Article

J. Braz. Chem. Soc., Vol. 17, No. 5, 981-988, 2006. Printed in Brazil - ©2006 Sociedade Brasileira de Química 0103 - 5053 \$6.00+0.00

Oxidation of Tertiary Homoallylic Alcohols by Thallium Trinitrate: Fragmentation vs. Ring Contraction

Luiz F. Silva Jr.,^{*,a} Samir A. P. Quintiliano,^a Helena M. C. Ferraz,^a Leonardo S. Santos^b and Marcos N. Eberlin^b

^aInstituto de Química, Universidade de São Paulo, CP 26077, 05513-970 São Paulo-SP, Brazil ^bInstituto de Química, Universidade Estadual de Campinas, 13083-970 Campinas-SP, Brazil

A oxidação de álcoois homoalílicos terciários com trinitrato de tálio (TTN) foi investigada. Os álcoois que possuem uma metila na posição alílica perdem uma molécula de acetona via uma reação de fragmentação, levando a uma mistura de álcoois alílicos isoméricos como principais produtos, juntamente com os correspondentes derivados acetilados. Por outro lado, o tratamento com TTN de álcoois terciários análogos, sem a metila na posição alílica, fornece indanos, através de uma reação de contração de anel.

The oxidation of tertiary homoallylic alcohols with thallium trinitrate (TTN) was investigated. The alcohols bearing an allylic methyl group lose a molecule of acetone *via* a fragmentation reaction that leads to isomeric secondary allylic alcohols as major products, together with their corresponding acetylated derivatives. On the other hand, treating analogous tertiary alcohols without the allylic methyl group with TTN gives indans, through a ring contraction reaction.

Keywords: thallium trinitrate, ring contraction, homoallylic alcohols, fragmentation reaction, indan

Introduction

The reaction of primary homoallylic alcohols with thallium(III) salts has been carefully investigated by several groups, and these studies revealed four main different reaction pathways governed mainly by the structure of the substrate.¹ The formation of cyclic ethers through an electrophilic cyclization has been the most explored reaction (Scheme 1, Reaction A).²⁻⁷ With homoallylic alcohols bearing an endocyclic double bond, oxidative rearrangement may lead to a ring contraction product (Scheme 1, Reaction B),⁶⁻⁹ as exemplified by the preparation of the indan 2 from the alkenol 1 using thallium trinitrate (TTN) (Scheme 2).9 We observed that in these rearrangements the hydroxyl group has an active role, facilitating the addition of the thallium(III) to the double bond. The third type of reaction reported between thallium(III) and 3-alkenols proceeds via fragmentation, in which a molecule of formaldehyde is lost (Scheme 1, Reaction C).^{10,11} This reaction was discovered by Kocovský and Baines in the early 90's, resulting in an efficient approach to obtain the hormones estrone and estradiol.^{12,13} Finally, homoallylic alcohols can afford a diastereomeric mixture of products of addition of the solvent when treated with thallium(III) in the presence of methanol (Scheme 1, Reaction D).^{7,9}



Scheme 1.

Although the reactivity of several primary homoallylic alcohols has been investigated, the behavior of the corresponding tertiary alcohols has not been studied. Thus, we decided to investigate the reactivity of a series of tertiary homoallylic alcohols analogous to **1**, with

^{*}e-mail: luizfsjr@iq.usp.br



Scheme 2.

thallium(III). However, as described herein, the oxidation of these substrates with TTN can give either fragmentation or ring contraction products depending on the structure of the substrate.

Results and Discussion

The required tertiary homoallylic alcohols **4a-g** were prepared in good yields from the reaction of the esters **3a-g**⁹ with excess of methyllithium (Table 1).¹⁴

Treatment of the tertiary homoallylic alcohols **4a-b** with TTN, under conditions similar to those used in the ring contraction of **1**, failed to form the expected indans. Instead, the isomeric primary allylic alcohols **5a-b** and **6a-b** were obtained, together with minor amounts of their acetylated derivatives **7a-b** and **8a-b**. Presumably, a molecule of acetone is lost in these fragmentations (Table 2, entries 1 and 2).

The different reactivity of **4a-b** when compared to **1** can be explained considering the mechanism for the thallium(III) mediated ring contraction of homoallylic alcohols. In the rearrangement of **1**, the coordination of the thallium(III) with the hydroxyl group leads to the formation of a heterocyclic six-membered ring intermediate. However, we believe that the formation of an analogous intermediate (**10**) from the substrates **4a-b** would be sterically hindered, due to the presence of three methyl groups too close to each other, on which two of them would be in an axial position, as exemplified for **4a** in Scheme 3.



Scheme 3.

The behavior of **4c** in the oxidation with TTN is slightly different from **4a-b**, because the indan **9c** was isolated in addition to the fragmentation products (Table 2, entry 3). The formation of **9c** can be rationalized as a result of the thallium(III) mediated oxidative rearrangement of the fragmentation product **5c**, which bears a methoxyl group at the *para* position of the migrating carbon 8a, increasing its migratory aptitude and, thus, facilitating the ring contraction (Scheme 4).^{17,18} In the analogous allylic alcohols **5a-b** this





^a Reaction conditions: 6 equiv. of MeLi, THF, - 78 °C, 2 h.

mesomeric effect can not operate. To corroborate this proposition, the reaction of **4c** was performed using enough TTN to consume all **5c** formed. Indeed, under such a condition, the indan **9c** was obtained in 42% yield (Table 2, entry 4).



Scheme 4.

