European Online Journal of Natural and Social Sciences 2016; Vol.5, No.3 pp. 864-877 ISSN 1805-3602

www.european-science.com

# Distribution of optimum reactive power in the presence of wind power plant and considering voltage stability margin using Genetic Algorithm and Monte Carlo methods

## Nima Khosravi<sup>1\*</sup>, Morteza Beiranvand<sup>2</sup>, Hassan Barati<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Electrical and Instrumentation Engineering, Central Office Arp Company, Tehran, Iran; <sup>2</sup>Faculty of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Islamic Azad University, Dezfoul, Iran \*E-mail: khosravi.n@arpc.ir

Received for publication: 11 November 2015. Accepted for publication: 29 July 2016.

#### Abstract

Network reactive power resources are important factors affecting voltage stability that somehow can have effect on safety margin of system voltage stability. In this study, the question of relationship between distribution of optimum reactive power and voltage stability margin in presence of wind power plant has been performed. For this reason, to minimize the reactive power costs due to the synchronous generator, synchronous condenser and the capacitor bank and also given the importance maximization the voltage stability margin and considering equality and inequality constraints, has been used from a nonlinear programming method. In here, problem by using Genetic Algorithm in order to minimize cost of reactive power and with considering the voltage stability margin based on Monte Carlo simulation method. In MATLAB software has been optimized. Problem simulation over 30-bus network has been solved for two state low load and full load and with two scenarios was run and analyzed.

Keywords: Genetic Algorithms, Voltage stability, Load Distribution of Reactive power, Monte Carlo

#### Introduction

In recent decades, according to some global challenges such as the environment, energy crisis and recession, renewable sources for electricity production are taken into consideration. Among these renewable sources, wind energy because of easier access has been used always in power generation as a suitable source. The main problem with the use of wind energy, is instability because of uncertainty in the speed and fluctuations of wind In this study, to resolve this problem, we have used of fixed speed turbines. Power system operators should consider various issues in their planning such as voltage stability, and reactive power market. Ignoring of these parameters will cause a lot of problems such as power system instability. In this study, to minimize the costs of reactive power due to the synchronous generator, synchronous condenser and capacitor banks and also maximization the voltage stability margin and taking into account equal and unequal constraints will be used from a nonlinear programming method. Which ultimately an optimization algorithm will be utilized to minimize the cost of reactive power considering the voltage stability margin based on Monte Carlo simulation method in software MATLAB, and by implementing on 30-bus standard network, simulation results will be extracted and will be analyzed. The purpose of design and operation of a power system, is supply of loads required by the network. Studies of load flow address the calculating electric quantity of power system in steady state for specified and known loads. These quantities include voltage buses, active and reactive power produced by generators and active and reactive power current in transmission lines. In fact design and development of systems

in future due to the growing load and the necessity of adding generators, transformers and new lines in the system is not possible without study of load distribution. Also study of load distribution has fundamental role and is responsible for examining present state of a system and deciding about best conditions operation of that (Kazemi, 1999).

Approximately thirty percent of primary energy resources in the world are consumed for generates electrical energy and almost all of the electrical energy transmitted and distributed by alternating current with a frequency of 50 or 60 hertz. Currently more than ever design and operation of power systems is important with maximum efficiency and highest level of reliability and safety (Kundur, 1997). One of the very important and vital behavioral characteristics for power system is their security. Existence of security for a power system, represents the ability of that system to maintain their stability against the incidence of disorders and disturbances. Voltage instability Phenomena is of important factors threatening security of power systems. Network reactive power resources, network structure and model of network buses active power production are effective factors on voltage stability. Each of these factors, could be affected somehow on safety margin of system voltage Stability and in the other words, for each of them critical situations can be defined.

### **Review of literature**

Evaluate the effect of wind fluctuations in reactive power market considering voltage stability, on a 14-bus system is performed by Monte Carlo method which ultimately leading to a multi-objective function to coordinate between reactive power market and reliability of the power system (kargarin and Raoofat, 2011). In order to reactive power management in distribution network using wind power plant, a cost-effective combination of capacitor banks and D-STSTCOM Is being used that results indicate that these compensator cause to increase stability and reducing the cost of reactive power (Roy, et al. 2013). Using the PSO optimization method to combine wind turbines and solar energy for electricity supply of a residential complex independently of the power network and include issues such as Investment expenditures, maintenance and production. The problem of economic load distribution, is one of the most important topics In exploitation and controlling modern power systems. The purpose of the economic load distribution problem solving, is the scheduling of unit production output In such a way that Provide required load demand With minimum operating costs, with satisfying all equality and inequality constraints of unit and system. Another field of the application of PSO algorithm in power systems, is used to solve the problem of optimum load flow or distribution (OPF). Economic load distribution, is an optimization problem that minimize total cost of heating fuel, Total production, total active power losses with satisfy the physical and technical constraints on the network (Mohammadi et al., 2012). Mathematical model of a DFIG under the terms of distorted voltage has been provided. Electromagnetic torque fluctuations and active powers and reactive moment stator Have been described, when the network voltage Is distorted as harmonic. And eventually Four alternative control objective, to improve system response during the harmonic distortion of the network, has been suggested (Jiabing, et al, 2011). Hailiang et al. (2012) has focused on balanced control and capability of DFIG based on the wind turbine in terms of unbalanced and distortion voltage. The mathematical model of DFIG outset is considered negative sequence voltages and a network of low-order harmonic. According to this model, moment active / reactive power and electromagnetic torque defined in detail. A variable speed DFIG based on the WECS, is used simultaneously for power generation with network harmonic filtering. Simulation for WECS with 3 MW power with DFIG, has been implemented at two different speeds (8 And 12 meters per second). The results show that In addition to power

