

1 Linking biofilm spatial structure to real-time 2 microscopic oxygen decay imaging

3 *S. Rubol¹, Freixa A.², Sanchez-Vila X.³, Romani A.M.²*

4 ¹ Dept. of Energy Resources Engineering, Stanford University, USA

5 ² Institute of Aquatic Ecology, University of Girona, Spain

6 ³ Hydrogeology Group, Dept of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Universitat
7 Politècnica de Catalunya, UPC, Spain

8 Corresponding Author: rubol@stanford.edu

9 **Abstract**

10 We combined two non-destructive techniques, confocal laser scanning microscopy (CLSM)
11 and planar optode (ViviSens imaging), to relate fine-scale spatial structure of biofilm
12 components to real-time images of oxygen decay in aquatic biofilms. To this aim, both
13 techniques were applied to biofilms grown for 7 days at contrasting conditions of light and
14 temperature (10/20°C). The geostatistical analyses of the CLSM images indicated that
15 biofilm structures consisted of a combination of small size ($\sim 10^0$ μm) and middle size ($\sim 10^1$
16 μm) irregular aggregates. Cyanobacteria and EPS (extracellular polymeric substances)
17 showed larger aggregate in 20°C dark-grown biofilms while, for algae, aggregates were
18 larger in light-20°C conditions. Light-20°C biofilms were the densest ones, while dark-10°C
19

20 biofilms showed the sparsest structure and lowest respiration rates. There was a positive
21 relationship between number of pixels occupied by biofilm and oxygen concentration decay
22 rate. The combination of optodes and CLMS, taking advantage of a geostatistical analysis,
23 is a promising way to relate biofilm architecture and metabolism at the micrometric scale.

24

25 Keywords: confocal laser scanning microscopy, real-time images of oxygen concentration,
26 biofilm growth, planar optodes, biofilm respiration, geostatistics, nested structures

27

28 **1. Introduction**

29 Biofilms grow on wet surfaces and consist of a combination of autotrophic and
30 heterotrophic microorganisms embedded in self-produced extracellular polymeric
31 substances (EPS) (Costerton et al., 1978). They play a beneficial and critical role in the
32 metabolism of water bodies, being responsible for most of the nutrient cycling, uptake and
33 mineralization processes occurring in aquatic ecosystems, as well as in natural and
34 manmade infiltration devices (Romani et al., 2004; Gette-Bouvarot et al., 2014; Battin et
35 al., 2016). Conversely, biofouling processes (Sala et al., 2013) represent the main adverse
36 effect of biofilms in industrial water systems, including drinking water distribution systems,
37 water treatment applications (such as porous membranes, Nguyen et al., 2012), and marine
38 systems (e.g., aquaculture nets, oil and gas installations, and ship hulls). Both positive and
39 negative macroscopic effects represent the collective result of processes occurring at
40 microbial hotspot scales (μm to cm). Analyzing the fine spatial-scale changes in biofilm
41 structure and metabolism under different environmental conditions is of paramount

42 importance to better understanding the macroscopic effects of biofilm in the environment
43 where it develops.

44 Biofilms present complex and dynamic heterogeneous structures, with sparse and
45 dense zones modulated spatially and temporally by environmental drivers, such as nutrient
46 availability, light, and flow rate (Singer et al., 2010; Proia et al., 2012; Mora-Gómez et al.,
47 2016). Light influences the biofilm thickness, as well as the distribution and relative
48 proportion of autotrophic and heterotrophic microorganisms, responsible for changes in
49 respiration rates (Ylla et al., 2009). Directional flow, shear stress and soil heterogeneity
50 also induce changes in the direction of biofilm growth, leading to anisotropic spatial
51 distributions (e.g., Jung-Woo et al., 2010; Hödl et al., 2011; Bozorg et al., 2012) and the
52 formation of preferential flow paths (Rubol et al. 2014). Another key environmental factor
53 is temperature, which promotes fast biofilm colonization and enhances young biofilm
54 growth (Díaz Villanueva et al., 2011). However, limited information is currently available
55 on whether oxygen concentration distribution in space and time is affected by these
56 environmental conditions and how it is linked to the biofilm architecture (Fenchel and
57 Finlay, 2008).

58 A plethora of techniques are currently available to investigate the spatial distribution of
59 microbial assemblages. These include, among others, confocal laser scanning microscopy
60 (CLSM) and planar optodes. The former is a well established technique to study biofilm
61 structure (New and Lawrence, 2016), as it provides simultaneous information about the
62 three-dimensional structure of thin (young) biofilms (Barranguet et al., 2004) and the
63 identification of different components by both auto-fluorescence (for algae and
64 cyanobacteria) and fluorescent dyes (for DNA and EPS). The latter offers bidimensional

65 oxygen concentration images of surface biofilm based on dynamical quenching of a
66 luminescence indicator by oxygen (O_2). Optodes have been successfully applied in the
67 study of microbial active soils and sediments (Rubol et al., 2016) and biofilms (Borzog et
68 al, 2012; Staal et al, 2011a), with particular focus on the biofilm functioning (Kühl et al,
69 2007; Kühl and Polerecky, 2008). Both CLSM and optodes are non-destructive techniques
70 capable to highlight differences in spatial distribution that cannot be properly observed by
71 other point measurement devices such as optical fibers or micrometers.

72 The link between structure and metabolic function of biofilm is under current debate.
73 Staal et al. (2011b) showed that biofilm in tap-water present distinct hotspots of activity
74 modulated by flow rates, with no clear link to structure. Other works, however, suggest that
75 biofilm structure and functionality are connected, but only limited information is available
76 at the very fine scale (e.g., Proia et al, 2012).

