A&A 521, L21 (2010) DOI: 10.1051/0004-6361/201015116 © ESO 2010

Herschel/HIFI: first science highlights

Astronomy Astrophysics Special feature

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

Herschel observations of EXtra-Ordinary Sources (HEXOS): The Terahertz spectrum of Orion KL seen at high spectral resolution*

N. R. Crockett¹, E. A. Bergin¹, S. Wang¹, D. C. Lis², T. A. Bell², G. A. Blake², A. Boogert¹⁶, B. Bumble¹³, S. Cabrit²⁴,

E. Caux^{4,5}, C. Ceccarelli⁶, J. Cernicharo⁷, C. Comito⁸, F. Daniel^{7,9}, M.-L. Dubernet^{10,11}, M. Emprechtinger²,

P. Encrenaz⁹, E. Falgarone²⁵, M. Gerin⁹, T. F. Giesen¹², J. R. Goicoechea⁷, P. F. Goldsmith¹³, H. Gupta¹³, R. Güsten⁸, P. Hartogh²², F. Helmich²⁰, E. Herbst¹⁴, N. Honingh¹², C. Joblin^{4,5}, D. Johnstone¹⁵, A. Karpov², J. H. Kawamura¹³, J. Kooi², J.-M. Krieg⁹, W. D. Langer¹³, W. D. Latter¹⁶, S. D. Lord¹⁶, S. Maret⁶, P. G. Martin¹⁷, G. J. Melnick¹⁸,

K. M. Menten⁸, P. Morris¹⁶, H. S. P. Müller¹², J. A. Murphy¹⁹, D. A. Neufeld³, V. Ossenkopf^{12,20}, J. C. Pearson¹³, M. Pérault⁹, T. G. Phillips², R. Plume²¹, S.-L. Qin¹², P. Roelfsema²⁰, R. Schieder¹², P. Schilke^{8,12}, S. Schlemmer¹²,

J. Stutzki¹², F. F. S. van der Tak²¹, A. Tielens²³, N. Trappe¹⁹, C. Vastel^{4,5}, H. W. Yorke¹³, S. Yu¹³, and J. Zmuidzinas²

(Affiliations are available on page 5 of the online edition)

Received 31 May 2010 / Accepted 15 July 2010

ABSTRACT

We present the first high spectral resolution observations of Orion KL in the frequency ranges 1573.4-1702.8 GHz (band 6b) and 1788.4–1906.8 GHz (band 7b) obtained using the HIFI instrument on board the Herschel Space Observatory. We characterize the main emission lines found in the spectrum, which primarily arise from a range of components associated with Orion KL including the hot core, but also see widespread emission from components associated with molecular outflows traced by H₂O, SO₂, and OH. We find that the density of observed emission lines is significantly diminished in these bands compared to lower frequency Herschel/HIFI bands.

Key words. astrochemistry - ISM: general - ISM: clouds - ISM: molecules - submillimeter: ISM

1. Introduction

The Kleinmann-Low nebula within the Orion molecular cloud (Orion KL) is the best studied massive star forming region in the Milky Way. This region is characterized by a high IR luminosity (Kleinmann & Low 1967) and rich molecular line emission. As such, it has been the subject of numerous molecular line surveys in the millimeter and submillimeter that have characterized its mm/sub-mm wave spectrum (see e.g. Schilke et al. 1997; Comito et al. 2005; Tercero et al. 2010, and references therein). These surveys reveal the presence of a prodigious variety of molecular species in addition to several distinct spatial/velocity components (i.e. the hot core, compact ridge, plateau, and extended ridge; Blake et al. 1987; Persson et al. 2007). These observations provide insight into the complex chemical and physical processes that characterize how massive stars form and interact with their natal environment. Because Orion KL is the closest such massive star forming region (~414 pc; Menten et al. 2007), it is an ideal choice for further inquiry in understanding the chemistry and physics of the gas in close proximity to these stars.

above 1.57 THz obtained using the HIFI instrument. These observations, taken as part of the guaranteed time key program Herschel observations of EXtra-Ordinary Sources: The Orion and Sagittarius B2 Starforming Regions (HEXOS), are able to probe the chemical inventory and kinematic structure of Orion KL at an unprecedented level. In this work, we characterize

