443

Characteristics and Predisposing Factors of Bacterial Corneal Ulcer in the National Eye Center, Cicendo Eye Hospital, Bandung from January to December 2011

Astrid Maharani Putri,¹ Susi Heryati,² Nursiah Nasution³

¹Faculty of Medicine Universitas Padjadjaran, ²Department of Ophthalmology Faculty of Medicine Universitas Padjadjaran/National Eye Center Cicendo Eye Hospital Bandung, ³Department of Anatomy and Cell Biology Faculty of Medicine Universitas Padjadjaran

Abstract

Background: Corneal ulcer is an emergency condition in ophthalmology, causing visual impairment, mostly by bacterial infection with rapid pathogenesis. The aim of this study was to discover the characteristics of bacterial corneal ulcer patients in the National Eye Center, Cicendo Eye Hospital, Bandung..

Methods: A total of 99 medical records consisted of patients diagnosed with bacterial corneal ulcer were selected in this descriptive study. The clinical patterns and predisposing factors of the patients were analyzed. The study also identified the pathogenic bacteria of the cases. This study was carried out in the National Eye Center, Cicendo Eye Hospital, Bandung from January to December 2011 Clinical patterns. The collected data were analyzed and presented in frequency tabulation.

Results: The male to female ratio was 2:1, with the average age of 44.4 years. The most common presenting symptom was eye pain (70.7%). Most patients came with decreased visual acuity that was categorized as (near-) blindness (78.8%) and the location of the ulcer was in central area of the cornea (56.5%). The most common predisposing factor was ocular trauma (74.7%) that was caused by exposed to plants. Single-bacterial infection (67.7%) was predominant, with 56 cases (56.6%) of Gram-positive cocci infection.

Conclusions: Bacterial corneal ulcer contributes to 48.8% of all microbial corneal ulcers. Most patients came with mild condition, centralized location, and poor visual function. The common predisposing factor was trauma associated with exposed to plants. [AMJ.2015;2(3):443–7]

Keywords: Bacterial infection, corneal ulcer, trauma, visual acuity

Introduction

Corneal ulcer is a pathologic condition that develops in cornea, which is characterized by suppurative infiltrate and discontinuity of corneal tissue starting from epithelium to stroma. Corneal ulcer is mostly caused by infection, with 90% of the corneal infection caused by bacteria. Bacterial ulcers tend to have a more rapid pathogenesis. Corneal ulcer is also an emergency condition in ophthalmology because it can form turbidity at the cornea. This may lead to visual impairment and even blindness.¹⁻⁴

In many parts of the world, corneal ulcer is one of the major causes of blindness.⁵⁻⁹ Dhakwa et al. ⁵ reported that out of 414 cases, bacterial cultures were positive in 300 cases.

Pure bacterial growth was present in 121 of all corneal ulcer cases, with single-bacterial infection more dominant than multiple-bacterial infection. This study was intended to collect data related to epidemiological features, predisposing factors, causative microorganisms, and clinical manifestations for cases of bacterial corneal ulcers, which aimed to provide background information of bacterial corneal ulcers.

Methods

The descriptive study was conducted in the National Eye Center Cicendo Eye Hospital Bandung in Augusts to November 2012. Medical records were reviewed for 203 patients with corneal ulcers who were treated

Correspondence: Astrid Maharani Putri, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Padjadjaran, Jalan Raya Bandung-Sumedang Km.21, Jatinangor, Sumedang, Indonesia, Phone: +6287877558216 Email: a.maharaniputri@gmail.com

or hospitalized at the Indonesian National Eve Center (INEC) - Bandung Cicendo Eye Hospital from 1st of January to 31st of December 2011.

Medical records were carefully examined: cases with viral or fungal infections and incomplete medical records were excluded, resulting in 99 patients with bacterial corneal ulcer out of the total 203 patients with corneal ulcer. Medical records were reviewed to determine prominent features including sex, age, symptoms, visual acuity, predisposing factors, degree of severity, pathogenic bacteria, and location of ulcer. All these patients' data were recorded in Microsoft Office Excel and then analyzed with SPSS version 15.0.