generation, harmonic filterings of network current by using the WECS have decreased approximately 4%. (Kesraoui, et al, 2014).

## **Problem solving Algorithm**

The purpose of this study includes two aspects; In a first aspect, is The optimum reactive power flow management simulation with presence of wind power considering voltage stability indicator; and other aspects, is the performing study of optimum reactive power distribution between compensators and reactive power production resources considering stability of the network voltage according to the necessity of using a compensator devices in reactive power supplying. In here we are facing with an Multi-objective optimization problem, as a first step, the purpose is to reduce cost of system reactive power that these costs include payment costs for reactive power and also reducing of line losses. In the second stage the purpose is to maximize security level and voltage stability of the network. This problem will be analyzed both in definite state and probable state for wind power generation on a 30-bus standard network. But before that, it is necessary to provide explanations about the ways that they are used In implementation of system under study.

## Monte Carlo Method

Monte Carlo method is a method of calculating the results, based on probability and statistics techniques from random samples or stoke steak. Monte Carlo method requires a mathematical - statistical model with two determinable and random general component, for the variable under consideration. Monte Carlo methods in general is at first by a generator (here generator is the rand command) series of random numbers is generated from a uniform probability distribution with an initial value. Then we convert random numbers to a series of random numbers with uniform probability distribution between zero and one. We also used these series as input data of relationship to produce variable which its distribution is known. Now we consider probable ranges and assign probability of each interval to a group. Final results represent that desired output are probable. So in here, powers of this units, using the probability that obtained from probability distribution function of wind unit power, put as Monte Carlo problem input and obtain probable production power of Wind unit.

## Modeling of wind based on the weibull distribution

Currently, various methods have been proposed to model the wind speed. for example, using time series and Markov transition matrix of wind model is one of these items. (Shahidehpour, et al, 2002) Also wind hourly velocity, using simulated time series where the wind speed per hour, depends on the wind speed In the previous time (Foley, et al, 2010). That in here a realistic assumption is that distribution function of wind blowing speed has Weibull probability distribution. With regard to this probability function of wind blowing, can be achieved to the amount of wind power production based on wind speed. Weibull function in the general case is expressed as equation (1):

$$f(x;\lambda,k) = \begin{cases} \frac{k}{\lambda} (\frac{x}{k})^{k-1} e^{-(x/k)^k}, & x \ge 0\\ 0, & x \le 0 \end{cases}$$
(1)

In this function, x is random variable, k is shape variable and  $\lambda$  is a scale variable. Figure 1 shows Weibull functions for different values of k.



Figure 1: Weibull function for different values of k

Considering wind speed as Weibull probability function, With characteristics of  $\lambda = 10$  and k = 1/8 Wind power production curve would be as Figure 2. Figure 2 shows sampling process from Weibull probability distribution function and output power of wind turbine. To enter the uncertainty of wind power plants, different occurrence able scenarios and their probability are determined. For determine scenarios for wind power plant production, twenty points from the curve of wind power production, is selected as instance. Occurrence or happening probability of each instance is achieved with the integration of under curved surfaces of Weibull distribution function.



Figure 2: process of wind power sampling

### The cost of reactive power

Production sources are reactive power generators, condenser and capacitor banks. The generator cost function is expressed as equation 2:

 $Cost(Q_{gt}) = a_{q,t}Q^2_{gi} + b_{q,I}Q_{gi} + c_{q,i}$ 

(2)

(3)

Which include cost of exploitation, investment costs and missed opportunity of generator. The coefficients a, b and c in this phrase are indicative operating costs coefficient. Synchronous condenser cost function is expressed as the equation 3:

 $Cost (Q_{ci}) = (\beta_{ci} + \sigma_{ci})Q_{ci}$ 

That in this relation  $\sigma$  is operating costs and  $\beta$  is investment coefficient. Capacitor bank cost function is such as a synchronous condenser but with the difference that cost of its exploitation is very low and can be overlooked from exploitation coefficient  $\sigma$ .