Although the subject of much spectroscopic study in the mm /sub-mm ($\lambda \gtrsim 300 \,\mu$ m) during the past 30 years, high resolution

observations at Terahertz (THz) frequencies of Orion KL have

been unavailable from the ground due to atmospheric absorp-

tion. The ISO provided the first comprehensive spectroscopic

view of Orion KL at these wavelengths. Lerate et al. (2006) pre-

sented spectroscopic observations in the wavelength range 44-

188 μ m (1.6–6.8 THz) with a resolving power of $\lambda/\Delta\lambda \sim 6800$ –

9700 using the long wavelength spectrometer (LWS) on board

ISO. These data showed a spectrum dominated by emission from

H₂O, OH, and CO, but little or no emission from more complex

species (such as methanol, methyl formate, dimethyl ether, etc.),

Herschel Space Observatory (Pilbratt et al. 2010) provides the

first opportunity to characterize the THz spectrum with high

spectral resolution and sensitivity. In this Letter, we present

the first high resolution $(\lambda/\Delta\lambda \sim 10^6)$ spectrum of Orion KL

the high resolution THz spectrum. We further demonstrate and

The HIFI instrument (de Graauw et al. 2010) on board the

which litter the spectrum at submillimeter wavelengths.

^{*} Herschel is an ESA space observatory with science instruments provided by European-led Principal Investigator consortia and with important participation from NASA.



Fig. 1. SSB spectrum of the Orion KL hot core in bands 6b (*top panel*) and 7b (*bottom panel*) smoothed to a velocity resolution of ~4.5 km s⁻¹. The strongest lines ($T_{MB} \gtrsim 7$ K) are labelled.

discuss why the observed line density is reduced when compared to lower frequencies.

Main beam efficiencies for bands 6b and 7b were assumed to be 0.64 and 0.63, respectively. We estimate the typical RMS in both bands to be $T_A^* \approx 0.9$ K at the original spectral resolution.

2. Observations

The observations were carried out on March 22–23, 2010 using the wide band spectrometer (WBS) with a spectral resolution of 1.1 MHz (0.19 km s⁻¹ at 1.75 THz) over a ~2.4 GHz IF bandwidth. The data were taken in dual beam switch (DBS) mode using the fast chop setting pointed towards the Orion hot core at coordinates $\alpha_{J2000} = 5^{h}35^{m}14.5^{s}$ and $\delta_{J2000} = -5^{\circ}22'30.9''$. The beam size at 1.75 THz is 12'' and the DBS reference beams lie approximately 3' east and west. Both H and V polarization data were obtained. However, we only present the H polarization here because the mixer is optimized for the H polarization. These data were reduced using HIPE (Ott 2010) with pipeline version 2.4.

The observations presented here are full spectral scans of bands 6b and 7b, meaning they cover a frequency range of 1573.4–1702.8 GHz (176.2–190.7 μ m) and 1788.4–1906.8 GHz (157.3–167.7 μ m), respectively. These spectral scans consist of double-sideband (DSB) spectra with a redundancy of 4, which are deconvolved into single-sideband (SSB) spectra. This procedure is outlined in Bergin et al. (2010). We applied the standard HIFI deconvolution using the *doDeconvolution* task within HIPE with no channel weighting or gain correction. Strong spurs and noisy DSB data sets were not included in the deconvolution and no fringing correction was applied. All data presented in this Letter are deconvolved SSB spectra.

After the deconvolution was performed, the data were exported to FITS format and all subsequent data reduction and analysis was performed using the IRAM GILDAS package.

