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#### Abstract

One of the effective factors in planning is paying attention to the increase and decrease of student population. In this study, using a variety of resources, it has been attempted that the growth changes and population decrease in the student population of Tehran in a 10-year period and considering the measures such as population density in-class students, the educational staff ratio, academic pyramid, the separation of school, number of classes and other aspects will be dealt with. Obviously, the results and findings of this potential research could be used in educational planning and population policy. In the present study, the method of data collection was done using the quantitative techniques of demography and a variety of statistical calculations. The research findings showed that the student population, number of classes and schools and the staff of ministry of education are being significantly decreased and has been associated with a negative growth rate in all levels.
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## Introduction

After World War II, in many countries of the world, the social and economic transformations occurred and many other established countries were involved with a host of social issues. One of the major issues in most countries of the world in that period was the reconstruction of the education system that in most countries seemed to be common and obvious.

Thus, the ground was provided for development of training and enjoying all citizens of public education but the public education did not stop conflicts, violence and demands, but it became at starting point for many issues on various aspects of human life that was considered both an ultimate goal and as a tool to achieve other community goals and since by providing public education and the ground for community employment and productivity, the economic growth and development of community will be provided, public education was considered as a tool for economic and social development. The public education has multiple dimensions that are both a factor and a product of development and certainly such aspects of public education are describable in all social, political and cultural areas, etc. However, it is clear that public education is related to all aspects of human social life (Kashani, 1996). The changes in population growth of the world cause the problems of estimating the costs of public education and the net amount of investment at the educational levels. One of the social and economic principles of the world is considering the demographic statistics and indices of the different elements of education such as classrooms, teacher and educational staff per capita index, the index of laboratory equipment, library and educational aids, and most importantly, how the growth or decline in the population of students in different educational grades and its fluctuations. In many countries, especially in our country, education is seen as a value and the goal is an increase in the demand for education and raising the number of students. However, educational politicians and planners should calculate the educational facilities by the proper elements and tools, the number of teachers, staff.

In this study, we try to collect the changes in the student population between the years 2000 to 2010 based on changes in facilities, equipment and human resources so that the planner can measure and design their plans and visions based on it. According to official statistics released in the year 2001-2002 from the total population of 65 million people, 17,271,601 people were students of which 1,511,371 students studied in Tehran and in 1999-2000 from the total population of 13,040,274 students in the country, 1,086,508 students were in Tehran. From 1511371 students in the year of study start, 1326328 students were in public schools and 185,043 students were in nonprofit schools. In the school year 2001-2002 these amount has reached to 916,835 students in public schools and 169,673 students in nonprofit schools.

Thus, it is observed that the student population tends to be larger at the end of age pyramid and smaller on its head and from the view of many demographers this is a warning that threatens all elements of a political-economic system because the education plays an important role in choice and specialty of people for authorities and social occupations so that the performance of people in school, duration of study, field and existing facilities has a significant effect on determining job, income and social status in their future and if the student population in elementary grades decrease, this decrease in population will be extended to levels of higher education and job loss required to industries soon. Hence, such research could help to balance growth and the balance of the student population in the country. The education systems are compulsory based on public education and all children must have a minimum of formal education. However, only some of them are elevated to higher educational levels.

The important point to which the educational planners should pay a particular attention is evaluation of the educational system with knowing how the changes in the education system and education facilities and student population that is done by considering the decreasing student population and facilities needed which finally will lead to increase of the quality of education. The curriculum and educational planning and any comment on the education system are not possible without resorting to quantitative dimensions. Today, the necessity and importance of quantitative analysis and measurement in the evaluation of educational systems has been detected as an independent branch in the education area. We aim to be able to reach an ideal result by revealing the quantities of the various elements in the internal dimension to reach the desired outcome.

## Research objectives

- Studying educational pyramid and changes in demographic indices of students in Tehran in the past 10 school years to utilize this research findings in planning of education in Tehran.
- Studying the student population according to gender and educational levels from the academic year 2000-2010 and predicting its growth to the year 2021 and 2025
- Calculating and drawing out the Statistical indices for the ratio of student of each levels education to all student population in the same year in Tehran
- Studying the indices related to education factors and its changing trend in the past 10 school years, according to the public and nonprofit schools in Tehran
- Studying the educational staff and their ratio to students in the 10-year studied
- Studying the structure of education and its ratio to the student population in the 10-year studied


## Theoretical approaches, definitions and background

The system of modern education in Iran consists of kindergartens, elementary schools, secondary schools, high schools, colleges and higher education institutions. The arrangements were pro-
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vided to create this system since establishing the technical school in 1850 and ministry of Science in 1853 and gradually were organized after the constitutional revolution.

New education was considered as important cultural solution that after long years of obscurantism and stagnation and social decline was considered as a resort for many community problems., being founded and organized such an education required the fundamental changes in the political and social community system so that the ground will be provided for the establishment of education with all its structural and normative peculiarities.

The public education has multiple dimensions; it is both a factor and a product of development and certainly such aspects of public education are related to all aspects of human social life (Kashani, 1996).

Education is a derivation from: "see knowledge from your cradle to your grave" and is found in every society and has various forms. From learning based on experiences of life to school education, from industrial and non-industrial communities, from rural to urban areas, from a age group to other age group. Education is a social phenomenon and like any social phenomenon is studied sociologically (Alagheband, 1995).

