	0	0					

# A

% similarity

		13010125	aroa	ycur(3)	tupo
			area	icolation	type
20 40 60 80				ISUIALION	
+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++					
	化准件 计语言语言	A-AN28 A-AN27 A-AN30	CI	2003	M1
	A DISTRIBUTION	A-AN29	CI	2003	M1a
	T. B. DEGUTE. D. C.	A-AN26	CI	2003	M2 *
	A MALER A	F-PM14	NI	2000	M3
	A STATE OF THE OWNER	F-PMI5 F-PMI6	INI NT	2000	MJ *
d	A DECK OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF	A-VE31 A-VE45 A-VE46	NI	1007	M5
	1.0101 1.0000	A-ANIAO	CI	2003	M6
	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	F-DM2	CI	2003	M7
	1110 0 01	A-N16	NO	1998	M7a
	COLUMN THE REAL	A-VR8 A-PD38	NI	1997	M8
	TITLET TRACE	F-PM22	NI	2000	M9 *
	1.3.10 KB100	F-KM8 F-KM9	SI	1998	M10 *
	<b>1 1 1 11 111 111 111</b>	H-AN67	CI	1997	M11 *
	100000000000	F-PM28	NI	2001	M12
	1 1111 1111	F-PM18	NI	2000	M13
14 -	A REAL PROPERTY.	F-PM19	NI	2000	M13a*
	HI ISLAMMAN	A-PD6	NI	1997	M14
	BILLS OF STREET	F-PML/	CI	1008	M15 M16 *
	II III BILLER	F-PM11	NT	2000	M17
	ALL DE L'ALL DE LE	A-VI10	NI	1997	M18 *
	1 11 11 111 111	F-PM26 F-PM27 F-PM33	NI	2001	M19
	fitte ungannt	A-PD33	NI	1997	M20 *
_H	THE REPORT OF	HI-MI27	NI	2002	M21 *
	IN CALL BRIDE COM	A-PD39	NI	1997	M22
	HULLENGER	A-VI9 A-VI11	NI	1997	M22a
	I THE REAL PROPERTY.	A-VI2 A-PD7 A-PD36	NI	1997	M22b*
	3 88 11 2 11 12	HC-MI65	NI	1998	M23 *
	ALC: UNKNESS	HC-AN64	CI NT	1998	M24 * M25 *
	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		CI	2002	M26
	BICK BICK	HI-N44	NO	1998	M27 *
	# 8.1.181 (# 51.8 (CZ)	HI-N41	NO	1998	M28
	(11 L LL	HI-N42	NO	1998	M29
	A DE LOS DE LE DE	A-N18	NO	1998	M29a*
	11 14 1 16 143	A-N17	NO	1998	M29b
	I I I I I I IIII	HI-AN18 HI-AN53	CI	2002-3	M30
	1 111 0 0 0000	HI-AN9	CI	2002	M30a
	Contra Contra	HI-MI25	NI	1007	M32 *
	A R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R	HT-N45	NO	1998	M33
19	A COMPANY OF THE OWNER.	HI-MI29	NI	2002	M34 *
	UNU LUXING INC.	A-N20	NO	1998	M35
19		HI-AN8	CI	1997	M36
4	THE R. L. STR. OR.	A-N19	NO	1998	M37 *
4	IIII BUILL	A-PD35	NI	1997	M38 *
1 4 🗖	100 01 100011	HI-AN52	CI	2002	M39c
I	108-10-000000	HI-AN13	CI	2002	M39D
1 1	I BUR I II THINK I		CI	2002	M39 **
	TABLE OF A CARLEND	HC-MI66	NI	1997	M40
	COMPANY AND	A-VE44 A-VE47 A-VE50	NI	2001	M41
	IN DISTANCE	5 F, 1 HC <sup>b</sup>	NI; CI	2003	M42 *
۹ا	STATES TANK	F-PM10	CI	1998	M43
Чі	THURSDAY.	HI-N43	NO	1998	M44
Ч — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	A CONTRACTOR OF THE	F-PM12	NI	1998	M45 *
	COLUMN TWO IS NOT	F-PM13	NI	1998	M45a
	COLUMN TWO IS NOT	HI-MI34	NI	2002	M40 M47
			NI	1007	M48 *
	ALC: N NUMBER	HI-MI57	NI	2002	M49
1 rL	LINE DOUBLES	HI-MI58	NI	2002	M49a
	10111 10 10 1000	HC-UD5	NI	1997	M49b
ų <u>—</u>	4 41 41 31 111111	HC-R36 HC-R40	CI	2002	M49c
	\$ \$6\$5 IL DITO	HC-AN338	CI	2003	M49d
	13.81 11 0 MM 1911	HI-AN15	CI	2002	M49e
	A LOUIS D. MARINE	HI-MI30 HI-MI32	NI NT	2002	M491
	A LOUGH OF MELLEY	5 HI	NI	2002	M49g **
4 <u>–</u>	1 10 11 11 10 10 10	HC-R37	CI	2002	M49i
		7HI <sup>d</sup>	ČÎ	2002	M49 **
Чг	1 DILLO DI	HI-MI54	NI	2002	M49m
1	22021 21 10 - DO- 100	HC-R38 HC-R39	CI	2002	M49n **

icolator

apoar voar(c) DECE

# В

% similarity	isolates	geogr area	. year(s) of isolation	PFGE type
	HC-UD6	NI	1997	<b>S1</b>
	HC-R35	CI	1998	<b>S2</b>
	F-KM6	SI	1998	<b>S</b> 3
	F-KM7	SI	1998	S3a
THE R P. LEWIS CO.	HC-AN21	CI	1998	<b>S4</b>
1 1 1 FIR BERGE	HI-AN23	CI	2002	S5
44444 @ @@@ #II II	HC-VI4	NI	1997	S6
<b>#10</b> 00 10000 01 0 00 0	F-PM25 F-PM32	NI	2000	S7
ALLA ALLA ALLA ALLA ALLA ALLA ALLA ALL	HC-AN22	CI	1998	<b>S8</b>
000 0 0 000 com 1	HC-VI3	NI	1997	<b>S</b> 9
	HC-GE69	NI	2001	S10

E. faecalis (12)



E. durans (18)

50 60 70 80 90

F-PI	M3 NI	1998 <b>G1</b>
A-P	G15 F-KM1 CI	2003 <b>G2</b>
A-B	E48 BE	1995 <b>G3</b>

E. gallinarum (4)

# *E. faecium* (120)

<sup>a</sup> HI-AN10, HI-AN11, HI-AN12, HI-AN17, HI-MI26, HI-AN49, HI-AN50, HI-AN51, HI-AN61, A-AN22, A-AN23, A-AN24

<sup>b</sup> F-CH29, F-PM30, F-CH34, F-PM35, F-PM36, HC-AN113

<sup>c</sup> HI-MI28, HI-MI31, HI-MI55, HI-MI56, HI-MI59

<sup>d</sup> HI-AN7, HI-AN14, HI-AN16, HI-MI24, HI-AN47, HI-AN48, HC-AN68

\* purK allele determined; \*\* Sequence Type determined

FIG. 1. Dendrograms showing the similarity index among the 154 isolates of *E. faecium* (A) and *E. faecalis*, *E. durans*, and *E. gallinarum* (B). Clusters sharing  $\geq$ 70% similarity are shown in gray. A, animal isolate; F, food isolate; HC, human clinical isolate; HI, human intestinal isolate. CI, central Italy; NI, northern Italy; SI, southern Italy; NO, Norway; BE, Belgium. PFGE types showing a clonal spread are boxed.

