

DOI: 10.5433/1679-0359.2016v37n4Supl1p2365

## Genetic diversity of pacu and piapara broodstocks in restocking programs in the rivers Paraná and Paranapanema (Brazil)

### Diversidade genética de estoques de Pacu e Piapara em programas de repovoamento nos rios Paraná e Paranapanema (Brasil)

Nelson Mauricio Lopera-Barrero<sup>1\*</sup>; Jayme Aparecido Povh<sup>2</sup>;  
Rodolfo Nardez Sirol<sup>3</sup>; Maria del Pilar Rodriguez-Rodriguez<sup>4</sup>;  
Ed Christian Suzuki de Lima<sup>5</sup>; Angela Rocio Poveda-Parra<sup>6</sup>;  
Felipe Pinheiro de Souza<sup>7</sup>; Pâmela Juliana Furlan Murari<sup>8</sup>;  
Rodrigo Alejandro Arellano Otonel<sup>9</sup>; Ricardo Pereira Ribeiro<sup>10</sup>

#### Abstract

The genetic diversity of *Piaractus mesopotamicus* (pacu) and *Leporinus elongatus* (piapara) broodstocks used in restocking programs in the rivers Paraná and Paranapanema is analyzed. One hundred and twenty specimens (two broodstocks of each species) from fish ponds in Palotina PR Brazil and in Salto Grande SP Brazil were assessed. Ten primers produced 96 fragments, comprising 68 (70.83%) and 94 (97.92%) polymorphic fragments for *P. mesopotamicus* and *L. elongatus* broodstocks, respectively. Differences ( $p < 0.05$ ) in the frequency of 15 and 27 fragments were detected for each species, without exclusive fragments. Shannon Index (0.347 - 0.572) and the percentage of polymorphic fragments (57.3% - 94.8%) revealed high intra-population genetic variability for all broodstocks. Results of molecular variance analyses (AMOVA) showed that most variations do not lie between the broodstocks but within each broodstock (89%). Genetic (0.088 and 0.142) and identity (0.916 and 0.868) distance rates demonstrated similarity between the broodstocks of each species, corroborated by  $F_{st}$  (0.1023 and 0.1027) and  $N_m$  (4.18 and 4.33) rates, with a slight genetic difference due to genic flux. High intra-population genetic variability and similarity between the broodstocks of each species was also detected, proving a common ancestry.

**Key words:** Broodstocks. Genetic conservation. Genetic variability. *Leporinus elongatus*. *Piaractus mesopotamicus*.

<sup>1</sup> Prof. Dr., Departamento de Zootecnia, Programa de Pós-Graduação em Ciência Animal, Universidade Estadual de Londrina, UEL, Londrina, PR, Brasil. E-mail: nmlopera@uel.br

<sup>2</sup> Prof. Dr., Universidade Federal de Mato Grosso do Sul, UFMS, Campo Grande, MS, Brasil. E-mail: jayme.peixegen@gmail.com

<sup>3</sup> Pesquisador, Dr. em Zootecnia, Companhia Paulista de Força e Luz, CPFL, Campinas, SP. E-mail: rnsirol@cpfl.com.br

<sup>4</sup> Dr<sup>a</sup> Pesquisadora, Universidade Federal dos Vales de Jequitinhonha e Mucuri, UFVJM, Campus JK, Diamantina, MG, Brasil. E-mail: rodrigopilar@gmail.com

<sup>5</sup> Mestre, Pesquisador, UEL, Londrina, PR, Brasil. E-mail: edchris7@hotmail.com

<sup>6</sup> Pós-Doutoranda, Departamento de Zootecnia, UEL, Londrina, PR, Brasil. E-mail: angelapovedaparra@hotmail.com

<sup>7</sup> Discente do Curso de Mestrado do Programa de Pós-Graduação em Ciência Animal, UEL, Londrina, PR, Brasil. E-mail: felipeps1991@gmail.com

<sup>8</sup> Discente do Curso de Doutorado do Programa de Pós-Graduação em Ciência Animal, UEL, Londrina, PR, Brasil. E-mail: pamelafurlan@outlook.com

<sup>9</sup> Prof. Dr., Centro Universitário Filadélfia, UNIFIL, Londrina, PR, Brasil. E-mail: otonel.rodrigo@gmail.com

