# The Relationship Between Rating of Perceived Exertion and Muscle Activity During Exhaustive Constant-Load Cycling

Authors

E. B. Fontes<sup>1</sup>, B. P. C. Smirmaul<sup>1</sup>, F. Y. Nakamura<sup>2</sup>, G. Pereira<sup>3</sup>, A. H. Okano<sup>4</sup>, L. R. Altimari<sup>2</sup>, J. L. Dantas<sup>2</sup>, A. C. de Moraes<sup>1</sup>

Affiliations

Affiliation addresses are listed at the end of the article

Key words

 neuromuscular fatigue threshold

• fatique

prolonged exercise

# Abstract

The aims of this study were to verify the relationship between rating of perceived exertion (RPE) and electromyography (EMG) increases during exhaustive constant-load cycling bouts and, to compare and to correlate the power outputs corresponding to perceived exertion threshold (PET) and neuromuscular fatigue threshold (NFT). 11 men completed 3–4 different exhaustive constant-load cycling bouts on a cycle ergometer, being RPE and EMG measured throughout the bouts. The linear regression of the RPE<sub>slope</sub> and EMG<sub>slope</sub> against the power output identified the

# PET and NFT intensity, respectively. There was a significant relationship between RPE<sub>slope</sub> and EMG<sub>slope</sub> (R<sup>2</sup>=0.69; *P*<0.01). However, the linearity of RPE<sub>slope</sub> (R<sup>2</sup>=0.93±0.07) was significantly higher (*P*<0.001) than EMG<sub>slope</sub> (R<sup>2</sup>=0.63±0.25). In addition, the RPE<sub>slope</sub> and EMG<sub>slope</sub> were related to time to exhaustion (r=-0.59 and r=-0.60; *P*<0.001). There was no significant difference (*P*=0.42) between PET (201.5±27.9W) and NFT (210.3±22.6W) and they were significantly correlated (r=0.78; *P*=0.005). Therefore, the RPE and EMG increases during exhaustive constantload cycling bouts are related and, PET and NFT intensities are similar and closely associated.

# Introduction

#### ▼

The rating of perceived exertion (RPE) is a psychophysiological variable that has been used to monitor and prescribe the intensity of different exercise modes for healthy individuals [2,5,7, 9,18], children and elders [21], obese [35], and diseased people [15]. The RPE is related to physiological variables such as heart rate, oxygen consumption and blood lactate concentration [4,8,28]. In addition, RPE responses during resistance training [27,28] and endurance performance [19] are associated with the degree of skeletal muscle recruitment measured by electromyography activity (EMG).

Studies have shown that cycling at fixed or selfselected intensity (moderate exercise intensity) and fixed exercise duration may not change EMG activity [12,29]. However, exercise performed at high intensity and till exhaustion constantly requires additional and progressive muscle fiber recruitment to compensate the force loss associated with muscle fatigue, thereby increasing EMG activity [10,36]. The recruitment of additional muscle fibers may also be associated with an increased RPE [14], and it has been suggested that the response of the latter is regulated by the central nervous system [32,46,48]. In addition, several studies have shown a linear increase in the EMG [10,24] and RPE [37–40] during exhaustive constant-load exercise, which allows the estimation of their increasing rates (EMG<sub>slope</sub> and RPE<sub>slope</sub>, respectively), however, the relationship between these 2 variables has not been investigated. The confirmation of the significant relationship between EMG<sub>slope</sub> and RPE<sub>slope</sub> during exhaustive constant-load exercise will reinforce RPE as a simple tool to predict and monitor exercise intensity, and, it may also be considered an indirect measure of muscle activity during such exercise bouts.