3. Results

The SSB spectra for bands 6b and 7b are given in Fig. 1 smoothed to a velocity resolution of ~4.5 km s⁻¹ and corrected for a $V_{\rm LSR} = 9$ km s⁻¹ with the most prominent lines (peak T_{MB} \gtrsim 7 K) labelled. Polynomial baselines of order 2 are also subtracted from each spectrum. We find that these observations are dominated by strong lines of CO, H2O, and OH as was reported by Lerate et al. (2006). With the higher spectral resolution of HIFI, we also detect additional strong lines of CH₃OH, H₂S, HCN, and HDO. Line identifications were made with the aid of the XCLASS program¹ which accesses both the CDMS (Müller et al. 2001, 2005, http://www.cdms.de) and JPL (Pickett et al. 1998, http://spec.jpl.nasa.gov)molecular databases. We list these transitions along with their integrated intensities in Table 1. Line intensities were measured using the CLASS data reduction and analysis software package. In instances where there were blends, Gaussian profiles were fit to the lines and the results from the fitted profiles are reported; otherwise the total intensity is measured directly using the BASE command. All line intensities were measured using spectra smoothed to a velocity resolution of ~1 km s⁻¹. Uncertainties in the integrated intensities, σ_I , were computed using the relation $\sigma_I(K \text{ km s}^{-1})$ = $\sqrt{N}(\delta v)$ RMS where δv is the resolution in velocity space, N is the number of channels over which the intensity is measured, and RMS is the root mean square deviation in the vicinity of

¹ http://www.astro.uni-koeln.de/projects/schilke/ XCLASS

Table 1. Strong lines in bands 6b and 7b.