## **Genetic Algorithm**

Genetic Algorithms, perform searching by a population of points which the number of points is also important, this means that high population numbers increase calculations and the optimum solution is slowly approaching and low population numbers does not cover search space well and

may be the algorithm is stopped in a local solution. GA uses of function value in different points of the search space and doesn't need to know derivative of the function or other information of function, indeed GA uses statistical laws and neither of computational laws; GA have been implemented in different ways and various operators. The initial values of mentioned algorithm have displayed in Table 1. Diagram block of GA which is used in our study has displayed in Figure 3. Performing algorithm operations required to implement three steps; These steps include initialization, renewing and at the end replacement.

| Table 1. Farameters of Genetic Algorithms |       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|-------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Parameter                                 | value |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mutation rate                             | 30%   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection rate                         | 50%   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Population numbers of chromosomes         | 250   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The number of algorithm iterations        | 350   |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Table 1: Parameters of Genetic Algorithms



## Figure 3: Flowchart of expressed algorithm

## The system under study and its characteristics

Intended problem for IEEE 30-bus network are presumed. Figure 4 points out under study network.



Figure 4: under study 30-bus networks Openly accessible at <u>http://www.european-science.com</u> In this network generators values, transmission lines and buses is expressed in appendix A, synchronous condenser and capacitor banks used in the network, are connected to the buses 22 and 27.

## Fixed speed wind turbine (FSWT)

Fixed velocity wind turbines are used extensively in power system and are considered one of the energy production renewable resources. Fixed velocity wind turbines are of clean energy resources that on the other hand, also are the consumer of reactive power. Figure 5 shows the active power generated by units of FSWT according to various studies; That this curve is ratio of power to the wind velocity which is characterized by the turbine production company.



Figure 5: Output power of FSWT

As it is evident active power production of wind units has direct relationship with wind velocity and since the wind velocity is a completely random process, power this units Is a random variable. On the other hand, constant velocity turbines are reactive power consumers in power system which on this basis consumed reactive power relation is expressed as the equation 4:

$$Qe = \frac{[Xm \ X_{l2}S^2 \ (Xm + X_{l2}) + X_{l1}S^2 \ (Xm + X_{l2})^2 + R_{2^2} \ (Xm + X_{l1})]|V|^2}{[R_1R_2 + S(Xm \ 2 - (Xm + X_{l2}) \ (Xm + X_{l1})]2 + [R_2 \ (Xm + X_{l2}) + SR_1 \ (Xm + X_{l2})]^2}$$
(4)

According to the above equation, we have:  

$$h + \sqrt{h^2 - 4ac}$$

 $S = \min \frac{-b \pm \sqrt{b^2 - 4ac}}{2a}$ (5) a = Pe R12(Xm + X<sub>l2</sub>)<sup>2</sup> + Pe(Xm X<sub>l2</sub> + X<sub>l1</sub>(Xm + X<sub>l2</sub>))<sup>2</sup> - |V|<sup>2</sup> R1(Xm + X<sub>l2</sub>)<sup>2</sup> (6)

 $b = 2 P_e R_1 R_2 X_m^2 - |V|^2 R_2 X_m^2$  $c = P_e R_2^2 (Xm + X_{l2})^2 + Pe (R1R2)^2 - |V|^2 R1 R2^2$ 

In this relation:

Pe: FSWT unit power

V: voltage in terms of KV

R1, X11, R2, X12, Xm, Xc are characteristic resistances and reactances of turbine which are set onto following values. Table 2 shows characteristic of FSWT wind turbine used In under study network.

| Xc     | Xm     | Xl2      | R2      | Xl1     | R1      | V    |
|--------|--------|----------|---------|---------|---------|------|
| 2.5561 | 2.5561 | 0.127225 | 0.01690 | 0.09212 | 0.05986 | 0.69 |

#### **Simulation Results**

Simulation results of problem is obtained for two scenarios low load and full load, in first scenario which system load is low, it is also less lines load, energy cost of \$ 15 per MWh, in the second scenario which system load is heavier, the lines load are also heavy, energy cost of \$ 25 per

869

MWh have been considered. In order to consider behavior of wind unit and importance of proposed probable method, we consider two scenarios for behavior of the wind unit: In the first case, low load (minimum), probability of production is considered for wind unit, which in this scenario of deterministic state, production forecast and Monte Carlo technique are also included. The second state has the same conditions of the first scenario with the exception that the initial conditions of problem At full load (maximum) Has been implemented. Table 3, shows load and power generated by every buses along with voltage and its voltage angle under low load and table 4 shows output of above at full load after solving power flow problem.