Entry	Substrate	Products (Yield, Ratio)
1	4a	$\begin{array}{c} & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & &$
2	4b	MeO + KeO
3	4c	$ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} & & \\$
4	4c	$ \begin{array}{c} & & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ $

Table 2. Oxidation of 4a-c with 1.1 equiv. of TTN (entries 1-3) or with 1.7 equiv. of TTN (entry 4)^{ab}

^aRatio determined by ¹H NMR. ^bThe geometry of the double bonds in **6a-b**, **8a-c** was assigned by comparison with the literature.¹⁶

Three possible mechanisms can be invoked for explaining the fragmentation reaction of the alcohols 4ac. First, the mechanism previously proposed by Kocovský and Baines¹³ for the thallium(III) promoted fragmentation reactions was applied for the homoallylic alcohol 4a (Scheme 5, path A). The first step is an anti-Markovnikov electrophilic addition of the thallium(III), forming the cyclic ether 11. This step also represents a 5-endo-trig ring closure, which is usually not favored. Reorganization of the bonds would lead to the loss of acetone, affording the allylic carbocation 12, which after solvolysis gives the final products 5a-8a. Considering that the course of several thallium(III) mediated cyclization reactions had been well explained by an initial 4-exo-trig cyclization, which proceeded by a Markovnikov addition of thallium(III),⁴ we set out to expand this feature in the Kocovský mechanism through a new sequence of events (Scheme 5, path B). As the thallium(III) is isoeletronic to Pb(IV) and based on the recent work of Preite and Cuellar,¹⁹ the thallium(III) π -allylic complex 16 was postulated as the key intermediate in the third hypothesis (Scheme 5, path C).

Instead of the fragmentation products observed in the oxidation of the alcohols 4a-c, the substrates 4d-g gave the ring contraction products 17d-g when treated with TTN (Table 3). Furthermore, these ring contraction products 17d-g were obtained in higher yields using 1.5 equiv. (59-65%) than using 1.1 equiv. of TTN (37-60%). This can be explained by the fact that the ring contraction is faster when the amount of the oxidizer is increased. Thus, the formation of byproducts is reduced, giving higher isolated yields for 17d-g. In entries 2 and 4, the starting material was not totally consumed, even with 1.5 equiv. of TTN. Thus, the alcohol 4g was treated with 1.7 equivalents of TTN expecting that the yield could be further increased. However, albeit no starting material was recovered, the yield was lower (59%) than that with 1.5 equivalents (65%). We consider that the additional excess of TTN oxidizes the ketone moiety of the indan 17g. The indan 17g was obtained exclusively in the *trans* configuration, which agrees with a similar result previously described.9

In summary, the reaction with TTN of the tertiary homoallylic alcohols **4a-c**, which bear an allylic methyl group, fails to form the expected ring contraction products.





^a4% of starting material were recovered on each case.

Instead, the observed products are those originated from a fragmentation reaction. On the other hand, treating analogous tertiary alcohols without the allylic methyl group (**4d-g**) with TTN gives the corresponding indans (**17d-g**), in good yield, through a ring contraction reaction.

Experimental

General

THF was freshly distilled from sodium/benzophenone. Other reagents were used as received. Column chromatography was performed using silica gel Acros 200-400 Mesh. TLC analyses were performed with silica gel plates Merck, using *p*-anisaldehyde solution for visualization. ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker spectrometers. IR spectra were measured on a Perkin-Elmer 1750-FT. Gas chromatography analyses were performed in a HP-6890 series II. High resolution mass spectra were performed on a VG Autospec/Fission Instrument and MicroTOF LC from Bruker Daltonics.

3-(1,2-Dihydronaphthalen-4-yl)-2-methylbutan-2-ol (**4***a*). General procedure for the preparation of the 3-alkenols **4a-g**

To a stirred solution of $3a^9$ (0.975 g, 4.24 mmol) in anhydrous THF (10 mL), was added MeLi (17.0 mL of 1.6 mol L⁻¹ solution in Et₂O, 25.4 mmol) at -78 °C. The mixture was stirred at -78 °C for 2 h, when water was carefully added dropwise at rt. The aqueous phase was extracted twice with AcOEt. The combined organic phase was washed with brine and dried over anhydrous MgSO₄. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash chromatography (gradient elution, 10-20% AcOEt in hexanes) giving impure 3-(1,2-dihydronaphthalen-4-yl)butan-2one as byproduct (0.073 g) and 3-(1,2-dihydronaphthalen-4-yl)-2-methylbutan-2-ol (4a) (0.436 g, 2.02 mmol, 48%); colorless oil; IR (film) v_{max} /cm⁻¹: 712, 942, 1453, 3444; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₂) δ 1.02 (s, 3H), 1.12 (s, 3H), 1.13 (d, J 7.2 Hz, 3H), 1.63 (br s, 1H), 2.16-2.37 (m, 2H), 2.70 (dd, J 6.3 and 9.3 Hz,



Scheme 5.

2H), 3.10 (q, *J* 7.5 Hz, 1H), 6.03 (dd, *J* 3.6 and 5.6 Hz, 1H), 7.09-7.40 (m, 3H), 7.42 (d, *J* 7.5 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 15.7, 23.0, 27.4, 28.7, 28.8, 42.3, 72.7, 122.8, 125.6, 126.2, 126.5, 127.6, 136.2, 136.6, 139.8; LRMS (EI) *m*/*z* (rel. int.) 216 (M⁺, 2%), 158 (70), 129 (100). Anal. calc. for C₁₅H₂₀O: C, 83.28; H, 9.32. Found: C, 83.49; H, 9.24.

