

# THE EFFECTIVENESS OF TASK BASED LEARNING IN TEACHING SPEAKING SKILL TO THE ELEVENTH GRADE

PutriWulandari<sup>1</sup>, Jos. E. Ohoiwutun<sup>2</sup>, Abd.Kamarudin.<sup>3</sup>

## Abstract

The research aimed at proving that the use of Task Based Learning (TBL) method is effective in teaching speaking skill to the eleventh grade students of MAN 2 Model Palu. The population of this research was the eleventh grade students of MAN 2 Model Palu. It was selected by using purposive sampling technique. The sample was XI IPS 1 as the experimental group and XI IPS 2 as the control group. The researcher used intact group design, just using post-test to both groups. There are two variables, teaching English speaking by using TBL method as the independent variable, and English speaking achievement as the dependent variable. The result of the research shows that there is a significant improvement of the students who were taught by using TBL method than those by using conventional teaching. It is shown from the average scores between the experimental and the control group. After applying the treatment, the average post-test score of experimental group was 59.02 and the control group was 47. We can conclude that TBL is effective in teaching speaking skill.

**Keywords:** The effectiveness; Task Based Learning; Speaking skill.

## INTRODUCTION

Speaking is important in learning a foreign language. The students should be able to communicate by using their own ideas and feelings orally. They can express themselves and learn how to use the language very well. Byrne (1976:8) states, "The oral communication is two ways process between speaker and listener involving productive skill of speaking the receptive skill of understanding or listening". In speaking, they can express ideas, opinions, attitudes and feelings spontaneously. The students are supposed to learn English and to interact with other people. Secondary school students have learned English from junior high school to senior high school. It means that they have learned English for six years. They have enough time to achieve English proficiency.

---

<sup>1</sup> Email: [pwulandari303@yahoo.com](mailto:pwulandari303@yahoo.com)

Teaching speaking is not easy. According to Hornby (1995:37), “Teaching means giving the instruction to a person give a person knowledge skill”. It means that teaching speaking is giving instruction from a person to another person in order to communicate.

In teaching speaking skill, the researcher applied TBL to her students. The teacher of MAN 2 Model Palu said, “The ability of the students in speaking is still low and the students rarely practice”. Besides, most students feel less confident when they speak orally. They also have desire to speak but they have lack of vocabulary. Thus, the researcher gives an alternative method to make the students active in speaking. It is task based learning.

Task based learning is one of the methods which is used in teaching speaking skill. TBL is divided into several types of activity that can be given to the students. According to Ellis (2003:17), “Task- based learning is a form of teaching that treats language primarily as a tool for communicating rather than as a subject for study or manipulation”. It means that TBL can develop the students’ competence in order to use a foreign language easily and effectively in different kinds of situations when they meet outside the classroom.

The subject of this research was the eleventh grade students of MAN 2 Model Palu. Considering that problem, the researcher formulated the research question as follows: *“Is the use of task based learning method in sharing experience types effective in teaching speaking skill to the eleventh grade students of MAN 2 Model Palu?”* The objective of this research was to find out whether the use of task based learning is effective in teaching speaking skill to eleventh grade students of Man 2 Model Palu.

## METHODOLOGY

In conducting the research, the researcher use dintact group design with one class as an experimental group and one class as a control group. It focused on two groups given by the same test as post-test. In intact group design, the researcher gave treatment to the experimental group while the control group did not. The design of the research recommended by Hatch and Farhady’s model (1982:21) is as follows :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \underline{G1} & X & \underline{T2} \\ \underline{G2} & & \underline{T2} \end{array}$$

Where:

G1: experimental group      X : treatment  
 G2: control group            T1 : post-test for experiment/control group

According to Creswell (2005:145), “Population is a complete set of elements (persons or objects) that possess some common characteristic defined by the sampling criteria established by the researcher”. The population of this research was the eleventh grade students of MAN 2 Model Palu. The number of the students was 132, divided into six classes: XIIPA 1, XII IPA 2, XII IPS 1, XI IS 2, XII AGAMA 1 and XII AGAMA 2.

Best (1981:8) states, “Sample is a small proportion of population selected for observation and analysis”. The researcher limits the population in order to conduct the research easily. In taking the sample, the researcher used a purposive sampling technique. In relation to the topic of this research, the dependent variable is speaking skill of the eleventh grade students of MAN 2 Model Palu, while the independent variable is the use of task based learning.