| Bus no | V pu   | Angle degree | P1 MW  | Q1 MW  | Pg MW |
|--------|--------|--------------|--------|--------|-------|
| 1      | 1.0500 | 0            | -1.541 | 0.373  | 0     |
| 2      | 1.0500 | 0.0574       | -0.393 | -0.403 | 0.217 |
| 3      | 1.0808 | 0.1069       | 0.024  | 0.012  | 0.024 |
| 4      | 1.0879 | 0.1283       | 0.076  | 0.016  | 0.076 |
| 5      | 1.0731 | 0.1101       | 0      | 0      | 0     |
| 6      | 1.0949 | 0.1501       | 0      | 0      | 0     |
| 7      | 1.0949 | 0.1412       | 0.228  | 0.109  | 0.228 |
| 8      | 1.1061 | 0.1581       | 0.300  | 0.300  | 0.300 |
| 9      | 1.0917 | 0.2486       | 0      | 0      | 0     |
| 10     | 1.0942 | 0.3001       | 0.600  | 0.020  | 0.600 |
| 11     | 1.0917 | 0.2486       | 0      | 0      | 0     |
| 12     | 1.1133 | 0.2196       | 0.112  | 0.750  | 0.112 |
| 13     | 1.0700 | 0.1761       | -0.37  | -0.323 | 0     |
| 14     | 1.1188 | 0.2478       | 0.062  | 0.016  | 0.062 |
| 15     | 1.1223 | 0.2654       | 0.082  | 0.025  | 0.082 |
| 16     | 1.1113 | 0.2585       | 0.035  | 0.018  | 0.035 |
| 17     | 1.1042 | 0.2911       | 0.090  | 0.058  | 0.090 |
| 18     | 1.1499 | 0.3542       | 0.032  | 0.009  | 0.032 |
| 19     | 1.1653 | 0.4018       | 0.950  | 0.034  | 0.950 |
| 20     | 1.1472 | 0.3783       | 0.022  | 0.007  | 0.022 |
| 21     | 1.0862 | 0.2987       | 0.197  | 0.112  | 0.197 |
| 22     | 1.0800 | 0.2960       | 0.316  | -0.650 | 0     |
| Bus no | V pu   | Angle degree | P1 MW  | Q1 MW  | Pg MW |
| 23     | 1.1000 | 0.2539       | 0.188  | -0.200 | 0.032 |
| 24     | 1.1417 | 0.2580       | 0.150  | 0.670  | 0.150 |
| 25     | 1.0907 | 0.2629       | 0.010  | 0      | 0.010 |
| 26     | 1.1065 | 0.2692       | 0.035  | 0.023  | 0.035 |
| 27     | 1.0500 | 0.2589       | -0.290 | -0.234 | 0     |
| 28     | 1.0952 | 0.1634       | 0      | 0      | 0     |
| 29     | 1.1118 | 0.3690       | 0.370  | 0.009  | 0.370 |
| 30     | 1.1028 | 0.3470       | 0.120  | 0.019  | 0.120 |

Table 3: Load and productivity of buses (Power flow) at low load state

| Bus no | V pu   | Angle degree | P1 MW  | Q1 Mvar | Pg MW |
|--------|--------|--------------|--------|---------|-------|
| 1      | 1.0500 | 0            | -4.432 | 1.799   | 0     |
| 2      | 1.0500 | 0.1779       | -0.176 | 0.022   | 0.434 |
| 3      | 1.0742 | 0.3025       | 0.048  | 0.024   | 0.048 |
| 4      | 1.0891 | 0.3619       | 0.152  | 0.032   | 0.152 |
| 5      | 1.0701 | 0.3104       | 0      | 0       | 0     |
| 6      | 1.1016 | 0.4145       | 0      | 0       | 0     |
| 7      | 1.1056 | 0.3880       | 0.456  | 0.218   | 0.456 |
| 8      | 1.1242 | 0.4321       | 0.600  | 0.600   | 0.600 |
| 9      | 1.0740 | 0.6555       | 0      | 0       | 0     |
| 10     | 1.0850 | 0.7827       | 1.200  | 0.040   | 1.200 |
| 11     | 1.0740 | 0.6555       | 0      | 0       | 0     |
| 12     | 1.1340 | 0.6292       | 0.224  | 1.500   | 0.224 |
| 13     | 1.0900 | 0.5873       | -0.370 | -0.335  | 0     |
| 14     | 1.1368 | 0.6897       | 0.124  | 0.032   | 0.124 |
| 15     | 1.1405 | 0.7318       | 0.164  | 0.050   | 0.164 |
| 16     | 1.1235 | 0.7029       | 0.070  | 0.036   | 0.070 |
| 17     | 1.1057 | 0.7653       | 0.180  | 0.116   | 0.180 |
| 18     | 1.1806 | 0.8989       | 0.064  | 0.018   | 0.064 |
| 19     | 1.2091 | 0.9861       | 1.900  | 0.068   | 1.900 |
| 20     | 1.1752 | 0.9409       | 0.044  | 0.014   | 0.044 |
| 21     | 1.0870 | 0.7887       | 0.394  | 0.224   | 0.394 |
| 22     | 1.0800 | 0.7856       | -0.316 | -0.941  | 0     |
| Bus no | V pu   | Angle degree | P1 MW  | Q1 Mvar | Pg MW |
| 23     | 1.1000 | 0.7392       | -0.156 | -0.541  | 0.064 |
| 24     | 1.1968 | 0.7266       | 0.300  | 1.340   | 0.300 |
| 25     | 1.1168 | 0.7311       | 0.020  | 0       | 0.020 |
| 26     | 1.1472 | 0.7429       | 0.070  | 0.046   | 0.070 |
| 27     | 1.0500 | 0.7208       | -0.290 | -0.150  | 0     |
| 28     | 1.1058 | 0.4503       | 0      | 0       | 0     |
| 29     | 1.1580 | 0.9330       | 0.740  | 0.018   | 0.740 |
| 30     | 1.1420 | 0.8920       | 0.240  | 0.038   | 0.240 |