*faecium* M1 to M49, *E. faecalis* S1 to S10, *E. durans* D1 to D7, and *E. gallinarum* G1 to G3) and 30 PFGE subtypes. Results are represented in four dendrograms, one per species (Fig. 1). Ten clusters of *E. faecium*, one of *E. faecalis*, and three of *E.* 

*durans* were evidenced. Two *E. faecium* PFGE types (M39 and M49) provided evidence of clonal spread; type M39 (n = 15) was isolated from both human (n = 12, in- and outpatients) and animal (n = 3, pig) samples, whereas type M49 (n = 26)

TABLE 5. *purK* allele in 28 strains of different origins and PFGE types

Origin and strain	Source	PFGE type	<i>purK</i> allele
Human intestinal			
HI-VI1	Inpatient	M48	1
HI-MI28	Inpatient	M49g	1
HI-MI29	Inpatient	M34	1
HI-AN47	Inpatient	M491	1
HI-AN10	Community	M39	1
HI-MI27	Community	M21	6
HI-N44	Community	M27	6
Animal			
A-PD1	Poultry	M25	2
A-VI2	Poultry	M22b	3
A-VI10	Poultry	M18	3
A-VR12	Poultry	M32	3
A-N18	Poultry	M29a	6
A-N19	Poultry	M37	6
A-AN26	Poultry	M2	6
A-VE31	Poultry	M4	6
A-PD33	Poultry	M20	6
A-PD35	Poultry	M38	6
A-AN23	Pig	M39	1
Food			
F-PM11	Poultry	M16	3
F-PM12	Poultry	M45	6
F-PM19	Poultry	M13a	6
F-PM22	Poultry	M9	6
F-PM30	Poultry	M42	6
F-KM9	Pork	M10	9
Human clinical			
HC-AN64	Blood	M24	1
HC-BG65	Blood	M23	1
HC-R38	Urine	M49n	1
HC-AN67	Urine	M11	3

was isolated from inpatients (both fecal [n = 19] and clinical [n = 7] samples). Six additional PFGE types were recovered from different sources: M7, D1, D5, and G2 from both animals and food, M29 from both human intestine and animals, and M42 from both human clinical and food (meat and cheese) samples. Type M30 was detected in fecal samples from both inand outpatients.

With regard to geographic spread, type M7 was recovered from two isolates, one from Italy (poultry meat) and one from Norway (chicken feces), type D1 was collected throughout Italy, type D5 in northern Italy, types M39, G2, and M30 in central Italy, type M29 in Norway, and types M49 and M42 in central and northern Italy.

*purK* allele analysis. *purK* allele polymorphisms were determined in 28 isolates of different origins (5 clinical, 6 human intestinal, 11 animal, and 6 food) and PFGE types. Five *purK* alleles were found, with types 1, 6, and 3 being detected in multiple strains (Table 5). Type 1 was found mostly in human strains (3/5 clinical and 5/6 intestinal), type 6 in poultry (6/10 animal and 4/5 meat), and type 3 in poultry (3/10 animal).

**MLST.** To gain a better understanding of the clonal lineage of the two major PFGE clusters, M39 and M49, MLST was performed on five isolates representing different reservoirs, two from cluster M39 (HI-AN10 and A-AN23) and three from M49 (HI-MI28, HI-AN47, and HC-R38, subtype M49g, M49l, and M49n, respectively). ST 18 was found in the two M39 isolates (one from outpatient feces and one from a pig), and ST 78 was found in the three M49 isolates (two from inpatient feces and one clinical).

One hundred and five isolates (9 *E. faecalis*, 78 *E. faecium*, 14 *E. durans*, and 4 *E. gallinarum* strains), encompassing different origins and PFGE types, were selected for further studies, i.e., *vanA* gene location, molecular analysis of Tn1546 elements, and virulence traits.

vanA gene location. Hybridization of plasmid content following alkaline lysis extraction demonstrated a plasmid location of vanA in 77 of the 105 strains (Fig. 2). Fifty-four isolates, including the 28 that did not hybridize and 26 isolates showing a positive reaction, were subjected to vanA hybridization of S1digested total DNA, which allows better identification of highmolecular-weight plasmids. A plasmid location of vanA was demonstrated with this method in 24 of the previously negative 28 isolates and was confirmed in all of the 26 positive ones. The size of the vanA-carrying plasmids ranged from 150 kb to 250 kb in the former and from 25 kb to 150 kb in the latter isolates. The same 54 isolates were then analyzed by sequential hybridization of I-CeuI-digested total DNA with 16S rRNA gene and vanA probes. All tested strains hybridized with the 16S rRNA gene probe, and seven strains (E. faecium HI-MI30, HI-MI25, HI-MI31, HI-MI32, and HI-MI60 and E. gallinarum A-BE48 and F-PM3) also hybridized with the vanA probe, demonstrating a chromosomal location of the vanA gene. In S1 digestion experiments, none of the E. gallinarum isolates hybridized with the vanA probe, whereas in all of the five E. faecium isolates, vanA was detected on a 240-kb plasmid, thus demonstrating the presence of two copies of vanA (Fig. 3). In E. faecium HI-AN20 and HI-AN15, the vanA location could not be assessed with any experimental approach.

Molecular analysis of Tn1546-like elements. The 105 vanA isolates were analyzed for the structure of the Tn1546 element and assigned to 12 different groups (Fig. 4). Overall, PCR experiments with primer IR gave a positive result in 93 isolates. In 83 isolates, they yielded a single amplicon identical in size to the prototype Tn1546 element, as also confirmed by ClaI restriction analysis; in six isolates (HI-VI1, HI-MI29, HC-AN64, HC-VI2, F-KM5, and A-PD3), they gave rise to an amplicon larger than the prototype; and in the remaining four isolates (HI-MI25, HI-MI31, HI-MI32, and HI-MI60), they yielded two amplicons, one of the same size as the prototype and one larger.

