provided by Repositorio da Producao Cientifica e Intelectual da Unicamp



Cauchy Completeness in Elementary Logic Author(s): J. C. Cifuentes, A. M. Sette and D. Mundici Source: The Journal of Symbolic Logic, Vol. 61, No. 4 (Dec., 1996), pp. 1153-1157 Published by: Association for Symbolic Logic Stable URL: <u>http://www.jstor.org/stable/2275809</u> Accessed: 27-08-2014 12:51 UTC

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of the Terms & Conditions of Use, available at http://www.jstor.org/page/info/about/policies/terms.jsp

JSTOR is a not-for-profit service that helps scholars, researchers, and students discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content in a trusted digital archive. We use information technology and tools to increase productivity and facilitate new forms of scholarship. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.



Association for Symbolic Logic is collaborating with JSTOR to digitize, preserve and extend access to The Journal of Symbolic Logic.

CAUCHY COMPLETENESS IN ELEMENTARY LOGIC

J. C. CIFUENTES, A. M. SETTE, AND D. MUNDICI

Abstract. The inverse of the distance between two structures $\mathscr{A} \not\equiv \mathscr{B}$ of finite type τ is naturally measured by the smallest integer q such that a sentence of quantifier rank q - 1 is satisfied by \mathscr{A} but not by \mathscr{B} . In this way the space $\operatorname{Str}^{\tau}$ of structures of type τ is equipped with a pseudometric. The induced topology coincides with the elementary topology of $\operatorname{Str}^{\tau}$. Using the rudiments of the theory of uniform spaces, in this elementary note we prove the convergence of every Cauchy net of structures, for any type τ .

§1. Introduction. For all topological notions used in this paper we refer to [7]. We let $L_{\omega\omega}$ be elementary logic and $L_{\omega\omega}^{\tau}$ be the set of all first-order sentences of type τ . Following Tarski, the *elementary topology* of Str^{τ} is given by the following closure operator C: for any $K \subseteq \text{Str}^{\tau}$,

 $C(K) = \bigcap \{ \operatorname{Mod}(\varphi) \mid \varphi \in L^{\tau}_{\omega\omega} \text{ and } K \subseteq \operatorname{Mod}(\varphi) \}.$

Two structures \mathscr{A} and \mathscr{B} of type τ are elementarily equivalent, in symbols, $\mathscr{A} \equiv \mathscr{B}$, if and only if $C(\{\mathscr{A}\}) = C(\{\mathscr{B}\})$. As shown by Tarski [10], the family of equivalence classes $\operatorname{Str}^r/\equiv$ is a totally disconnected compact Hausdorff space: a base is given by the collection $\mathscr{E}^{\tau} = \{\operatorname{Mod}(\varphi) \mid \varphi \in L^{\tau}_{\omega\omega}\}$ of elementary classes.

While members of Str^{τ}/\equiv are proper classes, Str^{τ}/\equiv itself can be indexed by the set of complete theories in $L_{\omega\omega}^{\tau}$, and \mathscr{E}^{τ} can be indexed by the set of sentences of $L_{\omega\omega}^{\tau}$. Classes indexed by sets are known as *small* classes, and are frequently used in topological abstract model theory (see, e.g., [1], [5], [9] and [2]). From the foundational viewpoint, large topological spaces endowed with small topologies are no more problematic than sets. One can naturally speak of interior, closure, compactness, convergence and Cauchy completeness (of spaces with small uniformity bases) without burdening notation and terminology.

As is well known, the compactness of $L_{\omega\omega}$ is the following property: Whenever τ is a type and Σ is a subset of $L_{\omega\omega}^{\tau}$ such that for every finite subset $\Delta \subseteq \Sigma$,

$$\operatorname{Mod}(\Delta) = \bigcap_{\varphi \in \Delta} \operatorname{Mod}(\varphi) \neq \emptyset,$$

then

$$\operatorname{Mod}(\Sigma) = \bigcap_{\varphi \in \Sigma} \operatorname{Mod}(\varphi) \neq \emptyset.$$

Received March 30, 1993; revised September 11, 1995.

