J. Braz. Chem. Soc., Vol. 13, No. 2, 170-176, 2002. Printed in Brazil - ©2002 Sociedade Brasileira de Química 0103 - 5053 \$6.00+0.00

Copper Containing Silicates as Catalysts for Liquid Phase Cyclohexane Oxidation

Rosenira S. da Cruz^{a,b}, Juliana M. de S. e Silva^a, Ulrich Arnold^a, Maurício S. Sercheli^c and Ulf Schuchardt^{*a}

^aInstituto de Química, Universidade Estadual de Campinas, CP 6154, 13083-970, Campinas - SP, Brazil

^bDepartamento de Ciências Exatas e Tecnológicas, Universidade Estadual de Santa Cruz, Rodovia Ilhéus -Itabuna, km 16, 45650-000, Ilhéus - BA, Brazil

^cInstituto de Física Gleb Wataghin, Universidade Estadual de Campinas, 13083-970, Campinas - SP, Brazil

Silicatos contendo cobre foram preparados pelo processo sol-gel catalisado por ácido. Os materiais foram caracterizados por difração e fluorescência de raios X, espectroscopia EPR, análise elementar, fisissorção de N_2 , termogravimetria, calorimetria diferencial de varredura, redução à temperatura programada, espectroscopia FTIR e UV/VIS. Os silicatos mostram-se catalisadores eficientes para a oxidação do cicloexano com hidroperóxido de *tert*-butila como oxidante e obtiveram-se cicloexanona e cicloexanol como produtos principais da reação. O metal mostrou-se fracamente ligado à matriz de sílica e foi observada lixiviação do metal para a fase líquida. A lixiviação foi quantificada por fluorescência de raios X. Testes de lixiviação mostraram que a atividade catalítica e fase homogênea.

Copper containing silicates have been prepared by an acid-catalyzed sol-gel process. The materials were characterized by X-ray diffraction and fluorescence, EPR spectroscopy, elemental analysis, N_2 -physisorption, thermogravimetry, differential scanning calorimetry, temperature-programmed reduction, FTIR and UV/VIS spectroscopy. The silicates were shown to be efficient catalysts for the oxidation of cyclohexane with *tert*-butyl hydroperoxide as oxidant. Cyclohexanol and cyclohexanone were obtained as the main products. The metal was shown to be weakly bound to the silicate matrix and metal leaching was observed. Leaching was quantified by X-ray fluorescence and leaching tests showed that the catalytic activity is due to supported copper species. Leached copper showed no activity in the homogeneous phase.

Keywords: sol-gel process, copper containing silicates, cyclohexane oxidation, *tert*-butyl hydroperoxide, leaching

Introduction

Considerable research effort has been spent during the last years on the development of new heterogeneous catalytic systems for the selective oxidation of hydrocarbons. In this context transition metal containing microand mesoporous materials, so-called redox molecular sieves,¹ were extensively investigated. Besides a wide variety of crystalline zeolites, silicalites, aluminophosphates and silicoaluminophosphates, amorphous metallosilicates were found to be promising oxidation catalysts. Amorphous metallosilicates can be easily prepared *via* the sol-gel method.² Compared to other preparation modes like impregnation, encapsulation or organometallic functionalization, the advantages of the sol-gel method are superior homogeneity of metal distribution, high surface areas, well defined pore size distributions, possibility to prepare multicomponent materials and control of surface polarity by choice of adequate precursors.^{3,4}

The sol-gel method has been applied for the synthesis of various metallosilicates. Ti-aero- ⁵ and xerogels ⁶ have been synthesized and were shown to be active catalysts for the epoxidation of olefins with *tert*-butyl hydroperoxide. V-containing xerogels are active catalysts for a variety of oxidation reactions with H_2O_2 , including

^{*} e-mail: ulf@iqm.unicamp.br

epoxidation of alkenes, oxidation of secondary alcohols and hydroxylation of phenol.⁷ Co-xerogels have been reported to be efficient heterogeneous catalysts for the side-chain oxidation of alkyl arenes with anhydrous *tert*butyl hydroperoxide.⁸ Furthermore, amorphous mesoporous silicates containing W and Mo have been prepared by the sol-gel method and have been shown to be active catalysts for the oxidation of alcohols and the epoxidation of alkenes with aqueous H_2O_2 .⁹