## Table 4: Load and productivity of buses (Power flow) at full load state

## Genetic Algorithm Output

Figure 6 shows Genetic Algorithm convergence output (cost decreasing trend) after running the program. As it is evident the algorithm is reached to convergence before repeating 250. We run all steps for described scenario and also 4 modes of consideration wind unit.



Figure 6: Cost reduction Trends In Genetic Algorithm used In problem

## The first scenario

Table 5 of program output, shows the first scenario, for reactive power of 30-bus network shows; Given that the network is in low load state, voltage index is small and the system is stable. Modes 1 to 3 are deterministic states of wind unit production and fourth state is probable state of wind unit production. For producing scenarios of wind unit as stated earlier has been used of Weibull probability distribution function with according to the technique of Monte Carlo its probabilities are specified as in Table 6. To create different scenarios of wind unit behavior It has been assumed that the wind speed at constructed wind power plant has Weibull probability distribution function must be and the slope is 8.1. For modeling the uncertainty of wind power plants, probable scenarios and probability of their occurrence is determined.

|                       | State 1-1 | State 1-2 | State 1-3 | State 1-4 |
|-----------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Generator 1           | 0.82      | 0.72      | 0.91      | 0.92      |
| Generator 2           | 0.64      | 0.27      | 0.43      | 0.54      |
| Generator 3           | 0.2       | 0.18      | 0.25      | 0.27      |
| Generator 4           | 0.47      | 0.1       | 0.24      | 0.57      |
| Generator 5           | 0.13      | -0.01     | 0.19      | 0.1       |
| Generator 6           | 0.19      | 0.13      | 0.33      | 0.13      |
| Synchronous condenser | -0.3      | -0.43     | -0.19     | -0.33     |
| Capacitor             | 0.29      | 0.03      | 0.28      | 0.24      |
| The final cost        | 383.39    | 437       | 414.4     | 389.5     |
| Lmn voltage index     | 0.174     | 0.192     | 0.1934    | 0.1837    |

Table 5: Program output for reactive power of 30-bus network in the first scenario

In here for preparation scenarios of wind power plant production, 20 points of turbine power production curve, are selected as sample. According to what is shown in Figure 7, occurrence probability of each sample, Is equal to the integration of Hatch-hit surfaces of Weibull distribution function. With assumption of determining maximum production of 60 MW for wind power plant, wind power production scenarios are obtained as shown in Table 6.



Figure 7: Relationship between probability function of wind turbine output power and wind speed

| uble of Event 11 obubility of white unit production Different Scenarios |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |  |
|---|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--|
| Scenario Number   | 1      | 2      | 3      | 4      | 5      | 6      | 7      | 8      | 9      | 10     |  |
| Production Power  | 0      | 1.67   | 5      | 8.33   | 11.66  | 15     | 18.33  | 21.66  | 25     | 28.33  |  |
| Probability   | 0.2412 | 0.0423 | 0.0432 | 0.0435 | 0.0434 | 0.0429 | 0.0420 | 0.0408 | 0.0393 | 0.0376 |  |
| Scenario Number   | 11     | 12     | 13     | 14     | 15     | 16     | 17     | 18     | 19     | 20     |  |
| Production Power  | 31.66  | 35     | 38.33  | 40     | 41.66  | 45     | 48.33  | 51.66  | 55     | 60     |  |
| Probability   | 0.0358 | 0.0338 | 0.0317 | 0.0295 | 0.0274 | 0.0252 | 0.0231 | 0.0211 | 0.0195 | 0.1364 |  |

| Table 6 | : Event | Probab | ility ( | of win | d unit | production | Different | Scenarios |
|---------|---------|--------|---------|--------|--------|------------|-----------|-----------|
|         |         |        | •/      |        |        |            |           |           |

Now wind unit production probabilities have been involved In problem Using Monte Carlo techniques and we obtain its production as probable or stoke steak. Fourth states of the table shows stoke steak state of wind unit power generation.