3-(1,2-Dihydro-6-methoxynaphthalen-4-yl)-2-methylbutan-2-ol (4b). The reaction was performed as described above, using **3b**⁹ (1.03 g, 3.97 mmol), THF (10 mL) and MeLi (18.0 mL, 28.8 mmol). The residue was purified by flash chromatography (gradient elution, 15-30% AcOEt in hexanes) giving impure 3-(1,2-dihydro-6-methoxynaphthalen-4-yl)butan-2-one as byproduct (0.091 g) and 3-(1,2-dihydro-6-methoxynaphthalen-4-yl)-2-methylbutan-2-ol (4b) (0.745 g, 3.03 mmol, 76%); pale yellow oil; IR (film) v_{max}/cm⁻¹: 944, 1163, 1246, 3457; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₂) δ 1.11 (s, 3H), 1.21 (s, 3H), 1.23 (d, *J* 6.6 Hz, 3H), 2.13-2.32 (m, 3H), 2.59-2.65 (m, 2H), 3.02 (q, J 7.2 Hz, 1H), 3.78 (s, 3H), 6.05 (dd, J 3.9 and 5.4 Hz, 1H), 6.67 (dd, J 2.4 and 8.1 Hz, 1H), 7.00 (d, J 2.1 Hz, 1H), 7.05 (d, J 8.4 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₂) δ 15.7, 23.4, 27.5, 27.7, 28.8, 42.4, 55.2, 72.6, 110.0, 110.6, 126.2, 128.1, 128.7, 137.3, 139.6, 158.2; LRMS (EI) m/z (rel. int.) 246 (M⁺, 2%), 188 (91), 159 (100). Anal. calc. for C₁₆H₂₂O₂: C, 78.01; H, 9.00. Found: C, 78.00; H, 8.64.

3-(1,2-Dihydro-7-methoxynaphthalen-4-yl)-2-methylbutan-2-ol (4c). The reaction was performed as described above, using 3c9 (1.03 g, 3.97 mmol), THF (10 mL) and MeLi (15.0 mL, 24.0 mmol). The residue was purified by flash chromatography (gradient elution, 15-30% AcOEt in hexanes) giving impure 3-(1,2-dihydro-7-methoxynaphthalen-4-yl)butan-2-one (0.060 g) and 3-(1,2-dihydro-7-methoxynaphthalen-4-yl)-2-methylbutan-2-ol (4c) (0.766 g, 3.11 mmol, 78%); pale yellow oil; IR (film) v_{max}/cm^{-1} : 943, 1201, 1250, 3455; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₂) δ 1.08 (s, 3H), 1.18 (s, 3H), 1.19 (d, J 6.2 Hz, 3H), 1.84 (br s, 1H), 2.14-2.30 (m, 2H), 2.64 (dd, J 6.3 and 9.3 Hz, 2H), 3.01 (q, J 7.2 Hz, 1H), 3.76 (s, 3H), 5.85 (t, J 6.0 Hz, 1H), 6.66-6.71 (m, 2H), 7.31 (d, J 9.3 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₂) δ 15.9, 23.2, 27.5, 29.1, 29.4, 42.6, 55.2, 72.8, 110.9, 113.9, 123.3, 124.3, 129.5, 138.5, 139.5, 158.3; LRMS (EI) m/z (rel. int.) 246 (M⁺, 19%), 188 (90), 59 (100); HRMS [ESI (-)] Calc. for $[C_{16}H_{22}O_2 - H]^2$ 245.1542. Found: 245.1542.

1-(1,2-Dihydronaphthalen-4-yl)-2-methylpropan-2-ol (*4d*). The reaction was performed as described above, using **3d**⁹ (2.52 g, 11.7 mmol), THF (25.0 mL) and MeLi (43.8 mL, 70.0 mmol). The residue was purified by flash chromatography (gradient elution, 15-30%, AcOEt in hexanes) giving impure 1-(1,2-dihydronaphthalen-4-yl)propan-2-one (0.0730 g) and the alcohol **4d** (1.29 g, 8.17 mmol, 55%); white solid; mp 72.1-73.2 °C; IR (KBr) v_{max} /cm⁻¹: 903, 1129, 1361, 3331; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.20 (s, 6H), 1.61 (br s, 1H), 2.27 (ddd, *J* 4.6, 7.7 and 8.2 Hz 2H), 2.70 (s, 2H), 2.75 (dd, *J* 7.7 and 8.2 Hz, 2H), 5.95 (t, *J* 4.6 Hz, 1H), 7.12-7.21 (m, 3H), 7.36 (d, *J* 7.3 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 23.3, 28.8, 29.9, 45.1, 70.7, 123.7, 126.3, 126.8, 127.7, 129.8, 133.6, 135.3, 136.6; LRMS (EI) *m*/*z* (rel. int.) 202 (M⁺, 10%), 144 (80), 129 (100); Anal. calc. for C₁₄H₁₈O: C, 83.12; H, 8.97. Found: C, 83.15; H, 8.57.