77 Here, we quantify the link between the spatio-temporal concentration distribution of
78 oxygen in the biofilm and the spatial structure of its components (algae, cyanobacteria,
79 bacteria, and EPS) with emphasis on the quantification of aggregate sizes. We used one-
80 week old biofilms grown at four combinations of light and temperature conditions,
81 processed by both CLSM and Visisens planar optode (PreSens Precision Sensing,
82 Germany). The corresponding CLSM 3D and 2D information obtained were then used to
83 determine the spatial statistics of biofilm growth. In parallel, the Visisens plus imaging
84 technology allowed mapping the percentage of air saturation in the biofilm as a function of
85 time and space. The combination of the two high precision techniques allowed studying the
86 correlation of biofilm structure and oxygen consumption for some specific temperature and
87 light conditions.

88

89 **2. Materials and Methods**

90 **2.1 Biofilm growth**

91 Artificial glass tiles (of area 1cm²) were used as substrata for biofilm growth and were
92 incubated in 8 microcosms with a biofilm extract inoculum obtained from an oligotrophic
93 stream (Fuirosos stream) following the protocol outlined in Ylla et al. (2009). Each
94 microcosm consisted of a sterile glass jar (19 cm in diameter, 9 cm high) with autoclaved
95 glass tiles attached to the bottom. Microcosms were filled with 1.5 L of water of known
96 chemical composition mimicking that of the Fuirosos stream, and obtained by dissolving
97 some pure salts (12 mg/L Na₂SO₄, 20 mg/L Na₂SiO₃, 30 mg/L CaCl₂, 1 mg/L KCl, 2 mg/L
98 MgSO₄, and 20 mg/L NaHCO₃) in MilliQ water. Water was continuously recirculated by
99 means of a submersible pump (Hydor, Pico 300, 230V, 50 Hz, 4.5 W) (one pump for each
100 microcosm).

101 The microcosms were placed inside an incubator (SCLAB-PGA500) at four
102 different combinations of light and temperature (with two replicates in each case): Light-
103 10°C (from now on denoted as 10L), Dark-10°C (10D), Light-20°C (20L), and Dark-20°C
104 (20D). Light treatments consisted of a daily cycle of 12 hours of light (160-180 μmol
105 photons m⁻² s⁻¹) and 12 hours of complete darkness. Dark treatments consisted of 12 hours
106 of very low light (<10 μmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹) and 12 hours of complete darkness. Two extra
107 microcosms with glass tiles were previously incubated under 20L conditions for 28 days in
108 order to obtain a mature biofilm for testing sensitivity of oxygen consumption (measured
109 by the optode technique) to biofilm age (and correspondingly, thickness). Random samples

110 were selected from each microcosms, and used with the CLSM and spatial optode
111 techniques.

112 **2.2 Confocal laser scanning microscopy (CLSM): images on biofilm architecture**

113 CLSM (Leica TCS-SP5 AOBS CLSM, Leica Microsystems Heidelberg GmbH, Mannheim,
114 Germany) was performed to obtain images that were relevant to assess the spatial
115 organization of biofilms for the four components visualized: algae, cyanobacteria, EPS, and
116 DNA. After day 7, one replicate per treatment was transferred into an individual flask with
117 enough water to allow the analysis in vivo by CLSM.

118 Autofluorescence of photosynthetic pigments was viewed in the red channel for
119 phycobiliproteins (570–615 nm emissions) using a 561nm laser diode (to detect
120 cyanobacteria) and in the blue channel (670– 790 nm emissions) for chlorophylls using a
121 594 nm Helium-Neon laser (to detect algae). EPS was stained with lectin concanavalin A
122 (ConA)-Alexa Fluor 488 (Molecular Probes, Inc., Eugene, OR) and observed in the green
123 channel (495 to 550 nm emissions) using a 488-nm Argon laser. DNA (as a proxy to
124 visualize bacteria) was stained with Hoechst (Hoechst 33342, Molecular Probes,
125 Invitrogen, H-3570), excited by UV light at 405 nm, and observed in the blue/cyan
126 fluorescence light at 414-458 nm.

127 For three dimensional analysis, 20 slices were recorded, separated at a fixed
128 distance of 1 micrometer. This is valid for all treatments and for all variables, such that the
129 statistics were computed over samples of the same size (i.e., the same volume was
130 considered for all the treatments and biofilm components), following the same approach
131 used to determine the respiration rates. To decide the depth and number of slices to adopt,

132 we first measured the maximum depths of the different biofilms in different locations
133 within the biofilm (up, down, left, right). Then, based on this initial inspection, we chose 20
134 μm to include the maximum volume of biofilm from all biofilm types. Image analyses were
135 performed with the IMARIS code (v. 6.1.0 software, Bitplane, Zürich, Switzerland).
136 Images were taken at different magnification levels. The analysis of the spatial organization
137 of the biofilm structures was performed on the one-week old 10X images that allow the
138 analysis of algae, cyanobacteria and EPS, but not of bacteria which was analyzed only in
139 the 40X images. Most of the results presented in this paper correspond to the 10X images,
140 corresponding to 512x512 pixels, each of size $0.76 \times 0.76 \mu\text{m}^2$ (representing a subset of the
141 total image equal to a square of side 0.39 mm). The lenses used were PlanApochromatic
142 40X (NA 1.25, oil) and PlanApochromatic 10X/0.40 CS. The direct comparison of the 10X
143 and 40X images was not possible given that the two set of images were obtained using
144 lenses with different resolution (in the planar direction) and signal elongation (in axial
145 direction). The purpose of using the 40X images, is solely to relate the microbial respiration
146 to the amount of DNA.

147 **2.3 Visisens: image acquisition and sensor foil calibration**

148 The system consisted of a USB-Microscope device (VisiSens; PreSens GmbH,
149 Regensburg, Germany) with an embedded software. The technique is based on the dynamic
150 quenching of a luminescence indicator by oxygen. Using the LEDs incorporated into the
151 USB microscope, the indicator and reference dyes immobilized in the sensor foil (SF-
152 RPSU4, Presens GmbH, Regensburg, Germany) are simultaneously excited with the same
153 blue light source. The red light emitted by the reference dye is not affected by oxygen
154 changes. On the contrary, the green light emitted by the indicator dye is quenched by O_2 .

