Long Cycles in a Perturbed Mean Field Model of a Boson Gas

Teunis C. Dorlas
School of Theoretical Physics
Dublin Institute for Advanced Studies
10, Burlington Road, Dublin 4, Ireland
email:dorlas@stp.dias.ie

Philippe A. Martin
Institut of Theoretical Physics
Swiss Federal Institute for Technology (EPFL)
CH 1015 Lausanne, Switzerland
email: Philippe-Andre.Martin@epfl.ch

and

Joseph V. Pulé[*]
Department of Mathematical Physics
University College Dublin
Belfield, Dublin 4, Ireland
email: Joe.Pule@ucd.ie

Abstract

In this paper we give a precise mathematical formulation of the relation between Bose condensation and long cycles and prove its validity for the perturbed mean field model of a Bose gas. We decompose the total density $\rho = \rho_{\text{short}} + \rho_{\text{long}}$ into the number density of particles belonging to cycles of finite length (ρ_{short}) and to infinitely long cycles (ρ_{long}) in the thermodynamic limit. For this model we prove that when there is Bose condensation, ρ_{long} is different from zero and identical to the condensate density. This is achieved through an application of the theory of large deviations. We discuss the possible equivalence of $\rho_{\text{long}} \neq 0$ with off-diagonal long range order and winding paths that occur in the path integral representation of the Bose gas.

Keywords: Bose-Einstein Condensation, Cycles, Large Deviations, Perturbed Mean Field Model
PACS: 05.30.Jp , 03.75.Fi, 67.40.-w.

---

[*]*Research Associate, School of Theoretical Physics, Dublin Institute for Advanced Studies.*

1   Introduction

In 1953, Feynman analyzed the partition function of an interacting Bose gas in terms of the statistical distribution of permutation cycles of particles and emphasized the roles of long cycles at the transition point [1]. Then Penrose and Onsager, pursuing Feynman's arguments, observe that there should be Bose condensation when the fraction of the total particle number belonging to long cycles is strictly positive [2]. These ideas are now commonly accepted and also discussed in various contexts in systems showing analogous phase transitions such as percolation, gelation and polymerization (see e.g. [3], [4], [5]). However, to our knowledge, there had not appeared a precise mathematical and quantitative formulation of the relation between Bose condensate and long cycles until the the recent work of Sütő [6] dealing with the free and mean field gas. The purpose of this paper is to formulate such a relation and to prove its validity in a model of a Bose gas having some genuine short range interactions between modes, the perturbed mean field model studied in [7].

In Section 2 we recall the general framework for cycle statistics independently of any specific model. The arguments used below have been well known for a long time in various contexts, see e.g. Ginibre in [8] for a proof of the convergence of virial expansions of quantum gases, Cornu [9] in relation to Mayer expansions for quantum Coulomb systems, Ceperley [10] for numerical simulations on Helium; a pedagogical account can be found in [11]. Using standard properties of the decomposition of permutations into cycles, the grand-canonical sum is converted into a sum on cycle lengths. This makes it possible to decompose the total density $\rho = \rho_{\text{short}} + \rho_{\text{long}}$ into the number density of particles belonging to cycles of finite length (ρ_{short}) and to infinitely long cycles (ρ_{long}) in the thermodynamic limit. It is conjectured that when there is Bose condensation, ρ_{long} is different from zero and identical to the condensate density. The main result of the paper is to establish the validity of this conjecture in the perturbed mean field model.

This model is diagonal with respect to the mode occupation numbers with a non mean field interaction between them. It has been thoroughly analyzed in [12], [13] and it is revisited here in Sections 3 to 6 from the view point of cycle statistics. In order to compute $\rho_{\text{long}} = \rho - \rho_{\text{short}}$, we find it useful to introduce a modified statistical ensemble equipped with an additional external field that gives an extra weight to cycles of finite length. Then ρ_{short} is related to the derivative of the pressure with respect to this external field. In Section 3 the mean perturbed field model is presented, and an easy explicit calculation using the modified ensemble establishes the identification of ρ_{long} with the Bose condensate for the free gas. Section 4 is devoted to the formulation of the variational principle that leads to the same identification for the interacting gas. The justification of this variational principle is provided by an application of the theory of large deviations (Section 5) and the mathematical proofs are found in Section 6. In the concluding remarks (Section 7) we discuss the possible equivalence of $\rho_{\text{long}} \neq 0$ with off-diagonal long range order and winding paths that occur in the path integral representation of the Bose gas.

2 Cycle statistics

2.1 Cycle representation of the partition function

We consider a system of n identical bosons enclosed in a region Λ in thermal equilibrium at temperature $k_B T = \beta^{-1}$. The states of these bosons belongs to the symmetrization $\mathcal{H}^n_{\Lambda, \text{ symm}}$ of the n-fold tensor product of $\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}_\Lambda$ of the one particle Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_\Lambda$ and their total energy is given by a symmetric Hamiltonian H_Λ. The projection P^n_{symm} of $\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}_\Lambda$ onto $\mathcal{H}^n_{\Lambda, \text{ symm}}$ reads

$$P^n_{\text{symm}} = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\pi \in S_n} U_\pi. \tag{2.1}$$

where $U_\pi : \mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}_\Lambda \mapsto \mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}_\Lambda$ is a unitary representation of the permutation group S_n on $\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}_\Lambda$ defined by

$$U_\pi(\phi_1 \otimes \phi_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes \phi_n) = \phi_{\pi(1)} \otimes \phi_{\pi(2)} \otimes \cdots \otimes \phi_{\pi(n)}, \quad \phi_j \in \mathcal{H}_\Lambda, \quad j = 1, \ldots, n, \ \pi \in S_n. \tag{2.2}$$

The canonical partition function can be written as

$$Z^n_\Lambda = \text{trace}_{\mathcal{H}^n_{\Lambda, \text{symm}}} \left[e^{-\beta H_\Lambda} \right] = \text{trace}_{\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}_\Lambda} \left[P^n_{\text{symm}} e^{-\beta H_\Lambda} \right] = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\pi \in S_n} f_\Lambda(\pi) \tag{2.3}$$

where $f_\Lambda(\pi)$ is a function on S_n defined by

$$f_\Lambda(\pi) = \text{trace}_{\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}_\Lambda} \left[U_\pi e^{-\beta H_\Lambda} \right]. \tag{2.4}$$

Each permutation $\pi \in S_n$ can be decomposed into a number of cyclic permutations of lengths $q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_r$ with $r \leq n$ and $q_1 + q_2 + \cdots + q_r = n$. We consider the set $\Omega = \cup_{r \in \mathbb{N}} \Omega_r$ of unordered r-tuples of natural numbers $\mathbf{q} = [q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_r] \in \Omega_r$ for $r = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$, and let $|\mathbf{q}| = q_1 + q_2 + \cdots + q_r$ for $\mathbf{q} \in \Omega$. Then a decomposition of $\pi \in S_n$ into cycles is labelled by $\mathbf{q} \in \Omega$ with $|\mathbf{q}| = n$. We recall the following facts on the permutation group.