<sup>10</sup> Prof. Dr., Departamento de Zootecnia, Programa de Pós-Graduação em Zootecnia, Universidade Estadual de Maringá, UEM, Maringá, PR, Brasil. E-mail: rpribeiro@uem.br

\* Author for correspondence

## Resumo

O objetivo da pesquisa foi analisar a diversidade genética de estoques de Pacu (*Piaractus mesopotamicus*) e Piapara (*Leporinus elongatus*) utilizados em programas de repovoamento dos rios Paraná e Paranapanema. Foram analisados 120 exemplares (dois estoques de cada espécie) de pisciculturas das cidades de Palotina (Paraná) e da cidade de Salto Grande (São Paulo). Os 10 iniciadores produziram 96 fragmentos, dos quais 68 (70,83%) e 94 (97,92%) foram polimórficos para os estoques de *P. mesopotamicus* e *L. elongatus*, respectivamente. Foram observadas diferenças ( $P < 0,05$ ) na frequência de 15 e 27 fragmentos para cada espécie, sem a presença de fragmentos exclusivos. Os valores do índice de Shannon (0,347 a 0,572) e da porcentagem de fragmentos polimórficos (57,3% a 94,8%) mostraram uma alta variabilidade genética intra-populacional para todos os estoques. Os resultados das análises de variância molecular (AMOVA) mostraram que a maior parte da variação está dentro de cada estoque (89%) e não entre os estoques. Os valores da distância (0,088 e 0,142) e identidade (0,916 e 0,868) genética demonstraram que existe similaridade entre os estoques de cada espécie, sendo corroborado pelos valores de  $F_{st}$  (0,1023 e 0,1027) e  $N_m$  (4,18 e 4,33) que mostraram uma moderada diferenciação genética com presença de fluxo gênico. Foi observada alta variabilidade genética intra-populacional e similaridade entre os estoques de cada espécie demonstrando uma origem em comum.

**Palavras-chave:** Conservação genética. *Leporinus elongatus*. Estoques de reprodutores. *Piaractus mesopotamicus*. Variabilidade genética.

## Introduction

Brazil has a great diversity of native fish with relevant characteristics for breeding and with great commercial capacity (MARENGONI et al., 2006; BOSCOLO et al., 2011). Due to their excellent zootechnical traits for fish cultivation and to their high commercial and cultural value for riverine populations of the populations living near the rivers Paraná and Paranapanema, the *Piaractus mesopotamicus* (pacu) and the *Leporinus elongatus* (piapara) may be highlighted among migratory native fish species in Brazil. However, deforestation, deterioration of river waters, climatic changes and hydroelectric plants reduced natural broodstocks in Brazilian rivers (HATANAKA et al., 2006; LOPERA-BARRERO, 2009).

Although restocking programs are increasingly employed in Brazilian rivers as conservation strategies (SIROL; BRITTO, 2006), they must be foregrounded on a scientific base that would determine reproduction, genetic and biological methodologies without a decrease in genetic variability of broodstocks and consequently of

natural populations in the rivers. Genetic monitoring of the populations in restocking programs are highly relevant (LOPERA-BARRERO, 2009; POVH et al., 2008a), with special reference to dominant molecular markers (POVH et al., 2008b; GANAIE; ALI, 2016).

Due to dominant molecular markers, RAPD techniques are efficient to calculate precisely and inexpensively the genetic variability in simple population studies, including restocking programs of native populations. Reviews published during the last five years (ABD EL NABY et al., 2015; ALMEIDA et al., 2013; BEHRMANN et al., 2015; GANAIE; ALI, 2016; GOMES et al., 2013; HASAN; GOSWAMI, 2015; LOPERA-BARRERO et al., 2015; RAMOS et al., 2012; RIBEIRO et al., 2016) have shown that, in spite of the influence of several factors in technique, optimization protocols produced reliable results which have been accepted by the scientific community worldwide.

Current assay investigates the genetic diversity of *P. mesopotamicus* and *L. elongatus* broodstocks employed in restocking programs in the rivers Paraná and Paranapanema, Brazil.