Functions and transformations of education population: any system has the functions and the main criterion for receiving the concept of function is integrity of a structure or a system. The function is a fruitful and beneficial result of action of a member. In fact, a single member of the community can be considered as a unit in the whole structure, i.e. each subsystem in the whole system (society) is considered as a unit with a task and job, thus in the whole structure of community the education system has a task or role or it is totally functional.

Burton Clark (1964) argues that the appeal of education in the new period, simultaneously, result from the increase and decrease of its clarity.

In the simple and primary community, the education did not have a conscious purpose. Each person after the birthday found his way to adult life gradually during the different stages of life, according to their age and social status, adaptations required to social environment and behavior patterns. For example, teens went along with their father for gathering food, finding wounded animal or gathering fruits and eatable roots of plants and learned the way of working. Collecting food by this way did not require any special skills. Hence, getting ready to perform activities of daily living did not have a conscious educational or training purpose. Every teenager learned all these things by experiment.

Aristotle believed that education taught people to obey the law, realize the freedom obtained from doing it, and use their properties in the public interest by retaining the individual ownership (Naghibzadeh, 1998).

Jean -Jacques Rousseau (1778-1712 AD) was French philosopher, author of the book " Emile" and one of the first persons who revolt against formal education, and talked about individual rights in education. He showed enmity with the civilization that was conventional and saw it as bogus and corrupt. He believed greatly in the natural world in Emile and all his work and insisted that education should be start with understanding the students (Safavi, 1993).

Karl Mannheim (1893-1947) considered the education as a dynamic element and worthy of sociological study. According to him, education in itself is a social technic and a proper tool for social monitoring (Sarmad, 22).

Bidol (1969) argues that the ideal and reformist program of Mannheim for social education deserves careful attention, but is of limited value as a theoretical claim (Alagheband, 1998: 155).

## Materials and methods

In this research, the study area is geographically 22 districts of Tehran except the satellite towns and towns dependent on the capital and according to time it includes within a period of 10 years from the school years 2000-2001 up to 2009-2010; also according to studies the demographic documents, government reports, official statistics, and so is only used as the library studies and organizationally the study area includes the organizations and centers, such as ministry of Education, Iran Statistic Centers, Ministry of culture and higher Education, management and planning organization, and presidential Archive and Database and sites related to with government agencies and the international communities. Using the method of collecting and analyzing information as document and using statistical yearbooks, the required information such as the number of students, number of classes, courses, education staff as well as a variety of indices was calculated and obtained and then analyzed using available statistics and estimated for 10 and 14 next year. In this study, a variety of one-dimensional, two-dimensional and multi-parameter tables by using a variety of quantitative methods and calculating the demographic results were used. The data collection method is done by using demographic techniques and multiple calculations at several levels of the population over 10 years and related tables are extracted. Method of data collection was census and monitoring of all documents and relevant statistics which was created by summarization and indices.

## The statistical population

In this study, due to the availability of information needed in a decade (from the year 2000 to the year 2010), all available information was examined in this area and the demographic information and documents is extracted and examined using data from censuses, and Statistical yearbook of Education in Tehran, at the levels of education (elementary, secondary and high school). Target Population of this study is student population in Tehran in the schools years from 2000-2001 up to 2009-2010.

## Data collection method

All statistics and figures in general office of education in Tehran, statistics and figures of planning office of ministry of education, Statistical yearbook of Education, planning office of ministry of education, Education Database, national statistical yearbook and statistical publications.

## Data Analysis

The software of Access and Excel is used for data analysis. The growth rate, the average per capita and univariate, bivariate and multivariate tables are also used.

In this study, the unit of analysis is the student that its demographic information and statistics were studied during the period 2000-2010 by sex, type of school, educational level and educational grade and the final analysis is derived from three following levels: Statistics of yearbook of the Ministry of Education for 10 years and population growth; a series of documents annually; and number of persons either individually or in different levels. The unit of analysis in this study is the figures and information that are collected in the level of statistical yearbooks of Education and individual data.

## Results

Based on studies conducted during 10 year in the school year 2000-2010, the student population and the number of classes has a declining trend so that student per capita in the year of beginning study in public and nonprofit classes was 30.8 and 21 people per class, respectively, and in the final year of the study, these values reached to 29.2 and 17.5 people in public and non-profit, respectively.

Table 1 shows the student population and the number of classrooms in the school year 20002010. The highest population number and also the highest classroom number were in 2000-2001 and the lowest classroom number was in 2009-2010. It should be noted that the number of nonprofit classes in 10 school years studied was increasing.
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Table 1．Ratio of per capita and average of student population in public and nonprofit schools to the classes during the school years 2000－2001 to 2009－2010