The 10 isolates differing from the prototype and the 12 isolates not yielding amplicons were further studied by PCR. In the six isolates harboring a single Tn1546-like element larger than the prototype, the ORF1A-ORF2R primer pair yielded an amplicon corresponding to the prototype in four cases (*E. durans* F-KM5, *E. faecium* HI-VI1, *E. faecium* HC-VI2, and *E. faecium* HI-MI29), an amplicon larger by 900 bp in one (*E. durans* A-PD3), and no amplicon in the remaining isolate (*E. faecium* HC-AN64); the INV3-VANZ1 pair yielded an amplicon of the expected size in *E. durans* A-PD3 and *E. durans* F-KM5 (suggesting an insertion downstream of VANZ1 in this strain) and an amplicon larger by 800 bp to 1,900 bp in the remaining isolates (Fig. 4). The four isolates with two IR amplicons, one corresponding to and one larger



FIG. 2. Plasmid profile (A) and *vanA* hybridization (B) of animal (lines 1 to 9) and human (lines 10 to 19) isolates. Line 1, *E. durans* A-VI4; line 2, *E. faecium* A-PD33; line 3, *E. durans* A-VR5; line 4, *E. faecium* A-PD6; line 5, *E. faecium* A-VR8; line 6, *E. faecium* A-PD37; line 7, *E. faecium* A-VI9; line 8, *E. faecium* A-VI10; line 9, *E. faecium* A-VR12; line 10, *E. faecium* HI-MI29; line 11, *E. faecium* HI-MI30; line 12, *E. faecium* HI-MI30; line 13, *E. faecium* HI-MI58; line 14, *E. faecium* HI-MI31; line 15, *E. faecium* HI-MI32; line 16, *E. faecium* HI-MI27; line 17, *E. faecium* HI-MI31; line 18, *E. faecium* HI-MI34; line 19, *E. faecium* HI-MI28. M, molecular size marker (Marker II; Roche).

than the prototype, all gave the same results, i.e., ClaI digestion yielded three extra fragments, suggesting the presence of a Tn1546 element 3 kb larger than the prototype. Tn1546 amplification using ORF1A-ORF2R and INV3-VANZ1, targeting the ends of the transposon, and further PCR experiments using INV2-VANX1, targeting the core region, yielded amplicons comparable in size to those of the prototype, indicating the presence of insertions downstream of VANZ1 or upstream of ORF1A (data not shown).

Primer pair INV3-VANZ1 yielded an amplicon corresponding to the prototype in all 12 isolates giving no IR amplicons, indicating a prototype vanA cluster on the right end, whereas ORF1A-ORF2R yielded an amplicon of the expected size in only two isolates (E. faecium F-KM8 and HI-AN18), arguing for the presence in these strains of deletions upstream of nucleotide 170 (Fig. 4). Additional PCR experiments were performed to establish the size of the left-end deletion in the remaining 10 isolates. Primer pair ORF1B-ORF2R yielded a product corresponding to the prototype in one strain (E. faecium A-AN26), suggesting a left-side deletion until a nucleotide between 170 and 907 bp, while ORF1C-ORF2R yielded a product corresponding to the prototype in one of the remaining strains (E. faecalis F-KM6), suggesting a left-end Tn1546 deletion as far as a nucleotide between 907 and 1871. No amplicons were obtained from the remaining eight isolates, arguing for an orf1 deletion until a nucleotide between 1871 and 3174.

Tn1546 elements were also analyzed for the presence of the G-to-T mutation at position 8234 in the *vanX* gene using INV2-VANX1 and DdeI digestion of amplicons. Restriction analysis revealed the *vanX* mutation in five *E. faecium* isolates, three human intestinal (HI-AN9, HI-AN18, and HI-MI34) and two pork (F-KM8 and F-KM9) isolates (Fig. 4).

Fisher's test failed to evidence any association between Tn1546 type and a particular source of GRE or a particular enterococcal species (P > 0.5).

Genetic detection and expression of virulence determinants. The 105 vanA enterococcal isolates were screened for the presence of AS genes, *gelE*, *cylB*, and *esp* and tested for clumping after growth in the presence of pheromone-containing supernatants of *E. faecalis* JH2-2 and *E. faecalis* OG1RF and for gelatinase and hemolysin production.

The presence of AS genes was determined by PCR using primers AGG and ASA373. To identify the specific AS gene, AGG amplicons were subjected to EcoRI restriction analysis, together with those obtained with *E. faecalis* OG1RF(pCF10, *prgB*) and *E. faecalis* OG1RF(pAD1, *asa1*) (Fig. 5). All tested *E. faecalis* isolates carried at least one AS gene. In particular, HI-AN23, HC-N22, and F-PM25 carried *prgB*, whereas HC-VI4 was shown to contain both *prgB* and *asa1* genes, as well as *asa373*. Four strains showed a restriction profile with an additional fragment, and the corresponding AS genes were indicated as *prgB*\* (HC-UD6, F-KM6, and F-KM7) and *asa1*\* (HC-R35). HC-AN21 contained both *prgB*\* and *asa1*.

A total of 21 vanA isolates exhibited a positive clumping reaction. Growth in the presence of pheromones gave rise to different levels of aggregation: some strains generated moderate to large aggregates, whereas others elicited a barely detectable effect (Table 6). Clumps were particularly evident in six (five human and one food) *E. faecalis* isolates, all containing one or more of the AS genes tested (HC-VI4 [prgB, asa1, and asa373], HC-AN21 [prgB\* and asa1], HC-AN22 [prgB], HC-UD6 [prgB\*], HI-AN23 [prgB], and F-PM25 [prgB]). Clumps were less pronounced in the remaining 15 isolates (8 human, 5 animal, and 2 food; 12 *E. faecium*, 2 *E. durans* and 1 *E. gallinarum*), none of which contained any of the AS genes tested.



FIG. 3. PFGE of S1-digested (A) and I-CeuI-digested (C) total DNA and corresponding *vanA* (B and E) and 16S rRNA gene (D) hybridization. Lane 1, *E. faecium* HI-MI28; lane 2, *E. faecium* HI-MI34; lane 3, *E. faecium* HI-MI60; lane 4, *E. faecium* HI-MI32; lane 5, *E. faecium* HI-MI31; lane 6, *E. faecalis* HI-MI58; lane 7, *E. faecium* HI-MI57; lane 8, *E. faecium* HI-MI30; lane 9, *E. faecium* HI-MI25; lane 10, *E. faecium* HI-MI30; lane 11, *E. faecium* HI-MI25; lane 12, *E. faecium* HI-MI31; lane 13, *E. faecium* HI-MI32; lane 14, *E. faecium* HI-MI60; lane 15, *E. gallinarum* A-BE48; lane 16, *E. gallinarum* F-PM3. M, low range marker (BioLabs).