© 1996, Association for Symbolic Logic 0022-4812/96/6104-0003/\$1.50

1153

For a proof one can use Łos's theorem, to the effect that for every set $\{\mathscr{A}_i\}_{i\in I} \in$ Str^{τ}, every sentence $\varphi \in L^{\tau}_{\omega\omega}$, and every ultrafilter U over I, the ultraproduct $\prod_U \mathscr{A}_i$ satisfies the condition

$$(*) \qquad \qquad \prod_U \mathscr{A}_i \in \operatorname{Mod}(\varphi) \iff \{ \, i \in I \mid \mathscr{A}_i \in \operatorname{Mod}(\varphi) \, \} \in U.$$

Following [5, p. 216], for each $\Delta \in \mathscr{P}_{\omega}(\Sigma)$ = set of finite subsets of Σ , one now chooses a model $\mathscr{A}_{\Delta} \in \operatorname{Mod}(\Delta)$, and shows that $\prod_{U} \mathscr{A}_{\Delta} \in \operatorname{Mod}(\Sigma)$, for a certain ultrafilter U over $\mathscr{P}_{\omega}(\Sigma)$.

In the above formula (*), the ultraproduct of the \mathscr{A}_i 's can be replaced by more general constructions:

DEFINITION 1. Let $\{\mathscr{A}_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a set of structures of the same type τ . Let U be an ultrafilter over I. Then $\lim_U \mathscr{A}_i \subseteq \operatorname{Str}^{\tau}$ is defined by

$$\lim_{U}\mathscr{A}_{i} = \bigcap \big\{ \operatorname{Mod}(\varphi) \mid \{ i \in I \mid \mathscr{A}_{i} \in \operatorname{Mod}(\varphi) \} \in U \big\}.$$

To the best of our knowledge, \lim_U was first introduced in [5, p. 223 ff] for Boolean spaces, and in [3, p. 11 ff] for more general spaces.

Consider the following abstract form of Łos's Theorem:

ALT For every type
$$\tau$$
, every set $\{\mathscr{A}_i\}_{i \in I}$ in $\operatorname{Str}^{\tau}$ and every ultrafilter U over I, $\lim_{U} \mathscr{A}_i \neq \emptyset$.

Trivially, ALT is equivalent to compactness in every *small* logic, i.e., in any model-theoretic logic L such that the collection of sentences in L of any type τ is a set.

To conclude this section, let us mention that in [8, p. 255], one can find another proof of the compactness theorem related to (though without explicit mention of) Los's theorem. In fact, it is shown that for every family of structures $K = \{\mathscr{A}_i\}_{i \in I}$, the cluster points of K coincide with the elements of

$$\Big\{\prod_U \mathscr{A}_i \mid U \text{ is an ultrafilter over } I\Big\}.$$

§2. The uniformity of Str^{τ} . Fraïssé [4, p. 129] first observed that for any finite type τ the elementary topology of Str^{τ} is uniformizable; using partial isomorphisms, he explicitly introduced a uniformity base.

Following Karp [6], we shall instead use *n*-equivalence, \equiv_n . Recall that two structures are called *n*-equivalent if and only if they satisfy the same sentences of quantifier rank $\leq n$.

Omitting unnecessary τ -superscripts, for every finite type τ , we define the *unifor*mity base \mathscr{B}_I for Str^{τ} by $\mathscr{B}_I = {\mathscr{U}_n}_{n \in \omega}$, where for each $n \in \omega$,

$$\mathscr{U}_n = \{ (\mathscr{A}, \mathscr{B}) \in \operatorname{Str}^{\tau} \times \operatorname{Str}^{\tau} \mid \mathscr{A} \equiv_n \mathscr{B} \}.$$

Given a (possibly infinite) type τ and a finite set of sentences $\Phi \subseteq L^{\tau}_{\omega\omega}$, let us write $\mathscr{A} \equiv_{\Phi} \mathscr{B}$ if and only if the structures \mathscr{A} and \mathscr{B} satisfy the same sentences of Φ . Letting now

$$\mathscr{U}_{\Phi} = \{ (\mathscr{A}, \mathscr{B}) \in \operatorname{Str}^{\tau} imes \operatorname{Str}^{\tau} \mid \mathscr{A} \equiv_{\Phi} \mathscr{B} \},$$

we define the uniformity base \mathscr{B}_{II} by

 $\mathscr{B}_{II} = \{ \mathscr{U}_{\Phi} \mid \Phi \text{ a finite set of sentences of type } \tau \}.$