Using similar protocol and linear regression, the  $\text{RPE}_{\text{slope}}$  and the  $\text{EMG}_{\text{slope}}$  have been used to determine the perceived exertion threshold (PET) and the neuromuscular fatigue threshold (NFT), respectively [36,37]. The PET seems a reliable aerobic index [39] due to its similarity and high correlation with critical power (r=0.87-0.98) and maximal oxygen consumption steady state intensity (r=0.92) [37-40], as well as the bias and limits of agreement between PET and critical power are acceptably low [39]. On the other

accepted after revision June 01, 2010

#### Bibliography

DOI http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1055/s-0030-1255108 Published online: July 8, 2010 Int J Sports Med 2010; 31: 683–688 © Georg Thieme Verlag KG Stuttgart · New York ISSN 0172-4622

#### Correspondence

Eduardo B. Fontes University of Campinas – UNICAMP Sports Science AV. Erico Verissimo, 701 13083851 Campinas Brazil Tel.: +27/799/34 7423 Fax: +27/799/34 7423 eduardobfontes@gmail.com hand, NFT has been related to anaerobic threshold (r=0.82; 0.92)[34,36] and rowing performance (r=0.96) [31], although its validity as an aerobic index has been questioned [31,44]. Theoretically, both PET and NFT represent similar phenomena, that is, a steady state of perceptual and neuromuscular responses (i.e., zero slope), respectively, throughout long lasting exercise [36, 39, 40]. Nevertheless, the PET and NFT have not been directly compared. If these methods predict similar exercise intensities, PET should clearly have an advantage over NFT, since simpler procedures are required to evaluate exercise performance. Furthermore, PET can be used to evaluate training effects and control the exercise intensity during fitness programs. For instance, the reduction of the RPE<sub>slope</sub> of an individual at a given power output would indicate improvement in aerobic performance. Finally, although the EMG may also be useful for such practical application, RPE is more attractive since technical devices are not required to perform the tests.

Therefore, the aims of this study were: (1) to verify the relationship between RPE and EMG increases during exhaustive constant-load cycling bouts and (2) to compare and correlate the power outputs corresponding to PET and NFT.

#### **Materials and Methods**

# **Participants**

11 physically active (~2-3 recreational exercise sessions per week) non-smoking healthy men (23.4±5.2 years; 73.6±5.1 kg; 177.8±7.0 cm) participated in this study. They were instructed to refrain from vigorous activities and ingestion of beverages containing alcohol or caffeine in the 24-h prior to each test. This study was approved by the local Institutional Research Ethics Committee and has been performed in accordance with the ethical standards of this journal [22]. In addition, the participants were informed about the procedures and risks before giving written consent to participate in the study.

# **Experimental design**

This study was conducted within a 3-week period, in which participants reported to the laboratory on 3-4 occasions with a minimum of 48-h between sessions. They were all fully familiarized with the tests and experimental procedures, since they had already participated in previous studies using similar protocols. The tests consisted of 3-4 different high-intensity constant-load bouts performed randomly until exhaustion on a cycle ergometer. The number of tests completed varied according to participants' availability to return to the laboratory (4 tests: n=8; 3 tests: n=3). Such a variation in the number of sessions was allowed due to 3-4 predictive tests which did not have influence on the PET and NFT determination [10,24,38]. During all predictive tests, RPE, EMG and power output were recorded to determine PET and NFT.

#### **Predictive tests**

The tests were performed on an electronically braked cycle ergometer (Quinton Corival 400, Lode Medical Technology, Groningen, Holland). Seat and handlebar height were individually recorded during the first test and reproduced in the subsequent ones. Prior to each test, participants warmed up by cycling at 50 W for 3 min followed by 2 min of passive recovery. During the tests participants were instructed to maintain a cadence of 60 rpm. Test interruption (i.e., exhaustion) occurred when