155 Since the emission wavelengths of the red and green lights match the red and green channel
156 sensitivity of a RGB chip, both signals are recorded within a single image. Quantification
157 was obtained by the ratio of the red and green channels of the RGB image applying a
158 calibration function derived from the sensor output. The calibration function was
159 determined from exposure to known oxygen concentration values, which were 0%
160 (obtained covering the sensor foil with sodium sulfite solution) and 100% (obtained
161 exposing the sensor foil to air). We refer the reader to Holst and Grunwald (2001) and
162 Gansert and Blossfeld (2008) for a detailed description of the method.

163 The oxygen-sensitive foils were cut into 1cm² squares and glued with silicone to the
164 inner bottom of 6 well-plates (polystyrene, Nunc). The sensitive side of the foil was in
165 direct contact with the biofilm grown glass tiles. Superficial oxygen concentration
166 distribution was recorded with the Visisens unit detector. Measurements were performed on
167 the one-week biofilms grown at the four conditions of temperature and light described, as
168 well as in four-week old biofilms grown at 20°C in light conditions. The image accounted
169 for an area of 1280x1024 pixels (1mm=115pixel). Images were recorded every 30 seconds
170 over 30 minutes (a total of 60 images per treatment). Spatial averaging and hotspot
171 evaluations were performed by using the z-function in the Vivisens software. A schematic
172 overview of the setup can be found in the supplementary material.

173 **2.4 Statistical analysis**

174 CLSM images were analyzed for spatial distribution description, based on the 2D
175 projections along the vertical axis of the different color bands for the 10X visualization
176 fields. Intensity values (for each channel) were measured by an *ad-hoc* script in Matlab.
177 The intensity values for each channel (chlorophyll, phycobilin, concanavaline, DNA)

178 varying in a 0-255 scale, were converted to binary sets, after selecting a threshold light
179 intensity, so that at each pixel the variable $I(x, y)$, indicated presence ($I=1$) or no presence
180 ($I=0$), based on whether the actual measured light intensity at each pixel exceeded the
181 threshold value. For all channels, the threshold value chosen was set to 10% of the
182 maximum potential intensity (i.e., corresponding to an intensity value of 25). This threshold
183 was determined by visual inspection between the resulting binary map and that of the
184 original images with intensity color plots. The sensitivity of the choice of threshold was
185 tested in the red channel, using also a value of 50, and then comparing the results of the
186 statistical analysis. Finally, we extended the work to the green and blue channels, and found
187 no reason to use a threshold different from 25 (again, from visual inspection of the resulting
188 binary maps).

189 The resulting binary (indicator) fields were analyzed for the number of non-void pixels, and
190 then the omnidirectional sampled variograms were obtained (horizontal isotropy in the
191 plots was adopted based on preliminary tests). The variograms were then fitted to standard
192 existing models.

193 To account for the heterogeneity of the biofilm samples, we used two stacks of images for
194 each set of environmental conditions. For each stack, we used a sampling window large
195 enough to guarantee that the ergodicity of the sample was ensured (i.e. we checked in
196 preliminary analysis that sampled windows were at least 25 times larger than the largest
197 integral scale for all components and all treatments). For all treatments and channels, the
198 ranges obtained in the two stacks were quite similar (see Table 1 in the SI). Note that
199 ergodicity could not be assessed properly in the 40X images (due to the smaller sampled
200 domain size). Therefore, the ranges determined for the bacteria may not be representative

201 of the whole biofilm. However, we included these data, since they were collected at the
202 center of the sample where the respiration rates were measured and, as stated before, the
203 purpose of using the 40X images is solely to relate the microbial respiration to the amount
204 of DNA.

205 Note that, in our study, the small proportion of non-void pixels close to the
206 substratum and the comparison of the 3D and 2D images indicated that the statistics for the
207 one-week old biofilm were preserved in the 2D projections (see Appendix A). Thus, the 2D
208 projections allowed obtaining the statistics of the aggregates sizes and the planar distance
209 between aggregates. However, the use of 2D projections in older/denser biofilm, may result
210 in artifacts and requires further investigations.

211 The combination of univariate (histograms) and bivariate statistics (indicator
212 variograms) in the 2d projected images provided simple but very useful information about
213 the way the different components of the biofilm are spatially organized under different
214 conditions of light and temperature.

215 The rates of oxygen consumption were measured using oxygen concentration values
216 taken every 30 sec in the interval 0 to 30 min for each individual sample. Non-linear
217 regression analyses using Sigmaplot 11.0 (Systatsoftware, Inc CA. USA) were performed
218 in each data set in order to calculate the slope of the oxygen concentration versus time plot,
219 equivalent to the consumption rate.

220 **3. Results**

221 **3.1 Geostatistical analysis of confocal images.**

222 Figure 1 represents the 3D images (at 10X magnification) of the biofilms grown at the four
223 environmental conditions (10L, 20L, 10D, and 20D) and for all four biofilm compartments
224 analyzed in this work (algae, cyanobacteria, EPS, and bacteria). These images revealed the
225 presence of highly structured microbial aggregations, whose sizes and distribution varied
226 depending on both temperature and light conditions. More precisely, the 20L biofilm
227 CLSM image exhibited the largest number of total non-void pixels of all the compartments,
228 with algae, cyanobacteria, and EPS unevenly distributed within the biofilm. On the
229 contrary, the 10D image was characterized by largest amounts of empty pixels.

230

Figure 1 goes here

231 The amount of area occupied by cyanobacteria, EPS and algae (approximated by the
232 proportion of non-void pixels) ranged from 0.12 for EPS in one of the 10D stack, to 15.4%
233 for algae in the 20L biofilm in the 2d projections, as shown in Figure 1b. The 10D
234 treatment presented always the lowest values for all the three components. In the 10D and
235 20D, the largest amounts of non-void pixels corresponded to cyanobacteria while in the
236 10L and 20L, algae were the most abundant component (see Figure 2 and Table 1).