The clumping-negative phenotype correlated with the presence of an additional EcoRI site in *E. faecalis* HC-R35 (*asa1*\*), F-KM6 (*prgB*\*), and F-KM7 (*prgB*\*).

gelE was detected in 28 isolates, of which eight (five human and three food) were also Gel producers (Table 6). All the gelEpositive Gel producers were *E. faecalis* isolates and carried at least one AS gene (F-KM6, F-KM7, F-PM25, HC-VI4, HC-AN21, HC-AN22, HC-UD6, and HC-R35). No Gel production was detected in the remaining gelE-positive strains (17 *E. faecium* [A-PD1, A-VC2, A-PD35, A-PD6, A-VI9, A-VI10, A-VR12, F-KM9, F-PM12, F-PM15, HC-R38, HI-VI1, HI-AN19, HI-MI54, HI-MI30, HI-MI57, and HI-MI32], 2 *E. durans* [F-KM5 and F-PM20], and 1 *E. gallinarum* [A-PG15]). The five esp-positive strains (Table 6) included four *E. faecium* isolates (HC-AN64, HC-VI2, HC-UD5, and HI-VI1) and an *E. gallinarum* isolate (F-KM1). cylB was not detected in any of the 105 enterococcal isolates, all of which were negative for  $\beta$ -hemolysis.

**Biofilm formation.** When the 105 *vanA* isolates were tested in vitro for biofilm formation on abiotic surfaces, 10 strains were seen to have a strong or weak ability to produce biofilm (Table 6). Seven of these strains were *E. faecalis* (four human and three

food), two *E. faecium* (one human and one animal), and one *E. durans* (animal). None of the four *E. gallinarum* strains were able to form biofilm. Interestingly, the seven positive *E. faecalis* strains (five strong and two weak producers) were also positive for *prgB* and/or *asa1* and *gelE* and were negative for *esp*. These features were independent of the source of isolation. In contrast, the two *E. faecium* weak biofilm producers and the only *E. durans* isolate (a strong biofilm producer) were negative for all tested virulence traits (Table 6).

Overall, strains possessing suspected virulence genes were more frequent among clinical isolates than in the other reservoirs (P < 0.05), whereas there was no significant difference in their occurrence between human intestinal, animal, and food isolates (P > 0.6). However, the occurrence of strains carrying multiple virulence factors was peculiar to clinical and food reservoirs only.

# DISCUSSION

Since the 1997 European Union ban forbidding the use of avoparcin in animal feeds, the prevalence of GRE has de-



FIG. 4. Schematic representation of the Tn1546 prototype (A) and 11 different Tn1546-like elements (A\* to D1) detected in the 101 vanA isolates carrying a single vanA element. Locations of primers, ClaI target sites, and the mutation at position 8234 are indicated. Left-side deletions ( $\delta$ , deletion size) are indicated by dotted lines, insertions by gray boxes. The origin (HC, HI, A, and F) and number of isolates carrying the Tn1546-like elements of Palepou et al. (43) that may correspond to those characterized in the present study are reported in parentheses: A, A\* (A); B\* (D); B<sub>1</sub> (D/M); B<sub>2</sub> (M); B<sub>3</sub> (P); C, C1 (B/C); C2 (no correspondence); C3 (H-L); D (E); and D1 (Q-S).

creased among farm animals and in the community (15, 34, 54), even though a readily detectable persistence of GRE in avoparcin-exposed farm environments has been reported (1, 33). By contrast, the incidence of GRE in hospitals has remained substantially unchanged in northern Europe and has actually increased in southern European countries (http://www .earss.rivm.nl). The role of different reservoirs in the spread of glycopeptide resistance is thus still unclear.

In this study, we compared GRE isolates of different origins and geographic locations. GRE were initially identified at the species level, PFGE typed, and analyzed for their *van* genotypes. Results showed different species prevailing in the different reservoirs. As expected, *E. faecium* was the most prevalent species irrespective of the source, whereas *E. faecalis* was recovered only from human (mostly clinical) and food samples. *E. durans* and *E. gallinarum* were only isolated from animal and food samples. All isolates were confirmed to be *vanA* positive and *vanB* negative.

PFGE results showed a polyclonal distribution of *vanA* isolates in the different reservoirs; however, the presence of some clones in different reservoirs was observed. In particular, 26 *E. faecium* isolates belonged to type M49; they were first isolated in northern Italy in 1997 and since 2002 in northern and central Italy, thus showing both a temporal and a geographic spread. A different subtype characterized the different hospitals or towns of isolation. The same clone was isolated from both clinical and intestinal human samples, suggesting an ability of intestinal

isolates to act as pathogens. However, no difference in virulence determinants was detected between clinical and intestinal clonally related E. faecium isolates. Clinical isolates could act as opportunistic pathogens or could have acquired virulence traits still to be characterized. Notably, the M49 type and subtypes belong to ST 78, which has already been described as epidemic in Italy (6). Type M39 was recovered over a limited period of time (2002 to 2003) and geographic area (central Italy) from human and pig intestines, suggesting an ability to colonize both species. It was shown to belong to ST 18, which has never been found in either human epidemic or animal GRE according to a previous Italian study (6). Interestingly, ST 18 and ST 78 both belong to the clonal complex 17 (CC17), the first globally dispersed nosocomial-adapted clonal lineage of E. faecium (56). However, while type M49 (ST 78) was isolated from intestinal samples of inpatients only, type M39 (ST 18) was isolated from intestinal samples of inpatients as well as in the community and in pigs. Isolates belonging to types M7, M29, M42, D1, D5, and G2 were also recovered from samples of different origins, demonstrating an occasional clonal spread between different reservoirs also for E. durans and E. gallinarum. M29 and M42, as well as other PFGE types isolated from poultry in different geographic areas, carried the *purK* type 6 allele, whereas all human hospital isolates (except type M11) carried *purK* type 1, according to data reported by others (6, 33, 50). Overall, these data strongly suggest that human colonization by food and animal GRE is possible but



APPL. ENVIRON. MICROBIOL.



FIG. 5. EcoRI restriction analysis of AGG amplicons of nine E. faecalis isolates and of E. faecalis OG1RF(pAD1, asa1) and E. faecalis OG1RF(pCF10, prgB) reference strains. Lane 1, HI-AN23; line 2, HC-VI4 (also asa373 positive); line 3, HC-AN22; line 4, HC-UD6; line 5, HC-R35; line 6, HC-AN21; line 7, F-KM6; line 8, F-KM7; line 9, F-PM25; line 10, OG1RF(pAD1; asa1); and line 11, OG1RF(pCF10; prgB). \*, additional EcoRI site. M, GeneRuler 100-bp DNA Ladder Plus marker (M-Medical Genenco).

that vertical transmission between different reservoirs is infrequent. Although the colonization might be transient (49, 57), the possible transfer of resistance genes during this period could be crucial.

Results of Tn1546 location analyses suggested an association among the vanA location, species, and origin of isolates. In the vast majority of our strains (98%), vanA was located on plasmids of either <150 kb (all the E. faecalis and E. durans strains) or >150 kb (intestinal E. faecium, both human-including M49 and M39-and animal). Notably, plasmids of >150 kb have already been described in an *E. faecium* clone widely disseminated among pigs (1). These results suggest that high-molecular-weight E. faecium plasmids might be involved in intestinal colonization of both humans and animals, thus contributing to the persistence of resistant strains. Moreover, large plasmids are likely to be conjugative, thus contributing to the horizontal transfer processes. A chromosomal vanA location was demonstrated in two of the four E. gallinarum strains, suggesting that in this species the chromosome is a more common location than in other enterococcal species, as also reported previously (23).