# **Statistics**

Descriptive statistics are presented as mean ± standard deviation, unless otherwise stated. Least square linear regression was used to fit the data in order to estimate EMG<sub>slope</sub> and RPE<sub>slope</sub>. Data normality and homogeneity of variance were confirmed. The coefficients of determination between EMG<sub>slope</sub> and RPE<sub>slope</sub> were used to identify their relationship. The t-test for paired samples was used to compare PET and NFT power outputs and the coefficients of determination (R<sup>2</sup>) associated with data fitting. Pearson product-moment was used to verify the correlations between PET and NFT, as well as among EMG<sub>slope</sub> and RPE<sub>slope</sub> with performance (i.e., time to exhaustion). The bias

participants were unable to sustain a cadence greater than 55 rpm for a period of 5 s, despite strong verbal encouragement. To accomplish the exhaustion time target (i.e., ~1-15 min), we have empirically determined in our laboratory that relative power outputs should lie within 2.5 and 4.5 W per kilogram of participant's body mass. This procedure was adapted from Hill et al. [24]. Nevertheless, in 3 participants one of the predictive tests lasted longer than 15 min. All tests were completed approximately at the same time of the day. No feedback concerning the power output or elapsed time was provided to the participants during the tests.

# Determination of perceived exertion threshold (PET)

The Borg 6-20 scale [5] was displayed in front of the participants during all tests. Instructions about reporting their RPE were given before each predictive test, with anchoring as follows: "number 7 represents unloaded cycling while number 19 indicates an exertion similar to exhaustive cycling". Participants were asked to accurately report their whole body feelings (i.e., overall RPE) [17] every 30s period. The RPE scores generated from these tests were plotted against time (independent variable), and linear regression indicated the slope coefficient  $(RPE_{slope})$  (**\circ Fig. 1a**). The PET intensity was defined as the *x*intersection of the regression line for the power output from the predictive tests and its respective RPE<sub>slope</sub> [37–40] (**•** Fig. 1b).

# Determination of neuromuscular fatigue threshold (NFT)

Active bipolar (20 mm center-to-center) surface electrodes (TSD 150TM, Biopac Systems<sup>®</sup>, CA, USA – common mode rejection ratio: 95 dB) were used to measure vastus lateralis muscle activity from the participant's dominant leg. The electrodes were positioned between the motor point and the proximal tendon [23]. Inter-electrode impedance was minimized by careful skin shaving and alcohol cleaning. The reference electrode was placed over the anterior iliac crest. Ink markings were made around the electrodes so that they could be placed in a constant position for all tests. The EMG signal was amplified (MP150 Electromyogram Amplifier, Biopac Systems Inc, Santa Barbara, Ca. USA) and applied a frequency band filter ranging from 20 to 500 Hz. The EMG signal was digitized with a sampling frequency of 2000 Hz and processed by calculating the root-mean-square (RMS) every 5 s (AcqKnowledge 3.8.1TM software, Biopac Systems<sup>®</sup>, CA, USA). The EMG was normalized to the initial 5s of each trial. The NFT was estimated by determining the increase rate for the total exercise period (EMG<sub>slope</sub>) for each predictive test [36] (o Fig. 1c). The slopes were plotted against their respective power outputs. The NFT was obtained as the x-intercept of the linear regression [10] (**•** Fig. 1d).



**Fig. 1** Estimation procedure of perceived exertion threshold (PET) and neuromuscular fatigue threshold (NFT) of a participant. **a**: Ratings of perceived exertion (RPE) plotted as a function of time for 4 workloads. **b**: Rate of increase of RPE (RPE<sub>slope</sub>) from 1a plotted for each of the 4 intensities and the projected slope zero (PET). **c**: Percentage of root-mean-square (%RMS) plotted against time. **d**: Rate of increase of %RMS (%RMS. s<sup>-1</sup>) from 1c plotted for each of the 4 intensities and the projected slope zero (NFT).

 Table 1
 Rate of increase of ratings of perceived exertion ( $RPE_{slope}$ ) and of electromyographic activity ( $EMG_{slope}$ ), perceived exertion threshold (PET), neuromuscular fatigue threshold (NFT), coefficients of determination ( $R^2$ ) and correlation of  $RPE_{slope}$  and  $EMG_{slope}$  with time to exhaustion.

