Introducing the GWmodel R and python packages for modelling spatial heterogeneity

Binbin Lu¹, Paul Harris¹, Isabella Gollini¹, Martin Charlton¹, Chris Brunsdon²

¹National Centre for Geocomputation, National University of Ireland Maynooth, Maynooth, Co.kildare, Ireland

Tel. (353) 1 7086208

Fax (353) 1 7086456

Email: binbin.lu@nuim.ie, Paul.Harris@nuim.ie, Isabella.Gollini@nuim.ie, Martin.Charlton@nuim.ie

²School of Environmental Sciences, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK

Tel. (44) 1517942837

Fax (44) 151795 4642

Email:Christopher.Brunsdon@liverpool.ac.uk

1. Introduction

In the very early developments of quantitative geography, statistical techniques were invariably applied at a 'global' level, where moments or relationships were assumed constant across the study region (Fotheringham and Brunsdon, 1999). However, the world is not an "average" space but full of variations and as such, statistical techniques need to account for different forms of spatial heterogeneity or non-stationarity (Goodchild, 2004). Consequently, a number of local methods were developed, many of which model non- stationarity relationships via some regression adaptation. Examples include: the expansion method (Casetti, 1972), random coefficient modelling (Swamy et al., 1988), multilevel modelling (Duncan and Jones, 2000) and space varying parameter models (Assunção, 2003).

One such localised regression, geographically weighted regression (GWR) (Brunsdon et al., 1996) has become increasingly popular and has been broadly applied in many disciplines outside of its quantitative geography roots. This includes: regional economics, urban and regional analysis, sociology and ecology. There are several toolkits available for applying GWR, such as **GWR**3.x (Charlton et al., 2007); **GWR** 4.0 (Nakaya et al., 2009); the **GWR** toolkit in ArcGIS (ESRI, 2009); the R packages **spgwr** (Bivand and Yu, 2006) and **gwrr** (Wheeler, 2011); and **STIS** (Arbor, 2010). Most focus on the fundamental functions of GWR or some specific issue - for example, **gwrr** provides tools to diagnose collinearity.

As a major extension, we report in this paper the development an integrated framework for handling spatially varying structures, via a wide range of geographically weighted (GW) models, not just GWR. All functions are included in an R package named **GWmodel**, which is also mirrored with a set of GW modelling tools for ESRI's ArcGIS written in Python.

2. The GWmodel package

The **GWmodel** package is developed under the open source **R** software coding environment (R Development Core Team, 2011). The package includes all common GW models as well as some newly developed ones. Currently, the package consists of the following four core components:

• GWR and GW Generalized Linear Models (GWGLM)

Functions to implement GWR, including: statistical tests for relationship non-stationarity, model specification and visualization tools for its results. The interface of the ArcGIS tool, *BasicGWR*, is demonstrated in Figure 1, and the other tools are also developed with similar user-friendly interfaces. Functions are also included to implement GW Poisson regression (Nakaya et al., 2005) and GW logistic regression (Atkinson et al., 2003). Furthermore, a selection of newly-developed, locally-compensated GWR models is available to combat issues of local collinearity (Brunsdon et al., 2012).

📲 BasicGWR 📃 🗖 🔀	S	
• Input feature		* Distance used for Spatially Weighting Distance metric
> Dependent Variable		Euclidean 💌
♥ Candidate Independent Variables		Value of p (optional)
	1	Rotation Angle of Coordinate (Radian) (optional)
	1	U Distance Matrix (optional)
	1	
		Parameters for GWR calibration Kernel function (optional)
Select All Unselect All Add Field		Gaussian
Regression locations (optional)		Kernel type Fixed
• Output feature class		Bandwidth approach (optional)
Output text report file (optional)	1	Bandwidth(Distance) (optional)
Output pdf report file (optional)		Bandwidth (Number of neighbours: an integer) (optional)
Distance used for Spatially Weighting		
Parameters for GWR calibration OK Cance Snivronments Show Heb >>]	Leung test (optional)

Figure 1 Interface of *BasicGWR*: the tool for calibrating a basic GWR model

• GW Summary Statistics (GWSS)

Functions to calculate GWSSs (Brunsdon et al., 2002; Harris and Brunsdon, 2010), including: the GW mean, GW standard deviation, GW skewness and GW correlation.