Heterogeneous Cu-catalysts are highly active in the reduction of NO and numerous catalysts, *e.g.* Cu oxides supported on alumina, silica, titania and zirconia as well as zeolite-based Cu-catalysts have been reported.¹⁰ However their practical use for the elimination of NO in engine emissions is restricted because of their thermal instability and deactivation by steam.¹¹ Copper compounds are also known to be active in the oxidation of alkanes and alkenes. Here we wish to report about the sol-gel synthesis of new Cu-containing microporous silicates, their characterization and their use as heterogeneous catalysts for the oxidation of cyclohexane with *tert*-butyl hydroperoxide.

Experimental

Synthesis of Cu-SiO,

The catalysts were prepared by an acid-catalyzed solgel process,¹² using tetraethoxysilane Si(OEt)₄ (TEOS) and copper(II) acetylacetonate [Cu(acac)₂] as precursors. Typically, a solution of 49 mmol of TEOS (Aldrich, 98%) and 1 mmol of [Cu(acac)₂] (Aldrich, 97%) in 150 mmol of ethanol was placed in a 100 mL polypropylene beaker equipped with a magnetic stirring bar and 18 mmol of aqueous HCl (8 mol L⁻¹) were added dropwise to the well stirred solution. The reaction mixture was stirred for 5 min and then allowed to stand at room temperature for 5 days, to remove the volatiles by slow evaporation. In order to obtain hydrophobic materials, MeSi(OEt)₃ (MeTEOS; Aldrich 99%) was also used as silicon precursor, thus obtaining Cu-MeSiO₂.

Usually, gel formation and solidification was complete after 5 days and the material was dried using one of the following procedures. Method A) the sample was heated from 303 to 338 K with a rate of 0.2 K min⁻¹ and kept at this temperature for 5 h. After further heating with a rate of 0.5 K min⁻¹ the material was kept at 523 K for 5 h. The material was slowly cooled down to room temperature with a cooling rate of 1 K min⁻¹. Method B) The material was heated from 303 to 373 K with a rate of 15 K min⁻¹. After 24 h at 373 K the silicate was further heated to 473 K with the same heating rate and kept at this temperature for 24 h. After cooling to room temperature the glasses were crushed and sieved (100 mesh).

Characterization of Cu-SiO, and Cu-MeSiO,

Powder X-ray diffraction was performed on a Shimadzu XD-3A diffractometer, using CuK α radiation and $2\theta = 5$ -50°. Copper contents were determined by X-ray fluorescence (XRF) on a Spectrace TX-5000 instrument, using calibration curves prepared from mixtures of SiO₂ and CuO. EPR spectra were measured at room temperature, using the X band (9.7 GHz), in a Bruker 200 D spectrometer. Elemental analyses were obtained on a Perkin Elmer 2400 instrument. Physisorption isotherms were measured at 77 K on a Micromeritics ASAP 2010 instrument with nitrogen as probe molecule. Prior to measurement the samples were heated to 523 K for 16 h under a flow of nitrogen. The Horvath-Kawazoe method 13 was used to calculate pore size distributions from nitrogen adsorption isotherms. Surface areas were determined using the BET equation in the low pressure region $(p/p_0 = 0.200)$. Thermogravimetric analyses (TGA) were carried out under a flow of argon (100 mL min⁻¹) on a DuPont 951 instrument with a heating rate of 10 K min⁻¹ from 298 to 1273 K. Differential scanning calorimetry analyses (DSC) were performed under the same conditions of the TGA experiments, using a DSC 2910 instrument. Temperatureprogrammed reduction analyses (TPR) were carried out in a homebuilt instrument, using an H₂/N₂ mixture (3 vol.% H₂) with a heating rate of 15 K min⁻¹ up to 1023 K. FTIR spectra were obtained on a Perkin Elmer 1600 instrument (optical resolution 4 cm⁻¹) from KBr pellets containing 1% of the catalyst. UV/VIS spectra were recorded with a Perkin Elmer Lambda-9 series 1645 spectrometer, in the range of 200 to 1200 nm, using the diffuse reflectance technique. Absorptions of the pure silicate without transition metal were measured in blank experiments and subtracted.

