# Intrinsic anharmonicities in the $BX_4^{2-}$ orthorhombic sublattice

Néstor E. Massa

Département de Physique et Centre de Recherche en Physique du Solide, Université de Sherbrooke, Sherbrooke, Québec, Canada J1K 2R1

Vólia Lemos

Instituto de Física "Gleb Wataghin," Universidade Estadual de Campinas (UNICAMP), 13100 Campinas, São Paulo, Brazil (Received 28 March 1985)

We have measured the room-temperature polarized Raman spectra of the internal modes of  $K_2SO_4$  and  $K_2SeO_4$  under hydrostatic pressure up to 10 GPa in a backscattering configuration. It was found that phonons involving the motion of selenium atoms have distinctive pseudoanharmonicities that can be traced to isotopic natural abundances. Accordingly, a reinterpretation is given to the origin of the fourth-order anharmonicity ( $g_4$  coupling constant) within the quartic potential formalism as representing dynamical isotopic effects in the cluster picture. We found that this analysis may be extended to other molecular systems with either phonon instabilities or order-disorder commensurate-incommensurate phase transitions.

### I. INTRODUCTION

Isostructural  $A_2BX_4$  compounds showing the paraelectric orthorhombic Pnam  $(D_{2h}^{16})$  space group with four molecules per unit cell have attracted interest because the wide variety of structural changes that they present, of which, the modulated phase is distinctive. Of these the most studied is K<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>4</sub>. Its second-order phase transition, from the paraelectric into the incommensurate stage, is characterized by the softening of the  $\Sigma_2, \Sigma_3$  extended branch with  $\omega_j(\bar{q})$  tending to zero at  $\bar{q} \simeq (1/3,0,0)$ .<sup>1</sup> Below  $T_I$  ( $\simeq 129$  K), the wave vector  $\overline{q}_{\delta} = [1 - \delta(T)]\overline{a}^*/3$ is characteristic of an unidimensional modulation with  $\delta$ going discontinuously to zero at the lock-in transition  $(T_c = 93 \text{ K})$ . The Brillouin zone then folds along  $\overline{a}$  three times and the system becomes weakly ferroelectric. This sequence of structural changes is shared with compounds like Rb<sub>2</sub>ZnCl<sub>4</sub>, Rb<sub>2</sub>ZnBr<sub>4</sub>, and K<sub>2</sub>ZnCl<sub>4</sub>, among others.

In contrast,  $K_2SO_4$  whose  $\beta$  paraelectric phase is a prototype for the whole  $A_2BX_4$  family, has the orthorhombic (paraelectric)—orthorhombic (ferroelectric) sequence in common with  $K_2SeO_4$  but without the intermediate incommensurate phase.<sup>2</sup>

There have been numerous attempts to understand the paraelectric lattice instability in  $K_2SeO_4$  and in particular, the origin of the incommensurate phase. The proposed mechanisms range from the coupling of translation motion of the potassium ions with the rotation motion of  $SeO_4^{2-}$ ,<sup>1</sup> in a rigid ion approximation; to a local fourth-order potential addition to the shell model.<sup>3</sup> This last, Fig. 1, represents a one-dimensional diatomic chain with polarizable anionic clusters and rigid cations. The origin of nonlinearity is attributed to the quartic polarizability of the chalcogenide anions and related cluster. It leads analytically to a lock-in transition at  $2\pi/3$ . More recently, Massa *et al.*<sup>4</sup> presented experimental evidence for mode softening precursors in the electron-phonon interactions.

All these approaches involve in a fundamental way the role of the  $BX_4^{2-}$  sublattice, and, since in many cases there are inconsistencies in the current literature, we decided to compare with Raman scattering, the behavior of  $SeO_4^{2-}$  and that of  $SO_4^{2-}$  at room temperature under high hydrostatic pressure.

Our aim is to elucidate from the experimental point of view what may differentiate the inner dynamics of these two sublattices, and then compare our results with an appropriate theoretical model to aid the understanding of the appearance of a modulate phase in these relatively simpler systems.

The study of  $K_2SeO_4$  and  $K_2SO_4$  only implies an isoelectronic chalcogenide replacement in the formula unit. Hence, they present an ideal situation for this kind of comparative work. The reported x-rays studies at room temperature do not reveal any distinctive anomaly in these two compounds.<sup>5,6</sup> Accordingly, we describe below the polarized Raman spectra of the internal modes of  $K_2SeO_4$ and  $K_2SO_4$  under high hydrostatic pressure up to 10 GPa at room temperature in a backscattering configuration.