	RPE <sub>slope</sub> (units.s <sup>-1</sup> )	R <sup>2</sup>	EMG <sub>slope</sub> (%RMS.5s <sup>-1</sup> )	R <sup>2</sup>	PET (W)	R <sup>2</sup>	NFT (W)	R <sup>2</sup>
mean	1.50	0.93	1.56	0.63*	201.5	0.91	210.3	0.94
SD	0.97	0.07	1.24	0.25	27.9	0.11	22.6	0.04
relationship with time to exhaustion	-0.59†		-0.60†					

\* significantly different from  $R^2$  of  $RPE_{slope}$  (P<0.001)

<sup>†</sup>significant correlation (P<0.01)

and limits of agreement (LoA) of PET and NFT were calculated using Bland-Altman analysis [3]. The significance level was set at P < 0.05. Data were analyzed using a statistical software (SPSS for Windows, version 17).

# Results

The power outputs performed by the participants in the predictive tests ranged from 190 to 340 W and time to exhaustion from 105 to 1500 s. • **Table 1** depicts the results of  $\text{RPE}_{\text{slope}}$ ,  $\text{EMG}_{\text{slope}}$ , PET, NFT and their respective coefficient of determination. The linearity of  $\text{RPE}_{\text{slope}}$  was significantly higher than for  $\text{EMG}_{\text{slope}}$ (P<0.001) and a significant relationship between these variables was found ( $\text{R}^2$ =0.69; P<0.01), as shown in • **Fig. 2**.

• Table 2 shows the individual power outputs for NFT, PET, and their respective standard errors (SE) and R<sup>2</sup>. No significant difference was observed between PET and NFT (201.5±27.9 W and 210.3±22.6 W, respectively; P=0.42), and their SE and R<sup>2</sup>. In addition, a significant relationship between PET and NFT was found (r=0.78; P<0.01). Furthermore, • Fig. 3 depicts the results of the Bland-Altman 95% LoA analysis between PET and



**Fig. 2** Relationship between the increasing rate of ratings of perceived exertion ( $\text{RPE}_{\text{slope}}$ ) and electromyography ( $\text{EMG}_{\text{slope}}$ ) for all workloads (P<0.01).

NFT. The bias was  $-8.9 \pm 17.5$  W and the LoA ranged from 25.4 W (+1.96 SD) to -43.1 W (-1.96 SD), evidencing a good agreement.

NFT					PET				
n	P (W)	SE (W)	R <sup>2</sup>	W	SE	R <sup>2</sup>			
1	193.6	9.2	0.95	212.1	14.0	0.95			
2	222.1	12.1	0.98	209.0	25.9	0.92			
3	196.3	13.7	0.98	175.1	53.0	0.79			
4	192.5	17.5	0.90	200.7	9.2	0.97			
5	213.7	24.9	0.93	225.4	16.6	0.96			
6	227.1	7.8	0.96	211.7	16.1	0.90			
7	244.2	12.1	0.92	237.8	10.8	0.94			
8	168.7	19.8	0.89	151.8	4.6	1.00			
9	232.6	25.2	0.47	203.0	33.8	0.61			
10	197.8	7.8	0.98	161.2	14.0	0.97			
11	227.0	16.5	0.89	229.6	7.8	0.97			
mean	210.5	15.2	0.89	210.6	18.7	0.91			
SD	22.4	6.2	0.15	27.8	14 1	0.11			

**Table 2** Individual power output (P) of neuromuscular fatigue threshold (NFT) and perceived exertion threshold (PET), and their respective standard error (SE) and coefficient of determination ( $R^2$ ).



**Fig. 3** Bias ± LoA 95% accessed by Bland-Altman analysis from perceived exertion threshold and neuromuscular fatigue threshold.

# Discussion

The main findings of the present study confirmed our hypothesis that there is a significant relationship between the  $EMG_{slope}$  and  $RPE_{slope}$  during exhaustive constant-load cycling bouts, and that both variables predicted a similar intensity for fatigueless prolonged exercise (NFT and PET, respectively). Although other physiological variables have shown a relationship with RPE [4, 16, 27], this is the first study to show a significant relationship between  $EMG_{slope}$  and  $RPE_{slope}$  during exhaustive constant-load cycling and similarity of the NFT with PET power outputs.