1-(1,2-dihydro-6-methoxynaphthalen-4-yl)-2-methylpropan-2-ol (4e). The preparation was performed as described above, using 3e⁹ (0.832 g, 3.30 mmol), THF (20 mL) and MeLi (12.4 mL, 20.0 mmol). The residue was purified by flash chromatography (gradient elution, 15-30% AcOEt in hexanes) giving impure 1-(1,2-dihydro-6-methoxynaphthalen-4-yl)propan-2-one (0.101 g) and the alcohol 4e (0.562 g, 2.42 mmol, 73%); pale yellow oil; IR (film) v_{max}/cm⁻¹: 976, 1044, 1607, 3420; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₂) δ 1.17 (s, 6H), 2.20 (ddd, J 4.5, 7.5 and 8.1 Hz, 2H), 2.20 (br s, 1H), 2.63 (s, 2H), 2.63 (dd, J 6.3 and 9.6 Hz, 2H), 3.79 (s, 3H), 5.97 (t, J 4.8 Hz, 1H), 6.68 (dd, J 2.7 and 8.1 Hz, 1H), 6.94 (d, J 2.7 Hz, 1H), 7.06 (d, J 7.8 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₂) δ 23.6, 27.7, 29.7, 45.2, 55.2, 70.6, 110.6, 111.0, 128.1, 128.7, 130.3, 133.5, 136.4, 158.1; LRMS (EI) m/z (rel. int.) 232 (M⁺, 14%), 159 (81), 59 (100); Anal. calc. for C₁₅H₂₀O₂: C, 77.55; H, 8.68. Found: C, 77.37; H, 8.61.

1-(1,2-dihydro-7-methoxynaphthalen-4-yl)-2-methylpropan-2-ol (4f). The preparation was performed as described above, using $3f^9$ (0.786 g, 3.19 mmol), anhydrous THF (6.0 mL) and MeLi (12.0 mL, 19.2 mmol). The residue was purified by flash chromatography (gradient elution, 10-30% AcOEt in hexanes) giving impure 1-(1,2-dihydro-7-methoxynaphthalen-4-yl)propan-2-one (0.097 g) and the alcohol 4f (0.485 g, 2.09 mmol, 65%); white solid; mp 84.6-86.0 °C; IR (KBr) v_{max}/cm^{-1} : 948, 1170, 1251, 3342; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.19 (s, 6H), 1.64 (br s, 1H), 2.26 (ddd, J 4.8, 7.5 and 8.1 Hz, 2H), 2.66 (s, 2H), 2.732 (dd, J 7.5 and 8.1 Hz, 2H), 3.78 (s, 3H), 5.80 (t, J 4.2 Hz, 1H), 6.69-6.71 (m, 2H), 7.25-7.29 (m, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₂) δ 23.4, 29.4, 30.0, 45.4, 55.3, 70.8, 110.9, 114.0, 125.1, 127.3, 128.6, 133.4, 138.6, 158.5; LRMS (EI) m/z (rel. int.) 232 (M⁺, 15%), 174 (100), 159 (85); Anal. calc. for C₁₅H₂₀O₂: C, 77.55; H, 8.68. Found: C, 77.62; H, 8.68.

1-(1,2-Dihydro-1-methylnaphthalen-4-yl)-2-methylpropan-2-ol (4g). The preparation was performed as described above, using $3g^9$ (1.003 g, 4.36 mmol), anhydrous THF (15 mL) and MeLi (16.3 mL, 26.2 mmol). The residue was purified by flash chromatography (gradient elution, 15-25% AcOEt in hexanes) giving impure 1-(1,2-dihydro-1-methylnaphthalen-4-yl)propan-2-one (0.055 g) and the alcohol 4g (0.602 g, 2.79 mmol, 64%); colorless oil; IR (film) v_{max}/cm⁻¹: 753, 1140, 3410; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₂) δ 1.20 (s, 6H), 1.25 (d, J 6.9 Hz, 3H), 1.72 (br s, 1H), 2.10 (dddd, J 0.8, 5.0, 7.2 and 16.9 Hz, 1H), 2.46 (dddd, J 0.9, 4.2, 5.9 and 17.0 Hz, 1H), 2.64 (d, J 13.8 Hz, 1H), 2.76 (d, J 13.9 Hz, 1H), 2.88 (sx, J 6.9 Hz, 1H), 5.86 (t, J 4.5 Hz, 1H), 7.16-7.19 (m, 3H), 7.36-7.39 (m, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₂) δ 19.9, 29.8, 31.2, 32.4, 45.1, 70.8, 123.8, 126.0, 126.3, 127.2, 128.1, 133.0, 134.4, 141.3; LRMS (EI) m/z (rel. int.) 216 (M+, 7%), 158 (50), 143 (100); HRMS [ESI(+)] Calc. for $[C_{15}H_{20}O + Na]^+$: 255.1361. Found 255.1350.

Oxidation of **4a** by 1.1 equiv. TTN. General Procedure for the Oxidation of **4a**-g with Thallium(III)

To a stirred solution of 4a (0.323 g, 1.50 mmol) in AcOH/H₂O (2:1, 7.5 mL) at rt, was added TTN.3H₂O (0.731 g, 1.64 mmol), which promptly dissolved. The mixture was stirred for 1 h and an abundant precipitation was observed. The mixture was then filtrated through a silica gel pad (10 cm, 200 mL of AcOEt as eluent) washed with the same volume of a saturated solution of NaHCO₂. The aqueous phase was then extracted twice with AcOEt. The combined organic phase was washed with brine and dried over anhydrous MgSO4. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by flash chromatography (gradient elution, 15-40% ethyl acetate in hexanes) giving a mixture of the alcohols 5a and **6a** (3:1, 0.135 g, 0.776 mmol, 52%) and of the esters 7a and 8a (2:1, 0.041 g, 0.19 mmol, 13%). Preparative TLC (1:1 AcOEt in hexanes) gave a 12:1 mixture of the alcohols 5a and 6a. The synthesis of the compounds 7a and 8a has already been reported.¹⁶ The full characterization of these compounds is given below. Mixture of the isomeric alcohols 1-(1,2-dihydronaphthalen-4yl)ethanol (5a) and (Z)-1-ethylidene-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalen-2-ol (6a): Colorless oil; IR (film) v_{max}/cm⁻¹: 739, 768, 1062, 1369, 2932, 3378; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, $CDCl_{2}$) δ (5a) 1.45 (d, J 6.3 Hz, 3H), 1.7 (br s, 1H), 2.23-2.30 (m, 2H), 2.73 (t, J 8.1 Hz, 2H), 4.88 (dq, J 1.2 and 6.6 Hz, 1H), 6.17 (dt, J 0.9 and 4.8 Hz, 1H), 7.13-7.17 (m, 3H), 7.37 (d, J 7.5 Hz, 1H); (6a) 1.8 (br s, 1H), 1.97 (d, J 7.5 Hz, 3H), 2.17-2.24 (m, 2H), 2.92-3.06 (m,