In collecting the data, the researcher used a test as the instrument of the research. The test only consisted of post-test given both the experimental group and the control group. Before doing the post-test to the two groups, the researcher gave the treatment only for experimental group. The researcher counted the raw scores obtained by using the formula by Heaton (1990:100) as follows:

**Table 1**  
**Scoring Rubric**

Rating	Fluency
6	Speaks without too great an effort with a fairly wide a range of expression. Searches for words occasionally but only one or two unnatural pauses
5	Has to make an effort at times to search for words. Nevertheless smooth delivery on the whole and only a few unnatural pauses
4	Although he has to make an effort and search for words, where there are not too many unnatural pauses. Fairly smooth delivery mostly
3	Has to make an effort for much of the time. Often has to search for the desired meaning. Rather halting delivery and fragmentary. Range of expression of limited.
2	Long pauses while the researches for desired meaning frequently fragmentary and halting delivery, almost gives up making the effort at times, limited range o expression.
1	Full of long and unnatural pauses. Very halting and fragmentary delivery. At times give up making the effort. Very limited range of expression.

Adopted from Heaton(1990:100)

The first is to count the individual score. The researcher used the formula by Purwanto (2008) as follows:

$$NP = \frac{R}{SM} \times 100$$

Where:

- NP = students' score
- R = score obtained
- SM = maximum score of the test
- 100 = constant number

Next, the researcher computed the students' mean score by using the formula proposed by Hatch and Farhady (1982:55) as follows:

$$\bar{X} = \frac{\sum X}{N}$$

Where:

- $\bar{X}$  = mean score
- $\sum X$  = total of the individual scores
- N = total of students

Then, the researcher counted the individual deviation of students' score of the experimental group and the control group. The researcher used the formula by Hatch and Farhady (1982:59) as in the following:

$$x = X - \bar{X}$$

Where:

- x = individual deviation
- X = student's score
- $\bar{X}$  = mean score

After that, the researcher squared the standard deviation of students' score both of groups. The researcher computed it by using the formula by Hatch and Farhady (1982:59).

$$S = \frac{\sqrt{\sum x^2}}{N-1}$$

Where:

- s = standard deviation
- $\sum x^2$  = sum of individual deviation squared
- N = total of students

Then, the researcher calculated the standard error first by using the formula which is proposed by Hatch and Farhady (1982:112) in order to find out the value of t-value:

$$S_{\bar{x}_e - \bar{x}_c} = \sqrt{\left(\frac{s_e}{\sqrt{n_1}}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{s_c}{\sqrt{n_2}}\right)^2}$$

Where:

- $S_{\bar{x}_e - \bar{x}_c}$  = standard error of differences between means
- $s_e$  = standard deviation of experimental class
- $s_c$  = standard deviation of control class
- $n_1$  = total students of experimental class
- $n_2$  = total students of control class

Finally, the researcher calculated the  $t_{\text{value}}$  by using the formula stated by Hatch and Farhady (1982:111):

$$t_{obs} = \bar{x}_e - \bar{x}_c \frac{\bar{x}_e - \bar{x}_c}{s(\bar{x}_e - \bar{x}_c)}$$

Where:

- $t_{obs}$  = significant result between experimental and control class
- $\bar{X}_e$  = mean score of experimental group
- $\bar{X}_c$  = mean score of control group
- $s(\bar{x}_e - \bar{x}_c)$  = standard error of differences between means

## FINDINGS

In presenting the data, the researcher only focuses on fluency. The data are taken from the post-test of the experimental and control groups. Meanwhile, the treatment was applied only for the experimental group. However, the researcher conducted the test to both groups. The post-test was administrate in order to prove whether or not the use of task based learning can give contribution in teaching English to the students. The result of the post-test is presented Table 2 and 3.

**Table 2**  
**Students' Score on Post-test of the Experimental Group**

No	Initials	Total Score	Students' Score	Max. Score
1	AKH	4	80	100
2	AAP	3	60	100
3	Af	3	60	100
4	AAA	3	40	100
5	AS	3	60	100
6	AL	4	80	100
7	AF	3	60	100
8	BPAM	4	80	100
9	FH	4	80	100
10	MR	3	60	100
11	IH	3	60	100
12	MR	4	80	100
13	MAA	2	40	100
14	MRA	3	60	100
15	MRAL	2	40	100
16	NK	3	60	100
17	NAL	4	80	100
18	RA	3	60	100
19	SN	3	60	100
20	WY	4	80	100
21	YB	2	60	100
<b>Total</b>		<b>64</b>	<b>1240</b>	