- The decomposition into cycles leads to a partition of S_n into equivalence classes of permutations $C_\mathbf{q}, \ |\mathbf{q}| = n$.

- Two permutations π' and π'' belong to the same class if and only if they are conjugate in S_n, i.e. if there exists $\pi \in S_n$ such that

$$\pi'' = \pi^{-1} \pi' \pi. \tag{2.5}$$

- The number of permutations belonging to the class $C_\mathbf{q}$ is

$$\frac{n!}{n_\mathbf{q}!(q_1 q_2 \ldots q_r)} \tag{2.6}$$

with $n_\mathbf{q}! = n_1! n_2! \cdots n_j! \cdots$ and n_j is the number of cycles of length j in $\mathbf{q}$.

We observe that for a symmetric Hamiltonian ($[H_\Lambda, U_\pi] = 0$, $\pi \in S_n$), $f_\Lambda(\pi)$ is constant on $C_\mathbf{q}$. Indeed for $\pi', \pi'' \in C_\mathbf{q}$, one has by (2.5)

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_\Lambda(\pi'') &= \text{trace}_{\mathcal{H}_\Lambda^{\otimes n}} \left[U_{\pi''} e^{-\beta H_\Lambda} \right] = \text{trace}_{\mathcal{H}_\Lambda^{\otimes n}} \left[U_\pi^{-1} U_{\pi'} U_\pi e^{-\beta H_\Lambda} \right] \\
&= \text{trace}_{\mathcal{H}_\Lambda^{\otimes n}} \left[U_\pi^{-1} U_{\pi'} e^{-\beta H_\Lambda} U_\pi \right] = \text{trace}_{\mathcal{H}_\Lambda^{\otimes n}} \left[U_{\pi'} e^{-\beta H_\Lambda} \right] = f_\Lambda(\pi') \,. \quad (2.7)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $f_\Lambda(\pi)$ only depends on the cycle decomposition $\mathbf{q}$ of π, and we shall write from now on

$$
f_\Lambda(\pi) = f_\Lambda(\mathbf{q}), \quad \pi \in C_\mathbf{q}. \tag{2.8}
$$

For instance $f_\Lambda(\mathbf{q}) = f_\Lambda(\pi_\mathbf{q})$ can be evaluated on the permutation $\pi_\mathbf{q} = (1, \ldots, q_1)(q_1 + 1, \ldots, q_1 + q_2) \ldots (n - q_r, \ldots, n)$ representative of $C_\mathbf{q}$. Let U_q be the operator representing the cyclic permutation $(1, 2, \ldots, q)$. Then

$$
U_{\pi_\mathbf{q}} = U_{q_1} U_{q_2} \cdots U_{q_r}, \tag{2.9}
$$

$$
f_\Lambda(\mathbf{q}) = \text{trace}_{\mathcal{H}_\Lambda^{\otimes n}} \left[U_{q_1} U_{q_2} \cdots U_{q_r} e^{-\beta H_\Lambda} \right] \tag{2.10}
$$

and using (2.6)

$$
\sum_{\pi \in C_\mathbf{q}} f_\Lambda(\pi) = \frac{n!}{n_\mathbf{q}! (q_1 q_2 \ldots q_r)} f_\Lambda(\mathbf{q}) \,. \tag{2.11}
$$

Then, summing first in (2.3) on the permutations belonging to a class $C_\mathbf{q}$ and then on all possible classes gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z_\Lambda^n &= \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{r=1}^n \sum_{\mathbf{q} \in \Omega_r, |\mathbf{q}|=n} \sum_{\pi \in C_\mathbf{q}} f_\Lambda(\mathbf{q}) \\
&= \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{r=1}^n \frac{1}{r!} \sum_{\mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{N}^r, |\mathbf{q}|=n} n_\mathbf{q}! \sum_{\pi \in C_\mathbf{q}} f_\Lambda(\mathbf{q}) \\
&= \sum_{r=1}^n \frac{1}{r!} \sum_{\mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{N}^r, |\mathbf{q}|=n} \frac{1}{(q_1 q_2 \ldots q_r)} f_\Lambda(q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_r) \tag{2.12}
\end{aligned}
$$

In the second line we have taken into account that replacing the summation on unordered r-tuples in Ω_r by ordered r-tuples in $\mathbb{N}^r$, we are over counting by a factor $r!/n_\mathbf{q}!$. Finally to get rid of the constraint of fixed particle number n, we introduce the grand-canonical partition function with chemical potential μ

$$
\Xi_\Lambda^\mu = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^\infty e^{\beta \mu n} Z_\Lambda^n = 1 + \sum_{r=1}^\infty \frac{1}{r!} \sum_{\mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{N}^r} \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{e^{\beta \mu q_i}}{q_i} f_\Lambda(q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_r) \,. \tag{2.13}
$$

This leads to define the grand-canonical distribution of cycles on the space $\Omega = \cup_{r \in \mathbb{N}} \Omega_r$ of r-tuples of arbitrary integer length by the probability measure

$$
\mathbb{P}_\Lambda^\mu(\mathbf{q}) = \frac{1}{\Xi_\Lambda^\mu} \frac{1}{r!} \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{e^{\beta \mu q_i}}{q_i} f_\Lambda(q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_r), \quad \mathbf{q} \in \Omega, \tag{2.14}
$$

$$
\sum_{r=1}^\infty \sum_{q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_r \in \mathbb{N}^r} \mathbb{P}_\Lambda^\mu(q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_r) = 1
$$

One then introduces the cycle density and cycle correlations in the usual way. Consider the observable $\frac{1}{|\Lambda|}\sum_{i=1}^{r}\delta_{q,q_i}$ i.e. the number of cycles of length q per unit volume. Its grand-canonical average with respect to $\mathbb{P}_\Lambda^\mu$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho_\Lambda^\mu(q) &= \left\langle \frac{1}{|\Lambda|}\sum_{i=1}^{r}\delta_{q,q_i} \right\rangle_{\mathbb{P}_\Lambda^\mu} \\
&= \frac{1}{|\Lambda|}\frac{1}{\Xi_\Lambda^\mu}\frac{e^{\beta\mu q}}{q}\sum_{r\in\mathbb{N}}\frac{1}{(r-1)!}\sum_{\mathbf{q}\in\mathbb{N}^{(r-1)}}\prod_{i=1}^{r-1}\frac{e^{\beta\mu q_i}}{q_i}f_\Lambda(q,q_1,\ldots,q_{(r-1)})\,. \quad (2.15)
\end{aligned}
$$

The quantities

$$
P_\Lambda(\mu) = \frac{1}{\beta|\Lambda|}\ln\Xi_\Lambda^\mu \quad\text{and}\quad \rho_\Lambda^\mu = \sum_{q=1}^{\infty}q\rho_\Lambda^\mu(q) = \frac{1}{\beta|\Lambda|}\frac{\partial}{\partial\mu}\ln\Xi_\Lambda^\mu \quad (2.16)
$$

are the usual grand-canonical pressure and particle number density of the gas.