Catalytic cyclohexane oxidation reactions

Cyclohexane oxidation reactions were carried out in a three-necked flask, placed in a temperature equilibrated oil bath and fitted with a reflux condenser. Typically, a mixture of 95 mmol of cyclohexane, 9.5 mmol of *tert*-butyl hydroperoxide (TBHP, 82% in cyclohexane) and 100 mg of Cu-SiO₂ (2.9×10^{-5} mol Cu) was magnetically stirred at 348 K for 24 h. The reaction mixture was analyzed by gas chromatography (GC), taking aliquots at different reaction times. A Hewlett Packard HP 5890 gas chroma-

tograph equipped with an Innowax 80/100 capillary column (25 m x 0.2 mm x 0.4 μ m film thickness) coupled to a flame ionization detector (FID) was used. Product quantification was carried out using 4-heptanone as internal standard and calibration curves obtained with authentic samples of cyclohexane, cyclohexanone and cyclohexanol. Results obtained in blank experiments without catalyst were subtracted. Since cyclohexyl hydroperoxide (CHHP) decomposes in part during chromatographic analysis, CHHP contents were determined by decomposition with PPh₃¹⁴ and quantification of the additionally formed cyclohexanol by GC. By-products were identified by GC using the same instrument and an HP 5979 mass selective detector (MSD). The formation of acids was investigated by esterification of the reaction mixture with methanol and identification of the products by GC-MSD. Consumption of TBHP was determined after the reaction by iodometric titration.¹⁵

Leaching and recycling tests

In leaching tests the catalyst was separated from the reaction mixture after 4 h by filtration at the reaction temperature.¹⁶ Another portion of 9.5 mmol of oxidant was added and the reaction mixture was allowed to react further in the absence of the catalyst. The catalyst was used i) without pre-treatment, ii) pre-treated by 18 h soxhlet extraction with acetonitrile followed by 4 h drying at 393 K and iii) pre-treated by 2 h calcination at 723 K under a flow of air (40 mL min⁻¹) followed by soxhlet extraction with acetonitrile. The reaction solution was analyzed by GC immediately after removal of the catalyst and after the total reaction time of 24 h. The Cu content in the catalyst was determined by XRF before and after the catalytic reaction.

Recycling tests with repeated use of Cu-SiO_2 in 5 consecutive reactions were carried out. The catalyst was removed from the reaction mixture after 24 h by filtration, washed with acetone, dried at 393 K for 6 h and subjected

to the next catalytic run, using the same substrate:oxidant: catalyst molar ratio.

Results and Discussion

Synthesis and characterization of Cu-SiO, and Cu-MeSiO,

The colour of copper(II) acetylacetonate dissolved in EtOH/TEOS changed from blue to yellow during the addition of HCl and remained yellow during the sol-gel process. After thermal treatment clear green glasses were obtained. Some physico-chemical properties of the materials are summarized in Table 1.

Elemental analyses showed that the metal contents in the catalysts are lower than the values (~ 2 wt.%) expected from the gel compositions. Since there is no washing of the catalysts in the preparation process, which could cause a loss of metal, the lower values can only be explained by the volatility of the metal precursor.^{17,18} The observed carbon and hydrogen contents are probably due to incorporation of alkoxide ligands, acetylacetonate and EtOH into the silicate matrix as well as re-alkoxylation of surface OH groups. The C/H-content of the material prepared with a molar ratio MeTEOS:TEOS of 4:1 indicates the presence of methyl groups in the final material. Assuming that the Si-C bond is not cleaved during material preparation, a content of approximately 11 mmol methyl groups per g of Cu-MeSiO, is calculated based on the carbon content of Cu-SiO₂.