Let τ be a finite type. Since for each *n* there exist only finitely many pairwise inequivalent sentences of quantifier rank $\leq n$, the bases \mathscr{B}_I and \mathscr{B}_{II} are *uniformly* equivalent, in the sense that for each $n \in \omega$ there exists a finite set of sentences $\Phi \subseteq L^{\tau}_{\omega\omega}$ such that $\mathscr{U}_{\Phi} \subseteq \mathscr{U}_n$, and vice versa.

As an immediate consequence of the definition of \mathscr{B}_{II} , for every type τ and sentence $\varphi \in L^{\tau}_{\omega\omega}$ we have

$$\mathscr{U}_{\{arphi\}}[\mathscr{A}] = \{ \mathscr{B} \mid (\mathscr{A}, \mathscr{B}) \in \mathscr{U}_{\{arphi\}} \} = egin{cases} \operatorname{Mod}(arphi), & ext{if } \mathscr{A} \nvDash arphi \ \operatorname{Mod}(\neg arphi), & ext{if } \mathscr{A} \nvDash arphi \ arphi.$$

Thus \mathscr{B}_{II} generates the elementary topology of Str^{τ}, and the resulting uniform space is *totally bounded*.

The same conclusion holds for the base \mathscr{B}_I , provided the type τ is *finite*. Moreover, in this case Str^{τ} is pseudo-metrizable. As a matter of fact, letting

$$d: \operatorname{Str}^{\tau} \times \operatorname{Str}^{\tau} \to \mathbb{R}$$

be defined by

$$d(\mathscr{A},\mathscr{B}) = \inf\left\{\frac{1}{n+1} \mid (\mathscr{A},\mathscr{B}) \in \mathscr{U}_n\right\},\$$

we easily see that d is a nonarchimedean pseudo-metrics, in the sense that

$$d(\mathscr{A},\mathscr{C}) \leq \max(d(\mathscr{A},\mathscr{B}), d(\mathscr{B},\mathscr{C})).$$

§3. Cauchy completeness. In every uniform space we have the following well known characterization [7, p. 198]:

Compactness = Cauchy Completeness + Total Boundedness.

From our previous discussion it follows that the compactness of Str^{τ} is equivalent to the Cauchy completeness of its underlying uniformity.

For any finite type τ , Fraïssé [4, pp. 127–128] proved that Str^{τ} is Cauchy complete, whence, Str^{τ} is sequentially compact. To construct limits of Cauchy sequences, Fraïssé used inductive limits of certain systems of structures directed by partial isomorphisms. We present a simpler proof of the Cauchy completeness of Str^{τ} for arbitrary τ , only using condition AŁT. To this purpose, we first generalize Definition 1:

DEFINITION 2. Let $\{\mathscr{U}_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha\in\Xi}$ be an arbitrary base of a uniformity for the elementary topology of $\operatorname{Str}^{\tau}$. Let $\{\mathscr{A}_i\}_{i\in I}$ be a set of structures of type τ , and U an ultrafilter over I. We denote by $\lim_U \mathscr{A}_i$ the collection of structures $\mathscr{A} \in \operatorname{Str}^{\tau}$ such that for every $\alpha \in \Xi$, the set $\{i \in I \mid (\mathscr{A}, \mathscr{A}_i) \in \mathscr{U}_{\alpha}\}$ is a member of U.

The dependence of $\lim_U \mathscr{A}_i$ on $\{\mathscr{U}_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Xi}$ is tacitly understood. In case $\{\mathscr{U}_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Xi}$ coincides with \mathscr{B}_{II} , Definition 2 coincides with Definition 1, and the following lemma becomes an equivalent reformulation of condition ALT:

LEMMA 1. Let $\{\mathscr{U}_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha\in\Xi}$ be a uniformity base for the elementary topology of $\operatorname{Str}^{\tau}$. Let I be a set, and U an ultrafilter over I. Then for every set $\{\mathscr{A}_i\}_{i\in I}$ of structures of type τ , $\lim_{U} \mathscr{A}_i \neq \emptyset$.