FIG. 1. Shell-cluster model;  $M_1, M_2$  effective ionic masses of  $BX_4^{2-}$  anion and  $A_2$  cation. f, f' first- and second-nearest-neighbor coupling constants.  $g_2, g_4$  harmonic and quartic shell-core coupling constants (from Ref. 3).

# **II. EXPERIMENT**

Single crystals of  $K_2SO_4$  and  $K_2SeO_4$  were grown by slow evaporation of aqueous solutions at room temperature. The pressure is applied by an opposed anvils type cell.<sup>7</sup> One anvil is the cylindrical 10 mm diameter and 10 mm thick, optically flat sapphire window. An oriented small single crystal and a ruby chip, for pressure calibration purposes, were put in the 300  $\mu$ m hole of a Cu-Be gasket. The pressure transmitting fluid was a mixture of methanol-ethanol in a 4:1 ratio. Frequency positions were established against the calibrated (5145 Å) plasma lines. Pressure points were verified before and after each run since we observed some window deterioration around 5–6 GPa. Our estimated error is about 0.1 GPa.

Pressure phase diagrams have been studied by Pistorius and Rapoport<sup>8</sup> and Press *et al.*<sup>9</sup> No structural phase transition was expected or found at room temperature with increasing pressure up to 10 GPa.

#### **III. RESULTS**

Our results are displayed in Figs. 2(a),2(b) and 3(a),3(b). The points represent experimental data while solid lines are least-square fits to the data with polynomial expressions of the type

$$\omega = \omega_0 + \sum_n A_n p^n \,. \tag{1}$$

Atmospheric pressure peak positions are summarized as zero-order fitting parameters  $(\omega_0)$  in Table I. They are in agreement with previously reported spectra.<sup>10,11</sup>

The  $XO_4^{2-}(v_2, v_4, v_1, v_3)$  vibrational modes are in welldefined spectral regions as for the free molecule. Figures 2(a) and 2(b) show the behavior of the symmetric  $(v_2)$  and antisymmetric  $(v_4)$  bending modes for  $K_2SO_4$  and  $K_2SeO_4$ under pressure. It should be noted that the weakest  $v_4(B_{1g})$  mode was not plotted since it tended to merge with the background from the backscattering configura-



FIG. 2. Pressure dependence of the bending modes of (a)  $K_2SO_4$  and (b)  $K_2SeO_4$ :  $\bullet$ ,  $A_g$ ;  $\blacksquare$ ,  $B_{1g}$ ;  $\blacktriangle$ ,  $B_{2g}$ ;  $\blacklozenge$ ,  $B_{3g}$ . The polynomial fit is shown as solid lines.

tion. Nevertheless, we were able to verify that it has the same behavior as the other  $v_4$ . The one-to-one correlation between the two compounds for every phonon is evident and the frequency-pressure relation is generally linear.

The results for the stretching region  $(v_1, v_3)$  are shown in Figs. 3(a) and 3(b).  $B_{1g}(v_1, v_3)$  modes that are almost degenerate with their  $A_g$  counterparts were not considered because leakages of the latter modes made their position uncertain. Even though the overall frequency versus pressure dependence has the same slope for both compounds there is a definite departure from a linear behavior in the  $v_3$  phonons of K<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>4</sub>. These [Fig. 3(b)] do not show a break in the slope. Their behavior with pressure is continuous, implying the possible absence of a random librational motion and pointing toward intrinsic anharmonicities within the SeO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> sublattice.

The fitted linear coefficients of Eq. (1),  $(A_1)$ , were used to calculate mode Grüneisen parameters,  $\gamma_j$ , for all observed modes (j) using the equation

$$\gamma_j = -\frac{d \ln \omega_j}{d \ln V} = \frac{A_1(j)B}{\omega_0(j)} , \qquad (2)$$

where B is the bulk modulus. We have used an empirical rule<sup>12</sup> to calculate the bulk modulus of  $K_2SO_4$  since to the best of our knowledge the elastic constants have not been determined. It relates the bulk moduli and the molecular densities of the two materials by

$$\frac{B(2)}{B(1)} = \left| \frac{\rho(2)}{\rho(1)} \right|^4,$$
(3)

with B(1)=26.7 GPa as previously calculated for K<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>4</sub> (Ref. 13) and densities ( $\rho$ ) obtained from lattice constants.<sup>5,6</sup> Thus,  $B(2)\simeq 15.4$  GPa for K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. Every inter-



FIG. 3. Pressure dependence of the stretching modes of  $K_2SO_4$  and  $K_2SeO_4$ :  $\bullet$ ,  $A_g$ ;  $\blacksquare$ ,  $B_{1g}$ ;  $\blacktriangle$ ,  $B_{2g}$ ;  $\blacklozenge$ ,  $B_{3g}$ . The polynomial fit is shown as full and dashed lines.