#### The second scenario

In this scenario, network has been in full load and lines endured so much load as a result, voltage stability index has got closer to the one. Also in this scenario states 1 to 3 are shown for deterministic behavior of wind unit and state 4 for its possible behavior in Table 7. In addition, first objective function means cost-minimization and second objective means maximizing voltage stability in index bus Lmn, which is voltage stability index is located in objective function of algorithm and in fact, both the purpose have been pursued. On the other side, stability of the network and system voltage as system basic constraint also has been considered.

|                       |          |          |          | -        |
|-----------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
|                       | state1-1 | state1-2 | state1-3 | state1-4 |
| Generator 1           | 0.97     | 0.98     | 0.98     | 0.96     |
| Generator 2           | 0.61     | 0.38     | 0.58     | 0.36     |
| Generator 3           | 0.24     | 0.24     | 0.23     | 0.15     |
| Generator 4           | 0.45     | 0.1      | 0.36     | 0.29     |
| Generator 5           | 0.12     | 0.18     | 0.36     | 0.21     |
| Generator 6           | 0.15     | 0.13     | 0.12     | 0.16     |
| Synchronous condenser | 0.1      | 0.1      | 0.93     | -0.02    |
| Capacitor             | 0.3      | 0.3      | 0.28     | 0.29     |
| The final cost        | 450.15   | 476.79   | 456.3    | 448      |
| Lmn voltage index     | 0.4340   | 0.5691   | 0.5357   | 0.474    |

|                  |                   | · • ·              | • •             | •        |
|------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-----------------|----------|
| Table 7: Program | output for 30-bus | network reactive i | nower in second | scenario |

#### Conclusions

In this study, the question of relationship between optimum reactive power distribution and voltage stability margin in presence of wind power plant has been done. For this purpose, to minimize the cost of reactive power due to the synchronous generator, synchronous condenser, capacitor bank and also maximize the voltage stability margin and taking into account equal and unequal constraints was used from a nonlinear programming method. Also problem has been optimized using Genetic Algorithm in order to minimize reactive power costs and voltage stability margin on basis of Monte Carlo method in MATLAB software. Simulation over 30 buses network for two state low load and full load were implemented and analyzed, that simulation results indicate that program output according to the use of Weibull probability distribution function and Monte Carlo method in order to manage 30 buses network reactive power in first scenario, since the network is in a state of low load then index of voltage is low and system is stable. Also for providing wind power plant production scenarios, 20 points of turbine power production curve are selected as sample. Occurrence probability of each sample, is equal to assumption of maximum specified production of 60 MW for wind power, with the integration of Hatch-hit areas of Weibull distribution function, as a result various wind power generation scenarios is obtained. At full load, Lines are bearing heavy loads as a result, voltage stability index is got closer to one; This means that system has not primary stability which had experienced at low load and additional costs is consumed. At the end we hope that one of the challenges for engineers in reactive power flow management and voltage stability margin were placed under review.