From N₂-physisorption isotherms monomodal narrow pore size distributions and high surface areas were calculated (Table 1). It can be seen from Table 1 that the pore size diameter is only slightly influenced by the heating rate. It is expected that a slower evaporation of ethanol and water gives rise to large pore diameters,¹⁹ however, we only observed a very small dependence of the pore diameter on the heating rate. Partial substitution of Si-OH groups by SiCH₃ functions also results in a small increase in pore diameter. Since the drying process only

Table 1. Physico-chemical properties of the materials

Material	Elemental analysis (wt.%)			BET surface (m ² g ⁻¹)	Pore diameter ^a (nm)
	Cu	C	H		(,
SiO, ^b	-	1.8	2.7	364	0.65
Cu-SiO ₂ ^b	1.80 ± 0.04	2.9	3.6	424	0.69
Cu-SiO,c	1.82 ± 0.04	2.4	3.1	392	0.66
Cu-MeŠiO ₂ ^{c,d}	1.58 ± 0.04	15.4	4.2	555	0.72

^aDetermined by the Horvath-Kawazoe method

^bDrying method A

^cDrying method B

^dMaterial prepared using a molar ratio MeTEOS:TEOS of 4:1

slightly affects the characteristics of the materials, only those materials, which were dried with the faster heating rate (method B), were further investigated.

TGA analyses of Cu-SiO₂ showed a weight loss of about 5% up to 393 K, which can be attributed to the loss of water and ethanol. The modified silicate Cu-MeSiO₂ showed no weight loss in this temperature range, in agreement with the expected hydrophobic nature of the material. The weight loss of both materials at temperatures above 573 K is attributable to organic impurities, indicating incomplete polycondensation²⁰ and the dehydroxylation of the surface.²¹

The DSC measurements for Cu-SiO₂, shown in Figure 1, are compatible with the TGA results and an endothermic peak due to the loss of water and ethanol is observed at 394 K. An exothermic peak at 698 K is typical of the combustion of organic impurities. A second endothermic peak at about 1133 K can be ascribed to the dehydroxy-lation of the surface and/or to the transition from amorphous to crystalline state. The methylated compound Cu-MeSiO₂ shows only a broad exothermic peak around 743 K, attributable to the combustion of organic residues and functional groups.

The FTIR spectra of Cu-SiO₂ and Cu-MeSiO₂ (Figure 2) show the typical silicate absorptions at about 1085 (with a shoulder around 1200 cm⁻¹), 800, 550 and 450 cm⁻¹ attributable to Si-O-Si bending and stretching vibrations. The shift of the band at 1085 cm⁻¹ to lower wavenumbers compared to the metal-free silicate indicates the incorporation of metal oxide and the formation of Si-O-M bonds.²² For Cu-MeSiO₂ this band is splitted into two absorptions and the shoulder disappears. Both materials show a broad band at about 3700 cm⁻¹ and a band at 1630 cm⁻¹. The former is due to surface hydroxyl groups and adsorbed water, the



Figure 1. DSC curves of Cu-SiO₂ and Cu-MeSiO₂

latter can be assigned to water. For the hydrophobic Cu-MeSiO₂ these bands are, as expected, less intensive compared to Cu-SiO₂. Typical absorptions of Cu-MeSiO₂ appear between 2985 and 2975 cm⁻¹ and at 1276 cm⁻¹. The bands are due to the Si-CH₃ groups and can be attributed to C-H and Si-C stretching vibrations. Very weak absorptions of Cu-SiO₂ in the range between 2985 and 2975 cm⁻¹ indicate the presence of C-H bonds and suggest incomplete polycondensation and/or incorporation of ethanol. An absorption at 955 cm⁻¹ was observed for Cu-SiO₂, which can be ascribed to surface Si-OH vibrations ²³ and possibly superposes absorptions of Si-O-Cu bonds. The intensity of this band is taken as indication of heterogeneity in mixed oxides.²⁴ However, since the metal content in Cu-SiO₂ is low, this method is not applicable. Nevertheless, the shift of this band from 946 cm⁻¹ in the metal-free silicate to 955 cm⁻¹ in Cu-SiO₂ suggests that there is a partial contribution of Si-O-Cu bonds. For the methylated Cu-MeSiO₂ this absorption occurs only as a weak shoulder. Remarkably, the typical absorptions of the acetylacetonate ligand at 1528 and 1380 cm⁻¹ are not observed for the silicate, indicating that most of the ligand was separated from the metal during the sol-gel procedure.