TABLE I. Peak position of the internal vibrational modes of K<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>4</sub> and K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> at atmospheric pressure and coefficients of a polynomial fit to the expression  $\omega = \omega_0 + \sum_n A_n p^n$ . A weak unresolved peak has been observed in some of our  $A_g$  spectra at the frequency indicated by the question mark. It does have a direct correlation with the internal mode assignments.

			K <sub>2</sub> SeO <sub>4</sub>	K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>			
Mode	$\omega^{a}$ (cm <sup>-1</sup> )	$\omega_0$ (cm <sup>-1</sup> )	$\begin{array}{c} A_1 \\ (\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\mathrm{GPa}^{-1}) \end{array}$	$A_2 (\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\mathrm{GPa}^{-2})$	$\omega^{b}$ (cm <sup>-1</sup> )	$\omega_0$ (cm <sup>-1</sup> )	$\begin{array}{c} A_1 \\ (\mathbf{cm}^{-1} \mathbf{GPa}^{-1}) \end{array}$
Ag	334	332.8	3.1		447	445.5	2.7
	414	412.3	0.89		618	616.9	1.2
( <i>aa</i> )	430	430.5	2.4		628	626.7	2.0
( <b>bb</b> )	841	841.1	6.5	-0.14	983	984.7	4.6
( <i>cc</i> )	865	862.2	7.8	-0.15	1192	1093.8	5.7
	901	900.2	3.7		1144	1145.7	3.3
<b>B</b> <sub>1</sub> ,	342	340.5	3.0		<b>45</b> 3	451.6	2.8
( <i>ba</i> )	420	418.3	1.4		620	618.7	1.6
	437				633		
	841				983		
	874	876.7	6.2		1111	1110.7	5.8
	900				1144		
					1165(?)		
$B_{2g}$	344	342.6	2.8		456	455.0	3.0
( <i>ac</i> )	421	420.4	1.3		622	621.6	1.5
	875	874.5	7.0	-0.10	1109	1106.3	6.2
<b>B</b> <sub>3g</sub>	346	344.8	3.2		457	455.3	3.1
( <i>bc</i> )	417	417.6	1.5		623	620.3	1.3
	871	871.6	7.5	-0.14	1104	1104.5	5.7

<sup>a</sup>Reference 11.

<sup>b</sup>Reference 10.

nal mode of each vibrational group has a Grüneisen parameter of the same order of magnitude. Table II shows the mean values for each vibrational group of  $K_2$ SeO<sub>4</sub> and  $K_4$ SO<sub>4</sub>. The only exception to this trend is observed, as in the case of  $K_2$ SeO<sub>4</sub>,<sup>13</sup> for the  $v_3$  mode ( $A_g$ , 1146 cm<sup>-1</sup>, atmospheric pressure) whose Grüneisen parameter  $\gamma'(v_3)=0.0145$ , is distinctive within its group. These mode Grüneisen parameters, as expected for ionic solids, are not correlated with frequency for either compound. Anharmonic contributions in SeO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> are reflected here in that a polynomial fit needs significant second-order terms, as shown in Table I, i.e., linear mode Grüneisen parameters would only be an approximation.

# **IV. DISCUSSION**

A clue to the origin of the difference between  $SO_4^{2-}$ and  $SeO_4^{2-}$  internal vibrational modes is that the antisymmetric  $v_3$  stretching eigenmodes imply the motion

TABLE II. Mean values of the Grüneisen parameters of each internal vibrational group of  $K_2SO_4$  and  $K_2SeO_4$ .