Results

epidemiological The characteristics' distribution of patients diagnosed with bacterial corneal ulcer is presented in Table 1. The male to female ratio was 2:1. The average age of all patients was 44.4 years. Symptoms were also reviewed; the most frequent presenting symptom was eye pain, which was complained by 70 patients (70.7%) out of the total bacterial corneal ulcer patients. The next most frequent symptoms were red eve (61 patients; 61.6%) and white spot at black part of the eye (50 patients; 50.5%). Most of the patients came with (near-) blindness (78 patients; 78.8%).

Predisposing factors were identified for 92 patients (92.9%). The most common predisposing factor for bacterial corneal ulcer was ocular trauma (74.7%) (Table 2)

Corneal scrapping had been performed for patients with suspected microbial corneal ulcer. The result of microbiological examination with Gram staining was written in the medical records. Infection by single-bacteria (67) patients; 67.7%) was predominantly found in patients with bacterial corneal ulcer than with multiple-bacteria (32.3%). Most of the cases of single-bacterial infection were caused by Gram-positive cocci (Table 3)

Table 1 Characteristics of Patients with Bacterial Corneal Ulcer

Cltt	Bacterial Corneal Ulcer		
Characteristic	n (%)		
Sex			
Male	66 (66.7)		
Female	33 (33.3)		
Age, y,ears, mean (SD)	44.4 (16.3)		
Symptoms			
Eye pain	70 (70.7)		
Red eye	61 (61.6)		
White spot at black part of the eye	50 (50.5)		
Decreased in vision	35 (35.4)		
Watery eye	29 (29.3)		
Propped eye	16 (16.2)		
Exudate	14 (14.1)		
Itchy eye	12 (12.1)		
Swollen eye	7 (7.1)		
Headache	7 (7.1)		
Photophobia	5 (5.1)		
Visual acuity			
(Near-) normal vision	5 (5.1)		
Low vision	16 (16.2)		
(Near-) blindness	78 (78.8)		

Table 2 Predisposing Factors of Patients with Bacterial Corneal Ulcer

Duadian asing Fastous	Bacterial Corneal Ulcer	
Predisposing Factors	n (%)	
Trauma:	74 (74.7)	
Plant matter	26 (26.3)	
Dust	14 (14.1)	
Soil	11 (11.1)	
Wood	6 (6.1)	
Stone	5 (5.1)	
Metal	4 (4.0)	
Insect	3 (3.0)	
Unidentified source	5 (5.1)	
Chronic ocular and systemic disorder:	12 (12.1)	
Keratitis	3 (3.0)	
Pterigium	2 (2.0)	
Endophtalmitis	2 (2.0)	
Diabetes mellitus	2 (2.0)	
Panophtalmitis	1 (1.0)	
Blepharitis	1 (1.0)	
Trichiasis	1 (1.0)	
Contact-lens wear	4 (4.0)	
Recent ocular surgery	2 (2.0)	
Unknown	7 (7.1)	

The severity cases in this study was based on Jones', the most prominent degree of severity criteria of all patients with bacterial corneal ulcer was mild, as presented in Table

The majority of bacterial corneal ulcer patients were (near-) blindness with the location of ulcer at the central cornea (53 patients; 53.5%). However, of all cases with low vision (n=16), 3 cases were at the central cornea, 10 cases were at paracentral, and others were at peripheral. Of all cases with (near-) normal vision (n=5), no cases were identified at the central cornea. The distribution of visual acuity and ulcer location is presented in Table 5.

Discussion

Table 3 Pathogenic Bacteria of Patients with Bacterial Corneal Ulcer

Dantonia	Bacterial Corneal Ulcer	
Bacteria	n (%)	
Single-bacteria	67 (67.7)	
Gram-positive cocci	56 (56.6)	
Gram-negative cocci	1 (1.0)	
Gram-negative baccili	10 (10.1)	
Multiple-bacteria	32 (32.3)	

Severe

Severity	Bacterial Corneal Ulcer	
	n (%)	
Mild	51 (51.5)	
Moderate	16 (16.2)	