# Appendix A

| 1 able of values and under study network lines data's |      |     |            |                   |                    |        |              |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|------|-----|------------|-------------------|--------------------|--------|--------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Line  | From | То  | Lin        | e impedance (p.u) | Half line charging | MVA    | Annual cost  |  |  |  |  |  |
| number  | bus  | bus | Resistance | Reactance         | Susceptance (p.u)  | rating | (× 103\$/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1   | 1    | 2   | 0.02       | 0.06              | 0.03               | 130    | 216.6125     |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2   | 1    | 3   | 0.05       | 0.20              | 0.02               | 130    | 307.2875     |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3   | 2    | 4   | 0.06       | 0.04              | 0.02               | 65     | 509.9500     |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4   | 2    | 5   | 0.05       | 0.23              | 0                  | 130    | 721.5250     |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5   | 2    | 6   | 0.06       | 0.12              | 0.02               | 65     | 168.1750     |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6   | 3    | 4   | 0.01       | 0.08              | 0                  | 130    | 700.000      |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7   | 4    | 6   | 0.01       | 0.09              | 0                  | 90     | 474.3000     |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8   | 4    | 12  | 0          | 0.21              | 0                  | 65     | 554.1250     |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9   | 5    | 7   | 0.05       | 0.56              | 0.01               | 70     | 62.2000      |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10  | 6    | 7   | 0.03       | 0.08              | 0                  | 130    | 130.2000     |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11  | 6    | 8   | 0.01       | 0.06              | 0                  | 32     | 104.6250     |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12  | 6    | 9   | 0          | 0.20              | 0                  | 65     | 306.9000     |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13  | 6    | 10  | 0          | 0.21              | 0                  | 32     | 20.9250      |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14  | 6    | 28  | 0.07       | 0.11              | 0.01               | 32     | 210.800      |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15  | 8    | 28  | 0.06       | 0.21              | 0.02               | 32     | 54.250       |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16  | 9    | 11  | 0          | 0.09              | 0                  | 65     | 83.7000      |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17  | 9    | 10  | 0          | 0.08              | 0                  | 65     | 927.6750     |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18  | 10   | 20  | 0.09       | 0.15              | 0                  | 32     | 117.8000     |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19  | 10   | 17  | 0.03       | 0.14              | 0                  | 32     | 167.4000     |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20  | 10   | 21  | 0.03       | 0.26              | 0                  | 32     | 160.4250     |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21  | 10   | 22  | 0.07       | 0.13              | 0 32               |        | 195.3000     |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22  | 12   | 13  | 0          | 0.12              | 0                  | 65     | 15.1125      |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23  | 12   | 14  | 0.12       | 0.12              | 0                  | 32     | 30.2250      |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24  | 12   | 15  | 0.07       | 0.22              | 0                  | 32     | 97.6250      |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25  | 12   | 16  | 0.01       | 0.21              | 0                  | 32     | 179.0250     |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26  | 14   | 15  | 0.22       | 0.19              | 0                  | 16     | 124.7750     |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27  | 15   | 18  | 0.11       | 0.13              | 0                  | 16     | 80.6000      |  |  |  |  |  |
| 28  | 15   | 23  | 0.10       | 0.07              | 0                  | 16     | 100.7500     |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29  | 16   | 17  | 0.08       | 0.21              | 0                  | 16     | 146.4750     |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30  | 18   | 19  | 0.06       | 0.22              | 0                  | 16     | 235.6000     |  |  |  |  |  |
| Line  | From | То  | Lin        | e impedance (p.u) | Half line charging | MVA    | Annual cost  |  |  |  |  |  |
| number  | bus  | bus | Resistance | Reactance         | Susceptance (p.u)  | rating | (× 103\$/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 31  | 19   | 20  | 0.03       | 0.13              | 0                  | 32     | 186.000      |  |  |  |  |  |
| 32  | 21   | 22  | 0.01       | 0.18              | 0                  | 32     | 166.2375     |  |  |  |  |  |
| 33  | 22   | 24  | 0.11       | 0.22              | 0                  | 16     | 40.3000      |  |  |  |  |  |
| 34  | 23   | 24  | 0.13       | 0.27              | 0                  | 16     | 65.1000      |  |  |  |  |  |
| 35  | 24   | 25  | 0.19       | 0.33              | 0                  | 16     | 210.8000     |  |  |  |  |  |
| 36  | 25   | 26  | 0.25       | 0.38              | 0                  | 16     | 204.600      |  |  |  |  |  |
| 37  | 25   | 27  | 0.11       | 0.21              | 0                  | 16     | 83.7000      |  |  |  |  |  |
| 38  | 27   | 29  | 0.22       | 0.4               | 0                  | 16     | 160.4250     |  |  |  |  |  |
| 39  | 27   | 30  | 0.32       | 0.60              | 0                  | 16     | 90.6750      |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40  | 28   | 27  | 0          | 0.40              | 0                  | 65     | 223.2000     |  |  |  |  |  |
| 41  | 29   | 30  | 0.24       | 0.45              | 0                  | 16     | 216.6125     |  |  |  |  |  |