|  | Students |  |  | Class |  |  | Ratio |  |  | Per capita |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\underset{E}{\infty}$ |  | Z 易 易 易 |  |  | Z 易 Z 易 易 | ${\underset{E}{E}}^{\infty}$ |  |  | ¢ |
| 1 2000－2001 | 1372201 | 187504 | 1559705 | 44450 | 8929 | 53379 | 3.24 | 4.76 | 3.42 | 30.87 | 21.00 | 29.22 |
| 2 2001－2002 | 1289291 | 182696 | 1471986 | 42361 | 9079 | 51440 | 3.29 | 4.97 | 3.49 | 30.44 | 20.12 | 28.62 |
| 3 2002－2003 | 1238983 | 183493 | 1422476 | 41020 | 9386 | 50406 | 3.31 | 5.12 | 3.54 | 30.20 | 19.55 | 28.22 |
| 4 2003－2004 | 1150053 | 183910 | 1333963 | 38593 | 9668 | 48261 | 3.36 | 5.26 | 3.62 | 29.80 | 19.02 | 27.64 |
| 5 2004－2005 | 1109911 | 181942 | 1291853 | 37671 | 9743 | 47414 | 3.39 | 5.36 | 3.67 | 29.46 | 18.67 | 27.25 |
| 6 2005－2006 | 1068443 | 175795 | 1244238 | 37136 | 9640 | 46776 | 3.48 | 5.48 | 3.76 | 28.77 | 18.24 | 26.60 |
| 7 2006－2007 | 1020751 | 172972 | 1193743 | 35661 | 9602 | 45263 | 3.49 | 5.55 | 3.79 | 28.62 | 18.02 | 26.37 |
| 8 2007－2008 | 980207 | 171874 | 1152081 | 33331 | 9525 | 42856 | 3.40 | 5.54 | 3.72 | 29.41 | 18.04 | 26.88 |
| 9 2088－2009 | 967702 | 174562 | 1142264 | 32275 | 9775 | 43050 | 3.44 | 5.60 | 3.77 | 29.08 | 17.86 | 26.53 |
| 10 2009－2010 | 950108 | 175285 | 1125393 | 32491 | 9990 | 42481 | 3.42 | 5.70 | 3.77 | 29.24 | 17.55 | 26.49 |
| Sum | 11147650 | 790 | 93 | 7598 | 95337 | 471326 | 3.37 | 5.33 | 3.64 | 29.65 | 18.78 | 27.45 |
| Average | 1114765 | 179005 | 1293770 | 37599 | 9534 | 47133 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 30 | 19 | 27 |

Table 2．The average student population in public and nonprofit schools according to the level in the school years 2000－2001 to 2009－2010

|  | Elementary school |  |  | Secondary school |  |  | High school |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EV } \\ & \stackrel{y}{E} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Z } \\ & \text { 易 } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\sum_{B}^{\infty}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ت゙ } \\ & \stackrel{E}{E} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\underset{E}{\infty}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { تِ } \\ & \stackrel{E}{E} \end{aligned}$ |  | E |
| 2000 | 489443 | 41412 | 530 | 37610 | 231467 | 434353 | 460777 | 85386 | 546163 |
| 2001－200 | 462657 | 40856 | 503513 | 349871 | 6633 | 405061 | 425029 | 84143 | 509172 |
| 3 2002－2003 | 458667 | 4126 | 499935 | 330115 | 204905 | 383459 | 400268 | 86254 | 486522 |
| 2003 | 44510 | 42 | 4872 | 297 | 18719 | 348900 | 366572 | 87 | 454286 |
| 5 2004－2005 | 444414 | 4413 | 488550 | 276806 | 175916 | 326162 | 345583 | 85278 | 430861 |
| 6 2005－2006 | 440235 | 45 | 4855 | 261807 | 165182 | 3086 | 354196 | 80545 | 404741 |
| 7 2006－2007 | 436312 | 46185 | 482497 | 248116 | 156680 | 293062 | 300002 | 77871 | 377873 |
| 8 2007－2008 | 427170 | 47 | 474617 | 239451 | 152560 | 284499 | 282330 | 75531 | 357861 |
| 9 2088－2009 | 433920 | 4955 | 48266 | 240678 | 154434 | 285960 | 261680 | 76560 | 338240 |
| 10 2009－2010 | 423645 | 50682 | 474327 | 243142 | 156661 | 291230 | 250048 | 70903 | 320951 |
| Sum | 4461570 | 4489 | 49097 | 286394 | 1801634 | 3361291 | 3416485 | 810185 | 4226670 |
| Average | 446157 | 4489 | 490976 | 286394 | 180183 | 336129 | 341649 | 81019 | 42266 |

As shown in the data in Table 2 the average student population in public and nonprofit schools during 10 school years 2000－2010 were studied 43，999 students in preschool，490，976 students in elementary school， 336129 students in secondary students and 422667 students in high school．

Table 3．The amount of population growth in public and nonprofit schools according to the level in the school years 2000－2001 to 2009－2010