PROOF. Let $\mathscr{A} = \prod_U \mathscr{A}_i$. It is sufficient to prove $\mathscr{A} \in \lim_U \mathscr{A}_i$. By way of contradiction, suppose that there exists $\alpha \in \Xi$ such that

$$\{i \in I \mid (\mathscr{A}, \mathscr{A}_i) \notin \mathscr{U}_{\alpha}\} = \{i \in I \mid \mathscr{A}_i \notin \mathscr{U}_{\alpha}[\mathscr{A}]\} \in U.$$

Since $\mathscr{U}_{\alpha}[\mathscr{A}]$ is open in the elementary topology and $\mathscr{A} \in \mathscr{U}_{\alpha}[\mathscr{A}]$, there exists a sentence $\psi_{\mathscr{A}}$ such that $\mathscr{A} \in \operatorname{Mod}(\psi_{\mathscr{A}}) \subseteq \mathscr{U}_{\alpha}[\mathscr{A}]$. It follows that

$$\{i \in I \mid \mathscr{A}_i \notin \mathscr{U}_{\alpha}[\mathscr{A}]\} \subseteq \{i \in I \mid \mathscr{A}_i \notin \operatorname{Mod}(\psi_{\mathscr{A}})\},\$$

whence $\{i \in I \mid \mathscr{A}_i \models \neg \psi_{\mathscr{A}}\} \in U$ and, by Łos' Theorem, $\mathscr{A} \models \neg \psi_{\mathscr{A}}$, a contradiction.

DEFINITION 3. Let $\{\mathscr{U}_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha\in\Xi}$ be a uniformity base for the elementary topology of Str^{τ}, and let (D, \leq) be a directed set.

Given a net $\{\mathscr{A}_i\}_{i \in D}$ of structures of type τ , we define $\lim_i \mathscr{A}_i$ to be the collection of structures $\mathscr{A} \in \operatorname{Str}^{\tau}$ such that for every $\alpha \in \Xi$ there exists $k \in D$ such that $(\mathscr{A}, \mathscr{A}_i) \in \mathscr{U}_{\alpha}$ for every $i \geq k$. Any such structure \mathscr{A} is a limit element, in the sense of Cauchy, for the given net. Since $\operatorname{Str}^{\tau}$ is not a Hausdorff space, \mathscr{A} is not uniquely determined.

A net $\{\mathscr{B}_i\}_{i\in D}$ of structures of type τ is said to be a *Cauchy net* if and only if for every $\alpha \in \Xi$ there exists $k \in D$ such that for every $i, j \geq k$, $(\mathscr{B}_i, \mathscr{B}_j) \in \mathscr{U}_{\alpha}$.

An ultrafilter U over D is said to be *free* if and only if for each $k \in D$, the set $Y_k = \{i \in D \mid i \geq k\}$ is a member of U. Since the set $\{Y_k\}_{k \in D}$ has the finite intersection property, free ultrafilters (over directed sets without a maximum element) are a generalization of nonprincipal ultrafilters over ω .

LEMMA 2. Let, as above, $\{\mathcal{U}_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \Xi}$ be a uniformity base for the elementary topology of $\operatorname{Str}^{\tau}$. Let (D, \leq) be a directed set and $\{\mathcal{B}_i\}_{i \in D}$ a Cauchy net. Then $\lim_i \mathcal{B}_i = \lim_U \mathcal{B}_i$, for every free ultrafilter U over D.

PROOF. The inclusion $\lim_i \mathscr{B}_i \subseteq \lim_U \mathscr{B}_i$ immediately follows by definition.