The diffuse reflectance UV/VIS spectra of $[Cu(acac)_2]$, Cu-SiO₂, Cu-MeSiO₂ and mixtures of CuO and SiO₂ are shown in Figure 3. The spectra of Cu-SiO₂ and Cu-MeSiO₂ are very similar but completely different from those of the acetylacetonate precursor and the mixture of CuO and SiO₂. The Cu-silicates exhibit broad absorptions between 210 and 300 nm with shoulders at about 350 nm. These



Figure 2. IR spectra of Cu-SiO₂ and Cu-MeSiO₂



Figure 3. UV/VIS spectra of Cu-SiO₂, Cu-MeSiO₂, $[Cu(acac)_2]$ and a mixture of CuO and SiO₂

absorptions can be assigned to $Cu^{2+} \leftarrow O^{2-}$ charge transfers. Broad bands between 800 and 1200 nm are typical of d-d transitions of Cu^{2+} in an octahedral or square pyramidal environment.²⁵ However, these bands are too broad to allow a detailed assignment of the absorptions.

The EPR spectra of the Cu-SiO₂ and Cu-MeSiO₂ show the presence of only one Cu²⁺ species with a single signal at g = 2.18. The spectra of Cu-SiO₂ and Cu-SiO₂ treated by 18 h soxhlet extraction with acetonitrile are given in Figure 4. It can be seen that the signal intensity of the extracted catalyst is remarkably reduced, thus indicating removal of Cu by soxhlet extraction.

Powder XRD analyses confirm the amorphous nature of the silicates. No crystalline CuO phase was detected. However, after 4 h calcination at 873 K under a flow of air, the X-ray diffraction patterns of CuO were observed and the colour of the samples turned from green to grey. This



Figure 4. EPR spectra of Cu-SiO_2 before and after soxhlet extraction with acetonitrile

can be explained by agglomeration of the CuO on the surface of the silica to form larger particles. Furthermore, TPR experiments showed a reduction peak at 423 K, thus suggesting that copper is attached to the silicate surfaces as oxide species. No evidence for copper incorporation in the silicate framework has been obtained from these analysis techniques.

Catalytic cyclohexane oxidation

Cyclohexane conversion and product distribution in the Cu-SiO₂-catalyzed cyclohexane oxidation is given in Figure 5 as a function of time. Cyclohexane conversion was 4.4% after 24 h with 84% selectivity for cyclohexanone and cyclohexanol. The formation of cyclohexanol is favoured in the beginning of the reaction, reaching a maximum concentration after about 7 h. The subsequent decrease in cyclohexanol concentration is linked to a distinct increase in cyclohexanone concentration, thus suggesting over-oxidation of cyclohexanol. Cyclohexyl hydroperoxide (CHHP) shows a low concentration and other products, in particular adipic acid, were detected with longer reaction times. Experiments where cyclohexanol was added to the reaction mixture in the beginning of the reaction showed that the formation of cyclohexanone is favoured. On the other hand, addition of cyclohexanone to the reaction mixture lowered cyclohexane conversion by approximately 50%.

Catalyst recycling experiments were carried out with repeated use of Cu-SiO_2 in the oxidation of cyclohexane. The results of 5 consecutive catalytic reactions are given



Figure 5. Time dependence of product distribution and cyclohexane conversion in the Cu-SiO₂-catalyzed oxidation of cyclohexane. Reaction conditions: 95 mmol cyclohexane, 9.5 mmol TBHP (82% in cyclohexane) and 100 mg of Cu-SiO₂ (2.9×10^{-5} mol Cu); 348 K. Results obtained in blank experiments without catalyst are already subtracted

in Figure 6. It can be seen that cyclohexane conversion decreases significantly from the first to the third catalytic run but reduces only slightly after this. Simultaneously, the Cu content decreases from 1.82 in the first to 0.49% in the fifth run. The decrease in conversion during the first reactions suggests a loss of copper probably by the removal of weakly bound copper species.