|  |  | Elementary school |  |  | Secondary school |  |  | High school |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ت} \\ & \text { E } \\ & \text { ñ } \end{aligned}$ | 7 <br> 0 <br> 易 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 | $\underset{E}{\infty}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O} \\ & \underset{E}{E} \\ & \end{aligned}$ | Z 易 B 易 | ${\underset{E}{E}}_{\underline{E}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ס } \\ & \text { E } \\ & \text { त́n } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Z } \\ & \text { O } \\ & \text { B } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{E}{\text { E }}$ |
| 1 | 2000－2001 | 489443 | 41412 | 530855 | 376108 | 58245 | 434353 | 460777 | 85386 | 546163 |
| 2 | 2001－2002 | 462657 | 40856 | 503513 | 346871 | 55190 | 405061 | 425029 | 84143 | 509172 |
| 3 | 2002－2003 | 458667 | 41268 | 499935 | 330115 | 53344 | 383459 | 400268 | 82254 | 486522 |
| 4 | 2003－2004 | 445107 | 42158 | 487265 | 297847 | 51053 | 348900 | 366572 | 87714 | 454286 |
| 5 | 2004－2005 | 444414 | 44136 | 488550 | 276806 | 49356 | 326162 | 345583 | 85278 | 430861 |
| 6 | 2005－2006 | 440235 | 45298 | 485533 | 261807 | 46798 | 308605 | 324196 | 80545 | 404741 |
| 7 | 2006－2007 | 436312 | 46185 | 482497 | 248116 | 44946 | 293062 | 300002 | 77871 | 377873 |
| 8 | 2007－2008 | 427170 | 47447 | 474617 | 239451 | 45048 | 284499 | 282330 | 75531 | 357861 |
| 9 | 2088－2009 | 433920 | 49551 | 482663 | 240678 | 45282 | 285960 | 261680 | 76560 | 338240 |
| 10 | 2009－2010 | 423645 | 50682 | 474327 | 243142 | 48088 | 291230 | 250048 | 70903 | 320951 |
| Sum |  | 4461570 | 448993 | 4909755 | 2863941 | 497350 | 3361291 | 3416485 | 810185 | 4226670 |
| Growth rate |  | －0．0143 | 0.0204 | －0．0112 | －0．0427 | －0．0190 | －0．0392 | －0．0593 | －0．0184 | －0．0518 |
| Growth percent |  | －1．4334 | 2.0405 | －1．1196 | －4．2685 | －1．8980 | －3．9186 | －5．9295 | －1．8415 | －5．1774 |

As shown in Table 3，during the 10 year studied the amount of population growth was -0.0215 in preschool，-0.0112 in elementary school，-0.0392 in secondary school and -0.0518 in high school．

As observed in table 4，in the year of beginning study totally there were 242.98 students per school that there were 295.29 students in the public schools and 105.81 students in non－ profit schools．And in the final year of study 2009－2010，there were a total of 187 students per school that there were 245.44 students in public schools and 81.64 students in nonprofit schools．

The data of table shows that during the 10 year studied 2000－2010 the number of schools in Tehran was faced with negative growth of totally 0.0064 ，so that it has reached from 6419 schools in the year of beginning study 2000－2001 to 6018 schools in school year 2009－2010．

On average，there were 80,515 staffs in education during 10－year study of which there were 78,897 people in public schools and 1618 people in non－profit schools that allocate themselves the ratio of $6.20,7.06$ and 0.91 ，respectively．

Table 4. Ratio and per capita of student population in public and nonprofit schools to the schools during the school years 2000-2001 to 2009-2010


Table 5. Ratio of student population growth in public and nonprofit schools to the schools during the school years 2000-2001 to 2009-2010

|  |  | Students |  |  | School |  |  | Ratio |  |  | Per capita |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { E } \\ & \stackrel{E}{E} \end{aligned}$ |  | En | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ت} \\ & \stackrel{E}{E} \end{aligned}$ |  | E | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{E} \\ & \stackrel{E}{\Pi} \end{aligned}$ |  | En | $\begin{aligned} & \text { E } \\ & \stackrel{E}{E} \end{aligned}$ |  | E |
|  | 2000-2001 | 1372201 | 187504 | 1559705 | 4647 | 1772 | 6419 | 0.34 | 0.95 | 0.41 | 295.29 | 105.81 | 242.98 |
|  | 2001-2002 | 1289291 | 182695 | 1471986 | 4653 | 1897 | 6550 | 0.36 | 1.04 | 0.44 | 277.09 | 93.31 | 224.73 |
| 3 | 2002-2003 | 1238983 | 183493 | 1422476 | 4574 | 2009 | 6583 | 0.37 | 1.09 | 0.46 | 270.88 | 91.34 | 216.08 |
|  | 003-2004 | 1150053 | 183910 | 1333963 | 4481 | 2105 | 6586 | 0.39 | 1.14 | 0.49 | 256.65 | 87.37 | 202.55 |
|  | 2004-2005 | 1109911 | 181942 | 1291853 | 4509 | 2083 | 6592 | 0.41 | 1.14 | 0.51 | 246.15 | 87.35 | 195.97 |
| 6 | 2005-2006 | 10684 | 175795 | 1244238 | 4449 | 2096 | 6545 | 0.42 | 1.19 | 0.53 | 240.15 | 83.87 | 190.11 |
|  | 2006-2007 | 1020751 | 172992 | 1193743 | 4278 | 2121 | 6359 | 0.42 | 1.23 | 0.54 | 238.60 | 81.56 | 188.55 |
|  | 2007-2008 | 980207 | 171874 | 1152081 | 3936 | 2053 | 5989 | 0.40 | 1.19 | 0.52 | 249.04 | 83.72 | 192.37 |
|  | 2088-2009 | 967702 | 174562 | 1142264 | 3932 | 1898 | 5830 | 0.41 | 1.09 | 0.51 | 246.11 | 91.97 | 195.93 |
|  | 02009-2010 | 950108 | 175285 | 1125393 | 3871 | 2147 | 6018 | 0.41 | 1.22 | 0.53 | 245.44 | 81.64 | 187.00 |
|  | Sum | 11147650 | 1790059 | 12937702 | 43330 | 20181 | 63511 | 0.39 | 1.13 | 0.49 | 257.27 | 88.70 | 203.71 |
|  | Growth rate | -0.0361 | $-0.0067$ | $-0.0321$ | -0.0181 | 0.0194 | -0.0064 | 0.0187 | 0.0263 | 0.0265 | -0.0183-0.0 | -0.0256 | -0.025 |
|  | Growth ercent | -3.6092 | $-0.6716$ | -3.2110 | -1.8105 | 1.9382 | -0.6430 | 1.8661 | 2.6274 | 2.6532 | -1.8319 | -2.5602-2. | -2.5846 |