2.2 Particles in long and short cycles

We first note that expressing the partition function in terms of cycles corresponds to a partitioning of the particles into groups of the same size as the cycle lengths. It is natural therefore to ask for the probability $p_\Lambda(q)$ for a particle to belong to a cycle of length q (we drop the chemical potential μ from the notation and it is understood that μ and the inverse temperature β are kept fixed). Since the average number of particles to be found in q-cycles is $|\Lambda|q\rho_\Lambda(q)$ and the total average particle number is $|\Lambda|\rho_\Lambda$ this probability is

$$
p_\Lambda(q) = \frac{q\rho_\Lambda(q)}{\rho_\Lambda}, \qquad \sum_{q=1}^{\infty}p_\Lambda(q) = 1. \quad (2.17)
$$

Let us assume at this point that infinite volume limits of the cycle and total densities exist, namely

$$
\lim_{\Lambda\to\infty}\rho_\Lambda(q) = \rho(q), \quad \lim_{\Lambda\to\infty}\rho_\Lambda = \rho\,. \quad (2.18)
$$

Then $\lim_{\Lambda\to\infty}p_\Lambda(q) = q\rho(q)/\rho = p(q)$ is the probability to find a particle in a q-cycle, $q < \infty$, in the infinitely extended system. Hence the fraction of particles ρ_{long}/ρ belonging to infinitely long cycles is

$$
\frac{\rho_{\text{long}}}{\rho} = 1 - \sum_{q=1}^{\infty}p(q) \quad (2.19)
$$

The conjecture [2] is that ρ_{long} is different from zero when there is Bose condensation and identical to the condensate density. One also says in this case that the probability $p(q)$ is defective or that cycle percolation occurs.

¿From the above definitions one can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho_{\text{long}} &= \rho - \sum_{q=1}^{\infty}q\rho(q) = \lim_{\Lambda\to\infty}\sum_{q=1}^{\infty}q\rho_\Lambda(q) - \sum_{q=1}^{\infty}q\rho(q) \\
&= \lim_{\Lambda\to\infty}\sum_{q=Q+1}^{\infty}q\rho_\Lambda(q) - \sum_{q=Q+1}^{\infty}q\rho(q) \quad (2.20)
\end{aligned}
$$

for any $Q > 0$. Thus letting $Q \to \infty$ and taking into account that the last sum in (2.20) is convergent one has

$$\rho_{\text{long}} = \lim_{Q \to \infty} \lim_{\Lambda \to \infty} \sum_{q=Q+1}^{\infty} q \rho_\Lambda(q) \tag{2.21}$$

showing that ρ_{long} is carried by the tail of the cycle number density as $\Lambda \to \infty$.

One can alternatively consider the quantity

$$\rho_{\text{short}} = \rho - \rho_{\text{long}} = \lim_{Q \to \infty} \lim_{\Lambda \to \infty} \sum_{q=1}^{Q} q \rho_\Lambda(q) \tag{2.22}$$

which is expected to coincide with the density of particles that do not belong to the condensate and can be studied more easily. It will turn out to be convenient to define a modified partition function depending on a parameter $\lambda \geq 0$ by

$$\Xi_{Q,\lambda,\Lambda} = 1 + \sum_{r \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{r!} \sum_{\mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{N}^r} \prod_{i=1}^{r} \frac{e^{\beta(\mu + \lambda \theta_Q(q_i))q_i}}{q_i} f_\Lambda(q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_r) \tag{2.23}$$

where

$$\theta_Q(q) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } q \leq Q, \\ 0 & \text{if } q > Q. \end{cases} \tag{2.24}$$

and the corresponding modified pressure

$$P_{Q,\lambda,\Lambda} = \frac{1}{\beta|\Lambda|} \ln \Xi_{Q,\lambda,\Lambda}. \tag{2.25}$$

One immediately sees that

$$\sum_{q=1}^{Q} q \rho_\Lambda(q) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} P_{Q,\lambda,\Lambda} \Big|_{\lambda=0}. \tag{2.26}$$

Thus if $P_{Q,\lambda} = \lim_{\Lambda \to \infty} P_{Q,\lambda,\Lambda}$ has a thermodynamic limit, the convexity of $P_{Q,\lambda,\Lambda}(\mu)$ implies the convergence of the derivative [14] and

$$\rho_{\text{short}} = \lim_{Q \to \infty} \lim_{\Lambda \to \infty} \sum_{q=1}^{Q} q \rho_\Lambda(q) = \lim_{Q \to \infty} \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} P_{Q,\lambda} \Big|_{\lambda=0}. \tag{2.27}$$

Note that the order of limits is crucial here. The procedure is the same as generating the density from the pressure by functional differentiation with respect to an external field. Here the "external field" $\lambda \theta_Q(q)$ gives an additional weight to cycles of length $q \leq Q$.

3   The perturbed mean field model

The bosons have mass M and are enclosed in a cube $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ centred at the origin with periodic boundary conditions. The one-particle space $\mathcal{H}_\Lambda = L^2(\Lambda)$ is generated by the basis of plane waves with wave number $k \in \Lambda^* = \{2\pi l/|\Lambda|^{1/d} \mid l \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}$ where $|\Lambda|$ is the volume of Λ. In second quantized form, the Hamiltonian of the perturbed mean field model expressed

in terms of the boson creation and annihilation operators a_k^* and a_k, $k \in \Lambda^*$, $[a_k, a_{k'}^*] = \delta_{k,k'}$, reads

$$H_\Lambda = T_\Lambda + \frac{a}{2V} N_\Lambda^2 + \frac{1}{2V} \sum_{k,k' \in \Lambda^*} v(k,k') N_k N_{k'} \tag{3.1}$$

where $N_k = a_k^* a_k$ are the occupation number operators of the modes k, and

$$T_\Lambda = \sum_{k \in \Lambda^*} \epsilon(k) N_k, \quad \epsilon(k) = \hbar^2 \|k\|^2 / 2M, \quad N_\Lambda = \sum_{k \in \Lambda^*} N_k \tag{3.2}$$

are the kinetic and total particle number operators. It differs from the standard mean field model by the last term of (3.1) which introduces the coupling kernel $v(k,k')$ between the modes. We assume that $v(k,k')$ is a bounded, continuous and positive definite kernel with suitable decay properties.

Since the perturbed mean field model is defined in terms of the mutually commuting occupation numbers N_k, it can be studied with the techniques of classical probability theory. The N_k's form a set of classical random variables and the equilibrium properties are expressed in terms of their distribution functions. In particular, in the thermodynamic limit, the average occupation number distribution is a measure $\nu(dk)$ which is continuous except for a possible Dirac δ at $k = 0$ corresponding to a macroscopic occupation of the $k = 0$ state. Thus when there is a non vanishing condensate density ν_c, the equilibrium distribution is given by

$$\nu(dk) = \nu_c \delta(k) dk + \nu_e(k) \frac{dk}{(2\pi)^d} \tag{3.3}$$

where the continuous part $\nu_e(k)$ describes the density of particles in excited states. The main result of the paper consists in establishing that the average number density of short cycles (2.22) is identical with the total density $\nu_e = \int \nu_e(k) \frac{dk}{(2\pi)^d}$ of exited particles, and consequently that ρ_{long} (2.21) indeed coincides with the condensate density of particles ν_c in the $k = 0$ mode as given in (3.3).