Catalyst metal contents after pre-treatment and after the catalytic reactions as well as cyclohexanone yields obtained in the leaching tests are summarized in Table 2. It can be seen that pre-treatment by soxhlet extraction reduces the Cu content in Cu-SiO₂ from 1.82 to 0.92% and calcination followed by soxhlet extraction yields a catalyst with a reduced Cu content of 1.26%. The loss of metal is much more drastic for Cu-MeSiO₂ where extraction with acetonitrile reduces the metal content from 1.58 to 0.25%. These results show that Cu species can easily be removed from the catalysts. Calcination seems to stabilize Cu-SiO₂, since the loss of copper is lower compared to the material treated only by soxhlet extraction. The pre-treated catalysts



Figure 6. Catalyst recycling tests. Cyclohexane conversions in 5 consecutive reactions with re-use of Cu-SiO_2

show further loss of copper in the catalytic reactions (Table 2, entries 2, 3 and 5). Facile leaching can be explained by weakly bound surface copper species, which are not fixed in the catalyst matrix. These results are in agreement with the properties of other Cu-containing heterogeneous catalysts, e.g. those of Cu-containing zeolites.¹⁰ However, despite of extensive metal leaching into the homogeneous phase, catalytic cyclohexanone formation stops after removal of the silicates from the reaction mixtures. As it can be seen from Table 2, cyclohexanone concentration increases only slightly with prolonged reaction times due to the non-catalyzed reaction. These results indicate that the reaction is catalyzed by supported heterogeneous copper species and that the leached species are not active in cyclohexane oxidation, probably due to complexation by the carboxylic acids formed during the oxidations.

Conclusions

Copper containing silicates can be prepared by a simple acid-catalyzed sol-gel process. From several analysis techniques one can conclude that the metal is attached to the surface of the silicate and not homogeneously dispersed into its framework. Using MeSi(OEt)₂ as silicon precursor hydrophobic materials with methylated surfaces can be obtained. The metal can easily be removed from the catalysts, especially the methylated ones, by soxhlet extraction with acetonitrile, indicating weakly bound Cu species. Cu-SiO₂ is an active and selective catalyst for the oxidation of cyclohexane to cyclohexanol and cyclohexanone. Cyclohexanol is further oxidized to cyclohexanone. Catalyst recycling experiments show that the catalyst can be used repeatedly but a significant decrease in cyclohexane conversion is observed. Leaching tests show an extensive leaching. However, dissolved copper species exhibit no catalytic activity in the homogeneous phase, showing that the catalytic activity is due to heterogeneous copper species.

Table 2. Leaching tests in the oxidation of cyclohexane catalyzed by Cu-containing silicates^a

No. Catalyst Cyclohexanone ^b (mmol) Initial Cu content (%) Final Cu content	t (%)	
	Final Cu content (%)	
4 h 24 h		
1 Cu-SiO ₂ 1.47 1.59 1.82 ± 0.04 1.33 ± 0.0	,	
2 Cu-SiO ₂ ext. ^c 0.12 0.17 0.92 ± 0.04 0.54 ± 0.0		
3 Cu-SiO, calc./ext. ^d 0.14 0.21 1.26 ± 0.04 1.09 ± 0.0		
4 Cu-MeŠiO ₂ 1.39 1.49 1.58 ± 0.04 0.23 ± 0.0	,	
5 $\text{Cu-MeSiO}_2^\circ \text{ext.}^\circ$ 0.10 0.12 0.25 ± 0.04 0.18 ± 0.0		

^aFiltration after 4 h, addition of another portion of 9.5 mmol of TBHP to the filtrate and reaction for 20 h