$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Molecule	Frequency (MHz)	Transition	$\int T_{MB} \mathrm{d}v$ (K km s ⁻¹)	Notes
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			Band 6b		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	H_2O	1574232.073	$6_{4,3}-7_{1,6}$	70.8 ± 6.7	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	CH₃OH	1586012.991	851-741	85.3 ± 3.0	3
H_2S 1592669.425 $7_{2,5}-7_{1,6}$ 69.5 ± 3.4 HCN 1593341.504 $18-17$ 138.4 ± 5.5 1 $H_2^{34}S$ 1595984.323 $4_{2,3}-3_{1,2}$ 76.3 ± 5.1 CH ₃ OH 1597947.024 $9_{6,0}-8_{5,0}$ 84.4 ± 4.1 3 1597947.024 $9_{6,1}-8_{5,1}$ 3 H ₂ S 1599752.748 $4_{2,3}-3_{1,2}$ 258.0 ± 6.4 H ₂ O 1602219.182 $4_{1,3}-4_{0,4}$ 959.0 ± 8.5 H ₂ ³⁴ S 1605957.883 $6_{1,5}-6_{0,6}$ 116.0 ± 6.0 3 H ₂ S 1605962.460 $4_{1,3}-4_{0,4}$ 3 H_2 S 1608602.794 $6_{2,5}-6_{1,6}$ 91.8 ± 4.2 CO 1611793.518 $14-13$ 4653.0 ± 12.0 2 H_2^{18} O 1633483.600 $2_{2,1}-2_{1,2}$ 192.0 ± 9.3 3 CH ₃ OH 1633493.496 $13_{4,0}-12_{3,0}$ 3 H_2 S 1648712.816 $4_{2,2}-3_{3,1}$ 124.9 ± 4.9 1 ^{13}CO 1650767.302 $15-14$	5	1586013.008	$8_{50} - 7_{40}$		3
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	H_2S	1592669.425	$7_{25} - 7_{16}$	69.5 ± 3.4	
$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	HČN	1593341.504	18–17	138.4 ± 5.5	1
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$H_2^{34}S$	1595984.323	$4_{23}-3_{12}$	76.3 ± 5.1	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	CH₃OH	1597947.024	960-850	84.4 ± 4.1	3
H_2S 1599752.748 $4_{2,3}$ - $3_{1,2}$ 258.0 ± 6.4 H_2O 1602219.182 $4_{1,3}$ - $4_{0,4}$ 959.0 ± 8.5 $H_2^{34}S$ 1605957.883 $6_{1,5}$ - $6_{0,6}$ 116.0 ± 6.0 3 $H_2^{18}O$ 1605962.460 $4_{1,3}$ - $4_{0,4}$ 3 H_2S 1608602.794 $6_{2,5}$ - $6_{1,6}$ 91.8 ± 4.2 CO 1611793.518 14 - 13 4653.0 ± 12.0 2 $H_2^{18}O$ 1633483.600 $2_{2,1}$ - $2_{1,2}$ 192.0 ± 9.3 3 CH_3OH 1633493.496 $13_{4,0}$ - $12_{3,0}$ 3 3 H_2S 1648712.816 $4_{2,2}$ - $3_{3,1}$ 124.9 ± 4.9 1 ^{13}CO 1650767.302 15 - 14 287.0 ± 8.0 3 CH_OH 1650817.827 $21_{4,2}$ $20_{4,2}$ 3	5	1597947.024	961-851		3
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	H_2S	1599752.748	$4_{23}-3_{12}$	258.0 ± 6.4	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	H_2O	1602219.182	$4_{13} - 4_{04}$	959.0 ± 8.5	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$H_{2}^{34}S$	1605957.883	$6_{15} - 6_{06}$	116.0 ± 6.0	3
H_2S 1608602.794 $6_{2,5}-6_{1,6}$ 91.8 ± 4.2 CO 1611793.518 14-13 4653.0 ± 12.0 2 $H_2^{18}O$ 1633483.600 $2_{2,1}-2_{1,2}$ 192.0 ± 9.3 3 CH_3OH 1633493.496 13_{4,0}-12_{3,0} 3 H_2S 1648712.816 $4_{2,2}-3_{3,1}$ 124.9 ± 4.9 1 ^{13}CO 1650767.302 15-14 287.0 ± 8.0 3 CH_OH 1650817.827 21.4 20.4 3	$H_2^{-18}O$	1605962.460	$4_{13} - 4_{04}$		3
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	H ₂ S	1608602.794	$6_{25}-6_{16}$	91.8 ± 4.2	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	CO	1611793.518	14–13	4653.0 ± 12.0	2
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$H_{2}^{18}O$	1633483.600	$2_{21}-2_{12}$	192.0 ± 9.3	3
H ₂ S 1648712.816 $4_{2,2}-3_{3,1}$ 124.9 ± 4.9 1 ¹³ CO 1650767.302 $15-14$ 287.0 ± 8.0 3 CH_OH 1650817.827 21.5 20.5	CH ₃ OH	1633493.496	$13_{40} - 12_{30}$		3