For a number of specific interaction kernels it is known that Bose condensation occurs for a range of chemical potentials. In particular in the case of a Gaussian kernel $v(k,k') = v_0 e^{-c\|k-k'\|^2}$ there is a value μ_0 such that if $\mu \in (0, \mu_0)$, then ρ_c is non zero [12] . On the other hand for the kernel $v(k,k') = v_0 e^{-c\|k-k'\|}$ there is condensation for all positive μ [13]. Our present results therefore imply that in these cases the Bose condensate is carried by particles in long cycles.

We compute the function $f_\Lambda(q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_r)$ for the perturbed mean field model (3.1) by calculating the trace (2.4) in the plane wave basis

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_\Lambda(\pi) &= \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in (\Lambda^*)^r} \langle k_1, \ldots, k_n | e^{-\beta H_\Lambda} | k_{\pi_{(1)}}, \ldots, k_{\pi_{(n)}} \rangle \\
&= \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in (\Lambda^*)^r} \exp \left\{ -\beta \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \varepsilon(k_j) + \frac{a}{2|\Lambda|} n^2 + \frac{1}{2|\Lambda|} \sum_{j,j'=1}^n v(k_j, k_{j'}) \right) \right\} \prod_{j=1}^n \delta_{k_j, k_{\pi_j}}
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.4}
$$

giving

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_\Lambda(q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_r) = \\
\sum_{\mathbf{k} \in (\Lambda^*)^r} \exp \left\{ -\beta \left(\sum_{i=1}^r \varepsilon(k_i)q_i + \frac{a}{2|\Lambda|} \left(\sum_{i=1}^r q_i \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2|\Lambda|} \sum_{i,i'=1}^r q_i q_{i'} v(k_i, k_{i'}) \right) \right\}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.5}
$$

As a preliminary simple calculation, we consider the modified partition function $\Xi^0_{Q,\lambda,\Lambda}$ of the free gas. In the absence of interactions the function (3.5) reduces to

$$
f_\Lambda(q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_r) = \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in (\Lambda^*)^r} \exp \left\{ -\beta \sum_{i=1}^r \varepsilon(k_i)q_i \right\}.
\tag{3.6}
$$

Inserting this into (2.23) we obtain the modified partition of the free gas in the form

$$
\Xi^0_{Q,\lambda,\Lambda} = 1 + \sum_{r \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{r!} \sum_{\mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{N}^r} \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{e^{\beta(\alpha + \lambda \theta_Q(q_i))q_i}}{q_i} \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in (\Lambda^*)^r} \exp \left\{ -\beta \sum_{i=1}^r \varepsilon(k_i)q_i \right\}.
\tag{3.7}
$$

Here the chemical potential, denoted by α, has to be negative, and the corresponding pressure is

$$
P^0_{Q,\lambda,\Lambda} = \frac{1}{\beta|\Lambda|} \ln \Xi^0_{Q,\lambda,\Lambda}
\tag{3.8}
$$

This partition function is readily calculated, permuting product with the $\mathbf{q}$ and $\mathbf{k}$ summations one obtains

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Xi^0_{Q,\lambda,\Lambda} &= 1 + \sum_{r \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{r!} \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in (\Lambda^*)^r} \sum_{\mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{N}^r} \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{\exp \left\{ \beta(\alpha - \varepsilon(k_i) + \lambda \theta_Q(q_i))q_i \right\}}{q_i} \\
&= \exp \left\{ \sum_{k \in \Lambda^*} \pi_{Q,\lambda}(\alpha - \varepsilon(k)) \right\}
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.9}
$$

where for $y < 0$ and $\lambda \geq 0$ we have introduced the function

$$
\pi_{Q,\lambda}(y) = \sum_{q=1}^Q \frac{e^{\beta(y+\lambda)q}}{\beta q} + \sum_{q=Q+1}^\infty \frac{e^{\beta y q}}{\beta q}.
\tag{3.10}
$$

This yields the modified pressure (2.25) in the infinite volume limit

$$
P^0_{Q,\lambda} = \lim_{\Lambda \to \infty} P^0_{Q,\lambda,\Lambda} = \lim_{\Lambda \to \infty} \frac{1}{|\Lambda|} \sum_{k \in \Lambda^*} \pi_{Q,\lambda}(\alpha - \varepsilon(k)) = \int \frac{dk}{(2\pi)^d} \pi_{Q,\lambda}(\alpha - \varepsilon(k)).
\tag{3.11}
$$

Notice that for $\lambda = 0$,

$$
\pi_{Q,\lambda=0}(y) \equiv \pi_0(y) = \beta^{-1} \ln(1 - e^{-\beta y}),
\tag{3.12}
$$

so that

$$
P^0_{Q,\lambda=0} = \beta^{-1} \int \frac{dk}{(2\pi)^d} \ln \left(1 - e^{-\beta(\varepsilon(k) - \alpha)} \right)
\tag{3.13}
$$

reduces to the well known pressure of the free gas at chemical potential $\alpha < 0$. Moreover, one explicitly checks from (3.10) and (3.11) that

$$\lim_{Q \to \infty} \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} P^0_{Q, \lambda} \Big|_{\lambda=0} = \int \frac{dk}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{1}{e^{\beta(\epsilon(k) - \alpha)} - 1} = \nu^0_e \qquad (3.14)$$

with ν^0_e the total number of particles in exited states. Bose condensation is characterized in the three dimensional gas by the fact that ν^0_e remains finite for $\alpha = 0$ (the critical density). The relation (3.14) also remains valid for $\alpha = 0$ showing that the equality $\rho_{\text{short}} = \nu^0_e$ holds even in case when the condensate density is different from zero . This yields again the results of [6] for the free gas.

4 The variational principle

We set up a variational principle to determine the modified pressure (2.25) in presence of the interaction. Its precise mathematical justification is provided by the application of the theory of large deviations presented in the next sections. Here we give the form of the grand-potentials per unit volume $\varphi = u - \mu\rho - Ts = -P$ (u is the internal energy, ρ the particle number density and s the entropy) corresponding to the perturbed mean field model and to the modified statistical ensemble (2.23). These potentials will be considered as a functionals of all possible momentum distributions. The latter are described by positive bounded measures $m(dp)$ on $\mathbb{R}^d$. Their minimization will yield the corresponding pressures.