Conversely, let $\mathscr{B} \in \lim_{U} \mathscr{B}_i$; then for every $\alpha \in \Xi$ there exists $X_{\alpha} \in U$ such that for every $i \in X_{\alpha}$, $(\mathscr{B}, \mathscr{B}_i) \in \mathscr{U}_{\alpha}$. Since $\{\mathscr{B}_i\}_{i \in D}$ is a Cauchy net, there exists $k_{\alpha} \in D$ such that for every $i, j \geq k_{\alpha}$, $(\mathscr{B}_i, \mathscr{B}_j) \in \mathscr{U}_{\alpha}$. For any $\alpha \in \Xi$ let $\beta \in \Xi$ be such that $\mathscr{U}_{\beta} \circ \mathscr{U}_{\beta} \subseteq \mathscr{U}_{\alpha}$, where the symbol \circ denotes composition. Let X_{β} and k_{β} be as above. Since U is free, $X_{\beta} \cap Y_{k_{\beta}} \in U$. Pick an arbitrary $k \in X_{\beta} \cap Y_{k_{\beta}}$; then we claim that for every $i \geq k$, $(\mathscr{B}, \mathscr{B}_i) \in \mathscr{U}_{\alpha}$. As a matter of fact, from $k \in X_{\beta}$ we get $(\mathscr{B}, \mathscr{B}_k) \in \mathscr{U}_{\beta}$. From $i \geq k \geq k_{\beta}$ we now get $(\mathscr{B}_k, \mathscr{B}_i) \in \mathscr{U}_{\beta}$, whence $(\mathscr{B}, \mathscr{B}_i) \in \mathscr{U}_{\beta} \circ \mathscr{U}_{\beta} \subseteq \mathscr{U}_{\alpha}$. This settles our claim, and concludes the proof. \dashv

REMARK. The above lemma holds, *mutatis mutandis*, for any small logic.

THEOREM. Elementary logic is Cauchy complete: for any type τ and uniformity base $\{\mathcal{U}_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \Xi}$ for the elementary topology of $\operatorname{Str}^{\tau}$, (e.g., the uniformity base \mathscr{B}_{II}), every Cauchy net of structures of type τ converges.

PROOF. Let $\{\mathscr{B}_i\}_{i\in D}$ be a Cauchy net of structures of type τ , indexed by some directed set D. Choose an arbitrary free ultrafilter U over D. By Lemma 1 there exists $\mathscr{B} \in \lim_U \mathscr{B}_i$. By Lemma 2, \mathscr{B} is a limit of the given net. \dashv

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. We thank Walter A. Carnielli for his helpful remarks.

ADDED IN PROOF. Xavier Caicedo (in his paper *Continuous Operations on Spaces of Structures*) independently proved the Cauchy completeness of the spaces Str^r .

REFERENCES

[1] J. L. BELL and A. B. SLOMSON, Models and ultraproducts: An introduction, North-Holland, 1969.

[2] X. CAICEDO, Compactness and normality in abstract logics, Annals of Pure and Applied Logic, vol. 59 (1993), pp. 33-43.

[3] C. C. CHANG and H. J. KEISLER, *Continuous model theory*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1966.

[4] R. FRAïssé, Course de logique mathématique, tome 1, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1967.

[5] T. FRAYNE, A. C. MOREL, and D. S. SCOTT, *Reduced direct products*, *Fundamenta Mathematicae*, vol. 51 (1962), pp. 195–228.

[6] C. KARP, *Finite-quantifier equivalence*, *The theory of models* (Addison, Henkin, and Tarski, editors), North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1965.

[7] J. L. KELLEY, General topology, D. Van Nostrand, Princeton, 1955.

[8] S. KOCHEN, Ultraproducts in the theory of models, Annals of Mathematics, vol. 74 (1961), pp. 221–261.

[9] D. MUNDICI, Inverse topological systems and compactness in abstract model theory, this JOURNAL, vol. 51 (1986), pp. 785–794.

[10] A. TARSKI, Some notions and methods on the borderline of algebra and metamathematics, **Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematics 1950**, American Mathematical Society, 1952, pp. 705–720.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS UNIVERSITY OF CAMPINAS CAIXA POSTAL 6065 13081-970 CAMPINAS, SP, BRAZIL

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS UNIVERSITY OF CAMPINAS CAIXA POSTAL 6065 13081-970 CAMPINAS, SP, BRAZIL

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE UNIVERSITY OF MILAN VIA COMELICO 39-41 20135 MILAN, ITALY

E-mail: mundici@imiucca.csi.unimi.it