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#### Abstract

One possible model to study genome evolution is to represent genomes as permutations of genes and compute distances based on the minimum number of certain operations (rearrangements) needed to transform one permutation into another. Under this model, the shorter the distance, the closer the genomes are. Two operations that have been extensively studied are the reversal and the transposition. A reversal is an operation that reverses the order of the genes on a certain portion of the permutation. A transposition is an operation that "cuts" a certain portion of the permutation and "pastes" it elsewhere in the same permutation. In this note, we show that the reversal and transposition distance of the signed permutation $\pi_{n}=(-1-2 \ldots-(n-1)-n)$ with respect to the identity is $\lfloor n=2\rfloor+2$ for all $n \geq 3$. We conjecture that this value is the diameter of the permutation group under these operations.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

0ne possible model to study genome evolution is to represent genomes as permutations of genes and compute distances based on the minimum number of certain operations (rearrangements) needed to transform one permutation into another. Under this model, the shorter the distance, the closer the genomes are.

In this note, we are interested in the diameter of permutation groups, that is, the maximum distance possible between two permutations of size $n$, under several operation choices. Two operations that have been extensively studied are the reversal and the transposition. A reversal is an operation that reverses the order of the genes on a certain portion of the permutation. A transposition is an operation that moves a certain portion of the permutation to another location in the same permutation.

Table 1 shows what is currently known about the diameter for signed and unsigned permutations under various combinations of the above operations. In this note, we provide a lower bound for the diameter in the case of signed permutations evolving by transpositions and reversals. Full proofs of all theorems can be found on the technical report (Meidanis et al., 2000).

[^0]Table 1. Results Known about the Diameter of
Permutation Groups under Genome
Rearrangement Operations

| Operations | Diameter |
| :--- | :---: |
| Reversals (unsigned) | $D=n-1$ |
| Reversals (signed) | $D=n+1$ |
| Transpositions | $\lfloor n / 2\rfloor+1 \leq D \leq\lfloor 3 n / 4\rfloor$ |
| Reversals, transpositions | $\lfloor n / 2\rfloor+2 \leq D \leq\lfloor 3 n / 4\rfloor$ |

## 2. DEFINITIONS

Given a permutations $\pi$, we want to compute a shortest series of reversals and transpositions that transforms $\pi$ into $\iota_{n}=(+1+2 \ldots+n)$; that is, we want to find $\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}, \ldots, \varrho_{k}$, where $\varrho_{i}$ is a reversal or a transposition, such that $\varrho_{k} \cdot \varrho_{k-1} \cdot \ldots \cdot \varrho_{2} \cdot \varrho_{1} \cdot \pi=\iota_{n}$ and $k$ is minimum. We call $k$ the reversal and transposition distance between $\pi$ and $\iota_{n}$ and denote it by $d(\pi)$. Finding this minimum length series is also called sorting $\pi$. In the following, an operation can be a reversal or a transposition.

A powerful tool for studying the reversal and transposition distance is the breakpoint graph of two permutations (Bafna and Pevzner, 1998; Hannenhalli and Pevzner, 1996, 1999). The diagram has exactly $n+1$ reality edges and the same number of desire edges. The idea is that reality edges indicate the situation as it is now, and desire edges indicate the situation sought. When reality equals desire in all edges, we have $\pi=\iota_{n}$ and $d(\pi)=0$. Therefore, our goal is to apply reversals and transpositions so that reality becomes desire.

Note that the breakpoint graph of $\iota_{n}$ is the only one having $n+1$ cycles. So, the sequence of reversals and transpositions transforming $\pi$ into $\iota_{n}$ must take the number of cycles from $c(\pi)$ to $n+1$. It is known that transpositions can change the number of cycles by at most two (Bafna and Pevzner, 1998), and the reversals can change it by at most one (Hannenhalli and Pevzner, 1999).

For a permutation $\pi$, and an operation or series of permutations $\varrho$, denote by $\Delta c(\pi, \varrho)$ the difference $c(\varrho \cdot \pi)-c(\pi)$. Therefore, $\Delta c(\pi, \varrho) \in\{-2,-1,0,1,2\}$. In the rest of the note, we concentrate on sorting $\pi_{n}=(-1-2 \ldots-(n-1)-n)$. Note that $c\left(\pi_{n}\right)=1$.

## 3. MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 1. We have $d\left(\pi_{n}\right) \leq\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor+2$ for $n \geq 3$.
Proof. First we reverse the entire permutation $\pi_{n}$, obtaining

$$
\pi_{n}^{\prime}=(+n+(n-1) \ldots+2+1)
$$

After that, we recall the result from Christie (1998) and Meidanis et al. (1997), proving that the transposition distance $d_{t}\left(\pi_{n}^{\prime}\right)$ is $\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor+1$, for $n>2$. The total number of operations is then $\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor+2$, which is an upper bound on the distance $d\left(\pi_{n}\right)$ for $n \geq 3$.

Our strategy is to show that this upper bound is also a lower bound. It is based on an analysis of the first two possible moves, reaching the following conclusion:

Theorem 2. If $\varrho_{k} \cdot \varrho_{k-1} \cdot \ldots \cdot \varrho_{2} \cdot \varrho_{1} \cdot \pi_{n}=\iota_{n}$, for $n \geq 3$, then $\Delta c\left(\pi_{n}, \varrho_{k} \cdot \varrho_{k-1} \cdot \ldots \cdot \varrho_{2} \cdot \varrho_{1}\right) \leq 2 k-3$.
The proof appears in the technical report (Meidanis et al., 2000). It follows from Theorem 2 that, if $\varrho_{k} \cdot \varrho_{k-1} \cdot \ldots \cdot \varrho_{2} \cdot \varrho_{1} \cdot \pi_{n}=\iota_{n}$ is an optimal sorting, then $k=d\left(\pi_{n}\right)$ and

$$
n \leq 2 d\left(\pi_{n}\right)-3
$$

or

$$
d\left(\pi_{n}\right) \geq \frac{n+3}{2}
$$

or, after rounding up both sides,

$$
d\left(\pi_{n}\right) \geq\left\lceil\frac{n+3}{2}\right\rceil=\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor+2
$$

From Theorems 1 and 2, follows the result:
Theorem 3. We have $d\left(\pi_{n}\right)=\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor+2$ for $n \geq 3$.

## 4. FUTURE WORK

Apart from the open question about the value of the reversal and transposition diameter, two other issues merit further research. An interesting point to be studied is the diameter of signed permutations under reversals, transpositions, and transversals. A transversal acts by moving a block of genes to another place on the permutation, but with the genes reversed. This operation is biologically as natural as the transposition.

Another line of study is to consider different weights for transpositions and reversals. With equal weights, as was the case here, the minimum path consists predominantly of transpositions. It would be interesting to use weights suggested by what has been observed in practice. Apparently, transpositions should weigh about twice as much as reversals. Eriksen (2002) has developed an ( $1+\epsilon$ )-approximation algorithm for the problem with this cost function.
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