Consider first the measures of the form

$$m_{(\mathbf{q},\mathbf{k})}(dp) = \frac{1}{|\Lambda|} \sum_{i=1}^{r} q_i \delta(k_i - p)dp, \quad (\mathbf{q},\mathbf{k}) = \{(q_1, k_1), (q_2, k_2) \ldots, (q_r, k_r)\} \qquad (4.1)$$

which are the sum of Dirac distributions centered at the wave numbers k_i. The particle number density is $\int m_{(\mathbf{q},\mathbf{k})}(dp) = \sum_{i=1}^{r} q_i/|\Lambda| = n/|\Lambda|$, and $\int_\Delta m_{(\mathbf{q},\mathbf{k})}(dp)$ is the number of particles per unit volume that have wave numbers in the range Δ. One can write (3.5) as

$$f_\Lambda(\mathbf{q}) = \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in (\Lambda^*)^r} \exp(-\beta|\Lambda|u_{(\mathbf{q},\mathbf{k})})$$

$$u_{(\mathbf{q},\mathbf{k})} = \langle \epsilon, m_{(\mathbf{q},\mathbf{k})} \rangle + \frac{a}{2} \langle 1, m_{(\mathbf{q},\mathbf{k})} \rangle^2 + \frac{1}{2} \langle m_{(\mathbf{q},\mathbf{k})}, v m_{(\mathbf{q},\mathbf{k})} \rangle \qquad (4.2)$$

with the notation $\langle g, m \rangle = \int g(p)m(dp)$, $\langle m, vm \rangle = \int v(p, p')m(dp)m(dp')$, and where $u_{(\mathbf{q},\mathbf{k})}$ is the energy density of particles in a cycle and wave number configuration $(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{k})$. In view of (4.2), we define the energy density functional for general measures $m(dp)$ by

$$u(m) = \langle \epsilon, m \rangle + \frac{a}{2} \langle 1, m \rangle^2 + \frac{1}{2} \langle m, vm \rangle \ . \qquad (4.3)$$

Concerning the entropy density functional, we recall its standard form [15]

$$s_{0,\Lambda} = -k_B \frac{1}{|\Lambda|} \sum_{k \in \Lambda^*} [m_k \ln m_k - (m_k + 1) \ln(m_k + 1)] \qquad (4.4)$$

for a gas of independent Bose particles having average occupation numbers m_k, $k \in \Lambda^*$. In the thermodynamic limit,

$$s_0(m) = \lim_{\Lambda \to \infty} s_{0,\Lambda}(m) = -k_B \int \frac{dk}{(2\pi)^d}[m_e(k) \ln m_e(k) - (m_e(k) + 1)\ln(m_e(k) + 1)] \quad (4.5)$$

only involves the absolutely continuous density $m_e(k)$ of the infinite volume mode distribution $m(dk)$ (for instance it is easily verfied that a macroscopically occupied mode, say $n_{k=0} \sim n$, does not contribute to the limit (4.5); for the free Bose gas, it is well known that at any finite temperature the total entropy is entirely due to the non condensed phase). Adding the chemical potential term, the grand-potential functional of the perturbed mean field model reads

$$\varphi(m) = u(m) - \mu\langle 1, m\rangle - Ts_0(m) . \quad (4.6)$$

It is proved in [7] for a class of positive definite interaction kernels $v(k, k')$ that the minimization of $\varphi(m)$ yields indeed the pressure of the perturbed mean field model, the minimizer $\nu(dk)$ is unique and is of the form (3.3).

We now want to define the entropy density functional $s_{Q,\lambda}$ for the statistical ensemble (2.23) that has the additional "$\lambda\theta_Q$ field". For this we first consider the Legendre transform

$$\pi_0^*(t) = \sup_{y<0}(t\,s - \pi_0(s)) = ts_0(t) - \pi_0(y_0(t)) \quad (4.7)$$

of the function $\pi_0(s)$ (3.12). It is given by

$$\pi_0^*(t) = ts_0(t) - \pi_0(y_0(t)) \quad (4.8)$$

where $y_0(t)$ is the unique solution of the equation

$$t = \pi'(y) = \frac{1}{e^{-\beta y} - 1} \quad (4.9)$$

and thus

$$y_0(t) = \beta^{-1}\ln\left(\frac{t}{t+1}\right), \quad \pi_0^*(t) = -T[-k_B(t\ln t - (t+1)\ln(t+1))] . \quad (4.10)$$

One therefore sees from (4.5) that the entropy can be expressed as

$$Ts_0(m) = -\int \frac{dk}{(2\pi)^d}\pi_0^*(m_e(k)) \quad (4.11)$$

When $\lambda \neq 0$, this motivates the definition of an entropy density functional $s_{Q,\lambda}(m)$ for the modified ensemble (depending only on the density of the absolutely continuous part $m_e(k)$ of the measure $m(dk)$) by

$$Ts_{Q,\lambda}(m) = -\int \frac{dk}{(2\pi)^d}\pi_{Q,\lambda}^*(m_e(k)) \quad (4.12)$$

where

$$\pi_{Q,\lambda}^*(t) = \sup_{y<0}(t\,y - \pi_{Q,\lambda}(y)) = ty_{Q,\lambda}(t) - \pi_{Q,\lambda}(y_{Q,\lambda}(t)) \quad (4.13)$$

is the Legendre transform of the function (3.10) and $y_{Q,\lambda}(t)$ is the unique solution in y of the equation

$$t = \pi'_{Q,\lambda}(y). \tag{4.14}$$

Finally the total functional $\varphi_{Q,\lambda}(m)$ (the grand-potential of the modified ensemble) is taken as

$$\varphi_{Q,\lambda}(m) = u(m) - \mu\langle 1, m \rangle - T s_{Q,\lambda}(m) \tag{4.15}$$

where $u(m)$ and $s_{Q,\lambda}(m)$ are defined by (4.3) and (4.12) respectively.

The corresponding pressure is thus given by

$$P_{Q,\lambda} = -\varphi_{Q,\lambda}(\nu_{Q,\lambda}) \tag{4.16}$$

where $\nu_{Q,\lambda}(dk)$ is the measure that minimizes $\varphi_{Q,\lambda}(m)$. For $\lambda = 0$, $\nu_{Q,\lambda=0}(dk) = \nu(dk)$ reduces to the equilibrium mode distribution (3.3) of the perturbed mean field model. The λ-derivative of the modified pressure is

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}P_{Q,\lambda}\Big|_{\lambda=0} = T\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}s_{Q,\lambda}(\nu)\Big|_{\lambda=0} \tag{4.17}$$

since derivation with respect to $\nu_{Q,\lambda}$ does not contribute at the minimum and only $s_{Q,\lambda}$ explicitly depends on λ in $\varphi_{Q,\lambda}$. Therefore we have from (4.12)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}P_{Q,\lambda}\Big|_{\lambda=0} = \int \frac{dk}{(2\pi)^d}\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}\pi^*_{Q,\lambda}(\nu_e(k))\Big|_{\lambda=0} \tag{4.18}$$

with $\nu_e(k)dk$ the absolutely continuous part of $\nu(dk)$. ¿From (4.13) and (3.10)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}\pi^*_{Q,\lambda}(t)\Big|_{\lambda=0} = \frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}\pi_{Q,\lambda}(y_0(t))\Big|_{\lambda=0} = \pi'_{Q,0}(y_0(t)) \tag{4.19}$$

and therefore

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}P_{Q,\lambda}\Big|_{\lambda=0} = \int \frac{dk}{(2\pi)^d}\,\pi'_{Q,0}(y_0(\nu_e(k)). \tag{4.20}$$

¿From (4.9)

$$\lim_{Q\to\infty}\pi'_{Q,0}(y_0(t)) = \pi'_0(y_0(t)) = t, \tag{4.21}$$

and hence

$$\lim_{Q\to\infty}\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}P_{Q,\lambda}\Big|_{\lambda=0} = \int \frac{dk}{(2\pi)^d}\nu_e(k). \tag{4.22}$$

In view of (2.27) this establishes our main result, the identification of the total excited mode occupation $\nu_e = \int \frac{dk}{(2\pi)^d}\nu_e(k)$ with the short cycle average number density ρ_{short} (2.22):

$$\rho_{\text{short}} = \nu_e. \tag{4.23}$$

5 The large deviation argument

We shall use the large deviation techniques developed in [7], [16] (see also references in [12]) to justify the variational calculation presented in the previous section. Here we present the heuristic argument, the technical details are given in the next section. The large deviation theory is a generalization of Laplace's method for evaluating the asymptotics of integrals of the type $\int dp(x)e^{\gamma f(x)}$ with $dp(x)$ a probability measure and γ a large parameter. First we have to cast our problem in the form of a Laplace integral.