## Materials and Methods

One hundred and twenty samples of caudal fins (0.5 cm<sup>2</sup>) from four broodstocks (30 samples per broodstock) were collected. Two broodstocks of *P. mesopotamicus* (PacPAL) and *L. elongatus* (PiaPAL) were retrieved from a fish pond in Palotina PR Brazil (24° 12' S; 53° 50' W) and used for restocking programs in the river Paraná. The other two broodstocks *P. mesopotamicus* (PacSG) and *L. elongatus* (PiaSG) were retrieved from the Aquaculture and Hydrology Station of Duke Energy International in Salto Grande SP Brazil (22° 54' S; 50° 00' W) used for restocking programs in the river Paranapanema.

NaCl methodology, described by Lopera-Barrero et al. (2008), was employed for DNA extraction, quantified by spectrophotometer Shimadzu UV 1601, with wave length 260 nm and samples diluted for a concentration of 10 ng  $\mu\text{L}^{-1}$ . DNA integrity was verified by horizontal electrophoresis in agar gel 1% by buffer TBE 1X (500 mM Tris-HCl, 60

mM boric acid and 83 mM EDTA). Gel was stained with ethidium bromide (0.5 mg mL<sup>-1</sup>) for 30 minutes and image obtained by EDAS (Kodak 1D Image Analysis 3.5).

Amplification followed procedures by Williams et al. (1990), modified. DNA was amplified in a 15 mL reaction volume using buffer 1X Tris-KCl, 2.5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.46  $\mu\text{M}$  primer, 0.2 mM of each dNTP, a Platinun Taq DNA Polimerase unit (Invitrogen®, USA) and 10 ng target DNA. DNA was denatured at 94°C for four minutes, followed by 40 cycles, every one consisting of one minute denaturation at 94°C; 90 seconds of primer annealing at 40°C and two minutes extension at 72°C. A final extension at 72°C was performed for seven minutes. RAPD reactions were amplified in a thermocycler Eppendorf Mastercycler Gradient and 30 different primers were tested with 10 bases for kits OPA, OPW and OPX (Operon Technologies Ltd. Valencia, USA), from which the most reproducible and best defined were selected (Table 1).

**Table 1.** Sequence of primers and nucleotides, percentage of puric bases (G+C), number of fragments (n) and base pairs of fragmente in *P. mesopotamicus* (A) and *L. elongatus* (B) broodstocks.

Primers	Sequence	G+C	n (A)	Size (A)	n (B)	Size (B)
OPA02	TGC CGA GCT G	70	7	200-1100	7	350-1200
OPA04	AAT CGG GCT G	60	6	500-1200	6	400-1300
OPA16	AGC CAG CGA A	60	12	300-1700	14	200-1700
OPW01	CTC AGT GTC C	60	10	300-1700	7	300-1500
OPW02	ACC CCG CCA A	70	10	500-1800	10	400-1500
OPW03	GTC CGG AGT G	70	11	300-1700	10	400-1500
OPW04	CAG AAG CGG A	60	7	400-1500	8	500-1400
OPW08	GAC TGC CTC T	60	9	300-1400	9	400-1500
OPX01	CTG GGC ACG A	70	9	400-1500	10	300-1800
OPX03	TGG CGC AGT G	70	8	400-1300	9	400-1700
OPX04	CCG CTA CCG A	70	7	300-1300	6	400-1300
Total	---	---	96	200-1800	96	200-1800

Amplification products were separated in agar gel 1.5% and 15 mL of the amplified product and 2 mL of sample buffer (40% sucrose and 0.25%

bromophenol blue) in horizontal electrophorese were used. Electrophorese was conducted in a buffer TBE 0.5X (45 mM Tris-Borate and 1 mM

EDTA) for four hours at 70 volts. Quantification and amplification gels were visualized by UV radiation after exposure with ethidium bromide (0.5 mg/ mL<sup>-1</sup>) for one hour. Image was photographed with Kodak EDAS (Kodak 1D Image Analysis 3.5, USA). Blank samples in gels (without DNA), double amplifications and electrophoresis, coupled to a strict selection of fragments enhanced quality and reproducibility of the amplified products

Fragment size obtained by amplification was estimated by comparing with standard Ladder 100 bp (Invitrogen®, USA). The presence or absence of fragments of identical molecular size constructed a similarity matrix based on Jaccard's similarity coefficient, with 1 as the presence of the fragment and 0 as its absence.