Table 4 Severity of Patients with Bacterial Corneal Ulcer

Corneal ulcer is an ophthalmic emergency requiring immediate diagnosis and treatment, especially bacterial corneal ulcer because of its rapid pathogenesis. Diagnosis of corneal ulcer can be established by clinical examinations, whereas diagnosis of its etiology cannot be established only by clinical manifestations. Diagnosis of etiology depends on laboratory examinations, like smears and cultures from direct scrapes of the corneal ulcer. The success of its treatment and prognosis depends on the appropriate diagnosis, etiology, and degree of severity.^{1,7,10,11} Knowing the characteristics of patients with bacterial corneal ulcer including predisposing factors, etiology, and degree of severity may help in establishing diagnosis and treatment appropriately and promptly.

In this study, the incidence of bacterial corneal ulcer was more predominant in male (66.7%) than female $(\bar{3}3.3\%)$. This finding is similar to other studies which have been conducted in Indonesia and other countries. For example, in the studies in Dr. Sardjito Hospital⁵, in Nepal⁷, and in Taiwan¹², the majority of bacterial corneal ulcer patients were male. This may occur because male tends to have more outdoor activities like working, or those with certain occupations that puts them at high-risk locations for ocular trauma, i.e farmers and laborers.^{7,9}

The average age of all patients was 44.4 years. This finding is similar to other studies. Fong et al. 12 reported in his study in Taiwan during 1992-2001 that the mean age of all patients was 40.7 years. Additionally, Jeng et al. 13 also reported in his study in Northern California during 1998–1999 that the mean

age was 42.8 years. 12,13

According to the literatures, symptoms of bacterial corneal ulcer are decreased vision, red eye, photophobia, eye pain, and exudates. 3,14 In this study, most of the symptoms found were similar to the literature, with the most frequent presenting symptom was eye pain (70.7%).

32 (32.3)

Most of patients in this study came with poor visual function which was categorized as (near-) blindness (78.8%). This finding is similar to the study conducted in Dr. Sardjito Hospital, stating that most of the patients came with visual acuity <3/60, which was categorized as blindness according to WHO standard definition.^{2,7}

The most common predisposing factor was ocular trauma, particularly by plant. Based on the result of the study performed by Dhakhwa et al.5, cases of corneal ulcer in western Nepal frequently occurred as sequela of agricultural injury causing corneal abrasion. So this finding is similar to the studies mentioned previously.

Corneal ulcer due to single-bacterial infection is prominent, mainly by Grampositive cocci bacteria (56.6%). This finding is also similar to other studies, as performed by Dhakhwa et al.⁵ in Western Nepal in 2007. The study reported that bacterial corneal ulcer was mostly caused by Gram-positive cocci single bacterial infection.⁵

According to Jones' Criteria, clinical degree of severity of corneal ulcer is graded as mild, moderate, or severe. Four essential aspects are required in assessing the severity, i.e ulcer size, ulcer depth, infiltrate, and scleral involvement¹⁵. Most of the patients came

Table 5 Visual Acuity and Ulcer location of Patients with Bacterial Corneal Ulcer

Visual Acuity -	Ulcer Location		
	Central n(%)	Paracentral n(%)	Periphery n(%)
(Near-) normal vision	-	4 (4.0)	1 (1.0)
Low vision	3 (3.0)	10 (10.1)	3 (3.0)
(Near-) blindness	53 (53.5)	20 (20.2)	5 (5.1)

with mild severity (51.5%), and this is similar to the study in Dr. Sardjito Hospital, as the tertiary eye care in D.I. Yogyakarta, reporting that patients mostly came with mild severity (43.9%), followed by moderate (31.6%) and severe (24.7%).⁷

In this study, all of the ulcers located at the central cornea cause visual impairment and mostly cause (near-) blindness. This finding is similar to the literature stating that corneal ulcer is one of the emergency conditions in ophthalmology because cornea plays an important role in maintaining visual function, specifically as a refractive media which has optical properties (determined by its transparency, surface smoothness, contour and refractive index). If a turbidity is developed in the cornea, it will induce alteration in its optical properties and therefore interfere the entry of light, so it may cause impaired vision.^{1,4,16}

There were some limitations in this study. This study was a cross-sectional retrospective study using secondary data; therefore, the quality of this research result totally depended on the quality of those data. Some data were incomplete, so they must be excluded.