Table 6. The ratio of student population in public and nonprofit schools to public and nonprofit staff in the school years 2000-2001 to 2009-2010

| $\frac{5}{2}$ |  | Students |  |  | Staff |  |  | Ratio |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EV } \\ & \stackrel{y}{E} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | En | $\begin{aligned} & \text { E } \\ & \stackrel{E}{E} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{E}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{E}{E} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{E} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | ¢ |
| 1 | 2000-2001 | 1372201 | 187504 | 1559705 | 95225 | 680 | 95905 | 6094 | 0.36 | 6.15 |
| 2 | 2001-2002 | 1289291 | 182695 | 1471986 | 90558 | 1629 | 92187 | 7.02 | 0.89 | 6.26 |
| 3 | 2002-2003 | 1238983 | 183493 | 1422476 | 89361 | 1732 | 91093 | 7.42 | 1.07 | 6.54 |
| 4 | 2003-2004 | 1150013 | 183910 | 1333963 | 85281 | 1959 | 87240 | 7.42 | 1.07 | 6.54 |
| 5 | 2004-2005 | 1109911 | 181942 | 1291853 | 82816 | 2183 | 84999 | 7.42 | 1.07 | 6.54 |
| 6 | 2005-2006 | 1068443 | 175795 | 1244238 | 82816 | 2183 | 84999 | 7.46 | 1.20 | 6.58 |
| 7 | 2006-2007 | 1020751 | 172992 | 1193743 | 77079 | 2152 | 79231 | 7.55 | 1.24 | 6.64 |
| 8 | 2007-2008 | 980207 | 171874 | 1152081 | 69314 | 1350 | 70664 | 7.07 | 0.79 | 6.13 |
| 9 | 2088-2009 | 967702 | 174562 | 1142264 | 61057 | 1189 | 62246 | 6.31 | 0.68 | 5.45 |
| 10 | 2009-2010 | 950108 | 175285 | 1125393 | 58314 | 1136 | 59450 | 6.14 | 0.65 | 5.28 |
| Sum |  | 11147650 | 1790052 | 12937702 | 788972 | 16181 | 805153 | 7.08 | 0.90 | 6.22 |
| Aver |  | 1114765 | 179005 | 1293770 | 78897 | 1618 | 80515 | 7.06 | 0.91 | 6.20 |

Resource: Statistical Yearbook of the country, the Iranian Statistics Center \& Statistical Yearbook of educational, Office of Planning, Ministry of Education

Table 7 shows the type of ownership of educational buildings in the 10 year of study. As seen in the above table, most of the buildings of education in 10 school years of study at both elementary and high levels have the positive growth of 0.0034 and 0.0067 and only the buildings of the secondary school had descending trend of -0.066

As seen in Table 8, predicting the student population in public and nonprofit schools in the school year 2009-2010 was performed at different levels in 2022 and 2026. In elementary school the student population with growth rate of -0.0392 will reach to 195267 people in 2022 and 405,154 people in 2026. And in the secondary school the student population with growth rate of -0.0392 will reach to 195,267 people in 2022 and 166,413 people in 2026. And in the high school population with growth rate of -0.0518 will reach to 188,606 people in 2022 and 152,476 people in 12026.

It should be note that the highest growth rate is in elementary level with -0.0112 and the lowest is in high school with -0.0518 .

As seen, the prediction of number of class in public and nonprofit schools was performed according to education grade 2022 and 2026. In the elementary school, the available classes with the growth rate of -0.0089 will reach to 15,148 classes in 2022 and 14,615 classes in 2026. In the secondary school, the available classes with the growth rate of -0.0285 will reach to 7589 classes in 2022 and 6760 classes in 2026. In the high school, the available classes with the growth rate of -0.0314 will reach to 10296 classes in 2022 and 9062 classes in 2026. The highest growth rate of class is in elementary level with -0.0089 and the lowest is in high school with -0.0314.