The force loss caused by the fatigued muscle fibers during the constant-load high intensity exercise requires additional motor units' recruitment [10,36], which seems associated with increased RPE [14]. Our data corroborate these studies, evidenced by the significant relationship between  $\text{EMG}_{\text{slope}}$  and  $\text{RPE}_{\text{slope}}$  ( $\text{R}^2$ =0.69) during the exhaustive constant-load cycling. While previous studies have shown a corresponding increase in the RPE and EMG during resistance exercise [25,27] and cycling using different loads [30], a correlation was only shown during leg extension exercise (r=0.55) [13] in which the fatiguing effect was not analyzed. The present study expands those findings, demonstrating that the rates of EMG and RPE increase are also correlated during constant-load cycling to exhaustion.

There are a number of different explanations for the link between RPE and EMG responses during fatiguing exercise. Marcora and

colleagues [32, 33] suggested that the RPE during exercise is generated by corollary discharges of the motor commands to the active skeletal muscles. According to this explanation efferent copies of these motor commands are sent to the sensory areas of the brain from where the RPE is processed. In this model, RPE is therefore part of the feedforward control during exercise. On the other hand, Noakes [42] proposed that the increased skeletal muscle recruitment is the main cause of several physiological responses. The consequences of the increased metabolic demand are sensed by the brain via feedback, thereby raising the RPE [41]. The discussion about whether the feedback influences RPE response is beyond the scope of this study. However, our results are compatible with both theoretical models since EMG<sub>slope</sub> and RPE<sub>slope</sub> were strongly related.

The RPE and EMG responses have been shown to increase linearly until exhaustion during high intensity constant-load exercise [36,43]. In order to identify the best fatigueless intensity predictor, the present study compared the linearity of both variables in function of time and showed that the RPE<sub>slope</sub> ( $R^2$ =0.93) was significantly higher than the EMG<sub>slope</sub> ( $R^2$ =0.63), however, no differences between their SE and  $R^2$  were found when used to estimate PET and NFT. Despite the differences in data fitting to linear function, these variables present a significant relationship ( $R^2$ =0.69) and similar correlations to time to exhaustion (r=-0.59 and -0.60, respectively).

However, one may question the reliability of the vastus lateralis muscle as a representative muscle of the quadriceps, since different EMG responses have been reported for similar cycling exercising protocols [11,20]. Although a number of studies reported an increased EMG activity of the vastus lateralis during constant-load high intensity cycling exercise and used this to determine NFT [6,20,25,37,45] it was recently reported that vastus lateralis and vastus medialis EMG activity did not change during similar exercise protocol [11]. These contrasting results may be explained based on different pedal cadence between studies [26], since competitive cyclists have a certain pedaling skill regarding the positive recruitment of knee flexors (i.e., biceps femoris muscle) up to the higher cadences, which would contribute to a decrease in peak pedal force and alleviate muscle activity for the knee extensors (e.g., vastus lateralis and vastus medialis muscle) [47]. Then, a lower pedal cadence in the present study (~60 rpm) compared with the other one (95±8 rpm) [11] may explain the increased EMG activity of the vastus lateralis muscle in our study.

In the present study, the NFT and PET power outputs were similar, significantly correlated (r=0.78; P<0.01) and showed acceptable agreement (bias =  $-8.9\pm17.5$  W). These findings can be explained by the close association between the EMG<sub>slope</sub> and the RPE<sub>slope</sub> and similar procedures for their estimations. While NFT has been criticized as it overestimates well established aerobic capacity indices [10,31,44], PET is equivalent to critical power and highly correlated with ventilatory threshold [40]. However, the estimation of the fatigueless intensity by NFT using EMG requires expensive equipment and personal expertise. In contrast, PET can provide similar power output estimation by using subjective responses from a single scale, even though familiarization to the procedure might be required.