	$\overline{\gamma}(v_2)$	$\overline{\gamma}(v_4)$	$\gamma(v_1)$	$\overline{\gamma}(v_3)$
K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	0.099	0.038	0.072	0.082
K <sub>2</sub> SeO <sub>4</sub> <sup>a</sup>	0.24	0.096	0.21	0.22

<sup>a</sup>Reference 13.

of the tetrahedral center atom.<sup>14</sup> While the former anion contains an isotopic composition with only small mass differences ( $^{32}$ S, 95%,  $^{33}$ S, 0.76%,  $^{34}$ S, 4.22%;  $^{36}$ S, 0.014%),<sup>15</sup> the latter one has to add the presence of a wide variety of Se isotopes (<sup>74</sup>Se, 0.37%; <sup>76</sup>Se, 9.02%; <sup>77</sup>Se, 7.58%; <sup>78</sup>Se, 23.52%; <sup>80</sup>Se, 49.82%; <sup>82</sup>Se, 9.19%).<sup>15</sup> [The idea of growing crystals of  $K_2$ SeO<sub>4</sub> (<sup>80</sup>Se) was abandoned due to the excessive cost that it implies. However, samples of  $K_2ZnCl_4$  (<sup>35</sup>Cl) are in active consideration.] The oxygen contribution is present for both anions. We note that  $K_2$ SeO<sub>4</sub> near degenerate phonons of the  $B_{1g}, B_{2g}, B_{3g}$ species at about 875 cm<sup>-1</sup> are precisely those that are likely to be affected by these atoms. Having four molecules per unit cell, the likelihood of four tetrahedral replicas is small so we also understand the frequency versus pressure quadratic dependence of the  $v_1$  (841 cm<sup>-1</sup>, atmospheric pressure) symmetric mode as a result of a many-body dynamical interaction. This is to some extent manifested on the oxygen isotopic side band of this phonon, shown in Fig. 4, where we found structureless line shapes at any temperature in contrast with those reported for  $K_2SO_4$  by Montero et al.<sup>10</sup>. Hence, we have pointed out the origin of intrinsic anharmonic, or perhaps, now more properly, pseudoanharmonic, effects in  $SeO_4^{2-}$  sublattice and consequently, we are driven to consider a model in which extra anharmonicities play a distinctive role.

As it was pointed out above, a one-dimensional model, Fig. 1, has been put forward that includes a local fourthorder anisotropy potential,<sup>3</sup> i.e., a second-order oscillator,



FIG. 4. Temperature dependence of the oxygen isotopic side band of the  $v_1, A_g$  mode of  $K_2$ SeO<sub>4</sub>.

in considering the electron interaction of a shell-core cluster picture for the  $SeO_4^{2-}$  sublattice. The harmonic version is well known for its success in describing the lattice dynamics of innumerable compounds.<sup>16</sup> The additional introduction of a  $g_4$  quartic coupling constant is determinant for ferroelectric phase transitions as well as for nonlinear excitations in several systems considered displacive. However, this treatment does not discriminate between  $K_2SeO_4$  and  $K_2SO_4$ . If one chooses to consider that the atom polarizability in the shell picture is totally taken into account by harmonic terms, as it is quite likely since S and Se are isoelectronics, one obtains the same commensurate-phase-transition sequence for both compounds. Lattice instability in this approximation is attributed to a negative (electron) shell-(ion) core coupling constant  $g_2$ .

In the nonlinear version,<sup>17</sup> the additional higher-order term, i.e., the nonlinear interaction between electrons and ions resulting in the  $g_4$  coupling constant, is thought to give origin to the double-well picture (see Ref. 17, Sec. II B,  $\alpha, \beta$ ) in a displacive environment only.

We interpret the  $g_4$  coupling constant as a pseudoanharmonic macroscopic counterpart of a many-body problem that results when the natural isotopic composition is explicitly considered in the lattice dynamics. They may be thought of as intrinsic impurities of the  $BX_4^{2-}$  sublattice. With this additional term, the perfect translation symmetry in the classical sense is regained.  $g_2$ ,

within this interpretation, would still play the role assigned in the harmonic approximation for hybridization in the cluster.