237

Figure 2 goes here

238 The CLSM images were interpreted by variography of the binary images to determine
239 selected spatial characteristic sizes and statistical parameters of the biofilm compartments
240 analyzed. The variogram associated to a stochastic process is a powerful tool to describe
241 how the variable of interest correlates as a function of distance. The sample variogram is
242 then fitted by one of the standard stationary models. In our analyses, we studied the number
243 of structures required to model the experimental variograms of the 10X images for algae,

244 cyanobacteria, and EPS. For each one of them, we obtained a fitted range (i.e., the distance
245 beyond which data are not spatially correlated), equivalent to the average size of the
246 microbial aggregates for each component; also, each structure displays a sill, that represents
247 the fraction of the total variance explained.

248 The statistical analysis for the 3D images revealed that microbes were mostly
249 concentrated in the bottom slices (results not shown). The vertical dimension displayed a
250 slightly larger range than the horizontal one, but the actual estimate of range is very
251 uncertain due to the limited number of slices available. As a consequence, the approach
252 presented in this work based on 2D projections was devised only for thin biofilms, with
253 sizes of hundreds of microns in the x-y directions and only a few micrometers in the z
254 direction, and would not be useful for thick (mature) biofilms. While the statistical
255 descriptors obtained for the 2D and 3D cases were not equal, the overall behavior was
256 similar, and thus the 2D projected data was considered suitable to study the spatial structure
257 of the different biofilm compartments. In any case, an example of the comparison between
258 the 2D and the 3D images for the 10D red channel is included in Appendix A. In the
259 following, we report only the 2D results.

260 The variables explored for the 2D projections included the three channels observed in
261 the 10X images: cyanobacteria (red - R), EPS (green - G), and algae (blue - B). The
262 resulting sample variograms were then fitted by two superimposed (nested) stationary
263 models with no nugget, providing two sills (their sum corresponds approximately to the
264 total variance of the indicator parameter, indicative of a stationary stochastic process) and
265 two ranges (Table 1). Stationarity implies that the aggregates are placed throughout all the
266 domain, without any spatial trend, or in other words, that aggregates grow following a

267 similar pattern in different areas. The first variogram model displayed a short range being
268 an indication of the size of the smallest aggregates, and accounted for most of the
269 variability (on the average, it explains 72% of the total variance); the second one showed a
270 larger range, indicating the characteristic distance between aggregates, and it completes the
271 full variance description. In the following, we refer to them as small-scale and large-scale
272 aggregates respectively. The different sample variograms could be fitted by means of
273 exponential models (thus, displaying no continuity at the origin). This is an indication that
274 the shapes of the aggregates are not smooth, but irregular.

275 **Table 1 goes here**

276 Overall, the average size of both the small- and large-scale aggregates of biofilms
277 grown at 20°C were larger than those grown at 10°C. In contrast, aggregate sizes associated
278 to light variations (dark vs. light) were just slightly different depending on the channel
279 analyzed. For cyanobacteria (R - channel), the short-range variogram structure (small-scale
280 aggregates) explained 59% to 81% of the corresponding total variance, and provided ranges
281 (i.e. average sizes) of 2-3.9 pixels (the average is equivalent to 2.2 μm), just slightly larger
282 under 20°C dark conditions (Table 1). The second structure (large-scale aggregates) showed
283 ranges of 10-42 μm (13-55 pixels) and 22-33 μm (29-41.5 pixels) for biofilms incubated
284 under dark and light conditions, respectively. The combination of variogram ranges and
285 percentage of occupied pixels for cyanobacteria indicated that small-scale aggregates are
286 quite similar regardless of channels or environmental conditions; on the other hand, the
287 number of pixels occupied is largest under light conditions, indicating that in such a case
288 there was a larger number of cyanobacteria distributed throughout the domain forming
289 average size aggregates.

290 For EPS, the small-scale aggregates ranged between 1.6 μm (10D) and 3 μm (20D),
291 describing 53%-78% of the total variance, while for algae the average size was between 2.1
292 μm (10D) to 2.3 μm (20D and 10L) and accounted for 64%-80% of the total variance. The
293 range of the second structure (large-scale aggregates) was quite variable, being of 4.6-27.8
294 μm for EPS and 9.1-32.7 μm for algae, with a clear increase with temperature and light.
295 Again, the combination of ranges and non-void pixel percentage, indicates that for the 10D
296 treatment, biofilm occupied just a very reduced area that tended to be localized in very few
297 clusters; on the contrary, for the 20L case, aggregates were distributed all along the area,
298 with a large number of clusters covering the full space.

299 Bacteria (DNA stain) could only be assessed in the images with a 40X magnification
300 and therefore are treated separately. The results from the variographic analysis are reported
301 in Table 2. In the 10D biofilm the area occupied by bacteria was the lowest of all treatments
302 (see also the inset in Figure 2), and their location displayed no apparent spatial correlation,
303 characteristic of an uncorrelated random function. The remaining three experimental
304 variograms could be studied using second order stationary models. Due to the high-
305 resolution images it was not possible to obtain large ranges (anything above 100 pixels;
306 here 1 pixel = 0.19 μm). In all three cases, the sampled variogram could be fitted by a
307 single model with a nugget effect indicating a combination of variability at a very low
308 range (uncorrelated random process), representing 60-65% of the total variability, and a
309 correlated process that accounted for the remaining 35-40% of the variance, with ranges of
310 2.3 μm , 6.5 μm and 7.8 μm for treatments 10L, 20D, and 20L, respectively. This indicates
311 that clustering of bacteria is more sensitive to temperature than to light conditions.