2H), 5.00 (t, J 3.0 Hz, 1H), 6.27 (g, J 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.11-7.23 (m, 3H), 7.49-7.56 (m, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, $CDCl_{a}$ δ (5a) 22.6, 22.7, 28.2, 67.2, 122.8, 123.7, 126.3, 126.7, 127.7, 133.2, 136.8, 140.4; (6a) 13.7, 24.3, 30.0, 63.9, 123.0, 123.8, 125.8, 126.2, 126.7, 128.7, 133.2, 136.2; LRMS (EI) m/z (rel. int.) 174 (M+, 4%), 157 (30), 129 (100); HRMS [ESI(-)] Calc. for $[C_{12}H_{14}O - H]^{-1}$ 173.0966. Found: 173.0946. Mixture of the isomeric esters 1-(1,2-dihydronaphthalen-4-yl)ethyl acetate (7a) and (Z)-1-ethylidene-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalen-2-yl acetate (8a): Pale yellow oil; IR (film) v_{max}/cm^{-1} : 1041, 1241, 1449, 1735; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₂) δ (7a) 1.38 (d, J 6.6 Hz, 3H), 1.97 (s, 3H), 2.15-2.22 (m, 2H), 2.60-2.66 (m, 2H), 5.83 (dq, J 0.9 and 6.6 Hz, 1H), 6.07 (dt, J 0.6 and 4.5 Hz, 1H), 7.02-7.06 (m, 3H), 7.19 (d, J 6.9 Hz, 1H); (8a) 1.80 (d, J 7.5 Hz, 3H), 1.91 (s, 3H), 2.56-2.58 (m, 2H), 2.95-2.83 (m, 2H), 6.00 (t, J 3.6 Hz, 1H), 6.20 (q, J 6.9 Hz, 1H), 6.98-7.10 (m, 3H), 7.42-7.45 (m, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₂) δ (7a) 19.8, 21.4, 22.8, 28.0, 69.8, 122.8, 125.2, 126.1, 126.4, 126.9, 127.8, 132.8, 136.7, 170.4; (8a) 13.9, 21.2, 24.8, 27.8, 67.0, 123.8, 125.0, 126.3, 126.8, 128.6, 133.1, 133.9, 135.7, 170.6; LRMS (EI) m/z (rel. int.) 216 (M⁺, 3%), 156 (59), 141 (100); HRMS [ESI(+)] Calc. for [C₁₄H₁₆O₂ + H]⁺ 217.1228. Found: 217.1231.

Oxidation of 4b by 1.1 equiv. TTN. The oxidation was performed following the general procedure, but using 4b (0.105 g, 0.427 mmol), AcOH/H₂O (2:1, 2.1 mL) and TTN.3H₂O (0.209 g, 0.407 mmol). The residue was purified by flash chromatography (gradient elution, 20-30% AcOEt in hexanes) giving a mixture of the alcohols **5b** and **6b** (3:1, 0.043 g, 0.210 mmol, 49%) and of the esters 7b and 8b (2:1, 0.021 g, 0.085 mmol, 20%). Mixture of the isomeric alcohols 1-(1,2-dihydro-6methoxynaphthalen-4-yl)ethanol (5b) and (Z)-1ethylidene-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-7-methoxynaphthalen-2-ol (**6b**): Pale yellow oil; IR (film) v_{max}/cm^{-1} : 1045, 1248, 1494, 3400; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₂) δ (5b) 1.45 (d, J 6.3 Hz, 3H), 1.8 (br s, 1 H), 2.22-2.28 (m, 2H), 2.65 (t, J 8.1 Hz, 2H), 3.79 (s, 3H), 4.85 (q, J 6.0 Hz, 1H), 6.19 (t, J 4.8 Hz, 1H), 6.69 (dd, J 2.7 and 8.1 Hz, 1H), 6.98 (d, J 2.4 Hz, 1H), 7.07 (d, J 4.8 Hz, 1H); (6b) 1.8 (br s, 1H), 1.95 (d, J 7.2 Hz, 3H), 2.55-2.60 (m, 2H), 2.95-3.05 (m, 2H), 3.81 (s, 3H), 4.99, (t, J 3.3 Hz, 1H), 6.25 (q, J 7.2 Hz, 1H), 6.73 (dd, J 2.4 and 8.1 Hz, 1H), 7.01-7.10 (m, 2H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₂) δ (5b + 6b) 13.8, 22.6, 23.1, 23.5, 27.3, 30.3, 55.3, 63.8, 67.4, 108.6, 109.7, 111.2, 113.3, 123.2, 124.5, 128.5, 129.0, 129.6, 134.2, 135.2, 140.3, 158.1, 158.2; LRMS (EI) m/z (rel. int.) 204 (M+, 100%), 144 (44), 159 (51); HRMS [ESI