One limitation when estimating the fatigueless intensity by PET, as well as NFT, is the number of exhaustive tests ( $\sim$ 3–4) needed throughout different days. However, identifying changes in a single RPE<sub>slope</sub> on a specific power output might help to monitor training effects. For instance, after a training period, the RPE<sub>slope</sub>

during an exhaustive constant-load cycling exercise can decrease when compared with a previous one. In such a case, the individual may perceive less effort when exercising at the same intensity, indicating fitness improvement. However, this suggestion has to be experimentally investigated for further conclusions. Moreover, Nakamura and colleagues [39] proposed a method to estimate PET by non-exhaustive bouts, at which the RPE<sub>slope</sub> ranging from 14–17 of the Borg's scale provided similar intensities when compare to PET with all RPE responses. These aspects may improve the practical application of RPE<sub>slope</sub> and PET.

In conclusion, our results presented a significant relationship between the increase in RPE and EMG activity during exhaustive constant-load cycling bouts. In addition, PET and NFT showed similar power outputs, standard errors and coefficients of determination. Then, both techniques seem to be a good predictor of the fatigueless intensity for prolonged exercise, although the PET can be more attractive since technical devices (i.e., EMG) are not required to perform the tests. The data provided new information regarding the physiological meaning of RPE and PET, and how these parameters are related to neuromuscular aspects during exercise. Furthermore, RPE may be useful to control intensity during exhaustive cycling exercise, providing an indirect measure of the muscle activity.

#### Acknowledgements

▼

We would like to thank Professor Timothy D. Noakes for assistance with the editing of the manuscript and Dr. Mara Patrícia Traina Chacon-Mikahil for providing the facilities. This study was funded by National Council of Scientific and Technological Development (CNPq), Brazilian Federal Agency for Support and Evaluation of Graduate Education (CAPES), and FAPESP (04/ 12589-0).

#### Affiliations

- <sup>1</sup>University of Campinas UNICAMP, Department of Sports Sciences,
- Campinas, Brazil
- <sup>2</sup> Londrina State University Physical Education, Londrina
- <sup>3</sup> Positivo University, Nucleus of Biological and Health Science, Curitiba, Brazil
- <sup>4</sup> Federal University of Rio Grande do Norte, Natal, Brazil

#### References

- 1 Amann M, Kayser B. Nervous system function during exercise in hypoxia. High Alt Med Biol 2009; 10: 149–164
- 2 American College of Sports Medicine. Guidelines for Exercise Testing and Prescription. Baltimore: Williams & Watkins, Lippincott 7<sup>th</sup> ed.; 2005
- 3 Bland JM, Altman DG. Statistical methods for assessing agreement between 2 methods of clinical measurement. Lancet 1986; 1: 307– 310
- 4 Borg GAV. Borg's Perceived Exertion and Pain Scales. Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics; 1998
- 5 Borg GAV. Psychophysical bases of perceived exertion. Med Sci Sports Exerc 1982; 14: 377–381
- 6 Camic CL, Housh TJ, Johnson GO, Hendrix CR, Zuniga JM, Mielke M, Schmidt RJ. An EMG frequency-based test for estimating the neuromuscular fatigue threshold during cycle ergometry. Eur J Appl Physiol 2010; 108: 337–345
- 7 Coutts AJ, Reaburn PRJ, Murphy AJ, Pine MJ, Impellizzeri FM. Validity of the session-RPE method for determining training load in team sport athletes. J Sci Med Sport 2003; 6: 525
- 8 Coutts AJ, Rampinini E, Marcora SM, Castagna C, Impellizzeri FM. Heart rate and blood lactate correlates of perceived exertion during smallsided soccer games. J Sci Med Sport 2009; 12: 79–84