312

Table 2 goes here

313 **3. 2 Oxygen respiration patterns**

314 Metabolic processes in biofilms are the result of autotrophic and heterotrophic organisms
315 happening at the μm to mm scale. Oxygen is produced by photosynthetic microorganisms
316 and consumed by aerobic respiration. To study the resulting spatial distribution of
317 oxic/anoxic zones in the biofilms at the micro-scale, we monitored the changes of oxygen
318 concentration on the surface of the 10D, 10L, 20D, 20L and mature biofilms over thirty
319 minutes at a temporal frequency of 30 seconds. Figure 3 shows the spatially averaged
320 oxygen concentration for the one-week-old biofilms grown at 10D, 10L, 20D and 20L and
321 for a (four-week-old) mature biofilm grown at 20° C under light conditions. The mature
322 biofilm had an initial oxygen concentration of 160% (expressed as % of air saturation) due
323 to the high colonization of algae and cyanobacteria. This value was comparable to the
324 initial oxygen concentration for the 20D and 20L biofilms, which ranged 140-160%.
325 Oxygen concentration initial values were lower (60-80%) for 10D and 10L.

326 The associated oxygen concentration consumption rates were obtained by fitting an
327 exponential decay curve, $S = a \exp(-bt)$, on top of the oxygen saturation (S) versus time
328 (t) data displayed in Figure 3. The values of the coefficients a (in percentage saturation)
329 and b (in min^{-1}) are listed in Table 3. The slope of the oxygen decay curve (b) increased
330 with temperature, with the largest value measured for the mature biofilm.

331 **Figure 3 goes here**

332 **Table 3 goes here**

333 The real-time images of oxygen concentration at selected times is illustrated in Figure
334 4 for all 7-day old biofilms, as well as for a 28-day old biofilm grown at 20L (an example

335 of the whole time series for the 20L biofilm is included in the Supplementary Material, see
336 Video S1). Anaerobic hotspots, defined as zones with disproportionately high reaction rates
337 with respect to the surrounding matrix (McClain et al., 2003) developed under all
338 environmental conditions, but were most intense for the biofilms grown under light.

339 **Figure 4 goes here**

340 An example of the fine-scale spatial variability (i.e., heterogeneity) in oxygen decay is
341 presented in Figure 5, corresponding to the one-week 20L biofilm. Five subzones (of 12
342 mm² surface area) were cropped from the original plot. The evolution of the spatially
343 averaged oxygen saturation is plotted, for each subzone, as a function of time. The oxygen
344 decay rates presented a very large variability of the *a* and *b* coefficients, with values
345 ranging from $b = 0.03 - 0.10 \text{ min}^{-1}$.

346 **Figure 5 goes here**

347 Histograms of the spatial oxygen concentration distribution are presented in Figure 6
348 for two selected times, 3 minutes and 30 minutes. Figure 6 displays a large variability in
349 space among the oxygen concentration histograms studied. Overall, the largest reduction in
350 oxygen concentration is observed for the mature biofilm. For young biofilms, the largest
351 reduction is observed in the 20L treatment. In almost all the young biofilms the initial
352 oxygen concentration distribution is positively skewed, with a mean ranging 87-95% air
353 saturation, and a median close to 100% air saturation. The only exception is the 10L case
354 that presented a negatively skewed distribution with a low initial value (58% air saturation).

355 All the 30 minute oxygen concentration histograms were positively skewed, with mean
356 air saturation values ranging between 53% and 32%. Contrarily, the oxygen concentration

357 histograms of the mature biofilm were very different, with initial distribution mostly
358 symmetrical and an average value of 167% air saturation, that decreased very rapidly to
359 0.6% at $t=30$ minutes.

360 **Figure 6 goes here**

361 **3.3 Linking biofilm structure to oxygen respiration rates**

362 The heterogeneous distribution of oxygen concentration in space and time (highlighted
363 in Figure 4 to Figure 6) can be understood from a combination of statistical and biological
364 considerations. The oxygen concentration maps have a pixel size of about $8.7 \mu\text{m}$, therefore
365 always larger than the range of the smallest biofilm structure determined by the variogram
366 analysis (i.e., characteristic length scale of the small-scale aggregates). On the other hand,
367 $8.7 \mu\text{m}$ is smaller in general (comparable in the 10D case) than the ranges obtained for the
368 second structure. This suggests that all pixels in the oxygen concentration maps have some
369 amount of biofilm (non-void pixels) that can produce/consume oxygen. In addition, the
370 variographic analysis performed (at the 10X resolution) indicates only presence/no-
371 presence of biofilm, but does not provide information about activity. Therefore, it is
372 expected that the oxygen dynamics is variable both in space and time. Finally, biofilm
373 respiration rates have been traditionally described by a lognormal model (e.g., del Giorgio
374 and Williams, 2005; Forney and Rothman, 2012), thus it can be expected that the statistical
375 distribution of oxygen concentration values displays a positively skewed, describable by a
376 lognormal distribution, as shown in Figure 6.

377 Figure 7 explores the potential correlations between the biofilm structure and the
378 respiration rates for the one-week old biofilms analyzed in this study. To this aim, the

379 panels in Figure 7 displays the values of the respiration rates, b (see Table 3), *versus* the
380 amount of non-void pixel (i.e., the amount of biofilm for each of its components) and
381 *versus* the corresponding ranges of both the small and large scale aggregates. Additionally,
382 in Figure 7b we show the amount of non-void pixel of DNA *versus* the respiration rates,
383 stressing that these values were obtained with the 40X images. Results show that in all
384 cases there is positive correlation between oxygen decay and the proportion of non-void
385 pixels. This is regardless of the biofilm component analyzed (phycobilins, EPS, or Chl-a).