(-)] Calc. for $[C_{13}H_{16}O_2 - H]^- 203.1072$. Found 203.1077. Mixture of the isomeric esters 1-(1,2-dihydro-6methoxynaphthalen-4-yl)ethyl acetate (7b) and (Z)-1ethylidene-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-7-methoxynaphthalen-2-yl acetate (8b): Pale yellow oil; IR (film) v_{max}/cm^{-1} : 1042, 1247, 1730; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₂) δ (7b) 1.49 (d, J 6.6 Hz, 3H), 2.08 (s, 3H), 2.24-2.31 (m, 2H), 2.65-2.70 (m, 2H), 3.79 (s, 3H), 5.92 (dq, J 0.6 and 5.7 Hz, 1H), 6.20 (t, J 4.5 Hz, 1H), 6.71 (dd, J 2.4 and 8.1 Hz, 1H), 6.91 (d, J 2.4 Hz, 1H), 7.07 (d, J 8.4 Hz, 1H); (8b) 1.92 (d, J 7.2 Hz, 3H), 2.02 (s, 3H), 2.16-2.22 (m, 2H), 2.86-2.98 (m, 2H), 3.81 (s, 3H), 6.09 (t, J 3.3 Hz, 1H), 6.29 (q, J 6.9 Hz, 1H), 6.76 (dd, J 2.7 and 8.7 Hz, 1H), 7.02-7.08 (m, 2H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₂) δ (7b) 19.7, 21.4, 23.2, 27.1, 55.3, 69.9, 109.4, 111.6, 126.9, 128.3, 129.5, 133.7, 136.5, 158.2, 170.3; (8b) 13.9, 21.2, 23.9, 28.0, 55.2, 66.8, 108.4, 113.4, 125.1, 128.8, 129.5, 133.1, 134.9, 158.1, 170.6; LRMS (EI) m/z (rel. int.) 246 (M⁺, 8), 186 (62), 171 (100); HRMS [ESI(+)] Calc. for $[C_{15}H_{18}O_{2} + H]^{+}$ 247.1334. Found: 247.1339.

Oxidation of 4c by 1.1 equiv. of TTN. The oxidation was performed following the general procedure, but using 4c (0.409 g, 1.66 mmol), AcOH/H₂O (2:1, 8.3 mL) and TTN.3H₂O (0.812 g, 1.83 mmol). The residue was purified by flash chromatography (gradient elution, 15-30% AcOEt in hexanes) giving the alcohol 5c (0.112 g, 0.547 mmol, 33%), a mixture of the esters 7c and 8c(2:1, 0.076 g, 0.309 mmol, 19%) and the indan 9c (0.094 g, 0.427 mmol, 26%). 1-(1,2-Dihydro-7-methoxynaphthalen-4-yl)ethanol (5c): Colorless oil; IR (film) v_{max}/cm⁻¹: 827, 1251, 1603, 3416; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.45 (d, J 6.3 Hz, 3H), 2.23-2.29 (m, 2H), 2.70 (t, J 8.1 Hz, 2H), 3.71-3.75 (m, 1H), 3.80 (s, 3H), 4.86 (q, J 6.6 Hz, 1H), 6.04 (dt, J 1.2 and 4.8 Hz, 1H), 6.71-6.74 (m, 2H), 7.33 (d, J 9.3 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₂) δ 22.7, 22.8, 28.8, 55.3, 67.6, 111.0, 114.1, 121.4, 124.2, 126.4, 138.9, 140.1, 158.4; LRMS (EI) m/z (rel. int.) 204 (M+, 100%), 189 (27), 159 (98); HRMS [ESI(-)] Calc. for $[C_{13}H_{16}O_2 - H]^2$ 203.1072. Found, 203.1077. Mixture of the isomeric esters 1-(1,2-dihydro-7-methoxynaphthalen-4-yl)ethyl acetate (7c) and (Z)-1ethylidene-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-6-methoxynaphthalen-2-yl acetate (8c): pale yellow oil; IR (film) v_{max}/cm^{-1} : 1039, 1249, 1497, 1732; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₂) δ (7c) 1.47 (d, J 6.6 Hz, 3H), 2.07 (s, 3H), 2.22-2.31 (m, 2H), 2.67-2.75 (m, 2H), 3.80 (s, 3H), 5.89 (q, J 6.3 Hz, 1H), 6.04 (t, J 3.3 Hz, 1H), 6.70-6.76 (m, 2H), 7.23 (d, J 9.3 Hz, 1H); (8c) 1.88 (d, J 7.2 Hz, 3H), 2.62-2.66 (m, 2H), 2.93-3.04 (m, 2H), 3.80 (s, 3H), 6.08 (t, J 3.0 Hz, 1H), 6.17 (q, J 7.2 Hz, 1H), 6.64 (d, J 2.4 Hz, 1H), 6.71-6.76