This interpretation for the nonlinear force gives a more intrinsic character to each member of the  $A_2BX_4$ orthorhombic family. We know that some of its components such as Rb<sub>2</sub>ZnCl<sub>4</sub> or K<sub>4</sub>ZnCl<sub>4</sub> share the same orthorhombic (paraelectric)-incommensurate-orthorhombic (ferroelectric) phases with K<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>4</sub>. However, they have a different dynamical behavior at  $T_I$ . No soft phonon has been found in the paraelectric phase of either  $Rb_2ZnCl_4$  (Ref. 18) or  $K_2ZnCl_4$  (Ref. 19). We interpret this as a consequence of the dynamical disorder introduced in the normal phase by rubidium (85Rb, 72.15%; <sup>87</sup>Rb, 27.85%) (Ref. 15) and chlorine (<sup>35</sup>Cl, 75.53%; <sup>37</sup>Cl, 24.47%) (Ref. 15) atoms. Hwang<sup>20</sup> has already pointed this out in the lattice dynamics of molecular compounds and earlier, Wu and Sutherland<sup>21</sup> estimated the relative percentage of various isotopic compositions for CCl<sub>4</sub> to be 31.6% for  ${}^{35}$ Cl<sub>4</sub>; 42.2% for  ${}^{35}$ Cl<sub>3</sub>  ${}^{37}$ Cl; 21.1% for  ${}^{35}$ Cl<sub>2</sub>; 3<sup>37</sup>Cl<sub>2</sub>; 4.7% for  ${}^{35}$ Cl  ${}^{37}$ Cl<sub>3</sub>; and 0.4% for  ${}^{37}$ Cl<sub>4</sub>. This means that in the paraelectric phase of  $A_2$ ZnCl<sub>4</sub>(A:K,Rb) systems the chlorines, due to their positions in the tetrahedras, not only introduce extra pseudoanharmonicities, as Se in  $K_2$ SeO<sub>4</sub>, but also lower the effective symmetry. A statistically weighted percentage of  $D_{2h}^{16}$  (Pnam) forbidden modes are naturally allowed at some sites of the ideal paraelectric phase. Then the order-disorder picture at  $T_I$ , rather than the displacive one, is a natural consequence. This is also evidenced in hydrostatic pressure phase diagrams for the commensurate-incommensurate transition.  $Rb_2ZnCl_4$ ,  $K_2ZnCl_4$ , and  $Rb_2ZnBr_4$  have positive-pressure coefficients,<sup>22</sup> while a negative one is found for  $K_2SeO_4$ ,<sup>9</sup> in agreement with Samara criterion.<sup>23</sup>

On the other hand it also suggests that the cluster model would be successful to describe the phonon instability in other compounds such as  $SrTiO_3$ ,<sup>24</sup> where titanium has a well differentiated isotopic family (<sup>46</sup>Ti, 6.88%; <sup>47</sup>Ti, 7.32%; <sup>48</sup>Ti, 73.99%; <sup>49</sup>Ti, 5.46%; <sup>50</sup>Ti, 5.25%),<sup>15</sup> and that in blue bronzes,  $X_{0.33}$ MOO<sub>3</sub> (X = K,Rb), (<sup>92</sup>Mo, 15.86%; <sup>94</sup>Mo, 9.12%; <sup>96</sup>Mo, 16.50%; <sup>97</sup>Mo, 9.45%; <sup>98</sup>Mo, 23.75%; <sup>100</sup>Mo, 9.62%),<sup>15</sup> where the commensurate-incommensurate phase transition involves a distortion of the MoO<sub>6</sub> octahedra.<sup>25</sup> We observe that replacement of molybdenum by isoelectronic tungsten<sup>26</sup> produces drastic changes pointing toward a more important role of mass differences than the one regularly assigned.

#### **V. CONCLUSION**

We have measured the internal modes spectra of  $K_2SeO_4$  and  $K_2SO_4$  up to 10 GPa. The reported behavior shows explicitly that  $v_3$  phonons involving the motion of the selenium atoms have distinctive pseudoanharmonicities that can be traced to isotopic natural abundances. We found that the analysis may be extended to other systems with either phonon instabilities or phase transformations. On the other hand, we reinterpret the  $g_4$  coupling con-

stant within the quartic potential formalism<sup>3</sup> as given containing dynamical isotopic effects thus reinforcing the idea of a deformable sublattice as the proper description of the lattice dynamics in molecular compounds.

We wish to emphasize that in spite that nonlinear potentials lead to consider, within the context of the above discussion, the isotopic presence as a sufficient condition for a commensurate-incommensurate phase transition, we still like to think as only a necessary ingredient in the delicate balance of forces present in systems that develop that kind of structural transformations. This is also consistent with the quoted experimental results.<sup>1,18,19</sup>

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors wish to thank Serge Jandl and André-Marie Tremblay for a critical reading of the manuscript. Financial support by the Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo and by the Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq) (Brazil) to carry out this work is gratefully acknowledged. This work began during the stay of one of us (N.E.M.) at Instituto de Física "Gleb Wataghin," Universidade Estadual de Campinas (UNICAMP), 13100 Campinas, São Paulo, Brazil.