Genetic variability was determined by Shannon's genetic diversity index and by the percentage of polymorphic fragments calculated with PopGene 1.31 (YEH et al., 1999). TFPGA 1.3 (MILLER, 1997) calculated the distance and genetic identity (NEI, 1978) between broodstocks and the frequency of exact fragments (RAYMOND; ROUSSET, 1995). Arlequin 3.0 (EXCOFFIER et al., 2007) determined the genetic difference between broodstocks by *F<sub>st</sub>* (WEIR; COCKERHAM, 1984) and for the analyses of molecular variance (AMOVA) (EXCOFFIER et al., 1992). Significance level of estimates was calculated by the randomized permutations method with 1000 and 10000 permutations. The same program was employed to determine the effective number of migrants (*N<sub>m</sub>*). Wright (1978) proposal was employed for differentiation level by which rates between 0.00 and 0.05; 0.051 and 0.15; 0.151 and 0.25 and >0.25 respectively indicate small, medium, high and very high genetic differentiation. Statistical significance of *F<sub>st</sub>* was calculated by test  $X^2 [c^2 = 2n F_{st} (k-1); GL = (k-1) (s-1)]$ , suggested by Workman and Niswander (1970), where *n* is the number of specimens in each group; *k* is the number of alleles; *s* is the number of groups.

## Results and Discussion

The 10 primers produced 96 fragments varying between six (OPA04) and twelve (OPA16) (*P. mesopotamicus* broodstocks) and between six (OPA04 - OPX04) and 14 (OPA16) (*L. elongatus* broodstocks), featuring 68 (70.83%) and 94 (97.92%) polymorphic fragments for the two species respectively (Table 1). According to Telles et al. (2001), the number of fragments was sufficient to calculate reliable genetic variability.

There was a difference ( $P < 0.05$ ) in the frequency of fragments in *P. mesopotamicus* (15 fragments) and *L. elongatus* (27 fragments) broodstocks. Ten limiting fragments (fragments with frequency 1,000) were observed in the *P. mesopotamicus* broodstock in Palotina (PacPAL) but not reported in the broodstock in Salto Grande (PacSG). Low frequency fragments (less than 0.100) were not extant in the broodstocks (Table 2). On the other hand, *L. elongatus* broodstocks (Piapara Palotina: PiaPAL and Piapara Salto Grande: PiaSG) revealed limiting fragments in the two stocks (PiaPAL: 9; PiaSG: 3) and one low frequency fragment (primer OPA04 - 1300 bp) (Table 3). There were no exclusive fragments in the broodstocks, presupposing possible genetic similarity.

Shannon Index (SI) of genetic variability and percentage of polymorphic fragments (%PF) revealed high rates of intra-population genetic variability for all broodstocks (Table 4) even with fixed alleles (limiting) in several broodstocks. In fact, genetic variability was preserved in the fish ponds, probably due to the fact that a sample with sufficient genetic pool was provided at its formation and which prevented the founding effect. According to Lopera-Barrero (2009), the first thing to undertake in the implantation of pisciculture or restocking programs is the verification of broodstocks' genetic variability. If low genetic variability occurs, low survival rates and adaptation to the environment of released offspring will result.

**Table 2.** Primers (I), size (Tam) and frequency of fragments with significant rates by exact test ( $P>0.05$ ) for *P. mesopotamicus* broodstock.

I	Tam(A)	Frequency		P <sup>3</sup>
		PacPAL <sup>1</sup>	PacSG <sup>2</sup>	
A02	300	0.742	0.423	0.001
A04	700	0.817	0.317	0.000
A16	1500	0.817	0.367	0.000
	1700	1.000	0.293	0.000
X01	500	1.000	0.247	0.000
	600	1.000	0.422	0.000
	800	0.517	0.225	0.001
	1200	1.000	0.293	0.000
X03	500	1.000	0.394	0.000
	800	1.000	0.484	0.001
	1100	1.000	0.367	0.000
X04	300	1.000	0.342	0.000
	400	0.635	0.247	0.000
	1200	1.000	0.293	0.000
W01	300	1.000	0.367	0.000

<sup>1</sup>PacPAL = pacu Palotina; <sup>2</sup>PacSG = pacu Salto Grande; <sup>3</sup>P: probability.

**Table 3.** Primers (I), size (Tam) and frequency of fragments with significant rates by exact test ( $P>0.05$ ) for *L. elongatus* broodstocks.