- 9 Day ML, McGuigan MR, Brice G, Foster C. Monitoring exercise intensity during resistance training using session RPE scale. J Strength Cond Res 2004; 18: 353–358
- 10 DeVries HA, Moritani T, Nagata A, Magnussen K. The relation between critical power and neuromuscular fatigue as estimated from electromyographic data. Ergonomics 1982; 25: 783–791
- 11 Dorel S, Drouet JM, Couturier A, Champoux Y, Hug F. Changes of pedaling technique and muscle coordination during an exhaustive exercise. Med Sci Sports Exerc 2009; 41: 1277–1286
- 12 *Duc S, Betik AC, Grappe F.* EMG activity does not change during a time trial in competitive cyclists. Int J Sports Med 2005; 26: 145–150
- 13 Duncan MJ, Al-Nakeeb Y, Scurr J. Perceived exertion is related to muscle activity during leg extension exercise. Res Sports Med 2006; 14: 179–189
- 14 Enoka RM, Stuart DG. Neurobiology of muscle fatigue. J Appl Physiol 1992; 72: 1631–1648
- 15 Eston R, Connoly D. The use of ratings of perceived exertion for exercise prescription in patients receiving beta-blocker therapy. Sports Med 1996; 21: 176–190
- 16 Eston R, Lambrick D, Sheppard K, Parfitt G. Prediction of maximal oxygen uptake in sedentary males from a perceptually regulated, submaximal graded exercise test. J Sports Sci 2008; 26: 131–139
- 17 Faulkner J, Eston R. Overall and peripheral ratings of perceived exertion during a graded exercise test to volitional exhaustion in individuals of high and low fitness. Eur J Appl Physiol 2007; 101: 613–620
- 18 Foster C, Florhaug JA, Franklin J, Gottschall L, Hrovatin LA, Parker S, Doleshal P, Dodge C. A new approach to monitoring exercise training. J Strength Cond Res 2001; 15: 109–115
- 19 Garcin M, Vautier JF, Vandewalle H, Monod H. Ratings of perceived exertion (RPE) as an index of aerobic endurance during local and general exercises. Ergonomics 1998; 41: 1105–1114
- 20 Graef JL, Smith AE, Kendall KL, Walter AA, Moon JR, Lockwood CM, Beck TW, Cramer JT, Stout JR. The relationships among endurance performance measures as estimated from VO2PEAK, ventilatory threshold, and electromyographic fatigue threshold: a relationship design. Dyn Med 2008; 10: 7–15
- 21 Groslambert A, Mahon AD. Perceived exertion: influence of age and cognitive development. Sports Med 2006; 36: 911–928
- 22 Harriss DJ, Atkinson G. International Journal of Sports Medicine Ethical Standards in Sport and Exercise Science Research. Int J Sports Med 2009; 30: 701–702
- 23 Hermens HJ, Freriks B, Disselhorst-Klug C, Rau G. Development of recommendations for SEMG sensor and sensor placement procedures. J Electromyogr Kinesiol 2000; 10: 361–374
- 24 Hill DW, Smith JC. Determination of critical power by pulmonary gas exchange. Can J Appl Physiol 1990; 24: 74–86
- 25 Housh TJ, DeVries HA, Johnson GO, Housh DJ, Evans SA, Stout JR, Evetovich TK, Bradway RM. Electromyographic fatigue thresholds of the superficial muscles of the quadriceps femoris. Eur J Appl Physiol 1995; 71: 131–136
- 26 Hug F, Dorel S. Electromyographic analysis of pedaling: a review. J Electromyogr Kinesiol 2009; 19: 182–198
- 27 Lagally KM, McCaw ST, Young GT, Medema HC, Thomas DQ. Ratings of perceived exertion and muscle activity during the bench press exercise in recreational and novice lifters. J Strength Cond Res 2004; 18: 359–364
- 28 Lagally KM, Robertson RJ, Gallagher KI, Goss FL, Jakicic JM, Lephart SM, McCaw ST, Goodpaster B. Perceived exertion, electromyography, and blood lactate during acute bouts of resistance exercise. Med Sci Sports Exerc 2002; 34: 552–559
- 29 *Lucía A, Joyos H, Chicharro JL*. Physiological response to professional road cycling: climbers vs. time trialists. Int J Sports Med 2000; 21: 505–512
- 30 Macdonald JH, Farina D, Marcora SM. Response of electromyographic variables during incremental and fatiguing cycling. Med Sci Sports Exerc 2008; 40: 335–344
- 31 Mäestu J, Cicchella A, Purge P, Ruosi S, Jürimäe J, Jürimäe T. Electromyographic and neuromuscular fatigue threshold as concepts of fatigue. J Strength Cond Res 2006; 20: 824–828
- 32 Marcora SM, Bosio A, de Morree HM. Locomotor muscle fatigue increases cardiorespiratory responses and reduces performance during intense cycling exercise independently from metabolic stress. Am J Physiol 2008; 294: R874–R883
- 33 Marcora SM. Perception of effort during exercise is independent of afferent feedback from skeletal muscles, heart and lungs. J Appl Physiol 2009; 106: 2060–2062