¹³ CO 1650767.302 15–14 287.0 \pm 8.0 3 CH.OH 1650817.827 21 20 3	H ₂ S	1648712.816	$4_{22} - 3_{31}$	124.9 ± 4.9	1
CH.OH 1650817.827 21 20 3	^{13}CO	1650767.302	15-14	287.0 + 8.0	3
$C_{12}O_{11} = 10.0017.027 = 2140-2020$	CH ₃ OH	1650817.827	$21_{40}-20_{20}$	20110 2 010	3
$H_2^{18}O$ 1655867.627 $2_{12}-1_{01}$ 75.6 + 8.2 2	$H_{2}^{18}O$	1655867.627	$2_{1,2} - 1_{0,1}$	75.6 + 8.2	2
$H_2 O = 1661007.637$ $2_{2,1}-2_{1,2} = 1008.0 + 9.2 = 2$	H_2O	1661007.637	$2_{2,1}-2_{1,2}$	1008.0 ± 9.2	2
$H_2^{17}O$ 1662464 387 $2_{1,2}^{1,2} = 10000 \pm 3.2$ 2	$H_2^{17}O$	1662464 387	$2_{1,2} = 1_{0,1}$	155.0 ± 7.4	2
H ₂ O 1669904.775 $2_{1,2} - 1_{0,1}$ 2266.0 + 10.4 2	H_2O	1669904.775	$2_{1,2} - 1_{0,1}$	2266.0 + 10.4	2
HCN 1681615.473 $19-18$ $136.0 + 5.8$	HCN	1681615.473	19–18	136.0 ± 5.8	-
CH ₂ OH 1682556.723 $10_{5,1}-9_{4,1}$ 76.6 + 3.9 3	CH ₂ OH	1682556.723	1051-941	76.6 + 3.9	3
1682556.856 105.0-94.0 3	011,011	1682556.856	$10_{5,0} - 9_{4,0}$	/010 = 010	3
HDO 1684605.824 $6_{15}-6_{0,6}$ $71.9 + 4.2$	HDO	1684605.824	615-606	71.9 ± 4.2	U
Band 7h	112.0	100.0001021	Band 7b	/ 10/ = 112	
H_2O 1794788.953 $G_{2,4}-G_{1,5}$ 969.0 ± 8.5	H ₂ O	1794788.953	62 4-61 5	969.0 ± 8.5	
H_2O 1797158.762 $7_{2,4}-7_{2,5}$ 648.0 + 4.9	H_2O	1797158.762	$7_{24} - 7_{25}$	648.0 ± 4.9	
NH ₂ 1808935.550 $3_{1,1}-2_{1,0}$ 158.0 + 6.4 2	NH ₂	1808935.550	$3_{1,1} - 2_{1,0}$	158.0 ± 6.4	2
NH ₂ 1810377.792 $3_{2,1}-2_{2,0}$ 50.1 ± 8.3 2	NH ₂	1810377.792	$3_{21}-2_{20}$	50.1 ± 8.3	2
$H_2^{18}O = 1815853.411 = 5_{2,2}-5_{2,2} = 91.0 + 5.5$	$H_2^{18}O$	1815853.411	$5_{2,1} - 5_{2,0}$	91.0 ± 5.5	_
CH ₂ OH 1817752.285 $7_{70}-6_{50}$ 35.7 + 3.2	CH ₂ OH	1817752.285	770-660	35.7 + 3.2	
OH $1834747.350^{-2}\Pi_{1/2}3/2^{-}-1/2^{+}$ $627.0 + 9.7^{-}2.4$	OH	1834747.350	$^{2}\Pi_{1/2}3/2^{-}-1/2^{+}$	627.0 + 9.7	2.4
OH $1837816\ 820\ {}^{2}\Pi_{1/2}3/2^{+}-1/2^{-}$ $640\ 0+11\ 5\ 2\ 4$	OH	1837816 820	$^{2}\Pi_{1/2}3/2^{+}-1/2^{-}$	640.0 + 11.5	2,4
CO 1841345.506 $16-15$ 3820.0 ± 10.4 2	CO	1841345.506	16–15	3820.0 ± 10.4	2, 1
H ₂ S 1846768 559 6_{16} = 505 237 0 + 5.8	HaS	1846768 559	616-505	237.0 ± 5.8	-
H_2S 1852685.693 $5_{1,4}$ - $4_{2,2}$ 181.0 + 4.8	H_2S	1852685.693	$5_{1,4}$ $-4_{2,2}$	181.0 ± 4.8	
H_2S 1862435 697 $5_{2,4}-4_{1,2}$ 84 7 + 5 2	H_2S	1862435 697	$5_{1,4} - 4_{1,2}$	847 + 52	
$H_2S = 1865620.670 \qquad 3_{2,4} = 173.6 \pm 7.8$	H_2S	1865620.670	$3_{2,4} - 2_{2,1}$	173.6 ± 7.8	
H_2O 1867748 594 $5_{2,2}-5_{2,2}$ 864 0 + 8 8	H_2O	1867748 594	$5_{3,0} = 2_{2,1}$	864.0 + 8.8	
H_2O 1880752 750 $G_{2,4}-7_{0,7}$ 135 0 + 5 4	H_2O	1880752 750	$6_{2,4} - 7_{0,7}$	135.0 ± 5.4	
H ₂ S 1882773.396 $8_{2,2}-8_{2,7}$ 37.9 + 4.0	H ₂ S	1882773.396	82 6-827	37.9 ± 4.0	
H_2O 1893686.801 $3_{2,1}-4_{0,4}$ 265 0 + 5 9	H_2O	1893686.801	$3_{2,1} - 4_{0,4}$	265.0 ± 5.9	
$H_2^{18}O = 1894323.823 = 3_{2,2} - 3_{1,2} = 161.0 + 6.4$	H ₂ ¹⁸ O	1894323 823	$3_{2,2} - 3_{1,2}$	161.0 ± 6.4	
H_2 = 100 102 1020 $J_{2,2} = J_{1,3}$ = 101 0 ± 0.4 H ₂ S = 1900140.572 $J_{1,2} = J_{0,7}$ = 83 80 + 6.7 3	H_2S	1900140 572	$7_{1,2} - 7_{0,7}$	83.80 ± 6.7	3
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		1900177.906	$7_{2.6} - 7_{1.7}$	55.66 - 0.7	3