I	Tam (B)	Frequency		P <sup>3</sup>	Continue ...
		PiaPAL <sup>1</sup>	PiaSG <sup>2</sup>		
A02	450	1.000	0.342	0.000	
	1200	0.553	0.293	0.004	
A04	400	1.000	0.204	0.000	
	600	1.000	0.225	0.000	
	700	1.000	0.247	0.000	
	1000	1.000	0.270	0.000	
	1200	1.000	0.247	0.000	
A16	1300	0.517	0.069	0.000	
	200	1.000	0.394	0.000	
	600	0.553	0.270	0.001	
	1100	1.000	0.342	0.000	
X01	1700	0.553	0.247	0.002	
	300	0.553	0.247	0.001	
X01	500	1.000	0.270	0.000	
	500	0.144	0.394	0.001	
W01	600	0.184	0.484	0.004	
W01	950	0.342	0.684	0.001	
W03	700	0.247	0.452	0.006	
	1300	0.204	0.394	0.006	



... Continuation				
W04	500	0.183	0.452	0.001
	600	0.204	0.423	0.003
	700	0.163	0.394	0.001
W08	700	0.144	0.394	0.001
	900	0.293	1.000	0.000
	1000	0.204	0.484	0.001
	1300	0.247	1.000	0.000
	1500	0.317	1.000	0.000

<sup>1</sup>PiaPAL = piapara Palotina; <sup>2</sup>PiaSG = piapara Salto Grande; <sup>3</sup>P: probability.

**Table 4.** Number of specimens (N), Shannon Index (SI) and percentage of polymorphic fragments (PF) for *P. mesopotamicus* and *L. elongatus* broodstocks.

Broodstocks	N	SI	%PF
PacPAL <sup>2</sup>	30	0.408	65.6
PacSG <sup>1</sup>	30	0.347	57.3
PiaPAL <sup>4</sup>	30	0.572	94.8
PiaSG <sup>3</sup>	30	0.500	86.5

<sup>1</sup>PacSG = pacu Salto Grande; <sup>2</sup>PacPAL = pacu Palotina; <sup>3</sup>PiaSG = piapara Salto Grande; <sup>4</sup>PiaPAL = piapara Palotina.

High variability in broodstocks presupposes that offspring for restocking also have high variability, making feasible their release in the rivers Paraná and Paranapanema without jeopardizing the natural populations. In broodstock samplings from the same fish pond in Salto Grande for the species *P. mesopotamicus* and *L. elongatus*, Povh et al. (2008a) and Gomes et al. (2008) reported rates (SI = 0.289 and %FP = 56.36%; SI = 0.447 and %FP = 88.8%, respectively) similar to those in current research for PacSG and PiaSG. The above reveals good reproduction management of broodstocks and their viability in restocking programs. In another research on the genetic variability of *P. mesopotamicus* broodstocks in restocking programs in the river Paranapanema, Povh et al. (2009) reported high genetic variability (%PF = 71.4% - 75%; SI = 0.376 - 0.434) and thus adequate reproduction management. Almeida et al. (2013) assessed the genetic variability of broodstocks (natural population) and fingerlings in a *Salminus brasiliensis* restocking program and observed higher percentages of polymorphic fragments in natural populations than in fry

broodstocks and lower genetic diversity rates. The above corroborates the importance of adequate reproduction management for the variability of offspring.

Molecular variance (AMOVA) demonstrated that most variations lay within each broodstock and not between the broodstocks (89.77 for *P. mesopotamicus* and 89.73 for *L. elongatus*). Likewise, distance (0.088 and 0.142 for *P. mesopotamicus* and *L. elongatus*, respectively) and genetic identity (0.916 and 0.868 for *P. mesopotamicus* and *L. elongatus*, respectively) demonstrated similarity among the broodstocks of each species (Table 5). Results seem to show that broodstocks had a common ancestry. The hypothesis has been confirmed by the lack of exclusive fragments in the broodstocks of each species, Fst rate (0.1023 and 0.1027 for *P. mesopotamicus* and *L. elongatus*, respectively) and Nm rate (4.18 and 4,33 for *P. mesopotamicus* and *L. elongatus*, respectively) with a slight genetic difference (according to Wright's classification) with genetic flux (Table 6).

**Table 5.** Analysis of molecular variance (ANOVA), variation source (VS), sum of squares (SSQ), coefficient of variation (CV), percentage of variation (%V), distance (D) and genetic identity (I) for *P. mesopotamicus* and *L. elongatus* broodstocks.