The post-test result of the experimental group shown in the table above indicates that the highest score is 80 and the lowest score is 40. In order to find out the mean score, the total of the individual scores is divided by the number of the students which can be seen as follows:

$$\bar{X} = \frac{\sum X}{N}$$

$$\bar{X} = \frac{1240}{21}$$

$$\bar{X} = 59.04$$

**Table 3**  
**Students' Score on Post-test of the Control Group**

No	Initials	Total Score	Students' Score	Max. Score
1	ADR	1	20	100
2	DF	2	40	100
3	DS	2	40	100
4	DK	2	40	100
5	FF	2	40	100
6	GAR	1	20	100
7	H	3	60	100
8	IK	1	20	100
9	MYM	2	40	100
10	MFH	3	60	100
11	MF	4	80	100
12	MR	3	60	100
13	MFK	2	40	100
14	MFD	2	40	100
15	MUL	2	40	100
16	NR	2	40	100
17	NH	2	40	100
18	SW	2	40	100
19	TD	1	20	100
20	TAD	2	40	100
Total		43	940	100

After calculating the post-test score of the control group, the researcher computed the students' mean score. The mean computation is presented as follows:

$$\bar{X} = \frac{\sum X}{N}$$

$$\bar{X} = \frac{940}{20}$$

$$\bar{X} = 47$$

The mean score of the experimental group is 59.04 while the control group is 47. It shows that the progress of the students are achieved. The researcher computed the deviation and square deviation of the students' scores of the post-test. The result is presented in the following table:

**Table 4**  
**Deviation Post-test of the Experimental group**

No	Initials	Post-test (Xx)	Mean Score (X)	Deviation (Xy)	Square Deviation (x2)
1	AKH	80	59.04	20.96	439.32
2	AAP	60	59.04	0.96	0.92
3	AF	40	59.04	19.04	362.52
4	AAA	60	59.04	0.96	0.92
5	AS	60	59.04	0.96	0.92
6	AL	80	59.04	20.96	439.32
7	AFA	60	59.04	0.96	0.92
8	BAPM	80	59.04	20.96	439.32
9	FH	80	59.04	20.96	439.32
10	MR	60	59.04	0.96	0.92
11	IH	80	59.04	20.96	349.32
12	MR	60	59.04	0.96	0.92
13	MAA	40	59.04	19.04	326.52
14	MRA	40	59.04	19.04	326.52
15	MRAL	40	59.04	19.04	326.52
16	NK	60	59.04	0.96	0.92
17	NAL	60	59.04	0.96	0.92
18	RA	60	59.04	0.96	0.92
19	SN	40	59.04	19.04	326.52
20	WY	60	59.04	0.96	0.92
21	YB	40	59.04	19.04	326.52
Total					4110.92

After computing the mean deviation of post-test of the experimental group, the researcher calculated the deviation score of post-test of the experimental group. It is presented as in the following :

$$\begin{aligned}
 S &= \sqrt{\frac{\sum x^2}{N-1}} \\
 &= \sqrt{\frac{4110.92}{21-1}} \\
 &= \sqrt{\frac{4110.92}{20}} = \sqrt{205.546} = 14.33
 \end{aligned}$$



**Table 5**  
**Deviation Post-test of the Control Group**

No	Initials	Post-test	Mean Score	Deviation	Square Deviation
		(Xx)	(X)	(Xy)	(x2)
1	ADR	40	47	7	49
2	DF	40	47	7	49
3	DS	40	47	7	49
4	DK	40	47	7	49
5	FF	60	47	13	169
6	GAR	60	47	13	169
7	HAN	60	47	13	169
8	IK	80	47	33	1089
9	MYM	40	47	7	49
10	MFH	40	47	7	49
11	MF	60	47	13	169
12	MR	80	47	33	1089
13	MFK	40	47	7	49
14	MFD	40	47	7	49
15	MUL	40	47	7	49
16	NR	40	47	7	49
17	NH	40	47	7	49
18	SW	40	47	7	49
19	TD	40	47	7	49
20	TAD	40	47	7	49
Total					3540

After computing the mean deviation of the post-test of the control group, the researcher calculated standard deviation of the post-test of the control group which is presented in the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
 S &= \sqrt{\frac{\sum x^2}{N-1}} \\
 &= \sqrt{\frac{3540}{20-1}} \\
 &= \sqrt{\frac{3540}{19}} \\
 &= \sqrt{186.31} = 13.64
 \end{aligned}$$

Having counted the deviation both experimental group and control group, the researcher then computed the standard error of difference between means which is presented below:

$$\begin{aligned}
 S_{\bar{x}_e - \bar{x}_c} &= \sqrt{\left(\frac{S_e}{\sqrt{n_1}}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{S_c}{\sqrt{n_2}}\right)^2} \\
 &= \sqrt{\left(\frac{14.33}{\sqrt{21}}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{13.64}{\sqrt{20}}\right)^2} \\
 &= \sqrt{\left(\frac{14.33}{4.58}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{13.64}{4.47}\right)^2} \\
 &= \sqrt{(3.12)^2 + (3.05)^2} \\
 &= \sqrt{9.73 + 9.30} \\
 &= \sqrt{19.03} \\
 &= 4.36
 \end{aligned}$$