Tn1546 typing showed a Tn1546 element, indistinguishable from the prototype, in about 80% of the strains tested. The remaining Tn1546-like elements displayed insertions or leftend deletions. This finding agrees with other data from Italian vanA strains from different sources (5). In contrast, Tn1546like elements different from the prototype seem to be more common in other countries (8, 26, 32, 46, 57). The same

human and food vanA elements, supports this hypothesis. When evaluated for virulence determinants and their expression, about half of the GRE showed at least one virulence trait, gelE and the pheromone response being the most frequent. Gelatinase production was found in all clinical and food E. faecalis isolates. Since gelatinase production has been more frequently described in clinical isolates than in those from other sources (11, 13), these results point to a link between clinical and food reservoirs, as suggested by previous reports (9, 47). Silent gelE was detected in isolates from other species (E. faecium [particularly human and animal feces], E. durans [food], and also E. gallinarum [animal feces]). gelE has been documented frequently in E. faecalis, rarely in E. faecium and E. durans (20, 24), but never in E. gallinarum. Thus, the spread of gelE from E. faecalis by horizontal gene transfer might be involved in the evolution of different pathogenic enterococcal species. Lack of expression in species other than E. faecalis might be explained by low levels or downregulation of gene expression, an inactive gene product, or experimental conditions. Growth in the presence of E. faecalis sex pheromones gave rise to clumps in all species, although the level of aggregation was higher in E. faecalis, suggesting a species-specific response. On the other hand, AS genes (prevalently prgB) were detected only in E. faecalis, with some strains harboring more than one gene (prgB, asa1, and asa373). AS genes were also detected in a few clumping-negative isolates. The presence in some strains of prgB\* or asa1\* correlated with the clumpingnegative phenotype, suggesting an inactive gene product.

gesting that horizontal gene transfer may have played a signif-

icant role in the spread of glycopeptide-resistant strains. The finding of the G-to-T mutation at position 8234 of Tn1546 in

pork and human isolates, suggesting a relationship between

Although it is frequently carried by pheromone-response plasmids (24, 27), cylB was never detected; esp was only detected in a few *E. faecium* (human) and *E. gallinarum* (food) isolates, arguing against the association of these virulence traits with glycopeptide resistance. Although the ability to form biofilm was uncommon, it is worth noting that it was mostly present in vanA E. faecalis isolates harboring other virulence genes.

Overall, virulence studies of our vanA enterococci revealed different trends in the occurrence of virulence determinants among human, food, and animal isolates. Their higher incidence detected among human and food compared with animal isolates was associated with the presence of E. faecalis isolates carrying multiple virulence factors in the former reservoirs.

A similar virulence profile observed among clinical and food E. faecalis isolates and the absence of multivirulent animal enterococci suggest that food could be more closely involved than animals in the spread of virulent GRE in humans. Moreover, the different features observed in enterococcal strains isolated from breeding animals and animal food raise questions about the source of food contamination by vanA enterococci. On the other hand, GRE polyclonality suggests that horizontal transfer of the vanA cluster, rather than clonal spread, is responsible for their emergence and dissemination. Subsequently, the presence of vanA, combined with one or

TABLE 6. I	PFGE and Tn154	6 type, var	nA location,	genotype (	or phenotype	of virulence,	and slime	production	of 48 vanA	enterococcal	isolates
			of differen	t origins h	narboring one	or more viru	ilence trait	s <sup>a</sup>			

	Spacios	PFGE	PFGE <i>vanA</i> type location	Tn <i>1546</i>	Genotype or phenotype of virulence					Slime
Origin (n) and strain	Species	type		type	gelE	Gel	esp	AS genes	Clumping activity	production
Human intestinal (13)										
HI-AN19	E. faecium	M39a	P*	А	+	_	_	_	_	_
HI-MI32	E. faecium	M49f	$P^* + Chr$	A/C2	+	_	_	_	_	_
HI-MI57	E. faecium	M49	P*	A	+	_	_	_	_	_
HI-VI1	E. faecium	M48	P	C	+	_	+	_	_	_
HI-AN8	E faecium	M36	P	B3	_	_	_	_	+	_
HI-AN15	E. faecium	M40e	ND	Δ	_	_	_	_	+	_
HI MI30	E. faccium	M/0f	$P^* \perp Chr$	Δ	+	_	_	_	+	_
HI MI54	E. faccium	M/0m	D*	A .	- -	_	_	_	, _	_
LII MI59	E. faccium	M40o	1 D*	A	_				1	W/D
111-W1138	E. faccium	M20	r D	A					_	VV I
ПІ-IN42 ЦІ NI42	E. jaecium E. f	IVI29	r D	A	_	_	_	-	+	—
HI-IN45	E. jaecium	M44	P	A	_	_	_	—	+	_
HI-N44	E. faecium	M2/	P	A	_	_	_	- D	+	-
HI-AN23	E. faecalis	85	Р	А	_	_	_	prgB	++	SP
Animal (15)										
A-VE44	E. faecium	M41	P*	B3	—	—	_	_	_	WP
A-VI2	E. faecium	M22b	Р	А	+	-	_	-	-	-
A-PD1	E. faecium	M25	Р	А	+	_	_	_	-	_
A-PD6	E. faecium	M14	Р	Α	+	_	_	_	_	_
A-PD35	E. faecium	M38	Р	А	+	_	_	_	_	_
A-VI19	E. faecium	M22a	Р	А	+	_	_	_	_	_
A-VI10	E. faecium	M18	P	A	+	_	_	_	_	_
A-VR12	E faecium	M32	P	A	+	_	_	_	_	_
A-N19	E. faecium	M37	p	A	_	_	_	_	+	_
A N20	E. faccium	M35	D I	B3	_	_	_	_	, _	_
A VD8	E. faccium	M	I D	105	_	_	_	_	- -	_
	E. juecium E. durana	D1c	r D	A	_	_	_	_	T	ср С
A-AN14	E. aurans	Dia	r D	A	_	_	_	-	_	Sr
A-AN14	E. aurans	D2 DC	P	A	_	_	_	—	+	_
A-PD41	E. aurans	D0	P	A	-	_	_	_	+	_
A-PG15	E. gallinarum	GI	Р	А	+	_	_	_	_	_
Food (11)										
F-KM9	E. faecium	M10	Р	A*	+	-	_	-	-	-
F-PM12	E. faecium	M45	Р	А	+	_	_	-	—	_
F-PM15	E. faecium	M3a	Р	А	+	_	_	_	-	_
F-PM13	E. faecium	M45a	Р	А	_	_	_	_	+	_
F-KM6	E. faecalis	S3	Р	B2	+	+	_	prgB*	_	SP
F-KM7	E. faecalis	S3a	Р	А	+	+	_	prgB*	_	WP
F-PM25	E faecalis	<b>S</b> 7	Р	А	+	+	_	nrgB	+ + +	SP
F-KM5	E durans	D1c	P	C2	+	_	_	_	_	_
F-PM20	E. durans	D7	p	B3	+	_	_	_	_	_
F-PM3	E. aallinarum	G1	Chr	D1	_	_	_	_	+	_
F-KM1	E. gallinarum	G2	P	A	_	_	+	_	_	_
Human clinical (9)										
HC-AN64	E. faecium	M24	P	D	-	_	+	-	-	_
HC-UD5	E. faecium	M49b	Р	А	_	_	+	-	-	_
HC-VI2	E. faecium	M48	Р	С	_	_	+	-	+	_
HC-R38	E. faecium	M49n	P*	А	+	_	-	-	-	_
HC-VI4	E. faecalis	<b>S</b> 6	Р	А	+	+	_	prgB asa1 asa373	+ + +	_
HC-AN21	E. faecalis	S4	Р	А	+	+	_	prgB* asa1	++	SP
HC-AN22	E. faecalis	<b>S</b> 8	Р	А	+	+	_	prgB	++	WP
HC-UD6	E. faecalis	<b>S</b> 1	Р	А	+	+	_	prgB*	++	
HC-R35	E. faecalis	S2	Р	А	+	+	_	asa1*	_	SP
			-							<u>.</u>