Groupings	VS	SSQ	CV	%V	D	I
PacPAL <sup>1</sup> x PacSG <sup>2</sup>	E.L	45.900	1.18379	10.23*	0.088	0.916
	D.L	602.400	10.38621	89.77		
	Total	648.300	11.57000	100		
PiaPAL <sup>3</sup> x PiaSG <sup>4</sup>	E.L	92.100	2.37770	10.27*	0.142	0.868
	D.L	1204.600	20.76897	89.73		
	Total	1296.700	23.14667	100		

\*P<0.05. E.L. = between broodstocks. D.L. = within broodstocks. <sup>1</sup>PacPAL = pacu Palotina; <sup>2</sup>PacSG = pacu Salto Grande; <sup>3</sup>PiaPAL = piapara Palotina; <sup>4</sup>PiaSG = piapara Salto Grande.

**Table 6.** Fst, X<sup>2</sup> test for Fst, genetic difference according to Wright (1978) and number of emigrants (Nm) for different groupings analyzed in *P. mesopotamicus* and *L. elongatus* broodstocks.

Groupings	Fst	Wright	X <sup>2</sup>	Nm
PacPAL <sup>1</sup> x PacSG <sup>2</sup>	0.1023*	Fair	6.138	4.18
PiaPAL <sup>3</sup> x PiaSG <sup>4</sup>	0.1027*	Fair	6.162	4.33

\*P<0.05. <sup>1</sup>PacPAL = pacu Palotina; <sup>2</sup>PacSG = pacu Salto Grande; <sup>3</sup>PiaPAL = piapara Palotina; <sup>4</sup>PiaSG = piapara Salto Grande.

Sporadic introductions of new broodstocks from natural populations or from broodstocks in captivity are normally used to increase the genetic variability of broodstocks in restocking programs (LOPERA-BARRERO et al., 2010). However, results in current analysis do not recommend an exchange of *P. mesopotamicus* and *L. elongatus* broodstocks between the fish ponds analyzed since their genetic similarity shuns the insertion of a new pool that improves conditions in restocking programs. On the contrary, no restrictions are recommended if exchange aims at replacing old broodstocks or those lost due to mortality.

High rates of intra-population genetic variability were reported within each broodstock, showing that the formation of broodstocks and the reproduction and genetic management were efficiently undertaken in the fish ponds studied. On the other hand, inter-population rates demonstrated similarity between broodstocks from each species and common ancestry. Results show the relevance

of genetic analyses in conservation programs with fish restocking.

## Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the members of the Research Nucleus PeixeGen and the owners of the fish ponds for their collaboration in current assay.

## References

- ABD EL NABY, W. S. H.; NASR, S. M.; SHOURBELA, R. M. Genetic variation among four species of *Tilapia* and their hybrid in Egypt using Random Amplified Polymorphic DNA (RAPD) analysis. *Alexandria Journal of Veterinary Sciences*, Alexandria, v. 47, n. 1, p. 201-208, 2015.
- ALMEIDA, F. S. de; LOPES, C. M.; ORSI, M. L.; SIROL, R. N.; SODRÉ, L. M. K. Genetic monitoring by RAPD markers for repopulation programs of *Salminus brasiliensis* (Pisces, Characiformes). *Acta Scientiarum. Animal Sciences*, Maringá, v. 35, n. 2, p. 119-126, 2013.