386 **Figure 7 goes here**

387

388 **4. Discussion and conclusions**

389 The 3D spatial structure of the biofilm analyzed in this study showed the presence of
390 microbial structures tightly associated, whose size and spatial distribution were strongly
391 modulated by different environmental conditions. Previous studies have already shown the
392 effect of light and temperature on biofilm structure by CLSM observations (e.g., Díaz
393 Villanueva et al., 2011). Our work represents a step further in the analysis of biofilm
394 structures, by quantifying the spatial distribution and characteristic scales of the microbial
395 assemblages of algae, EPS, cyanobacteria and bacteria. To this aim, the geostatistical
396 analysis of the CLSM images represents a powerful tool to determine the most significant
397 features of the microbial spatial distribution at the micro-scale.

398 The 3D information based on 20 slices separated 1 micrometer, indicated that most of
399 the biological structures were located at the bottom slices, impeding to assess the statistical
400 stationarity along the vertical. Therefore, the 2D projection of the 3D data was used to

401 study the one-week-old biofilms. The sampled variograms of the 2D projection displayed a
402 well-marked sill, characteristic of a stationary variable (no observed spatial trends), and the
403 best fit was obtained by a nested model of two exponential components. The range of the
404 first structure provided the characteristic length of small aggregates of 1.5-3 μm , quite
405 constant regardless environmental conditions. More, the small-scale aggregates were not
406 located following an independent (Poisson-type) distribution, but rather, part of the total
407 variance (an average of 28%) was explained by a second structure with somewhat larger
408 ranges, indicating the presence of middle-size aggregates whose size is highly dependent on
409 temperature and light conditions. Additionally, the lack of continuity at the origin in the
410 variogram models, indicated that the aggregates presented an irregular shape (far from an
411 spherical shape).

412 In the case of cyanobacteria, the variographic analysis indicated that the largest range
413 is observed for the 20D case, suggesting that smaller aggregates could be observed under
414 light conditions than under dark ones, and in the dark such larger aggregates, are more
415 scattered in space. As expected, the number of pixels occupied by cyanobacteria was larger
416 under light than dark conditions for a given temperature. The differences in ranges between
417 dark/light treatments could be related to the capacity of cyanobacteria to adapt their
418 morphology based on light condition (Iijima et al. 2015). For instance, cyanobacteria have
419 been found to exhibit elongated shapes when grown under low light conditions (de Marsac
420 et al 1993), and in our study this is visible for the 20 °C.

421 On the contrary, in the case of bacteria, clustering was more sensitive to temperature
422 than to light conditions, suggesting that bacteria clusters included a majority of
423 heterotrophs, whose growth is favored by temperature and non-affected by the light

424 conditions. For algae, main differences were found on the size of large-scale aggregates,
425 which increased with light and temperature, and could be related to a change in species
426 composition such as greater abundance of filamentous green algae (Pillsbury and Lowe,
427 1999). The ranges for EPS were quite similar to those of cyanobacteria (around 20%
428 smaller in the latter), as EPS are expected to be placed surrounding the cells. The relative
429 area occupied by EPS under 10L conditions was unexpectedly larger than in 20D and 20L;
430 however, Varin et al. (2012) found that cyanobacteria may produce large amount of EPS in
431 cold environments to enable optimal growth at low temperatures.

432 The characteristic spatial scales of the aggregates were then compared to the
433 respiration rates measured in the biofilms grown at different conditions of light and
434 temperature to investigate the link between biofilm function and structure. Variations in
435 respiration rates were observed between the one-week (young) and the four-week (mature)
436 biofilms. In addition, respiration rates increased in biofilms grown at 20°C, as compared to
437 those grown at 10°C, and no differences were observed for light conditions. The fact that
438 the 10L biofilm presented similar respiration rates than the 10D, although in the former a
439 larger area was occupied by microbes, may suggest that microorganisms of biofilm grown
440 under dark conditions are more efficient (higher respiration activity per cell) than the ones
441 of biofilms grown under light conditions. The latter may contain a largest presence of
442 inactive cells, as observed for natural grown biofilms (Romaní et al., 2004).

443 The temporal evolution of the 2D oxygen concentration distribution highlighted the
444 development of micro-environments with steep oxygen gradients in all the samples
445 analyzed. In statistical terms, the distribution of oxygen concentration was positively
446 skewed and resembled lognormal distributions both after 3 minutes and 30 minutes of the

447 respiration monitoring period. Note that the average size of the pixels in the oxygen maps
448 (8.7 μm) was comparable to the spatial scale of the large aggregate, being about 11 times
449 greater than the size of the pixel in the confocal images (0.76 μm). Thus, most of the pixels
450 in the oxygen maps contained some non-void cells of biofilms, and respiration occurred in
451 mostly all pixels. That is, for all environmental conditions there was a fast transition during
452 the first minutes of respiration, with a significant reduction for all pixels and a positively
453 skewed distribution, which has often been found to adequately describe the distribution of
454 the microbial populations (e.g., Hirano et al., 1982; Hosoda et al., 2011). Overall, we found
455 that higher values of respiration rates were associated to larger amount of non-void pixels
456 of bacteria, suggesting that microbial respiration was responsible for the oxygen decay
457 depicted at the fine (mm) scale. We conclude that: (i) using geostatistical analyses is a
458 valuable tool to quantify the size and spatial pattern of microbial aggregates, and (ii) the
459 combination of optodes and CLMS is a promising way to relate the oxidative metabolic
460 activity to the biofilm architecture at the micrometric scale.

461

462 **Appendix A: 3D vs. 2D characterization**

463 This appendix aims to compare the statistical analysis for the 3D and 2D projection of the
464 10D condition for cyanobacteria (red channel). A total of 512x512x18 pixels were
465 analyzed, with only 0.03% being considered positive, i.e., occupied by biofilm. Positive
466 pixels were mostly concentrated in the bottom slices. For the 3D case, the sample
467 variogram in the horizontal direction was fitted by the superposition of two models, both
468 spherical. The first one accounted for 90% of the total variance and had a range of 2 pixels
469 (=1.5 μm). The range of the second one was of 15 pixels (about 11 μm). Similar to the 3D

470 field, the sample variogram of the 2D projection was fitted by the superposition of a short-
471 and a medium-range structures, both exponential. The first one accounts for 91% of the
472 total variance and has a range of 3.6 pixels (=2.6 μm). The second one accounts for the
473 remaining 9% variance, with a 10 pixel (=7.3 μm) range. The total amount of occupied
474 pixels is 0.033%.