Let $\Omega_{r,\Lambda}$ to be the set of unordered r-tuples $(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{k}) = \{(q_1, k_1), (q_2, k_2) \ldots, (q_r, k_r)\}$ in $\mathbb{N} \times \Lambda^*$ and let $\Omega_\Lambda = \cup_{r \in \mathbb{N}} \Omega_{r,\Lambda}$. We introduce a probability measure on Ω_Λ associated with the modified ensemble (3.7) of the free gas at some negative value α of the chemical potential

$$\mathbb{P}^0_{Q, \lambda, \Lambda}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{k}) = \frac{1}{\Xi^0_{Q, \lambda, \Lambda}} \frac{1}{r!} \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{e^{\beta(\alpha+\lambda\theta_Q(q_i))q_i}}{q_i} \exp\left[-\beta \sum_{i=1}^r \varepsilon(k_i)q_i\right], \quad \alpha < 0 . \tag{5.1}$$

¿From (2.23) and (3.5) we can now write the total partition function (normalized with respect to the free one (3.7)) as an average with respect to $\mathbb{P}^0_{Q, \lambda, \Lambda}$ of the chemical potential and interaction contributions

$$\frac{\Xi_{Q, \lambda, \Lambda}}{\Xi^0_{Q, \lambda, \Lambda}} = \sum_{\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{k} \in \Omega_\Lambda} \mathbb{P}^0_{Q, \lambda, \Lambda}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{k}) \exp\left(\beta|\Lambda| G(m_{(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{k})})\right) \tag{5.2}$$

with

$$G(m) = (\mu - \alpha)\langle 1, m \rangle - \frac{1}{2}a\langle 1, m \rangle^2 - \frac{1}{2}\langle m, vm \rangle \tag{5.3}$$

It is understood in the sequel that quantities pertaining to the free gas (with index 0) are taken at an arbitrarily fixed negative value α of the chemical potential (since the latter quantities are not defined when α is positive). The complete partition $\Xi_{Q, \lambda, \Lambda}$ function depends on the actual value μ, $\mu \neq \alpha$, of the chemical potential. This produces the term $(\mu - \alpha)\langle 1, m \rangle$ in (5.3). The α dependence will drop out in the final calculation.

We observe that the function to be averaged in (5.2) depends on $\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{k}$ only through the measure $m_{(\mathbf{q},\mathbf{k})}$. We can define a measure $\mathbb{K}^0_{Q, \lambda, \Lambda}(dm)$ on E, the space of positive bounded measures on $\mathbb{R}^d$, through the relation

$$\int_E F(m)\mathbb{K}^0_{Q, \lambda, \Lambda}(dm) = \sum_{\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{k} \in \Omega_\Lambda} \mathbb{P}^0_{Q, \lambda, \Lambda}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{k})F(m_{(\mathbf{q},\mathbf{k})}) \tag{5.4}$$

so that in terms of this measure the expectation (5.2) takes the form of an integral on the space E

$$\frac{\Xi_{Q, \lambda, \Lambda}}{\Xi^0_{Q, \lambda, \Lambda}} = \int_E \exp\left(\beta|\Lambda| G(m)\right) \mathbb{K}^0_{Q, \lambda, \Lambda}(dm) \tag{5.5}$$

Then the pressure of the modified ensemble, using (5.5), can be expressed in the form

$$\begin{aligned} P_{Q, \lambda} &= \lim_{\Lambda \to \infty} \frac{1}{\beta|\Lambda|} \ln \Xi_{Q, \lambda, \Lambda} \\ &= \lim_{\Lambda \to \infty} \frac{1}{\beta|\Lambda|} \ln \int_E \exp\left(\beta|\Lambda| G(m)\right) \mathbb{K}^0_{Q, \lambda, \Lambda}(dm) + P^0_{Q, \lambda} \end{aligned} \tag{5.6}$$

where the last term is the free (modified) pressure (3.11).

In a mathematical sense which will be made precise in the next section, the measure $\mathbb{K}^0_{Q,\lambda,\Lambda}(dm)$ on E behaves or large Λ as

$$\mathbb{K}_{Q,\lambda,\Lambda}(dm) \approx \exp\left(-\beta|\Lambda|I^0_{Q,\lambda}(m)\right)(dm) \tag{5.7}$$

where $I^0_{Q,\lambda}(m)$ is called the rate function. Introducing this asymptotic behaviour in (5.5), we see that the partition function $\Xi_{Q,\lambda,\Lambda}$ has the form of a Laplace integral (up to a normalization). Then by a generalization of Laplace's Theorem (Varadhan's Theorem) [17] we get

$$P_{Q,\lambda} = \sup_{m \in E}\left(G(m) - I^0_{Q,\lambda}(m)\right) + P^0_{Q,\lambda}. \tag{5.8}$$

We still have to determine the rate function $I^0_{Q,\lambda}$. One can guess it with the help of a well known argument. For a test function f on $\mathbb{R}^\nu$ consider

$$C^0_{Q,\lambda}(f) = \lim_{\Lambda \to \infty} \frac{1}{\beta|\Lambda|} \ln \int_E \exp\left(\beta|\Lambda|\langle f, m\rangle\right) \mathbb{K}^0_{Q,\lambda,\Lambda}(dm) \tag{5.9}$$

where $\langle f, m\rangle = \int f(k)m(dk)$. Applying now Varadhan's Theorem to (5.9) one obtains

$$C^0_{Q,\lambda}(f) = \sup_m \left(\langle f, m\rangle - I^0_{Q,\lambda}(m)\right), \tag{5.10}$$

that is $C^0_{Q,\lambda}$ is the Legendre-Fenchel transform of $I^0_{Q,\lambda}$. Inverting this transform one gets

$$I^0_{Q,\lambda}(m) = \sup_f \left(\langle f, m\rangle - C^0_{Q,\lambda}(f)\right). \tag{5.11}$$