- BEHRMANN, K.; REHBEIN, H.; VON APPEN, A.; FISCHER, M. Applying population genetics for authentication of marine fish: the case of saithe (*Pollachius virens*). *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, München, v. 63, n. 3, p. 802-809, 2015.
- BOSCOLO, W. R.; SIGNOR, A.; FREITAS, J. M. A.; BITTENCOURT, F.; FEIDEN, A. Nutrição de peixes nativos. *Revista Brasileira de Zootecnia*, Viçosa, MG, v. 40, p. 145-154, 2011. Suplemento.
- EXCOFFIER, L.; LAVAL, G.; SCHNEIDER, S. Arlequin ver. 3.0: an integrated software package for population genetics data analysis. *Evolutionary Bioinformatics Online*, Auckland, v. 2005, n. 1, p. 47-50, 2007.
- EXCOFFIER, L.; SMOUSE, P. E.; QUATTRO, J. M. Analysis of molecular variance inferred from metric distances among DNA haplotypes: application to human mitochondrial DNA restriction data. *Genetics*, Austin, v. 131, n. 2, p. 479-491, 1992.
- GANAIE, H. A.; ALI, M. N. Studies on the genetic variability of three fish species (*Cyprinus carpio specularis*, *Cyprinus carpio communis* and *Oncorhynchus mykiss*) collected from Kashmir (India) using Random Amplified Polymorphic DNA (RAPD) technique. *Asian Journal of Animal Sciences*, Dubai, v. 10, n. 1, p. 59-67, 2016.
- GOMES, P. C.; LOPERA-BARRERO, N. M.; VARGAS, L.; STREIT JUNIOR, D. P.; POVH, J. A.; SIROL, R. N.; RIBEIRO, R. P. Genetic diversity of *Salminus brasiliensis* (Characiformes: Characidae) collected in the passage ladder of the Canoas I hydropower plant in the Paranapanema River, Brazil. *Semina: Ciências Agrárias*, Londrina, v. 34, n. 3, p. 1421-1432, 2013.
- GOMES, P. C.; RIBEIRO, R. P.; LOPERA-BARRERO, N. M.; POVH, J. A.; VARGAS, L.; SIROL, R. N. Diversidade genética de três estoques de piapara (*Leporinus elongatus*), utilizando RAPD. *Acta Scientiarum Animal Science*, Maringá, v. 30, n. 2, p. 241-247, 2008.
- HASAN, I.; GOSWAMI, M. M. Genetic variation among cat fish (*Mystus vittatus*) population assessed by Randomly Amplified Polymorphic (RAPD) markers from Assam, India. *Journal of Aquaculture Research & Development*, Roma, v. 6, n. 4, p. 1-4, 2015.
- HATANAKA, T.; HENRIQUE-SILVA, F.; GALETTI JUNIOR, P. M. Population substructuring in a migratory freshwater fish *Prochilodus argenteus* (Characiformes, Prochilodontidae) from the São Francisco River. *Genética*, Dordrecht, v. 126, n. 1, p. 513-517, 2006.
- LOPERA-BARRERO, N. M. Conservation of *Brycon orbignyanus* natural populations and stocks for their reproductive, genetic, environmental sustainability: a model for species threatened with extinction. *Ciencia e Investigación Agraria*, Santiago, v. 36, n. 2, p. 191-208, 2009.
- LOPERA-BARRERO, N. M.; POVH, J. A.; RIBEIRO, R. P.; GOMES, P. C.; JACOMETO, C. B.; LOPES, T. S. Comparación de protocolos de extracción de ADN con muestras de aleta y larva de peces: extracción modificada con cloruro de sodio. *Ciencia e Investigación Agraria*, Santiago, v. 35, n. 1, p. 76-86, 2008.
- LOPERA-BARRERO, N. M.; RIBEIRO, R. P.; VARGAS, L.; POVH, J. A.; LOPES, T. S.; OLIVEIRA, S. N.; GOMES, P. C. Diversidad genética de *Piaractus mesopotamicus* utilizado em programas de repoblación. *Archivos de Zootecnia*, Córdoba, v. 59, n. 225, p. 51-62, 2010.
- LOPERA-BARRERO, N. M.; RODRIGUEZ-RODRIGUEZ, M. P.; FORNARI, D. C.; RESENDE, E. K.; POVEDA-PARRA, A. R.; BRACCINI, G.; SOUZA, F. P.; FURLAN, P. J.; POVH, J. A.; RIBEIRO, R. P. Genetic variability of broodstocks of Tambaqui (Teleostei - Characidae) from the northeast region of Brazil. *Semina: Ciências Agrárias*, Londrina, v. 36, n. 6, p. 4013-4022, 2015.
- MARENGONI, N. G.; MACHADO, M. R. F.; GASPARIN, E. Extração de DNA genômico em tecidos sólidos de peixes teleosteos. *Semina: Ciências Agrárias*, Londrina, v. 27, n. 1, p. 99-106, 2006.
- MILLER, M. P. *Tools for population genetic analyses (TFPGA): a Windows program for the analysis of allozyme and molecular population genetic data*. Version 1.3. Flagstaff: Northern Arizona University, 1997. 33 p.
- NEI, M. Estimation of average heterozygosity and genetic distance from a small number on individual. *Genetics*, Austin, v. 89, n. 3, p. 583-590, 1978.
- POVH, J. A.; LOPERA-BARRERO, N. M.; RIBEIRO, R. P.; LUPCHINSKI JUNIOR, E.; GOMES, P. C.; LOPES, T. S. Monitorio genético en programas de repoblamiento de peces mediante marcadores moleculares. *Ciencia e Investigación Agraria*, Santiago, v. 35, n. 1, p. 5-15, 2008b.
- POVH, J. A.; RIBEIRO, R. P.; LOPERA-BARRERO, N. M.; GOMES, P. C.; BLANCK, D. V.; VARGAS, L.; JACOMETO, C. B.; LOPES, T. S. Monitoramento da variabilidade genética de pacu, *Piaractus mesopotamicus* do programa de aumento de estoque do rio Paranapanema. *Arquivo Brasileiro de Medicina Veterinária e Zootecnia*, Belo Horizonte, v. 61, n. 5, p. 1191-1195, 2009.