(m, 1H), 7.48 (d, J 9.0 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, $CDCl_{2}$) δ (7c) 19.9, 21.5, 22.9, 28.7, 55.3, 70.2, 111.1, 114.2, 123.8, 124.2, 126.0, 136.4, 138.7, 158.6, 170.6. (8c) 13.9, 21.4, 25.3, 27.9, 55.3, 67.2, 113.0, 113.1, 122.8, 125.3, 127.1, 132.7, 137.2, 158.7, 170.6; LRMS (EI) m/z (rel. int.): 246 (M⁺, 21%), 186 (100), 171 (11); HRMS [ESI(+)] Calc. for $[C_{15}H_{18}O_3 + H]^+$ 247.1334. Found: 247.1332. 1-(2,3-Dihydro-5-methoxy-1H-inden-1-yl)-2-hydroxypropan-1-one (9c): pale yellow oil; IR (film) v_{max}/cm⁻¹: 1152, 1255, 1706, 3510; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₂) δ 1.58 (d, J 7.2 Hz, 3H), 1.65-1.77 (m, 1H), 2.34-2.50 (m, 1H), 2.93-2.98 (m, 2H), 3.50 (br s, 1H), 3.81 (s, 3H), 3.87 (q, J 7.8 Hz, 1H), 4.29 (dt, J 3.0 and 10.2 Hz, 1H), 6.72-6.81 (m, 2H), 7.13 (d, J 9.3 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₂) δ 15.3, 29.6, 34.2, 48.8, 55.4, 74.2, 111.8, 116.0, 128.3, 129.1, 141.0, 159.1, 212.6; LRMS (EI) m/z (rel. int.) 220 (M+, 11%), 175 (100), 147 (72); HRMS [ESI(-)] Calc. for [C₁₃H₁₆O₃ -H]⁻ 219.1021. Found: 219.1023.

Oxidation of 4c by 1.7 equiv. TTN. The oxidation was performed following the general procedure, but using 4c (0.130 g, 0.530 mmol) in AcOH/H₂O (2:1, 3.0 mL) and TTN.3H₂O (0.397 g, 0.893 mmol). The residue was purified by flash chromatography (gradient elution, 20-40% AcOEt in hexanes) giving a mixture of the esters 7c and 8c (2:1, 0.014 g, 0.055 mmol, 10%) and the indan 9c (0.049 g, 0.224 mmol, 42%).

1-(2,3-Dihydro-1H-inden-3-yl)-3-hydroxy-3-methylbutan-1-one (17d). The oxidation was performed following the general procedure, using 4d (0.108 g, 0.532 mmol), AcOH/H₂O (2:1, 2.4 mL) and TTN.3H₂O (0.355 g, 0.798 mmol). The residue was purified by flash chromatography (gradient elution, 20-30% AcOEt in hexanes) giving the indan 17d (0.073 g, 0.335 mmol, 63%). Colorless oil; IR (film) v_{max} /cm⁻¹: 758, 1154, 1698, 3437; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₂) δ 1.21 (s, 3H), 1.22 (s, 3H), 2.06 (s, 1H), 2.28-2.36 (m, 2H), 2.64 (d, J 17.4 Hz, 1H), 2.73 (d, J 17.4 Hz, 1H), 2.97 (td, J 7.2 and 15.6 Hz, 1H), 3.06 (dt, J 8.4 and 17.4 Hz, 1H), 4.08 (dd, J 6.6 and 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.16-7.29 (m, 4H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 28.4, 29.1, 29.2, 31.8, 50.6, 59.2, 69.8, 124.7, 125.0, 126.5, 127.7, 140.1, 144.5, 213.1; LRMS (EI) *m/z* (rel. int.) 218 (M⁺, 1%), 144 (11), 117 (100); HRMS [ESI(+)] Calc. for $[C_{14}H_{18}O_2 + Na]^+$ 241.1205. Found 241.1221.

1-(2,3-Dihydro-6-methoxy-1H-inden-3-yl)-3-hydroxy-3methylbutan-1-one (17e). The oxidation was performed following the general procedure, using **4e** (0.091 g, 0.390 mmol), AcOH/H₂O (2:1, 2.1 mL) and TTN.3H₂O (0.260 g, 0.590 mmol). The residue was purified by flash chromatography (gradient elution, 25-30% AcOEt in hexanes) giving the indan **17e** (0.057 g, 0.231 mmol, 59%) and the starting material 4e (0.004 g, 0.017 mmol, 4%). Colorless oil; IR (film) v_{max}/cm⁻¹: 1033, 1462, 1698, 3477; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₂) δ 1.21 (s, 6H), 2.03 (s, 1H), 2.24-2.37 (m, 2H), 2.62 (d, J 17.4 Hz, 1H), 2.70 (d, J 17.4 Hz, 1H), 2.88 (td, J 7.5 and 15.3 Hz, 1H), 2.97 (td, J 7.5 and 15.3 Hz, 1H), 3.77 (s, 3H), 4.03 (dd, J 8.1 and 14.4 Hz, 1H), 6.74-6.79 (m. 2H), 7.15 (d, J 7.5 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₂) δ 28.8, 29.1, 29.2, 30.8, 50.4, 55.3, 59.3, 69.6, 110.1, 113.6, 125.3, 136.3, 141.4, 158.6, 213.0; LRMS (EI) m/z (rel. int.) 248 (M⁺, 6%), 174 (10), 147 (100); HRMS [ESI(+)] Calc. for $[C_{15}H_{20}O_{2} + Na]^{+}$ 271.1310. Found: 271.1304.