Table 7．The average and growth rate of education buildings（according to ownership） according to the levels

|  | Elementary school |  |  |  |  | Secondary school |  |  |  |  | High school |  |  |  |  | sum |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ت} \\ & \stackrel{E}{E} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O } \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\underline{E}}{\underline{E}}$ | E |  | endowed or given | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \underset{\sim}{\square} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{n}{E}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { تِ } \\ & \stackrel{E}{E} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 苞 } \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\square$ | 品 | $\stackrel{n}{E}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { تِ } \\ & \stackrel{E}{E} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 苞 } \\ & \text { = } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O } \\ & \underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{2}} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{n}{\underline{E}}$ |
| $1{ }^{2} 2000-1$ | 639 | 226 | 95 | 27 | 987 | 525 | 102 | 73 | 18 | 718 | 656 | 49 | 48 | 13 | 766 | 1820 | 377 | 216 | 58 | 2471 |
| $2 \begin{aligned} & 2001-1 \\ & 2002\end{aligned}$ | 634 | 198 | 96 | 31 | 959 | 537 | 90 | 60 | 21 | 708 | 651 | 44 | 46 | 18 | 759 | 1822 | 332 | 202 | 70 | 2426 |
| $3 \begin{aligned} & 2002-1 \\ & 2003\end{aligned}$ | 650 | 176 | 85 | 36 | 947 | 538 | 82 | 63 | 22 | 705 | 669 | 43 | 48 | 21 | 781 | 1857 | 301 | 196 | 79 | 2433 |
| $4 \begin{array}{r}2003-1 \\ 2004\end{array}$ | 805 | 160 | 94 | 32 | 1091 | 500 | 64 | 61 | 16 | 641 | 671 | 48 | 44 | 21 | 784 | 1976 | 272 | 199 | 69 | 2516 |
| 5$2004-$ <br> 2005 | 823 | 134 | 98 | 36 | 1091 | 502 | 59 | 52 | 22 | 635 | 696 | 64 | 48 | 22 | 830 | 2093 | 275 | 202 | 80 | 2650 |
| $6{ }^{2} 2005-18$ | 832 | 133 | 94 | 35 | 1094 | 499 | 63 | 46 | 19 | 627 | 696 | 59 | 47 | 23 | 825 | 2099 | 270 | 191 | 77 | 2637 |
| $7{ }^{2} 2006-12070$ | 807 | 127 | 95 | 36 | 1065 | 497 | 66 | 49 | 18 | 630 | 695 | 58 | 46 | 23 | 822 | 2069 | 564 | 193 | 77 | 2603 |
| $8{ }^{2} 2007-1208$ | 785 | 111 | 83 | 42 | 1021 | 471 | 55 | 44 | 23 | 593 | 687 | 56 | 45 | 31 | 819 | 1966 | 225 | 173 | 96 | 2460 |
| $9{ }^{2} 2088-12009$ | 785 | 111 | 83 | 42 | 1021 | 333 | 20 | 6 | 3 | 362 | 687 | 56 | 45 | 31 | 819 | 1828 | 190 | 135 | 76 | 2229 |
| $10 \begin{gathered}2009- \\ \\ 2010\end{gathered}$ | 785 | 111 | 83 | 42 | 1021 | 333 | 20 | 6 | 3 | 362 | 687 | 56 | 45 | 31 | 819 | 1828 | 190 | 135 | 76 | 2229 |
| sum | 7545 | 1487 | 906 | 359 | 10297 | 4735 | 621 | 460 | 165 | 5981 | 6795 | 533 | 462 | 234 | 8024 | 19358 | 2696 | 1842 | 758 | 24654 |
| Average | 755 | 149 | 91 | 36 | 1030 | 474 | 62 | 46 | 17 | 598 | 680 | 53 | 46 | 23 | 802 | 1936 | 270 | 184 | 76 | 2465 |
| Growth rate | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { b } \\ & \text { Q } \\ & \text { \& } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{0} \\ & \underset{\sim}{0} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \text { 우 } \\ & \text { 岕 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \stackrel{0}{4} \\ & + \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{0} \\ & 0 \\ & \stackrel{0}{心} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{U} \\ & \text { i } \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\dot{\sim}}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circlearrowleft}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{b} \\ & \dot{\theta} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{0} \\ & \stackrel{6}{N} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \text { oे } \\ & \text { 合 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\omega} \\ & \oplus \end{aligned}$ | $\dot{1}$ <br>  <br>  | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \hline 0 \\ & \hline 0.0 \\ & \hline 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \hline 8 \\ & \text { O} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \stackrel{8}{8} \\ & + \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{b} \\ & \dot{8} \\ & \underset{N}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \text { 戓 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \text { O } \\ & \underset{\sim}{1} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{1} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |

As shown in Table 10，the prediction of population is done（according to staff and service employees）． Generally the number of educational employee with the negative growth of -0.0467 will reach from 805,153 in 2009－2010 to 499，102 in 2022 and 412，205 in 2026．The level is -0.0449 in staff and -0.0759 in service employees；in other words，the number of staff will reach to 35,696 people in 2022 and 29,709 people in 2026 and the number of service employee will reach to 1349 people in 2022 and 984 people in 2026.