Notes. 1 – Line intensities were fit using Gaussian profiles because of a blend. 2 – Lines contained self absorption. 3 – Subsequent lines with this note were severely blended and could not be separated by line fitting. The same integrated intensity is reported for both entries. 4 – Other OH transitions contributed to the integrated intensity reported. The strongest transition is given.

the line. In addition to the lines listed in Table 1, we also detect many additional weak transitions of CH_3OH , SO_2 , H_2S , and H_2O along with their isotopologues. Examples of several weak lines detected in bands 6b and 7b are plotted in Fig. 2. Integrated line intensities for these weaker transitions along with peak intensities for all lines will be reported in a later study.



Fig. 2. A small sample of weak lines in bands 6b (top panels) and 7b (bottom panels). All spectra are smoothed to a resolution of $\sim 1 \text{ km s}^{-1}$.

When comparing these spectra to other lower frequency HIFI bands, it is readily apparent that the line density is significantly diminished when compared to the lower frequency bands (see e.g. Bergin et al. 2010; Wang et al. 2010). We estimate that the total fraction of channels taken up by lines is ~ 0.23 in the lower frequency bands compared to ~ 0.07 in bands 6 and 7. We reached these estimates by counting the number of channels in emission in the frequency ranges 858.1-958.1 GHz (band 3b) and 1788.4-1898.5 GHz (band 7b). We adopt these line density estimates as being representative of the low and high frequency bands, respectively. Although not formally presented in this Letter, a full spectral scan of Orion KL taken in band 3b was also obtained as part of the HEXOS key program and used to estimate the line density here. These data were reduced in the same way as bands 6b/7b. Both bands were smoothed to a velocity resolution of $\sim 1 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ and any channel that had a value $T_{MB} > 2.5$ K (after baseline subtraction) was flagged as being in emission in 7b. This threshold is approximately what we have estimated as $3 \times$ the RMS in T_{MB} in band 7b at a resolution of 1 km s⁻¹ (RMS ~ 0.8 K). Because the beam size, θ , decreases as a function of frequency ($\theta_{3b} \sim 24''$), this value was scaled to an equivalent RMS in band 3b using the following relation,

$$T_{\rm RMS_{3b}} = T_{\rm RMS_{7b}} \left(\frac{\theta_{7b}}{\theta_{3b}}\right)^2,\tag{1}$$

which assumes that the source is significantly smaller than both beam sizes. Thus the reduced beam size should be more coupled to the smaller spatial components (e.g. the hot core). One might therefore naively expect the line density to increase at THz frequencies. The opposite trend, however, is observed.

4. Discussion

One of the primary reasons for the reduced line density in the high frequency bands is the fall off in emission from complex organic molecules – in particular the "weeds" such as CH₃OCH₃, SO₂, C₂H₅CN, and, of course, CH₃OH. In Fig. 3 we present the number of emissive lines for select "weeds" as a function of frequency. To estimate these numbers we assumed LTE and predicted the emission for each species assuming T = 150 K. We use the total column estimated for each molecule on the basis of Comito et al. (2005) and in addition assumed a velocity width



Fig. 3. Predicted number of lines with peak emission >0.1 K based on an LTE model in 100 GHz bins for select "weeds" as a function of frequency.

of 5 km s⁻¹. If the predicted emission was above 0.1 K then we counted the line as potentially emissive in our 100 GHz bins. In this fashion we counted $N_{0.1 \text{ K}}$, which is shown in the figure. As can be seen, there is a general decrease in emission for all species but its particularly evident for CH₃OCH₃ and C₂H₅CN. CH₃OH has a small factor of 2 decrease in the number of lines and, at the zeroth level, this is seen in our data which has numerous weak methanol transitions scattered throughout the band.

Another possibility is that the dust emission from the hot core is optically thick in the high frequency bands; thus the dust would absorb all of the photons emitted from the molecules in the hot core. To explore this more closely we can examine the dust opacity expected within the hot core itself. Plume et al. (2010, in preparation) used multiple transitions of $C^{18}O$ and spectrally isolated the hot core. They estimate an $N(C^{18}O) = 1.7 \times 10^{16}$ cm⁻² which yields a total H₂ column of 3.4×10^{23} cm⁻² assuming $n(C^{18}O)/n(H_2) = 1.7 \times 10^{-7}$ (Frerking et al. 1982). Using the relation given in Hildebrand (1983, Eq. (10)), we estimate a $\tau \sim 0.1$ at 171 μ m, putting it slightly lower than being optically thick.