- 34 *Matsumoto T, Ito K, Moritani T.* The relationship between anaerobic threshold and electromyographic fatigue threshold in college women. Eur J Appl Physiol 1991; 63: 1–5
- 35 McGuigan MR, Al Dayel A, Tod D, Foster C, Newton RU, Pettigrew S. Use of session rating of perceived exertion for monitoring resistance exercise in children who are overweight or obese. Pediatr Exerc Sci 2008; 20: 333–341
- 36 Moritani T, Takaishi T, Matsumoto T. Determination of maximal power output at neuromuscular fatigue threshold. J Appl Physiol 1993; 74: 1729–1734
- 37 Nakamura FY, Brunetto AF, Hirai DM, Roseguini BT, Kokubun E. The perceived exertion threshold (PET) corresponds to the critical power and to an indicator of maximal oxygen uptake steady state. Rev Bras Med Esporte 2005; 11: 197–202
- 38 Nakamura FY, Gancedo MR, Silva LA, Lima JP, Kokubun E. Use of perceived exertion in determining critical velocity in deep water running. Rev Bras Med Esporte 2005; 11: 1–5
- 39 Nakamura FY, Okuno NM, Perandini LA, Caldeira LFS, Simões HG, Cardoso JR, Bishop DJ. Critical power can be estimated from nonexhaustive tests based on rating of perceived exertion responses. J Strength Cond Res 2008; 22: 937–943
- 40 Nakamura FY, Okuno NM, Perandini LA, de Oliveira RS, Buchheit M, Simões HG. Perceived exertion threshold: comparison with ventilatory thresholds and critical power. Science & Sports 2009; 24: 196–201
- 41 *Noakes TD, Tucker R.* Do we really need a central governor to explain brain regulation of exercise performance? A response to the letter of Dr. Marcora. Eur J Appl Physiol 2008; 104: 933–935

- 42 *Noakes TD.* Evidence that reduced skeletal muscle recruitment explains the lactate paradox during exercise at high altitude. J Appl Physiol 2009; 106: 737–738
- 43 Noakes TD. Rating of perceived exertion as a predictor of the duration of exercise that remains until exhaustion. Br J Sports Med 2008; 42: 623–624
- 44 Pavlat DJ, Housh TJ, Johnson GO, Eckerson JM. Electromyographic responses at the neuromuscular fatigue threshold. J Sports Med Phys Fitness 1995; 35: 31–37
- 45 Pavlat DJ, Housh TJ, Johnson GO, Schmidt RJ, Eckerson JM. An examination of the electromyographic fatigue threshold test. Eur J Appl Physiol 1993; 67: 305–308
- 46 St Clair Gibson A, Lambert EV, Rauch LH, Tucker R, Baden DA, Foster C, Noakes TD. The role of information processing between the brain and peripheral physiological systems in pacing and perception of effort. Sports Med 2006; 36: 705–722
- 47 Takaishi T, Yamamoto T, Ono T, Ito T, Moritani T. Neuromuscular, metabolic, and kinetic adaptations for skilled pedaling performance in cyclists. Med Sci Sport Exerc 1998; 30: 442–449
- 48 Ulmer H. Concept of an extracellular regulation of muscle metabolic rate during heavy exercise in humans by psychophysiological feedback. Experentia 1996; 52: 516–520