As seen in Table 11，the prediction of educational buildings was done in three grades in 2022 and 2026. In the elementary school，the available buildings with the growth rate of 0.0034 will reach to from 1021 to 1056 in 2022 and 1071 in 2026．In the secondary school the available buildings with the growth rate of -0.0662 will reach from 362 to 183 in 2022 and 139 in 2026．In the high school，the available buildings with the growth rate of 0.0067 will reach from 819 to 876 classes in 2022 and 899 in 2026．It should be mote that the highest growth rate is in elementary level with 0.034 and the lowest is in secondary school with -0.0662 ．

Table 8．Prediction of student population in public and nonprofit schools

| e |  | Elementary school |  |  | Secondary school |  |  | High school |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | E | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EV } \\ & \stackrel{y}{E} \end{aligned}$ |  | E |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Z } \\ & 0 \\ & 0, ~ \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{E}{\text { E }}$ |
| 1 | 2009－2010 | 423645 | 50682 | 474327 | 243142 | 48088 | 291230 | 250048 | 70903 | 320951 |
| 2 | 2022 | 366693 | 62027 | 423818 | 157184 | 39702 | 195267 | 135693 | 58877 | 188606 |
| 3 | 2026 | 346116 | 67247 | 405154 | 132016 | 36773 | 166413 | 106260 | 54658 | 152476 |
|  | rowth rate | －0．0143 | 0.0204 | －0．0112 | －0．0427 | －0．0190 | －0．0392 | －0．0593 | －0．0184 | －0．0518 |

Table 9．Prediction of number of class in public and nonprofit schools according to edu－ cation grade

| $\frac{0}{2}$ |  | Elementary school |  |  | Secondary school |  |  | High school |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 范 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Z } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | En | $\begin{aligned} & \text { E } \\ & \text { E } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Z } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | E | $\begin{aligned} & \text { E } \\ & \stackrel{E}{E} \end{aligned}$ |  | ¢ |  |
| 1 | 2009－2010 | 13796 | 2772 | 16568 | 8763 | 1371 | 10134 | 9470 | 4697 | 14167 | 42481 |
| 2 | 2022 | 11859 | 3708 | 15148 | 6926 | 769 | 7589 | 5889 | 5173 | 10296 | 33808 |
| 3 | 2026 | 11163 | 4166 | 14615 | 6304 | 610 | 6760 | 4870 | 5376 | 9062 | 30857 |
|  | wth rate | －0．0150 | 0.0295 | －0．0089 | －0．0232 | －0．0562 | －0．0285 | －0．0464 | 0.0097 | －0．0314 | －0．0226 |

Table 10．Prediction of public and nonprofit staff according to staff and service employee

| $\frac{0}{2}$ |  | Number of employee |  |  | Number of staff |  |  | Number of service employee |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { E } \\ & \stackrel{E}{E} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 著 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EV } \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{E} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\underline{E}}{\infty}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ت丷 } \\ & \text { E゙ } \end{aligned}$ | 号 | En |
| 1 | 2009－2010 | 788972 | 16181 | 805153 | 55338 | 1144 | 56482 | 2968 | 0 | 2968 |
| 2 | 2022 | 483154 | 27026 | 499102 | 34527 | 1929 | 35696 | 1349 | 0 | 1349 |
| 3 | 2026 | 397095 | 33181 | 412205 | 285809 | 2378 | 29709 | 984 | 0 | 984 |
| Gro | wth rate | －0．0479 | 0.0526 | －0．0467 | －0．0461 | 0.0537 | －0．0449 | －0．0758 | －1．0000 | －0．0759 |

Table 11．Predictions educational buildings according to the grades

|  |  | Elementary school |  |  |  |  | Secondary school |  |  |  |  | High school |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { تِ } \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{E} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ön } \\ & \stackrel{0}{\theta} \\ & \underset{\sim}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \underset{7}{9} \end{aligned}$ | 曾 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 苞 } \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O} \\ & \text { Pa } \end{aligned}$ | E |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 줄 } \\ & \stackrel{\theta}{0} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\square} \end{aligned}$ | 品 |
| 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2009- \\ & 2010 \end{aligned}$ | 785 | 111 | 83 | 42 | 1021 | 333 | 20 | 6 | 3 | 362 | 687 | 56 | 45 | 31 | 819 |
| 2 | 2022 | 964 | 55 | 73 | 65 | 1056 | 211 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 183 | 719 | 64 | 42 | 74 | 876 |
| 3 | 2026 | 1047 | 41 | 69 | 78 | 1071 | 176 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 139 | 733 | 68 | 41 | 105 | 899 |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Gro } \\ \text { rate } \end{array}$ |  | 0.0208 | －0．0686 | $-0.0134$ | 0.0452 | 0.0034 | －0．0445 | －0．1503 | －0．2211 | －0．1640 | －0．0662 | 0.0046 | 0.0134 | $-0.0064$ | 0.0908 | 0.0067 |

## Conclusion

This study has examined the changes in the student population in Tehran in the school years 2000－2010 and has estimated it for years 2022 and 2026．Methods of research in this study are documents that have been obtained from the annual statistics of education and census results．The target population is student population in Tehran in the school years 2000－2010．
According to the statistics of education，the boy and girl student population in three levels（elemen－ tary－secondary－high）in the year of beginning study（2000－2001）was $1,511,371$ people that has reached to1，086，508 people in the final year of the study（2009－2010）that was faced with the nega－ tive growth of -0.0321 and totally the student population has been reduced 434,312 people in 10 academic years of study．