It is clear that there are other emission components in this region as we see widespread emission from a variety of molecules in the high frequency bands. However, we still observe many molecules (CH₃OH, H₂O, HDO, and HCN) that have velocity components in their spectral profiles that are coincident to those expected from the hot core and other components (e.g. the outflows). If these emission components do arise in the the hot core, it is likely that the molecular emission region must lie in front of any optically thick core. Given the presence of strong physical gradients in the density and temperature profiles (Wright et al. 1996; Blake et al. 1996) and the fact that the dust is marginally optically thick, this is not unrealistic. A final contributor to the decrease in the line emission could be non-LTE excitation. At high frequencies there are a larger number of high excitation lines which could be more difficult to excite even at densities of 10^{6} – 10^{7} cm⁻³. This needs to be more directly calculated using a molecule such as CH₃OH with collision rates that extend to temperatures greater than 200–300 K.

5. Summary

We have characterized the high frequency spectrum of Orion KL. We find that the spectrum is dominated by strong lines of CO, H_2O , HDO, OH, CH_3OH , H_2S , HCN, and NH_3 . We also detect many weaker transitions of CH_3OH , H_2O , HDO, and SO_2 . We find that the line density is diminished in the high frequency bands when compared to the lower frequency bands and provide a number of explanations as to why this may be.

Acknowledgements. HIFI has been designed and built by a consortium of institutes and university departments from across Europe, Canada and the United States under the leadership of SRON Netherlands Institute for Space Research, Groningen, The Netherlands and with major contributions from Germany, France and the US. Consortium members are: Canada: CSA, U. Waterloo; France: CESR, LAB, LERMA, IRAM; Germany: KOSMA, MPIfR, MPS; Ireland, NUI Maynooth; Italy: ASI, IFSI-INAF, Osservatorio Astrofisico di Arcetri- INAF; Netherlands: SRON, TUD; Poland: CAMK, CBK; Spain: Observatorio Astronòmico Nacional (IGN), Centro de Astrobiologìa (CSIC-INTA). Sweden: Chalmers University of Technology – MC2, RSS & GARD; Onsala Space Observatory; Switzerland: ETH Zurich, FHNW; USA: Caltech, JPL, NHSC. Support for this work was provided by NASA through an award issued by JPL/Caltech. CSO is supported by the NSF, award AST-0540882.

References

- Bergin, E. A., Phillips, T. G., Comito, C., et al. 2010, A&A, 521, L20
- Blake, G. A., Mundy, L. G., Carlstrom, J. E., et al. 1996, ApJ, 472, L49
- Blake, G. A., Sutton, E. C., Masson, C. R., & Phillips, T. G. 1987, ApJ, 315, 621
- Comito, C., Schilke, P., Phillips, T. G., et al. 2005, ApJS, 156, 127
- de Graauw, Th., Helmich, F. P., Phillips, T. G., et al. 2010, A&A, 518, L6
- Frerking, M. A., Langer, W. D., & Wilson, R. W. 1982, ApJ, 262, 590
- Hildebrand, R. H. 1983, QJRAS, 24, 267
- Kleinmann, D. E. & Low, F. J. 1967, ApJ, 149, L1
- Lerate, M. R., Barlow, M. J., Swinyard, B. M., et al. 2006, MNRAS, 370, 597
- Menten, K. M., Reid, M. J., Forbrich, J., & Brunthaler, A. 2007, A&A, 474, 515
- Müller, H. S. P., Schlöder, F., Stutzki, J., & Winnewisser, G. 2005, J. Mol. Struct., 742, 215
- Müller, H. S. P., Thorwirth, S., Roth, D. A., & Winnewisser, G. 2001, A&A, 370, L49
- Ott, S. 2010, in Astronomical Data Analysis Software and Systems XIX, ed. Y. Mizumoto, K. I. Morita, & M.Ohishi, ASP Conf. Ser., in press
- Persson, C. M., Olofsson, A. O. H., Koning, N., et al. 2007, A&A, 476, 807
- Pickett, H. M., Poynter, I. R. L., Cohen, E. A., et al. 1998, J. Quant. Spectr. Radiat. Transf., 60, 883
- Pilbratt, G. L., Riedinger, J. R., Passvogel, T., et al. 2010, A&A, 518, L1
- Schilke, P., Groesbeck, T. D., Blake, G. A., & Phillips, T. G. 1997, ApJS, 108, 301
- Tercero, B., Cernicharo, J., Pardo, J. R., & Goicoechea, J. R. 2010, A&A, 517, A96
- Wang, S., Bergin, E. A., Crockett, N. R., et al. 2010, A&A, submitted
- Wright, M. C. H., Plambeck, R. L., & Wilner, D. J. 1996, ApJ, 469, 216