^bResults obtained in blank experiments without catalyst are already subtracted

°Soxhlet extraction with acetonitrile for 18 h followed by drying at 393 K for 4 h

^dCalcination under a flow of air (40 mL min⁻¹) at 723 K for 2 h followed by soxhlet extraction with acetonitrile

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo (FAPESP). Fellowships from FAPESP and the Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq) are gratefully acknowledged. U. A. thanks FAPESP and the Deutscher Akademischer Austauschdienst (DAAD) for a scientific exchange grant within a FAPESP-DAAD cooperation. The authors are grateful to Nitrocarbono S.A. for gifts of cyclohexane and *tert*-butyl hydroperoxide.

References

- Arends, I. W. C. E.; Sheldon, R. A.; Wallau, M.; Schuchardt, U.; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1997, 36, 1143.
- 2. Baiker, A.; Stud. Surf. Sci. Catal. 1996, 101, 51.
- Gomez, R.; Gonzalez, R. D.; Lopez, T.; Catal. Today 1997, 35, 293.
- Rodríguez-Isquierdo, J. M.; Cauqui, M. A.; J. Non-Cryst. Solids 1992, 147, 724.
- 5. Hutter, R.; Mallat, T.; Baiker, A.; J. Catal. 1995, 153, 177.
- Maier, W. F.; Martens, J. A.; Klein, S.; Heilmann, J.; Parton, R.; Vercruysse, K.; Jacobs, P. A.; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* 1996, *35*, 180.
- Neumann, R.; Levin-Elad, M.; Appl. Catal. A: Gen. 1995, 122, 85.
- Rogovin, M.; Neumann, R.; J. Mol. Cat. A: Chem. 1999, 138, 315.
- 9. Neumann, R.; Levin-Elad, M.; J. Catal. 1997, 166, 206.
- Centi, G.; Perathoner, S.; Appl. Catal. A: Gen. 1995, 132, 179.

- 11. Shelef, M.; Chem. Rev. 1995, 95, 209.
- Klein, S.; Thorimbert, S.; Maier, W. F.; J. Catal. 1996, 163, 476.
- 13. Horvath, G.; Kawazoe, K.; J. Chem. Eng. Jpn. 1983, 16, 470.
- Jacobs, P. A.; Rouxhet, P. G.; Genet, M. I.; De Vos, D. E.; Vannopen, D. L.; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1995, 34, 560.
- Baccan, N.; Andrade, J. C.; Godinho, O. E. S.; Barone, J. S.; *Química Analítica Quantitativa Elementar*, 2nd ed., Edgard Blücher Ltda: São Paulo, 1985, p 201.
- Sheldon, R. A.; Wallau, M.; Arends, I. W. C. E.; Schuchardt, U.; Acc. Chem. Res. 1998, 31, 485.
- Lambert, C. K.; Gonzalez, R. D.; *Appl. Catal. A: Gen.* 1998, 172, 233.
- Sheldon, R. A.; Babich, I. V.; Plyuto, Y. V.; *Appl. Surf. Sci.* 1999, 140, 176.
- 19. Scherer, G. W.; J. Non-Cryst. Solids 1988, 100, 77.
- Móran-Pineda, M.; Castillo, S.; López, T.; Gómez, R.; Novaro, O.; *Appl. Catal. B: Environ.* **1999**, *21*, 79.
- Nassar, E. J.; Neri, C. R.; Calefi, P. S.; Serra, O. A.; J. Non-Cryst. Solids 1999, 247, 124.
- 22. Zeng, H. C.; Zhan, Z.; J. Non-Cryst. Solids 1999, 243, 26.
- 23. Neumann, R.; Levin-Elad, M.; J. Catal. 1997, 166, 206.
- 24. Miller, S. C.; Fujino, M.; Ko, E. I.; J. Catal. 1994, 148, 673.
- Praliaud, H.; Mikhailenko, S.; Chajar, Z.; Primet, M.; *Appl. Catal. B: Environ.* **1998**, *16*, 359.

Received: February 19, 2001 Published on the web: January 28, 2002

FAPESP helped in meeting the publication costs of this article.