In the school year of beginning study（2000－2001），the number of students was 1326328 in public schools and 185043 in nonprofit schools that in the final year has reached with the growth rate of -0.0361 percent to 916835 in public schools and with the growth rate of -0.0067 percent 169673 in nonprofit schools that is as follows according to each grade．In the school year of begin－ ning study in elementary schools the number of students was 489,443 students in public elementary schools and 41,412 students in nonprofit and totally 530,855 students and in the final year of study， 423645 students in public and 50682 students in non－profit and totally 474，327 students．

In the school year of beginning study，in secondary schools，the number of students was 376,108 students in public schools and 58245 students in nonprofit and totally 434，353 students and in the final year of study， 243142 students in public and 48088 students in non－profit and totally 291，230 students．

In the school year of beginning study，in high schools，the number of students was 460,777 students in public schools and 85,386 students in nonprofit and totally 546,163 students and in the final year of study，250，048 students in public and 70，903 students in non－profit and totally 320，951 students．

Totally，the number of student classes had the growth rate of -0.0226 during the 10 －year of study and a total of 53379 class in the starting year of 2000－2001 reached to 42481 class in 2009－ 2010．This amount was 32,491 with the growth rate of -.0 .0309 in public classes and 9990 with the growth rate of－ 0.0113 in nonprofit classes．

In the school year of beginning study, 2000-2001 a total per capita of class for student was 29.22; in other words, there was one class for 29.22 students that it has reached to 26.49 with the ascending growth of -0.098 in the final year that it was 29.24 in students of public schools and 17.55 in students of nonprofit schools. It should be noted that the highest number of students was in the school year 2000-2001 in high school with a total of 546,163 people of which 283,909 students were girls and 262,254 students were boys. In 2009-2010 this number reached to a total of 320,951 students that had the growth rate of -0.0518 that in boy student with the growth rate of 0.0505 and in girl students with the growth rate of -0.0529 reached to 2029871 and 2196799, respectively.

Also, in the final year of study in 2009-2010, the highest number of students was in elementary schools with a total of 474,327 people of which 231,373 were girl and 242,954 were boy.

This study shows that in 2000-2001 there were totally 50,972 classes of which 25,349 class were for girls and 25,623 were for boys that with a negative growth of -0.0104 has reached to 40,688 in 2009-2010 that has reached to 20475 in the class of girl students with the growth rate of 0.012 and to 20213 in the class of boy students with the growth rate of -0.089 .

In general, we can say that in the 10 year of study the population of girl students with the growth rate of -0.0083 has reached from 22,008 to 16,454 that this amount in boy students with the growth rate of -0.0044 has reached from 20357 to 14775 . As seen, the population of boy students has been declining.

As the findings show, in the year of beginning study, there was one public school for every 295.29 students in a public school a non-profit school for every 105.81 that in the final year of study this figure has reached to 245.44 in public schools with growth rate of -0.0183 and to 81.64 in nonprofit schools with growth rate of -0.0256 . About the education employee, it can be said that in the year of beginning study from a total of 95905 employees, 95225 people were in the public sector and 680 people were in the nonprofit sector.

This number has reached to 59,450 people with the growth rate of -0.0467 that has reached to 788 , 972 people in public sector with growth rate of -0.0479 and to 1136 people in the nonprofit sector with growth rate of 0.0526 ; In the other words, in the year of beginning study, there were 6.14 employees in public sector and 0.65 employee in the nonprofit sector for every 100 students.

These findings indicate in the year of beginning study from 2471 the education building, there were 1820 public buildings, 377 rented buildings, 216 endowed or given buildings and 58 other buildings that totally has reached to 24302 building with the growth rate of -0.0103 in the final year of study that has reached 1828 in public buildings with the growth rate of $0.0004,190$ in rented buildings with a growth rate of -0.0662 and 135 in endowed or given buildings with the growth rate of 0.0459 .

From total 2471 education buildings in school year 2000-2001, there were 987 buildings in elementary school, , 718 buildings and 766 buildings in secondary school and 766 building in high school and the numbers in the school year 2009-2010 were 1021, 687 and 819 , respectively.

According to the population of students, in the final year of study 2009-2010, it is anticipated that with the growth rate of -0.0112 the student population will likely reach to 1400 people in elementary schools in 2022 and 405,154 people in 2026. In the secondary school, from the total population in 2009-2010 that was 291,230 people it with the demographic growth of -0.0392 will likely reach to 195267 people in 2022 and to 166,413 people in 2026.

In high school from the total of student population that was 320951 people, with the growth of -0.0518 will likely reached to188606 people in 2022 and 152,476 people in 2026.

## Recommendations of the study

- Considering the reduced rates of the student population, it is proposed that to prevent the recess in the age pyramid at the levels of preschool to sixth grade the policies encouraging the increase and growth of population will be considered.
- Considering the negative rate of students, the facilities, properly planning for reallocation and reviewing the facilities increased.
- Considering the increasing capacity of student population in the lower educational levels some steps will be taken in the modernization and upgrading of the old school in terms of buildings and equipment.
- Ministry of Education standardizes quality indices in the public and non-profit schools for distributive justice.
- In designing and developing the training programs, the priorities and needs will be observed to achieve more focused goals.
- Since the demographic changes have led to numerous fluctuations and changes in capacity, facilities, teaching staff, school enrollment rates in schools and educational levels, it is suggested that doing researches of need-assessment will be continued at all levels and will be used as a prerequisite for educational planning.
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