We observe that the integral occurring in (5.9) has the same form as that in (5.5) with $G(m)$ replaced by $\langle f, m\rangle$. Coming back to expectations written in terms of the probability $\mathbb{P}^0_{Q,\lambda,\Lambda}(\mathbf{q},\mathbf{k})$ this amounts to replacing in (5.2) the partition function $\Xi_{Q,\lambda,\Lambda}$ by that of the free gas (3.7) with shifted energies $\varepsilon(k) - f(k)$

$$\int_E \exp\left(\beta|\Lambda|\langle f, m\rangle\right) \mathbb{K}^0_{Q,\lambda,\Lambda}(dm)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\Xi^0_{Q,\lambda,\Lambda}} \sum_{r=1}^\infty \frac{1}{r!} \sum_{\mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{N}^r} \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{e^{\beta(\alpha+u\theta_Q(q_i))q_i}}{q_i} \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in (\Lambda^*)^r} \exp\left\{-\beta \sum_{i=1}^r (\varepsilon(k_i) - f(k_i))q_i\right\} \tag{5.12}$$

By the same calculation that led to (3.11) one eventually gets

$$C^0_{Q,\lambda}(f) = \int \frac{dk}{(2\pi)^d}\pi_{Q,\lambda}(\alpha - \varepsilon(k) + f(k)) + P^0_{Q,\lambda} \tag{5.13}$$

To find the inverse Legendre transform of $C^0_{Q,\lambda}(f)$ it is useful to first single out the singular part $m_c(dk)$ of $m(dk)$ leading to (see next section)

$$I^0_{Q,\lambda}(m) = -\int (\alpha - \varepsilon(k))m_c(dk) + \sup_f \left(\langle f, m_e\rangle - C^0_{Q,\lambda}(f)\right) + P^0_{Q,\lambda} \tag{5.14}$$

The second term in the r.h.s only involves the density $m_e(k)$ of the absolutely continuous part of $m(dk)$. With the change $g(k) = \alpha - \varepsilon(k) + f(k)$ and in view of (4.13) it is equal to

$$-\int \frac{dk}{(2\pi)^d}(\alpha - \varepsilon(k))m_e(k) + \sup_g \int \frac{dk}{(2\pi)^d}\left[(g(k)m_e(k) - \pi_{Q,\lambda}(g(k))\right]$$

$$= -\int \frac{dk}{(2\pi)^d}(\alpha - \varepsilon(k))m_e(k) + \int \frac{dk}{(2\pi)^d}\pi^*_{Q,\lambda}(m_e(k)) \tag{5.15}$$

Finally,

$$I^0_{Q,\lambda}(m) = -\int (\alpha - \varepsilon(k))m(dk) + \int \frac{dk}{(2\pi)^d}\,\pi^*_{Q,\lambda}(m_e(k)) + P^0_{Q,\lambda} \qquad (5.16)$$

and from (5.8) and (5.3)

$$P_{Q,\lambda} = -\inf_{m\in E}\left(\langle \varepsilon - \mu, m\rangle + \frac{1}{2}a\langle 1,m\rangle^2 + \frac{1}{2}\langle m,vm\rangle + \int \frac{dk}{(2\pi)^d}\,\pi^*_{Q,\lambda}(m_e(k))\right) \qquad (5.17)$$

is precisely the variational problem for the modified ensemble treated in Section 4.

6 Proofs

In this section we establish rigorously the identity (4.20) which leads to our main result (4.23). This is done in Theorem 6.1. Recall that for $Q \in \mathbb{N}$, $y < 0$ and $\lambda \geq 0$, we have (see 3.10)

$$\pi_{Q,\lambda}(y) = \sum_{q=1}^{Q} \frac{e^{\beta(y+\lambda)q}}{\beta q} + \sum_{q=Q+1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{\beta y q}}{\beta q}$$

and

$$\pi'_{Q,\lambda}(y) = \sum_{q=1}^{Q} e^{\beta(y+\lambda)q} + \sum_{q=Q+1}^{\infty} e^{\beta y q}. \qquad (6.1)$$

Here $\pi'_{Q,\lambda}$ denotes $(\partial\pi_{Q,\lambda})/(\partial y)$. The function $y \mapsto \pi'_{Q,\lambda}(y)$ is strictly increasing and its range is $(0,\infty)$. On the other hand $\pi^*_{Q,\lambda}(t)$, the Legendre transform of $\pi_{Q,\lambda}$, is decreasing in λ since (see (4.13))

$$\frac{\partial \pi^*_{Q,\lambda}}{\partial \lambda}(t) = -\frac{\partial \pi_{Q,\lambda}}{\partial \lambda}(y_{Q,\lambda}(t)) = -\sum_{q=1}^{Q} e^{\beta(y_{Q,\lambda}(t)+\lambda)q} < 0. \qquad (6.2)$$

$\pi^*_{Q,\lambda}$ is of course convex in t but it is also concave in λ as can be seen from the following argument:
We have

$$\frac{\partial^2 \pi^*_{Q,\lambda}}{\partial \lambda^2}(t) = -\frac{\partial^2 \pi_{Q,\lambda}}{\partial \lambda^2}(y_{Q,\lambda}(t)) - \frac{\partial \pi'_{Q,\lambda}}{\partial \lambda}(y_{Q,\lambda}(t))\frac{\partial y_{Q,\lambda}(t)}{\partial \lambda}. \qquad (6.3)$$

¿From (4.14) we get

$$0 = \frac{\partial \pi'_{Q,\lambda}}{\partial \lambda}(y_{Q,\lambda}(t)) - \pi''_{Q,\lambda}(y_{Q,\lambda}(t))\frac{\partial y_{Q,\lambda}(t)}{\partial \lambda} \qquad (6.4)$$

which together with (6.3) gives

$$\frac{\partial^2 \pi^*_{Q,\lambda}}{\partial \lambda^2}(t) = -\frac{\frac{\partial^2 \pi_{Q,\lambda}}{\partial \lambda^2}(y_{Q,\lambda}(t))\pi''_{Q,\lambda}(y_{Q,\lambda}(t)) - \left(\frac{\partial \pi'_{Q,\lambda}}{\partial \lambda}(y_{Q,\lambda}(t))\right)^2}{\pi''_{Q,\lambda}(y_{Q,\lambda}(t))}. \qquad (6.5)$$

The right hand side of the last identity can be seen to be negative from the explicit expressions:

$$\pi''_{Q,\lambda}(y) = \beta \sum_{q=1}^{Q} q e^{\beta(y+\lambda)q} + \beta \sum_{q=Q+1}^{\infty} q e^{\beta y q},$$

$$\frac{\partial \pi'_{Q,\lambda}}{\partial \lambda}(y) = \frac{\partial^2 \pi_{Q,\lambda}}{\partial \lambda^2}(y) = \beta \sum_{q=1}^{Q} q e^{\beta(y+\lambda)q}. \qquad (6.6)$$

¿From Theorems 2 and 3 in [16] we can deduce the next proposition. We note that condition (1.1) in [16] is not necessary; one can replace it with the condition that $\pi'_{Q,\lambda}$ is invertible on $(-\infty, 0)$ (see the proof of Theorem 1(a) on page 681).