475

476 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

477 We thank M. Roldan for her help and advices with the CLSM technique. This project has
478 received funding from the EU 7th Framework Programme for research, technological
479 development and demonstration under grant agreement PCOFUND-GA-2008-226070. We
480 thank the reviewers who help in improving the manuscript.

481

482 REFERENCES

483 Battin TJ, Sengschmitt D (1999) Linking sediment biofilms, hydrodynamics, and river bed
484 clogging: Evidence from a large river. *Microb. Ecol.* 37:185–196.

485 Battin TJ, Kaplan LA, Newbold JD, Hansen CM (2003). Contributions of microbial
486 biofilms to ecosystem processes in stream mesocosms. *Nature* 426(6965):439-442.

487 Battin, T. J., Besemer, K., Bengtsson, M. M., Romani, A. M., & Packmann, A. I. (2016).
488 The ecology and biogeochemistry of stream biofilms. *Nature Reviews*
489 *Microbiology*, 14(4), 251-263.

490 Bozorg A, Gates ID, Sen A (2012) Real time monitoring of biofilm development under
491 flow conditions in porous media. *Biofouling* 28(9):937-951.

492 Costerton, J. W., Geesey, G. G. & Cheng, K. J. How bacteria stick. *Sci. Am.* 238, 86–95
493 (1978).

494 de Marsac, N. T., & Houmard, J. (1993). Adaptation of cyanobacteria to environmental
495 stimuli: new steps towards molecular mechanisms. *FEMS microbiology reviews*, 10(1-
496 2), 119-189. Del Giorgio, P.A., le B Williams, PJ (2005) Respiration in aquatic
497 ecosystems, Oxford University Press.

498 Díaz Villanueva V, Font J, Schwartz T, Romani AM (2011) Biofilm formation at warming
499 temperature: acceleration of microbial colonization and microbial interactive effects.
500 *Biofouling*, 27(1):59-71.

501 Fenchel T, Finlay B (2008). Oxygen and the spatial structure of microbial
502 communities. *Biological Reviews*, 83(4):553-569.

503 Forney DC, Rothman DH (2012) Inverse method for estimating respiration rates from
504 decay time series, *Biogeosciences*, 9, 3601-3612, doi:10.5194/bg-9-3601-2012.

505 Gette-Bouvarot M, Mermillod-Blondin F, Angulo-Jaramillo R, Delolme C, Lemoine D,
506 Lassabatere L, Loizeau S, Volatier L (2014) Coupling hydraulic and biological
507 measurements highlights the key influence of algal biofilm on infiltration basin
508 performance. *Ecohydrology* 7(3), 950-964, doi: 10.1002/eco.1421.

509 Hirano SS, Nordheim EV, Army DC, Upper CD (1982) Lognormal Distribution of
510 Epiphytic Bacterial Populations on Leaf Surfaces. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 44(3), 695–
511 700.

512 Hosoda K, Matsuura T, Suzuki H, Yomo T (2011) Origin of lognormal-like distributions
513 with a common width in a growth and division process. *Physical Review E* 83, 031118.

514 Hödl I, Hödl J, Wörman A, Singer G, Besemer K, Battin TJ (2011) Voronoi tessellation
515 captures very early clustering of single primary cells as induced by interactions in
516 nascent biofilms. *PLoS One* 6, e26368.

517 Iijima, H., Shirai, T., Okamoto, M., Kondo, A., Hirai, M. Y., & Osanai, T. (2015). Changes
518 in primary metabolism under light and dark conditions in response to overproduction
519 of a response regulator RpaA in the unicellular cyanobacterium *Synechocystis* sp. PCC
520 6803. *Frontiers in microbiology*, 6.

521 Kim J-W, Choi H, Pachepsky YA (2010) Biofilm morphology as related to the porous
522 media clogging. *Water Research* 44(4): 1193-1201.

523 Kühl M, Rickelt LF, Thar R (2007) Combined imaging of bacteria and oxygen in
524 biofilms. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 73(19):6289-6295.

525 Kühl M, Polerecky L (2008) Functional and structural imaging of phototrophic microbial
526 communities and symbioses. *Aquatic Microbial Ecology* 53(1):99.

527 McClain, M. E., Boyer, E. W., Dent, C. L., Gergel, S. E., Grimm, N. B., Groffman, P. M.,
528 ... & McDowell, W. H. (2003). Biogeochemical hot spots and hot moments at the
529 interface of terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems. *Ecosystems*, 6(4), 301-312.

530 Neu TR, Lawrence JR (2016) Laser Microscopy for the Study of Biofilms: Issues and
531 Options. In: Romani AM, Guasch H, Balaguer MD, Aquatic Biofilms, Ecology, Water
532 Quality and Wastewater treatment, Caister Academic Press, Norfolk, UK, pp 29-45.

533 Nguyen T, Roddick FA, Fan L (2012) Biofouling of water treatment membranes: A review
534 of the underlying causes, monitoring techniques and control measures. *Membranes* 2,
535 804-840; doi:10.3390/membranes2040804.

536 Peter H, Ylla I, Gudasz C, Romani AM, Sabater S, Tranvik LJ (2011) Multi-functionality
537 and diversity in bacterial biofilms. *PLoS One*, 6(8), e23225.

538 Proia L, Romani AM, Sabater S (2012). Nutrients and light effects on stream biofilms: a
539 combined assessment with CLSM, structural and functional parameters.
540 *Hydrobiologia* 695(1):281-291.