<sup>*a*</sup> Abbreviations: P, <150-kb plasmid; P\*, >150-kb plasmid; Chr, chromosome; Gel, gelatinase production; WP, weak producer (0.120 < optical density < 0.240); SP, strong producer (optical density > 0.240); ND, not detected.

more virulence genes in the same genome, could have favored particular clusters of *E. faecium*, e.g., type M49 in the hospital environment.

The *E. faecium* isolates were generally devoid of virulence determinants, albeit with notable exceptions. Although all *E.* 

*faecium* strains lacked the AGG genes, several strains formed clumps after pheromone induction. The same profile was occasionally observed in *E. durans* and *E. gallinarum* isolates. This phenomenon might depend on the presence of AS/pheromone systems in these species, different from those of *E.* 

*faecalis*. By contrast, using *E. faecalis* primers, *gelE* and *esp* determinants were detected in several *E. faecium* and in some *E. durans* and *E. gallinarum* isolates, in line with data on *E. faecium* reported by Eaton et al. (20, 21). The presence in the different reservoirs of *vanA E. faecium*, *E. durans*, and *E. gallinarum* isolates carrying virulence factors might be linked with the increasing isolation rate of enterococcal species other than *E. faecalis* in hospital settings (4).

In conclusion, (i) indistinguishable plasmid-located vanA determinants in PFGE-diverse populations strongly suggest a common Tn1546 reservoir readily accessible by horizontal gene transfer; (ii) large vanA plasmids (>150 kb) carried by intestinal E. faecium might be involved in GRE colonization/ infection in humans (with colinked unknown virulence determinants possibly involved in adhesion) and represent an important target for further studies; and (iii) detection of the same vanA and virulence determinants in enterococci of different species and origins, in the presence of host-specific purK housekeeping genes, indicates a lack of host-specific markers and suggests that all GRE, irrespective of their origins and species, might be regarded as potential reservoirs of resistance determinants and virulence traits transferable to humanadapted clusters. Moreover, the finding of virulent vanA E. faecalis in meat suggests a food involvement (farm animal independent) in the spread of virulent GRE in humans.

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to Gary Dunny for supplying *E. faecalis* strains OG1RF and OG1RF(pCF10) and to Pier Sandro Cocconcelli for OG1RF(pAD1). Thanks also to Annalisa Cavallero (Ospedale S. Raffaele, Milano, Italy), Esther Manso (Azienda Ospedaliera Umberto I, Ancona, Italy), Giuseppina Scagnelli (Department of Infectious Diseases, San Bortolo Hospital, Vicenza, Italy), and Roberta di Rosa (Department of Clinical Medicine, University La Sapienza, Roma, Italy) for providing human GRE; to Annalisa Pantosti (Istituto Superiore di Sanità, Roma, Italy) and Francesca Clementi (Polytechnic University of Marche, Ancona, Italy) for providing animal and food strains; and to Roberta Fontana (University of Verona, Italy) and Anna Grossato (University of Padova, Italy) for providing animal strains. We are also grateful to Luigi Ferrante for his assistance with statistical analyses and to Manuela Vecchi for sequencing experiments.

This study was supported by the European research project "Antimicrobial Resistance Transfer from and between Gram-Positive Bacteria of the Digestive Tract and Consequences for Virulence" (ARTRADI), contract QLK2-CT-2002-00843.

## REFERENCES

- Aarestrup, F. M. 2000. Characterization of glycopeptide-resistant *Enterococcus faecium* (GRE) from broilers and pigs in Denmark: genetic evidence that persistence of GRE in pig herds is associated with coselection by resistance to macrolides. J. Clin. Microbiol. 38:2774–2777.
- Arthur, M., P. E. Reynolds, F. Depardieu, S. Evers, S. Dutka Malen, R. J. Quintiliani, and P. Courvalin. 1996. Mechanisms of glycopeptide resistance in enterococci. J. Infect. 32:11–16.
- Biavasco, F., A. Miele, C. Vignaroli, E. Manso, R. Lupidi, and P. E. Varaldo. 1996. Genotypic characterization of a nosocomial outbreak of vanA *Enterococcus faecalis*. Microb. Drug Res. 2:231–237.
- Biavasco, F., C. Paladini, Č. Vignaroli, G. Foglia, E. Manso, and P. E. Varaldo. 2001. Recovery from a single blood culture of two *Enterococcus* gallinarum isolates carrying both vanC-1 and vanA cluster genes and differing in glycopeptide susceptibility. Eur. J. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. Dis. 20:309– 314.
- Bonora, M. G., C. Bordin, L. Bragagnolo, L. Girelli, M. De Fatima, A. Grossato, M. Ligozzi, G. Lo Cascio, and R. Fontana. 2001. Molecular analysis of *vanA* enterococci isolated from humans and animals in northeastern Italy. Microb. Drug Resist. 7:247–255.
- Bonora, M. G., M. Ligozzi, M. De Fatima, L. Bragagnolo, A. Goglio, G. C. Guazzotti, and R. Fontana. 2004. Vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus faecium* isolates causing hospital outbreaks in northern Italy belong to the multilocus sequence typing C1 lineage. Microb. Drug Resist. 10:114–123.