Finally, the researcher needs to analyze the data statistically in order to find out the difference between the result of post-test of the experimental and the control groups. The computation is presented as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 t_{obs} &= \frac{\bar{x}_e - \bar{x}_c}{s(\bar{x}_e - \bar{x}_c)} \\
 &= \frac{59.04 - 47}{4.36} \\
 &= \frac{12.04}{4.36} \\
 &= 2.76
 \end{aligned}$$

## DISCUSSION

In this part, the researcher discusses about the findings of the research. The objective of this research was to find out whether the use of task based learning method can improve the students' speaking skill of Grade XI students of MAN2 Model Palu. The researcher focused on fluency. The researcher used two groups recommended by the

English teacher of MAN 2 Model Palu because they still have problems in teaching English especially speaking.

In the first meeting, the researcher gave brainstorming to the students related to the material to stimulate to the student's thinking. The researcher presented the case by using picture or poster to build the student's inspiration. The students tried to give the opinion by discussing and sharing the answer. The students express their opinion in front of the class.

By doing the treatment, the researcher found potential factor during the treatment to get the student's improvement. When the researcher presented the case that the students tried to give and share the opinion, the students discussed the answer with their friends, and indirectly tried to practice their speaking skill. Besides, the situation became more enjoyable because the students got motivation to speak and to express their ideas freely in the group work without feeling afraid with their sentences.

After conducting the treatment, the researcher gave post-test to the two groups. The aim of the post-test was to find out the improvement of the students' speaking skill after the treatment. Based on the result, the researcher found some errors which made by the students. In the experimental group there are 3 students or 14, 28% of the students made errors in fluency, while in the control group there are 5 students or 25% of the students made errors in fluency. By seeing the result of both groups, the use of task based learning was effective in enhancing students' fluency of speaking.

Another researcher also proved that the use of TBL is effective in teaching speaking skill. The research was conducted by Hayati (2013). The result of her research revealed that the score of the students increased when implementing TBL. It increased the level of fluency from their result of speaking post-test of the experimental group (79.40) and the control group (59.93). Then the students are active and creative about the text in the classroom.

## CONCLUSIONS AND SUGGESTIONS

After applying the treatment and comparing the students' result before and after the treatment, it is shown that the  $t_{\text{counted}}$  (2.76) is greater than the  $t_{\text{table}}$  (2.02). It can be concluded that the use of task based learning method in sharing experience types is effective in teaching speaking skill to *the eleventh grade students of MAN 2 Model Palu*. In relation to the importance of speaking, then the researcher would like to give some suggestions to the teachers and the readers. Firstly, to all English teachers of Senior High

Schools, this research results can be relevant source to improve their daily teaching learning process.

Secondly, the application of task based learning method enriches with more real case studies to make learning become more attractive, creative and innovative in using various kinds of interesting teaching techniques which accompany the materials.

Thirdly, further researchers can apply TBL in other skills such as writing, reading, and listening. Besides, the use of appropriate methods of teachers should also consider other factors so that the students will be fluent speakers.

Finally, for readers who want to use task based learning method, the topic should be related to the issue in the society so that the students can easily express their ideas.

## REFERENCES

- Best, J.W. (1981). *Research in Education* (Fourth Edition). New York: Prentice-Hall.
- Byrne, D. (1976). *Teaching oral English*. London : Longman.
- Creswell, W. (2005). *Planning, Conducting and Evaluating Quantitative and Qualitative Research*. New York: University of Nebraska.
- Ellis, R. (2003). *Task-Based Language Learning and Teaching*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Hatch, E. & Farhady, H. (1982). *Research Design and Statistic for Applied Linguistics*. Los Angeles: New Hourly Publisher.
- Hayati, N. (2013). *The Effectiveness of Task Based Learning Method in Teaching Students' Speaking Skill*. [Online], 1(1) 60-63. Retricord: <http://repository.upi.edu/view/subjects/ING.html>. [16 April 2014].
- Heaton, B. (1990). *Writing English Language Test*. London : Longman.
- Hornby, AS. (1995). *Oxford Advanced Learner's Dictionary*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Purwanto. (2008). *Metodologi Penelitian Kuantitatif untuk Psikologi dan Pendidikan*. Yogyakarta: Pustaka Belajar.