- ¹ Department of Astronomy, University of Michigan, 500 Church Street, Ann Arbor, MI 48109, USA e-mail: ncrocket@umich.edu
- ² California Institute of Technology, Cahill Center for Astronomy and Astrophysics 301-17, Pasadena, CA 91125, USA
- ³ Department of Physics and Astronomy, Johns Hopkins University, 3400 North Charles Street, Baltimore, MD 21218, USA
- ⁴ Centre d'Étude Spatiale des Rayonnements, Université de Toulouse [UPS], 31062 Toulouse Cedex 9, France
- ⁵ CNRS/INSU, UMR 5187, 9 avenue du Colonel Roche, 31028 Toulouse Cedex 4, France
- ⁶ Laboratoire d'Astrophysique de l'Observatoire de Grenoble, BP 53, 38041 Grenoble, Cedex 9, France
- ⁷ Centro de Astrobiología (CSIC/INTA), Laboratiorio de Astrofísica Molecular, Ctra. de Torrejón a Ajalvir, km 4, 28850 Torrejón de Ardoz, Madrid, Spain
- ⁸ Max-Planck-Institut für Radioastronomie, Auf dem Hügel 69, 53121 Bonn, Germany
- ⁹ LERMA, CNRS UMR8112, Observatoire de Paris and École Normale Supérieure, 24 rue Lhomond, 75231 Paris Cedex 05, France
- ¹⁰ LPMAA, UMR7092, Université Pierre et Marie Curie, Paris, France
- ¹¹ LUTH, UMR8102, Observatoire de Paris, Meudon, France
- ¹² I. Physikalisches Institut, Universität zu Köln, Zülpicher Str. 77, 50937 Köln, Germany

- ¹³ Jet Propulsion Laboratory, Caltech, Pasadena, CA 91109, USA
- ¹⁴ Departments of Physics, Astronomy and Chemistry, Ohio State University, Columbus, OH 43210, USA
- ¹⁵ National Research Council Canada, Herzberg Institute of Astrophysics, 5071 West Saanich Road, Victoria, BC V9E 2E7, Canada
- ¹⁶ Infrared Processing and Analysis Center, California Institute of Technology, MS 100-22, Pasadena, CA 91125, USA
- ¹⁷ Canadian Institute for Theoretical Astrophysics, University of Toronto, 60 St George St, Toronto, ON M5S 3H8, Canada
- ¹⁸ Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, 60 Garden Street, Cambridge MA 02138, USA
- ¹⁹ National University of Ireland Maynooth. Ireland
- ²⁰ SRON Netherlands Institute for Space Research, PO Box 800, 9700 AV, Groningen, The Netherlands
- ²¹ Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Calgary, 2500 University Drive NW, Calgary, AB T2N 1N4, Canada
- ²² MPI für Sonnensystemforschung, 37191 Katlenburg-Lindau, Germany
- ²³ Leiden Observatory, Leiden University, PO Box 9513, 2300 RA
 Leiden, The Netherlands
- ²⁴ LERMA & UMR8112 du CNRS, Observatoire de Paris, 61 Av. de l'Observatoire, 75014 Paris, France
- ²⁵ LERMA, CNRS UMR8112, Observatoire de Paris and École Normale Supérieure, 24 rue Lhomond, 75231 Paris Cedex 05, France