- Bonten, M. J., R. Willems, and R. A. Weinstein. 2001. Vancomycin-resistant enterococci: why are they here, and where do they come from? Lancet Infect. Dis. 1:314–325.
- Brown, A. R., A. C. Townsley, and S. G. B. Amyes. 2001. Diversity of Tn1546 elements in clinical isolates of glycopeptide-resistant enterococci from Scottish hospitals. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 45:1309–1311.
- Cetinkaya, Y., P. Falk, and C. G. Mayhall. 2000. Vancomycin-resistant enterococci. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 13:686–707.
- Clewell, D. B., and G. M. Dunny. 2002. Conjugation and genetic exchange in enterococci, p. 265–300. *In M. S. Gilmore (ed.)*, The enterococci: pathogenesis, molecular biology, and antibiotic resistance. American Society for Microbiology, Washington, DC.
- Coque, T. M., J. E. Patterson, J. M. Steckelberg, and B. E. Murray. 1995. Incidence of hemolysin, gelatinase, and aggregation substance among enterococci isolated from patients with endocarditis and other infections and from feces of hospitalized and community-based persons. J. Infect. Dis. 171:1223–1229.
- Coque, T. M., J. F. Tomayko, S. C. Ricke, P. C. Okhyusen, and B. E. Murray. 1996. Vancomycin-resistant enterococci from nosocomial, community, and animal sources in the United States. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 40: 2605–2609.
- Creti, R., M. Imperi, L. Bertuccini, F. Faretti, G. Orefici, R. Di Rosa, and L. Baldassarri. 2004. Survey for virulence determinants among *Enterococcus faecalis* isolated from different sources. J. Med. Microbiol. 53:13–20.
- Dahl, K. H., E. W. Lundblad, T. P. Rokenes, O. Olsvik, and A. Sundsfjord. 2000. Genetic linkage of the *vanB2* gene cluster to Tn5382 in vancomycinresistant enterococci and characterization of two novel insertion sequences. Microbiology 146:1469–1479.
- 15. Del Grosso, M., A. Caprioli, P. Chinzari, M. C. Fontana, G. Pezzati, A. Manfrin, E. D. Giannatale, E. Goffredo, and A. Pantosti. 2000. Detection and characterization of vancomycin-resistant enterococci in farm animals and raw meat products in Italy. Microb. Drug Resist. 6:313–318.
- Donelli, G., and E. Guaglianone. 2004. Emerging role of *Enterococcus* spp. in catheter-related infections: biofilm formation and novel mechanisms of antibiotic resistance. J. Vasc. Access 5:3–9.
- Donelli, G., C. Paoletti, L. Baldassarri, E. Guaglianone, R. Di Rosa, G. Magi, C. Spinaci, and B. Facinelli. 2004. Sex pheromone-response, clumping and slime production in enterococcal strains isolated from occluded biliary stents. J. Clin. Microbiol. 42:3419–3427.
- Donlan, R. M., and J. W. Costerton. 2002. Biofilms: survival mechanisms of clinically relevant microorganisms. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 15:167–193.
- Dutka-Malen, S., S. Evers, and P. Courvalin. 1995. Detection of glycopeptide resistance genotypes and identification to the species level of clinically relevant enterococci by PCR. J. Clin. Microbiol. 33:24–27.
- Eaton, T. J., and M. J. Gasson. 2001. Molecular screening of *Enterococcus* virulence determinants and potential for genetic exchange between food and medical isolates. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 67:1628–1635.
- Eaton, T. J., and M. J. Gasson. 2002. A variant enterococcal surface protein Esp<sub>fm</sub> in *Enterococcus faecium*; distribution among food, commensal, medical, and environmental isolates. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 216:269–275.
- Facklam, R. R., D. F. Sahm, and L. M. Teixeira. 2005. *Enterococcus*, p. 297–305. *In* P. R. Murray, E. J. Baron, M. A. Pfaller, F. C. Tenover, and R. H. Yolken (ed.), Manual of clinical microbiology, 7th ed. ASM Press, Washington, DC.
- Foglia, G., M. Del Grosso, C. Vignaroli, P. Bagnarelli, P. E. Varaldo, A. Pantosti, and F. Biavasco. 2003. Molecular analysis of Tn1546-like elements mediating high-level vancomycin resistance in *Enterococcus gallinarum*. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 52:772–775.
- Gilmore, M. S., P. S. Coburn, S. R. Nallapareddy, and B. E. Murray. 2002. Enterococcal virulence, p. 301–354. *In M. S. Gilmore (ed.)*, The enterococci: pathogenesis, molecular biology, and antibiotic resistance. American Society for Microbiology, Washington, DC.
- Goossens, H., D. Jabes, R. Rossi, C. Lammens, G. Privitera, and P. Courvalin. 2003. European survey of vancomycin-resistant enterococci in at-risk hospital wards and in vitro susceptibility testing of ramoplanin against these isolates. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 51(Suppl. 3):iii5–iii12.
- Guardabassi, L., and A. Dalsgaard. 2004. Occurrence, structure, and mobility of Tn1546-like elements in environmental isolates of vancomycin-resistant enterococci. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 70:984–990.
- Hancock, L. E., and M. S. Gilmore. 2000. Pathogenicity of enterococci, p. 251–258. *In* V. A. Fischetti, R. P. Novick, J. J. Ferretti, D. A. Portnoy, and J. I. Rood (ed.), Gram-positive pathogens. ASM Press, Washington, DC.
- Heaton, M. P., L. F. Discotto, M. J. Pucci, and S. Handwerger. 1996. Mobilization of vancomycin resistance by transposon-mediated fusion of a VanA plasmid with an *Enterococcus faecium* sex pheromone-response plasmid. Gene 171:9–17.
- Hershberger, E., S. F. Oprea, S. M. Donabedian, M. Perri, P. Bozigar, P. Bartlett, and M. J. Zervos. 2005. Epidemiology of antimicrobial resistance in enterococci of animal origin. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 55:127–130.
- Homan, W. L., D. Tribe, S. Poznanski, M. Li, G. Hogg, E. Spalburg, G. D. A. van Embden, and R. J. L. Willems. 2002. Multilocus sequence typing scheme for *Enterococcus faecium*. J. Clin. Microbiol. 40:1963–1971.