• GW Principal Components Analysis (GWPCA)

Functions to implement GWPCA (Harris et al., 2011a) for investigating the changing local structure in multivariate spatial data sets, including: i) automatic bandwidth selection, ii) randomisation tests for its application and iii) visualisation techniques for its output.

• GW Prediction Models

Functions to implement GWR as a spatial predictor (Harris et al., 2011b)and to also implement a selection of new hybrids where kriging is combined with some form of GW approach (Harris et al., 2010a; Harris et al., 2010b; Harris and Juggins, 2011).

As demonstrated in Figure 1, common to all four core components is the ability to choose from:

i. a range of kernel functions (Gaussian, bi-square, tri-cube and box-car)

- ii. fixed and adaptive bandwidths
- iii. a range of distance metrics (Lu et al., 2011; Lu et al., 2012)

iv. basic and robust GW model forms. For example, robust GWSS (Brunsdon et al., 2002; Harris and Brunsdon, 2010); robust GWR (Fotheringham et al., 2002; Ghosh and Manson, 2008; Harris et al., 2010c); and robust GWPCA (Harris et al., 2012).

Only the core functions have been listed. Further GW model functions will be integrated

accordingly.

3. Concluding remarks

This paper will introduce and demonstrate two forms of the GWmodel package, one developed in R, the other mirrored in python. Each package provides a suite of GW techniques that are currently not available within one single, GW software product.

Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge support from a Strategic Research Cluster grant (07/SRC/11168) by Science Foundation Ireland under the National Development Plan.

References

- ARBOR, A. 2010. TerraSeer Space-Time Analysis of Health Data Workshop [Online]. Michigan: http://www.directionsmag.com/pressreleases/terraseer-space-time-analysis-of-health-data-workshopmay4-5-2010/120433. [Accessed].
- ASSUNÇÃO, R. M. 2003. Space varying coefficient models for small area data. Environmetrics, 14, 453-473.
- ATKINSON, P. M., GERMAN, S. E., SEAR, D. A. & CLARK, M. J. 2003. Exploring the Relations Between Riverbank Erosion and Geomorphological Controls Using Geographically Weighted Logistic Regression. *Geographical Analysis*, 35, 58-82.
- BIVAND, R. & YU, D. 2006. spgwr: Geographically weighted regression. http://cran.rproject.org/web/packages/spgwr/index.html.
- BRUNSDON, C., CHARLTON, M. & HARRIS, P. 2012. Living with collinearity in Local Regression Models. Spatial Accuracy 2012. Brazil.
- BRUNSDON, C., FOTHERINGHAM, A. S. & CHARLTON, M. 2002. Geographically weighted summary statistics -- a framework for localised exploratory data analysis. *Computers, Environment and Urban Systems*, 26, 501-524.
- BRUNSDON, C., FOTHERINGHAM, A. S. & CHARLTON, M. E. 1996. Geographically Weighted Regression: A Method for Exploring Spatial Nonstationarity. *Geographical Analysis*, 28, 281-298.
- CASETTI, E. 1972. Generating Models by the Expansion Method: Applications to Geographical Research. *Geographical Analysis*, 4, 81-91.
- CHARLTON, M., FOTHERINGHAM, A. S. & BRUNSDON, C. 2007. Geographically Weighted Regression: Software for GWR. National Centre for Geocomputation.
- DUNCAN, C. & JONES, K. 2000. Using Multilevel Models to Model Heterogeneity: Potential and Pitfalls. *Geographical Analysis*, 32, 279-305.
- ESRI. 2009. ArcGIS 9.3: Interpreting GWR results [Online]. http://webhelp.esri.com/arcgisdesktop/9.3/index.cfm?TopicName=Interpreting_GWR_results. [Accessed].
- FOTHERINGHAM, A. S. & BRUNSDON, C. 1999. Local Forms of Spatial Analysis. *Geographical Analysis*, 31, 340-358.
- FOTHERINGHAM, A. S., BRUNSDON, C. & CHARLTON, M. 2002. Geographically Weighted Regression: the analysis of spatially varying relationships, Chichester, Wiley.
- GHOSH, D. & MANSON, S. M. 2008. Robust Principal Component Analysis and Geographically Weighted Regression: Urbanization in the Twin Cities Metropolitan Area (TCMA). *URISA Journal*, 20, 15-25.
- GOODCHILD, M. F. 2004. The Validity and Usefulness of Laws in Geographic Information Science and Geography. *Annals of the Association of American Geographers*, 94, 300-303.
- HARRIS, P. & BRUNSDON, C. 2010. Exploring spatial variation and spatial relationships in a freshwater acidification critical load data set for Great Britain using geographically weighted summary statistics. *Comput. Geosci.*, 36, 54-70.
- HARRIS, P., BRUNSDON, C. & CHARLTON, M. 2011a. Geographically weighted principal components analysis. *International Journal of Geographical Information Science*, 25, 1717-1736.
- HARRIS, P., BRUNSDON, C. & CHARLTON, M. 2012. Multivariate spatial outlier detection: a comparison of techniques. *geoENV 2012*. Valencia, Spain.
- HARRIS, P., BRUNSDON, C. & FOTHERINGHAM, A. 2011b. Links, comparisons and extensions of the geographically weighted regression model when used as a spatial predictor. *Stochastic Environmental Research and Risk Assessment*, 25, 123-138.
- HARRIS, P., CHARLTON, M. & FOTHERINGHAM, A. 2010a. Moving window kriging with geographically weighted variograms. *Stochastic Environmental Research and Risk Assessment*, 24, 1193-1209.