- <sup>1</sup>M. Iizumi, J. D. Axe, G. Shirane, and K. Shimaoka, Phys. Rev. B 15, 4932 (1977).
- <sup>2</sup>K. Gesi, Y. Tominaga, and H. Urabe, Ferroelectric Lett. 44, 71 (1982).
- <sup>3</sup>H. Bilz, H. Büttner, A. Bussman-Holder, W. Kress, and U. Schröder, Phys. Rev. Lett. **48**, 264 (1982).
- <sup>4</sup>N. E. Massa, P. Echegut, and F. Gervais, Ferroelectrics 53, 281 (1984); N. E. Massa, V. Lemos, P. Echegut, and F. Gervais, Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. 29, 225 (1984).
- <sup>5</sup>A. Kalman, J. S. Stephens, and D. W. J. Cruickshank, Acta Crystallogr. Sec. B 26, 145 (1970).
- <sup>6</sup>J. A. McGinnety, Acta Crystallogr. Sec. B 28, 2845 (1972).
- <sup>7</sup>R. S. Hawke, K. Svassen, and W. B. Holzapfel, Rev. Sci. Instrum. 45, 1598 (1974).
- <sup>8</sup>C. W. F. J. Pistorius and E. Rapoport, J. Phys. Chem. Solids 30, 195 (1969).
- <sup>9</sup>W. Press, C. F. Majkzak, J. D. Axe, J. R. Hardy, N. E. Massa, and F. G. Ullman, Phys. Rev. B 22, 332 (1980).
- <sup>10</sup>M. Debeau, Rev. Phys. Appliquée, 7, 9 (1972); S. Montero, R. Schomölz, and S. Haussühl, J. Raman Spectrosc. 2, 101 (1974).
- <sup>11</sup>P. Echegut, F. Gervais, and N. E. Massa, Phys. Rev. B 31, 581 (1985).
- <sup>12</sup>B. A. Weinstein and R. Zallen, in *Topics in Applied Physics*, edited by M. Cardona and G. Güntherodt (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1984), Vol. 54.
- <sup>13</sup>V. Lemos, R. S. Katiyar, and N. E. Massa, Proceedings of the

IXth International Conference on Raman Spectroscopy, Tokyo, 1984 (Chemical Society of Japan, Tokyo, 1984).

- <sup>14</sup>G. Herzberg, Infrared and Raman Spectra (Van Nostrand, New York, 1966).
- <sup>15</sup>C. M. Ledeter, J. M. Hollander, and I. Perlman, *Table of Isotopes*, 6th ed. (Wiley, New York, 1964).
- <sup>16</sup>G. Venkataraman, L. A. Feldkamp, and U. C. Sahni, Dynamics of Perfect Crystals (MIT, Cambridge, Mass., 1975).
- <sup>17</sup>H. Büttner and H. Bilz, in *Recent Developments in Condensed Matter Physics*, edited by J. T. Devreese (Plenum, New York, 1981), p. 49.
- <sup>18</sup>C. F. Majkrzak, J. D. Axe, and H. Grimm (private communication).
- <sup>19</sup>K. Gesi and M. Iizumi, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 53, 4271 (1984).
- <sup>20</sup>D. M. Hwang, Phys. Rev. B 9, 2717 (1974).
- <sup>21</sup>C. K. Wu and B. G. G. M. Sutherland, J. Chem. Phys. 6, 114 (1938).
- <sup>22</sup>K. Gesi, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 53, 62 (1984).
- <sup>23</sup>G. A. Samara, Proceedings of the 2nd International Meeting on Ferroelectricity, Kyoto, 1969 [J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. Suppl. 28, 399 (1970)].
- <sup>24</sup>R. Migoni, H. Bilz, and D. Bäverle, Phys. Rev. Lett. **37**, 1155 (1976).
- <sup>25</sup>J. P. Pouget, S. Kazoshima, C. Schlenker, and J. Marcus, J. Phys. (Paris) 44, L113 (1983).
- <sup>26</sup>M. Sato, B. H. Grier, H. Fujishita, S. Hoshino, and A. R. Moodenbaugh, J. Phys. C 16, 5217 (1983).