- Jensen, L. B. 1998. Differences in the occurrence of two base pair variants of Tn1546 from vancomycin-resistant enterococci from humans, pigs, and poultry. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 42:2463–2464.
- Jensen, L. B., P. Ahrens, L. Dons, R. N. Jones, A. M. Hammerum, and F. M. Aarestrup. 1998. Molecular analysis of Tn1546 in *Enterococcus faecium* isolated from animals and humans. J. Clin. Microbiol. 36:437–442.
- 33. Johnsen, P. J., J. I. Osterhus, H. Sletvold, M. Sorum, H. Kruse, K. Nielsen, G. S. Simonsen, and A. Sundsfjord. 2005. Persistence of animal and human glycopeptide-resistant enterococci on two Norwegian poultry farms formerly exposed to avoparcin is associated with a widespread plasmid-mediated *vanA* element within a polyclonal *Enterococcus faecium* population. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 71:159–168.
- 34. Klare, I., D. Badstubner, C. Konstabel, G. Bohme, H. Claus, and W. Witte. 1999. Decreased incidence of VanA-type vancomycin-resistant enterococci isolated from poultry meat and from fecal samples of humans in the community after discontinuation of avoparcin usage in animal husbandry. Microb. Drug Resist. 5:45–52.
- Klijn, N., A. H. Weerkamp, and W. M. de Vos. 1995. Detection and characterization of lactose-utilizing *Lactococcus* spp. in natural ecosystems. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 61:788–792.
- Knijff, E., F. Dellaglio, A. Lombardi, C. Andrighetto, and S. Torriani. 2001. Rapid identification of *Enterococcus durans* and *Enterococcus hirae* by PCR with primers targeted to the *ddl* genes. J. Microbiol. Methods 47:35–40.
- 37. Kühn, I., A. Iversen, M. Finn, C. Greko, L. Burman, A. R. Blanch, X. Vilanova, A. Manero, H. Taylor, J. Caplin, L. Domínguez, I. A. Herrero, M. A. Moreno, and R. Möllby. 2005. Occurrence and relatedness of vanco-mycin-resistant enterococci in animals, humans, and the environment in different European regions. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 71:5383–5390.
- Leclercq, R., E. Derlot, J. Duval, and P. Courvalin. 1988. Plasmid-mediated resistance to vancomycin and teicoplanin in *Enterococcus faecium*. N. Engl. J. Med. 319:157–161.
- Low, D. E., N. Keller, A. Barth, and R. N. Jones. 2001. Clinical prevalence, antimicrobial susceptibility, and geographic resistance patterns of enterococci: results from the SENTRY Antimicrobial Surveillance Program, 1997– 1999. Clin. Infect. Dis. 32(Suppl. 2):S133–S145.
- Magi, G., R. Capretti, C. Paoletti, M. Pietrella, L. Ferrante, F. Biavasco, P. E. Varaldo, and B. Facinelli. 2003. Presence of a vanA-carrying pheromone response plasmid (pBRG1) in a clinical isolate of *Enterococcus faecium*. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 47:1571–1576.
- Miele, A., M. Bandiera, and B. P. Goldstein. 1995. Use of primers selective for vancomycin resistance genes to determine *van* genotype in enterococci and to study gene organization in VanA isolates. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 39:1772–1778.
- 42. Nallapareddy, S. R., H. Wenxiang, G. M. Weinstock, and B. E. Murray. 2005. Molecular characterization of a widespread, pathogenic, and antibiotic resistance-receptive *Enterococcus faecalis* lineage and dissemination of its putative pathogenicity island. J. Bacteriol. 16:5709–5718.
- Palepou, M. F., A. M. Adebiyi, C. H. Tremlett, L. B. Jensen, and N. Woodford. 1998. Molecular analysis of diverse elements mediating VanA glycopeptide resistance in enterococci. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 42:605–612.
- 44. Paulsen, I. T., L. Banerjei, G. S. Myers, K. E. Nelson, R. Seshadri, T. D. Read, D. E. Fouts, J. A. Eisen, S. R. Gill, J. F. Heidelberg, H. Tettelin, R. J. Dodson, L. Umayam, L. Brinkac, M. Beanan, S. Daugherty, R. T. DeBoy, S. Durkin, J. Kolonay, R. Madupu, W. Nelson, J. Vamathevan, B. Tran, J.

Upton, T. Hansen, J. Shetty, H. Khouri, T. Utterback, D. Radune, K. A. Ketchum, B. A. Dougherty, and C. M. Fraser. 2003. Role of mobile DNA in the evolution of vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus faecalis*. Science **299**: 2071–2074.

- Sahm, D. F., J. Kissinger, M. S. Gilmore, P. R. Murray, R. Mulder, J. Solliday, and B. Clarke. 1989. In vitro susceptibility studies of vancomycinresistant *Enterococcus faecalis*. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 33:1588– 1591.
- 46. Schouten, M. A., R. J. L. Willems, W. A. G. Kraak, J. Top, J. A. A. Hoogkamp Korstanje, and A. Voss. 2001. Molecular analysis of Tn1546-like elements in vancomycin-resistant enterococci isolated from patients in Europe shows geographic transposon type clustering. Antimicrob. Agents Chemoter. 45: 986–989.
- Semedo, T., M. A. Santos, M. F. Lopes, J. J. Figueiredo Marques, M. T. Barreto Crespo, and R. Tenreiro. 2003. Virulence factors in food, clinical and reference *Enterococci*: a common trait in the genus? Syst. Appl. Microbiol. 26:13–22.
- Shankar, N., A. S. Baghdayan, and M. Gilmore. 2002. Modulation of virulence within a pathogenicity island in vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus faecalis*. Nature 417:746–750.
- Sorensen, T. L., M. Blom, D. L. Monnet, N. Fromodt-Moller, R. L. Poulsen, and F. Espersen. 2001. Transient intestinal carriage after ingestion of antibiotic-resistant *Enterococcus faecium* from chicken and pork. N. Engl. J. Med. 16:1161–1166.
- 50. Sørum, M., P. J. Jhonsen, B. Aasnes, T. Rosvoll, H. Kruse, A. Sundsfjord, and G. S. Simonsen. 2006. Prevalence, persistence, and molecular characterization of glycopeptide-resistant enterococci in Norwegian poultry and poultry farmers 3 to 8 years after the ban on avoparcin. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 72:516–521.
- Sundsfjord, A., G. S. Simonsen, and P. Courvalin. 2001. Human infections caused by glycopeptide-resistant *Enterococcus* spp.: are they a zoonosis? Clin. Microbiol. Infect. 7(Suppl. 4):16–33.
- Sussmuth, S. D., A. Muscholl-Silberhorn, R. Wirth, M. Susa, R. Marre, and E. Rozdzinski. 2000. Aggregation substance promotes adherence, phagocytosis, and intracellular survival of *Enterococcus faecalis* within human macrophages and suppresses respiratory burst. Infect. Immun. 68:4900–4906.
- 53. Tenover, F. C., R. D. Arbeit, R. V. Goering, P. A. Mickelsen, B. E. Murray, D. H. Persing, and B. Swaminathan. 1995. Interpreting chromosomal DNA restriction patterns produced by pulsed-field gel electrophoresis: criteria for bacterial strain typing. J. Clin. Microbiol. 33:2233–2239.
- Van den Bogaard, A. E., N. Bruinsma, and E. E. Stobberingh. 2000. The effect of banning avoparcin on VRE carriage in The Netherlands. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 46:146–147.
- Weaver, K. E. 2000. Enterococcal genetics, p. 259–271. *In* V. A. Fischetti, R. P. Novick, J. J. Ferretti, D. A. Portnoy, and J. I. Rood (ed.), Grampositive pathogens. American Society for Microbiology, Washington, DC.
- Willems, R. J. L., J. Top, M. van Santen, D. A. Robinson, T. M. Coque, F. Baquero, H. Grundmann, and M. J. M. Bonten. 2005. Global spread of vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus faecium* from distinct nosocomial genetic complex. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 11:821–828.
- Woodford, N., A. M. A. Adebiyi, M. F. I. Palepou, and B. D. Cookson. 1998. Diversity of VanA glycopeptide resistance elements in enterococci from humans and nonhuman sources. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 42:502– 508.