 Table 8: Values and under study network lines data's

| Bus    | Bus       | Voltage     | Gener  | ation    | Lo     | ad       | Reactive |        |
|--------|-----------|-------------|--------|----------|--------|----------|----------|--------|
| Number |           |             |        |          |        |          | power(1  | MVAR)  |
|        | Magnitude | Phase angle | Real   | Reactive | Real   | Reactive | Qmin     | Qmax   |
|        | (p.u)     | (degree)    | power  | power    | power  | power    | (MVAR)   | (MVAR) |
|        |           |             | (MW)   | (MVAR)   | (MW)   | (MVAR)   |          |        |
| 1      | 1         | 0           | 0      | 0        | 24.963 | -4.683   | -20      | 150    |
| 2      | 1         | 0           | 21.7   | 12.7     | 60.97  | 27.677   | -20      | 60     |
| 3      | 1         | 0           | 2.4    | 1.2      | 0      | 0        | 0        | 0      |
| 4      | 1         | 0           | 7.6    | 1.6      | 0      | 0        | 0        | 0      |
| 5      | 1         | 0           | 0      | 0        | 0      | 0        | 0        | 0      |
| 6      | 1         | 0           | 0      | 0        | 0      | 0        | 0        | 0      |
| 7      | 1         | 0           | 22.8   | 10.9     | 0      | 0        | 0        | 0      |
| 8      | 1         | 0           | 30     | 30       | 0      | 0        | 0        | 0      |
| 9      | 1         | 0           | 0      | 0        | 0      | 0        | 0        | 0      |
| 10     | 1         | 0           | 5.919  | 2        | 0      | 0        | 0        | 0      |
| 11     | 1         | 0           | 0      | 0        | 0      | 0        | 0        | 0      |
| 12     | 1         | 0           | 11.2   | 7.5      | 0      | 0        | 0        | 0      |
| 13     | 1         | 0           | 0      | 0        | 37     | 13.949   | -15      | 44.7   |
| 14     | 1         | 0           | 6.2    | 1.6      | 0      | 0        | 0        | 0      |
| 15     | 1         | 0           | 8.2    | 2.5      | 0      | 0        | 0        | 0      |
| Bus    | Bus       | Generation  | Load   | Reactive | Bus    | Bus      | Genera   | Load   |
| Number | Voltage   |             |        | power    | Number | Voltage  | tion     |        |
|        |           |             |        | (MVAR)   |        |          |          |        |
| 16     | 1         | 0           | 3.5    | 1.8      | 0      | 0        | 0        | 0      |
| 17     | 1         | 0           | 9      | 5.8      | 0      | 0        | 0        | 0      |
| 18     | 1         | 0           | 3.2    | 0.9      | 0      | 0        | 0        | 0      |
| 19     | 1         | 0           | 9.5    | 3.4      | 0      | 0        | 0        | 0      |
| 20     | 1         | 0           | 2.2    | 0.7      | 0      | 0        | 0        | 0      |
| 21     | 1         | 0           | 19.669 | 11.20    | 0      | 0        | 0        | 0      |
| 22     | 1         | 0           | 0      | 0        | 31.59  | 40.34    | -15      | 62.5   |
| 23     | 1         | 0           | 3.2    | 1.6      | 22.2   | 8.13     | -10      | 40     |
| 24     | 1         | 0           | 15     | 6.70     | 0      | 0        | 0        | 0      |
| 25     | 1         | 0           | 1.00   | 0        | 0      | 0        | 0        | 0      |
| 26     | 1         | 0           | 3.50   | 2.30     | 0      | 0        | 0        | 0      |
| 27     | 1         | 0           | 0      | 0        | 28.91  | 10.97    | -15      | 48.7   |
| 28     | 1         | 0           | 0      | 0        | 0      | 0        | 0        | 0      |
| 29     | 1         | 0           | 3.659  | 0.90     | 0      | 0        | 0        | 0      |
| 30     | 1         | 0           | 12.00  | 1.90     | 0      | 0        | 0        | 0      |

# Table 9: Values and under study network buses information's

| Generator Number | Pmin (MW) | Pmax(MW) | Ai (\$/(MWhr)2) | Bi (\$/(MWhr)2) | Ci (\$/hr) |
|------------------|-----------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|------------|
| G1               | 0         | 80       | 0.00375         | 2.0000          | 0.0000     |
| G2               | 0         | 80       | 0.01750         | 1.7500          | 0.0000     |
| G3               | 0         | 50       | 0.06250         | 1.0000          | 0.0000     |
| G4               | 0         | 55       | 0.00834         | 3.2500          | 0.0000     |
| G5               | 0         | 30       | 0.02500         | 3.0000          | 0.0000     |
| G6               | 0         | 40       | 0.02500         | 3.0000          | 0.0000     |

#### Table 10: Regulative values of used generators in under study network

#### Acknowledgments

In Here we thank Mr. Mohsen Khosravi and M. ghamsari that with their guidance helped us to write this article.

## References

- Ahad Kazemi (1999), Electrical power systems, Volume II, University of Science and Technology, Tehran,Iran;
- Foley, AM, ÓGallachóir, BP, Hur, J, Baldick, R, McKeogh, EJ, (2010) A strategic review of electricity systems models Energy, 35-45;
- Hailiang, Xu, Jiabing, Hu; Yikang, He (2012) Integrated modeling and enhanced control of DFIG under unbalanced and distorted grid voltage conditions, Energy Conversion, IEEE Transactions, 27(3), 725 – 736;
- Jiabing, Hu, Heng, Nian; Hailiang, Xu; Yikang, He (2011) Dynamic modeling and improved control of DFIG under distorted grid voltage conditions, Energy Conversion, IEEE Transactions, 26 (1), 163 – 175;
- Kargarin, A, Raoofat, M., (2011) Stochastic reactive power market with volatility of wind power considering voltage security, Elseveir Ltd, Energy, 36, 2565-2571;
- Kesraoui, M., Chaib, A., Meziane, A., Boulezaz, A. (2014) Using a DFIG based wind turbine for grid current harmonics filtering, Energy Conversion and Management, 78, 968–975;
- Mohammadi, M., Hosseiian, S.H., Gharehpetian, G.B., (2012) Optimization of hybrid solar energy sources/wind turbine systems integrated to utility grids as microgrid (MG) under, Siverse Science Direct, Solar Energy, 86, p 112-125;
- Prabha Kundur, (1997), Stability and Control of Power Systems, Volume II, tr. H. Seifi and khaki Sedigh Ali, , Tarbiat Modarres University, Tehran;
- Roy, N.K., Pota, H.R., Hossain, M.J., (2013) Reactive power management of distribution networks with wind generation for improving voltage stability, Elseveir Ltd, Renewable Energy ,58, 85-94;
- Shahidehpour, M, Yamin, H, Li, Z.(2002) Market operations in electric power systems, New York: Wiley.