- HARRIS, P., FOTHERINGHAM, A., CRESPO, R. & CHARLTON, M. 2010b. The Use of Geographically Weighted Regression for Spatial Prediction: An Evaluation of Models Using Simulated Data Sets. *Mathematical Geosciences*, 42, 657-680.
- HARRIS, P., FOTHERINGHAM, A. S. & JUGGINS, S. 2010c. Robust Geographically Weighted Regression: A Technique for Quantifying Spatial Relationships Between Freshwater Acidification Critical Loads and Catchment Attributes. *Annals of the Association of American Geographers*, 100, 286-306.
- HARRIS, P. & JUGGINS, S. 2011. Estimating Freshwater Acidification Critical Load Exceedance Data for Great Britain Using Space-Varying Relationship Models. *Mathematical Geosciences*, 43, 265-292.
- LU, B., CHARLTON, M. & FOTHERINGHAM, A. S. 2011. Geographically Weighted Regression Using a Non-Euclidean Distance Metric with a Study on London House Price Data. *Procedia Environmental Sciences*, 7, 92-97.
- LU, B., CHARLTON, M. & HARRIS, P. Year. Geographically Weighted Regression using a non-euclidean distance metric with simulation data. *In:* 2012 First International Conference on Agro-Geoinformatics, 2-4 Aug. 2012 2012. 1-4.
- NAKAYA, T., FOTHERINGHAM, A. S., BRUNSDON, C. & CHARLTON, M. 2005. Geographically weighted Poisson regression for disease association mapping. *Statistics in Medicine*, 24, 2695-2717.
- NAKAYA, T., FOTHERINGHAM, A. S., CHARLTON, M. & BRUNSDON, C. Year. Semiparametric geographically weighted generalised linear modelling in GWR 4.0. *In:* LEES, B. & LAFFAN, S., eds. 10th International Conference on GeoComputation, 2009 UNSW Sydney, Australia.
- R DEVELOPMENT CORE TEAM 2011. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing.
- SWAMY, P. A. V. B., CONWAY, R. K. & LEBLANC, M. R. 1988. The stochastic coefficients approach to econometric modeling, part 1: a critique of fixed coefficients models. Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (U.S.).
- WHEELER, D. 2011. Package gwrr: Geographically weighted regression with penalties and diagnostic tools. http://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/gwrr.