541 Pillsbury R.W., Lowe R.L., (1999). The response of benthic algae to manipulation of light
542 in four acidic lakes in northern Michigan. *Hydrobiologia* 394: 69 – 81.

543 Romani AM, Guasch H, Muñoz I, Ruana J, Vilalta E, Schwartz T, Emtiazi F, Sabater S
544 (2004) Biofilm structure and function and possible implications for riverine DOC
545 dynamics. *Microb. Ecol.* 47:316–328.

546 Rubol, S., Freixa, A., Carles-Brangari, A., Fernández-Garcia, D., Romani, A. M., &
547 Sanchez-Vila, X. (2014). Connecting bacterial colonization to physical and
548 biochemical changes in a sand box infiltration experiment. *Journal of Hydrology*, 517,
549 317-327.

- 550 Rubol, S., Dutta, T., & Rocchini, D. (2016). 2D visualization captures the local
551 heterogeneity of oxidative metabolism across soils from diverse land-use. *Science of*
552 *The Total Environment*, 572, 713-723.
- 553 Salta, M., Wharton, J.A., Blache, Y., Stokes, K.R., and Briand, J.F. (2013). Marine biofilms
554 on artificial surfaces: structure and dynamics. *Env. Microb.* 15(11), 2879-2893.
- 555 Singer G, Besemer K, Schmitt-Kopplin P, Hödl I, Battin TJ (2010) Physical heterogeneity
556 increases biofilm resource use and its molecular diversity in stream mesocosms. *Plos*
557 *One*, 5(4), e9988.
- 558 Staal M, Borisov SM, Rickelt LF, Klimant I, Kühl M (2011a) Ultrabright planar optodes
559 for luminescence life-time based microscopic imaging of O₂ dynamics in
560 biofilms. *Journal of Microbiological Methods* 85(1):67-74.
- 561 Staal M, Prest EI, Vrouwenvelder JS, Rickelt LF, Kühl M (2011b) A simple optode based
562 method for imaging O₂ distribution and dynamics in tap water biofilms. *Water*
563 *Research* 45(16):5027-5037.
- 564 Varin, T., Lovejoy, C., Jungblut, A. D., Vincent, W. F., & Corbeil, J. (2012). Metagenomic
565 analysis of stress genes in microbial mat communities from Antarctica and the High
566 Arctic. *Applied and environmental microbiology*, 78(2), 549-559.

567

568 **List of Tables**

569 **Table 1.** Statistical (variographic) analysis of the CLSM 2D projections from the 10X
570 magnification images for cyanobacteria (red channel; R), EPS (green channel; G) and algae

571 (blue channel; B). The table includes the proportion of non-void pixels (p), and the variance
572 ($p(1-p)$ in a binary field), as well as the parameters representing a two-nested statistical
573 structure, each range indicative of the size of aggregates and each sill their relative
574 contribution to the total variance. Values are means for the two analyzed stacks.

575

576 **Table 2.** Statistical analysis of the different models indicating the fraction of pixels
577 occupied by bacteria (DNA stain, grey channel), and the parameters corresponding to the
578 variographic study. Analysis was performed on the 40X magnification images. In this case,
579 only the range of the smallest aggregate could be assessed.

580

581 **Table 3.** Coefficients a and b (with standard deviations in parenthesis) representing the
582 parameters of exponential regression for mature (28 days old) and young (7-day) biofilms
583 growing at different conditions of light and temperature. The regression coefficients R^2 are
584 also reported.

585

586

587 **List of Figures**

588 **Figure 1.** Top: 3D CLSM images (corresponding to 10X magnification) of biofilm grown
589 over 7 days for the four combinations of environmental conditions of light and temperature:
590 10D, 20D, 10L and 20L. The different biofilm compartments are shown in red (phycobilins
591 autofluorescence, cyanobacteria), green (concanavalin stain, EPS), blue (chlorophyll
592 autofluorescence, algae), and light grey (DNA stain, bacteria). Bottom: the corresponding
593 two-dimensional projections.

594

595 **Figure 2.** Percentage of non-void pixels of cyanobacteria, algae and EPS corresponding to
596 the 2D projections of the 3D CLSM 10X magnification images for the one-week-old
597 biofilms. In the case of bacteria (shown in the inset), 40X images were used for the
598 analysis. Values are the average from the two stacks analyzed per biofilm.

599

600 **Figure 3.** Spatially averaged oxygen values (expressed as % air saturation) for the one-
601 week-old biofilms grown under different conditions of light and temperature and for a
602 mature (four-week-old) biofilm grown at 20L conditions. Bars represent the standard
603 deviation. The specific rates calculated for each decay curve are summarized in Table 3.

604

605 **Figure 4.** Maps of oxygen concentrations at selected times (initial time 0 and then every 10
606 min), highlighting the formation of anoxic hotspots and the high variability of oxygen
607 concentrations in space and time for the one-week-old biofilms (four treatments), and the
608 mature biofilm (28-day-old).

609

610 **Figure 5.** An example of the highly heterogeneous oxygen concentration distribution and
611 oxygen concentration decay rates for the 20L biofilm. The oxygen map corresponds to $t=30$
612 min. The area is divided into 5 subzones, and the evolution of oxygen saturation as a
613 function of time is shown for the each subzones.

614

615 **Figure 6.** Histograms of the oxygen distribution at $t=3$ min (left column) and $t=30$ min
616 (middle column) for young biofilms and treatments 10D, 10L, 20D, 20L and for a mature
617 biofilm treatment (28-day-old) grown at 20L. The vertical light blue lines indicate 100% air

618 saturation Right: Binary field for oxygen content at $t=30$ min (in black pixels with oxygen
619 content of less than 40% air saturation).

620

621 **Figure 7.** Relationship between respiration rate (coefficient b in Table 3) and different
622 statistics related to the CLSM (proportion of non-void pixels and ranges of the nested
623 variograms).

624