- POVH, J. A.; RIBEIRO, R. P.; SIROL, R. N.; STREIT JUNIOR, D. P.; LOPERA-BARRERO, N. M.; VARGAS, L.; GOMES, P. C.; LOPES, T. S. Diversidade genética de pacu do rio Paranapanema e do estoque de um programa de repovoamento. *Pesquisa Agropecuária Brasileira*, Brasília, v. 43, n. 2, p. 201-206, 2008a.
- RAMOS, J. V. B.; SODRÉ, L. M. K.; ORSI, L. M.; ALMEIDA, F. S. Genetic diversity of the species *Leporinus elongatus* (Teleostei: Characiformes) in the Canoas Complex - Paranapanema River. *Neotropical Ichthyology*, Maringá, v. 10, n. 4, p. 821-828, 2012.
- RAYMOND, M.; ROUSSET, F. An exact test for population differentiation. *Evolution*, Lancaster, v. 49, n. 6, p. 1280-1283, 1995.
- RIBEIRO, R. P.; LOPERA-BERRERO, N. M.; POVH, J. A.; RODRIGUEZ-RODRIGUEZ, M. P.; FORNARI, D. C.; BAUMGARTNER, G.; BAUMGARTNER, D.; SOUZA, F. P.; CASTRO, P. L.; POVEDA-PARRA, A. R. Genetic diversity of *Salminus brasiliensis* wild populations in downstream and upstream Cachoeira -Branca, Verde River MS Brazil: a preliminary view. *Semina: Ciências Agrárias*, Londrina, v. 37, n. 1, p. 507-516, 2016.
- SIROL, R. N.; BRITTO, S. G. Conservação e manejo da ictiofauna: repovoamento. In: NOGUEIRA, M. G.; HENRY, R.; JORCIN, A. (Ed.). *Ecologia de reservatórios: impactos potenciais, ações de manejo e sistemas em cascata*. São Carlos: Rima, 2006. p. 275-284.
- TELLES, M. P. C.; MONTEIRO, M. S. R.; RODRIGUES, F. M.; SOARES, T. N.; RESENDE, L. V.; AMARAL, A. G.; MARRA, P. R. Marcadores RAPD na análise de divergência genética entre raças de bovinos e número de locos necessários para a estabilidade da divergência estimada. *Ciência Animal Brasileira*, Goiânia, v. 2, n. 2, p. 87-95, 2001.
- WEIR, B. S.; COCKERHAM, C. C. Estimating F statistics for the analysis of population structure. *Evolution*, Lancaster, v. 38, n. 6, p. 1358-1370, 1984.
- WILLIAMS, J. G. K.; RAFALSKI, J. A.; KUBELIK, A. R.; LIVAK, K. J.; TINGEY, S. V. DNA polymorphism amplified by arbitrary primers are useful as genetic markers. *Nucleic Acids Research*, Oxford v. 18, n. 22, p. 6531-6535, 1990.
- WORKMAN, P. L.; NISWANDER, J. L. Population studies on southwestern indian tribes. II. Local genetic differentiation in the Papago. *American Journal Human Genetic*, Houston, v. 22, n. 1, p. 24-49, 1970.
- WRIGHT, S. *Evolution and genetics of populations*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1978. 511 p.
- YEH, F. C.; BOYLE, T. Y. Z.; XIYAN, J. M. *PopGene version 131*: Microsoft Window-based freeware for population genetic analysis. Alberta: University of Alberta and Center for International Forestry Research, 1999. 29 p.