1-(2,3-Dihydro-5-methoxy-1H-inden-1-yl)-3-hydroxy-3methylbutan-1-one (17f). The oxidation was performed following the general procedure, using 4f (0.075, 0.325) mmol), AcOH/H₂O (2:1, 1.5 mL) and TTN.3H₂O (0.216 g, 0.487 mmol). The residue was purified by flash chromatography (gradient elution, 20-30% AcOEt in hexanes) giving the indan 17f (0.050 g, 0.202 mmol, 62%). Colorless oil; IR (film) v_{max}/cm⁻¹: 811, 1260, 1699, 3490; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₂) δ 1.20 (s, 3H), 1.21 (s, 3H), 2.82-2.47 (m, 2H), 2.62 (d, J 17.4 Hz, 1H), 2.71 (d, J 17.4 Hz, 1H), 2.94 (td, J 8.4 and 15.9 Hz, 1H), 3.04 (dt, J 7.8 and 15.9 Hz, 1H), 3.79 (s, 3H), 4.00 (dd, J 6.0 and 7.8 Hz), 6.72-6.76 (m, 1H), 6.84 (d, J 2.1 Hz, 1H), 7.13 (d, J 8.4 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 29.0, 29.5, 29.6, 32.3, 50.6, 55.6, 58.7, 69.9, 110.5, 112.9, 125.6, 132.4, 146.5, 160.0, 213.8; LRMS (EI) m/z (rel. int.) 248 (M⁺, 4%), 174 (100), 91 (11); Anal. calc. for C₁₅H₂₀O₃: C, 72.55; H, 8.12. Found: C, 72.53; H, 7.92.

trans-1-(2,3-Dihydro-1-methyl-1H-inden-3-yl)-3-hydroxy-3-methylbutan-1-one (17g). The oxidation was performed following the general procedure, using **4g** (0.104 g, 0.481 mmol), AcOH/H₂O (2:1, 2.4 mL) and TTN.3H₂O (0.321 g, 0.722 mmol). The residue was purified by flash chromatography (gradient elution, 25-30% AcOEt in hexanes) giving the indan **17g** (0.072 g, 0.312 mmol, 65%) and the starting material **4g** (0.004 g, 0.019 mmol, 4%). Pale yellow oil; IR (film) v_{max} /cm⁻¹: 758, 1376, 1765, 3437; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.18 (s, 3H), 1.20 (s, 3H), 1.29 (d, *J* 7.5 Hz, 3H), 1.87 (dt, *J* 12.9 and 8.1 Hz), 2.52-2.59 (m, 1H), 2.63 (d, *J* 16.8 Hz), 2.73 (d, *J* 16.8 Hz), 3.39 (sx, *J* 7.2 Hz, 1H), 4.07 (dd, *J* 3.0 and 8.7 Hz, 1H), 7.17-7.31 (m, 4H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 20.2, 29.2, 29.3, 37.5, 38.4, 50.6, 58.1, 70.0, 124.0, 124.8, 126.7, 128.0, 139.7, 149.2, 213.0; LRMS (EI) m/z (rel. int.) 214 (4%), 131 (100), 115 (29); HRMS [ESI(+)] Calc. for $[C_{15}H_{20}O_2 + Na]^+$ 255.1361. Found: 255.1350.

Acknowledgments

The authors wish to thank FAPESP, CNPq and CAPES for their continuous financial support. M. V. Craveiro is acknowledged for performing some initial experiments.

References

- For some reviews concerning thallium(III) chemistry, see: McKillop, A.; Taylor, E. C. In *Comprehensive Organometallic Chemistry*; Wilkinson, G., ed.; Pergamon Press: New York, 1982, vol. 7, p. 465; Ferraz, H. M. C.; Silva Jr., L. F.; Vieira, T. de O.; *Synthesis* **1999**, 2001.
- 2. Byrd, J. E.; Halpern, J.; J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1973, 95, 2586.
- Ferraz, H. M. C.; Brocksom, T. J.; Pinto, A. C.; Abla, M. A.; Zocher, D. T. H.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1986, 27, 811.
- Ferraz, H. M.; Ribeiro, C. M. R.; Grazini, M. V. A.; Brocksom, T. J.; Brocksom, U.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1994**, *35*, 1497.
- Ferraz, H. M. C.; Grazini, M. V. A.; Ribeiro, C. M. R.; Brocksom, U.; Brocksom, T. J.; J. Org. Chem. 2000, 65, 2606.
- Ferraz, H. M. C.; Longo Jr., L. S.; Zukerman-Schpector, J.; J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 3518.
- 7. Silva Jr., L. F.; Craveiro, M. V.; Molecules 2005, 10, 1419.
- Ferraz, H. M. C.; Santos, A. P.; Silva Jr., L. F.; Vieira, T. de O.; Synth. Commun. 2000, 30, 751.
- 9. Ferraz, H. M. C.; Silva Jr., L. F.; Tetrahedron 2001, 57, 9939.
- 10. Kocovský, P.; Pour, M.; J. Org. Chem. 1990, 55, 5580.
- Kocovský, P.; Langer, V.; Gogoll, A.; J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1990, 1026.
- 12. Kocovský, P.; Baines, R. S.; Tetrahedron Lett. 1993, 34, 6139.
- 13. Kocovský, P.; Baines, R. S.; J. Org. Chem. 1994, 59, 5439.
- 14. Corey, E. J.; Snider, B. B.; J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1972, 94, 2549.
- 15. Although 6 equivalents of methyllithium were used in these reactions, the corresponding methyl ketones were always obtained as a byproduct.
- Ferraz, H. M. C.; Grazini, M. V. A.; Silva Jr., L. F.; Longo Jr., L. S.; Synth. Commun. **1999**, 29, 1953.
- Ferraz, H. M. C.; Aguilar, A. M.; Silva Jr., L. F.; Synthesis 2003, 1031.
- Silva Jr., L. F.; Sousa, R. M. F.; Ferraz, H. M. C.; Aguilar, A. M.; J. Braz. Chem. Soc. 2005, 16, 1160.
- 19. Preite, M. D.; Cuellar, M. A.; Chem. Commun. 2004, 1970.

Received: May 3, 2006 Published on the web: July 13, 2006

FAPESP helped in meeting the publication costs of this article.