In conclusion, the incidence of microbial corneal ulcer was 203 cases, with 48.8% was bacterial type. The characteristics of patients' bacterial corneal ulcer found in this study mostly occurred to male patients. They came with eye pain, history of ocular trauma mostly caused by plant, and caused by Gram-positive cocci bacterial infection. Most of the patients were diagnosed with mild severity, with central location, and poor visual function.

Despite these limitations, this research is considered to be useful as a basis of upcoming research and essential information for health workers and the society. According to these findings, it is recommended that visual acuity to be included as an additional aspect to assess the clinical severity of corneal ulcer.

References

- 1. Ilyas S, editor. Ilmu penyakit mata. 3th ed. Jakarta: Balai Penerbit FKUI; 2005. p. 4-167.
- 2. Dijk Kv. Definition: visual impairment. 2012. [Cited 2012 May 14]. Available from: http://www.bpaindia.org/VIB%20 Chapter-I.pdf.
- Lang GK. Cornea. In: Lang GK, editor. Ophtalmology: a short textbook. Stuttgart:

- Georg Thieme Verlag; 2000. p. 117–56.
- Kumar A, Pandya S, Kavathia G, Antala S, Madan M, Jadvekar T. Microbial keratitis in Gujarat, Western India: findings from 200 cases. Pan Afr Med J. 2011;10:48.
- 5. Dhakhwa K, Sharma, Bajimaya S, Dwivedi AK, Rai S. Causative organisms in microbial keratitis, their sensitivity pattern and treatment outcome in western Nepal. Nepal J Ophtalmol. 2012;4(1):119–27.
- 6. Norina TJ, Raihan S, Bakiah S, Ezanee M, Liza-Sharmini AT, Wan Hazzabah WH. Microbial keratitis: aetiological diagnosis and clinical features in patients admitted to Hospital University Sains Malaysia. Singapore Med J. 2008;49(1):67-71.
- Suhardjo, Widodo F. Dewi UM. Tingkat keparahan ulkus kornea di RS
- 8. Dr. Sardjito sebagai tempat pelayanan mata tersier. Medika. 2003;29(3):148-52.
- Sinha R, Sharma N, Vajpayee RB. Corneal blindness-present status.. Cataract & Refractive Surgery Today. 2005. [Cited 2012 May 14] Available from: http:// crstoday.com/2005/09/CRST1005_14.
- 10. Bangun CYY. Prevalensi kebutaan akibat kelainan kornea di Kabupaten Langkat (Thesis). Medan:University of Sumatera Utara; 2009.
- 11. Biswell R. Vaughan & Asbury's General Ophtalmology. 16th Ed. New York: McGraw-Hill Medical; 2007.
- 12. Seal DV, Pleyer U. Ocular Infection. 2th ed. Boca Raton, Florida: CRC Press; 2008.
- 13. Fong CF, Tseng CH, Hu FR, Wang IJ, Chen WL, Hou YC. Clinical chracteristics of microbial keratitis in a University Hospital in Taiwan. Am J Ophtalmol. 2004;137(2):329-36
- 14. Jeng BH, Gritz DC, Kumar AB, Holsclaw DS, Porco TC, Smith SD, et al.. Epidemiology of ulcerative keratitis in Northern California. Arch Ophtalmol. 2010;128(8):1022-8
- 15. Mielke J. Cornea. In: Schlote T, Rohrbach J, Grueb M, Mielke J, editors. Pocket atlas of ophtalmology. New York: Thieme; 2006. p. 96-7.
- 16. Cokingtin CD, Hyndiuk RA. Bacterial keratitis. In: Tabbara KF, Hyndiuk RA, editors. Infections of the Eye. 2th ed. New York: Little, Brown and Company; 1996. p. 323-44.
- 17. Nishida T. Cornea. In: Krachmer JH, Mannis MJ, Holland EJ, editors. Cornea. 2th ed. New York: Elsevier Mosby; 2005. p. 3–22.