Proposition 1 *If E is equipped withe narrow topology, then the sequence of probability measures $\mathbb{K}^0_{Q,\lambda,\Lambda}$ on E (defined in (5.4)) satisfies the large deviation principle with rate function $I^0_{Q,\lambda}$ (defined in (5.14)) and constants $\beta|\Lambda|$.*

Explicitly Proposition 1 means that:
(LD1) $I^0_{Q,\lambda}$ is lower semi-continuous;
(LD2) For each $b < \infty$, the level sets $\{m \in E : I^0_{Q,\lambda}(m) \leq b\}$ is compact;
(LD3) For each closed set C

$$\limsup_{\Lambda \to \infty}(\beta|\Lambda|)^{-1}\ln \mathbb{K}^0_{Q,\lambda,\Lambda}(C) \leq -\inf_{m \in C} I^0_{Q,\lambda}(m);$$

(LD4) For each open set O

$$\limsup_{\Lambda \to \infty}(\beta|\Lambda|)^{-1}\ln \mathbb{K}^0_{Q,\lambda,\Lambda}(O) \geq -\inf_{m \in O} I^0_{Q,\lambda}(m).$$

We set $\inf_{m \in \emptyset} I^0_{Q,\lambda}(m) = \infty$.

¿From Lemma 4.1 in [7] we know that $m \mapsto G(m)$ (as defined in (5.3)) is continuous in the narrow topology. Then by Varadhan's Theorem [17], we obtain (5.17):

$$P_{Q,\lambda}(\mu) = -\inf_{m \in E} \mathcal{E}_{Q,\lambda}(m) \tag{6.7}$$

where the functional $\mathcal{E}_{Q,\lambda} : E \to \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{E}_{Q,\lambda}(m) = \langle \varepsilon - \mu, m \rangle + \frac{1}{2}a\|m\|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\langle m, vm \rangle + \int \frac{dk}{(2\pi)^d}\,\pi^*_{Q,\lambda}(m_e(k)). \tag{6.8}$$

We have the following results:

1. $\mathcal{E}_{Q,\lambda}(0) = 0$.

2. $\mathcal{E}_{Q,\lambda}$ is convex in m and concave, differentiable and decreasing in λ. The concavity of $\mathcal{E}_{Q,\lambda}$ in λ follows from the concavity of $\pi^*_{Q,\lambda}$ in λ. The latter together with (6.2) gives

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{E}_{Q,\lambda}}{\partial \lambda}(m) = -\int \frac{dk}{(2\pi)^d}\,\frac{\partial \pi_{Q,\lambda}}{\partial \lambda}(y_{Q,\lambda}(m_e(k)))dk \tag{6.9}$$

   which implies that

$$-\|m\| \leq \frac{\partial \mathcal{E}_{Q,\lambda}}{\partial \lambda}(m) \leq 0. \tag{6.10}$$

   since

$$0 \leq \frac{\partial \pi_{Q,\lambda}}{\partial \lambda}(y_{Q,\lambda}(t)) \leq \pi'_{Q,\lambda}(y_{Q,\lambda}(t)) = t. \tag{6.11}$$

   ¿From the continuity of $G_{Q,\lambda}$ and the lower semi-continuity of $I^0_{Q,\lambda}$ it follows that $\mathcal{E}_{Q,\lambda}(m)$ is lower semi-continuous in m.

3. Suppose that v is symmetric and is continuously differentiable with bounded derivatives. Then $\mathcal{E}_{Q,\lambda}$ has a unique minimizer $\nu_{Q,\lambda}$ whose singular part is concentrated at 0. The minimizer satisfies the Euler-Lagrange equations:

$$\varepsilon(0) - \mu + a\|\nu_{Q,\lambda}\| + (v\nu_{Q,\lambda})(0) = 0, \tag{6.12}$$

$$\varepsilon(k) - \mu + a\|\nu_{Q,\lambda}\| + (v\nu_{Q,\lambda})(k) = -y_{Q,\lambda}(\nu_{Q,\lambda,e}(k)), \quad \text{Lebesgue-a.e.,} \tag{6.13}$$

where $\nu_{Q,\lambda,e}$ is the density of the absolutely continuous part of $\nu_{Q,\lambda}$. This is proved by the same arguments as in Theorem 6 in [7]. Equation (6.13) implies that

$$\nu_{Q,\lambda,e}(k) = \pi'_{Q,\lambda}(-\{\varepsilon(k) - \mu + a\|\nu_{Q,\lambda}\| + (v\nu_{Q,\lambda})(k)\}). \tag{6.14}$$

We shall prove the following theorem:

Theorem 6.1

$$\left.\frac{\partial P_{Q,\lambda}}{\partial \lambda}\right|_{\lambda=0} = \int \frac{dk}{(2\pi)^d} \sum_{q=1}^{Q} \exp\{\beta(y_0(\nu_e(k))q\} = \int \frac{dk}{(2\pi)^d} \pi'_{Q,0}(y_0(\nu_e(k))). \tag{6.15}$$

Lemma 6.1 *For every sequence $\{\lambda_n\}$ of positive numbers tending to zero, ν_{Q,λ_n} converges to ν in the narrow topology. Moreover the sequence ν_{Q,λ_n} is tight and $\nu_{Q,\lambda_n,e}(k)$ converges pointwise to $\nu_e(k)$.*

Proof: First we prove that the set of minimizers $\{\nu_{Q,\lambda} \mid 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1\}$ lies inside a compact set. To do this we follow the proof of Lemma 5.1 in [7] using the additional fact that for fixed m, $\mathcal{E}_{Q,\lambda}(m)$ is decreasing in λ. Since $\mathcal{E}_{Q,\lambda}(0) = 0$, $\mathcal{E}_{Q,\lambda}(\nu_{Q,\lambda}) \leq 0$ and thus $\mathcal{E}_{Q,1}(\nu_{Q,\lambda}) \leq \mathcal{E}_{Q,\lambda}(\nu_{Q,\lambda}) \leq 0$ for $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
I^\alpha_{Q,1}(\nu_{Q,\lambda}) &= \mathcal{E}_{Q,1}(\nu_{Q,\lambda}) + G(\nu_{Q,\lambda}) + P^0_{Q,1} \\
&\leq G(\nu_{Q,\lambda}) \leq (\mu - \alpha)\|\nu_{Q,\lambda}\| - \frac{1}{2}a\|\nu_{Q,\lambda}\|^2 + P^0_{Q,1} \\
&= -\frac{1}{2a}\{a\|\nu_{Q,\lambda}\| - (\mu - \alpha)\}^2 + \frac{1}{2a}(\mu - \alpha)^2 \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2a}(\mu - \alpha)^2 + P^0_{Q,1} \tag{6.16}
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that $\nu_{Q,\lambda}$ lies in a level set of $I^\alpha_{Q,1}$ which is compact by LD2.

Let $\{\lambda_n\}$ be a sequence of positive numbers tending to zero and let ν^* be a limit point of $\{\nu_{Q,\lambda_n}\}$. Then there is a subsequence λ_{n_l} such $\nu_{Q,\lambda_{n_l}}$ converges to ν^*. For $m \in E$ we have

$$\mathcal{E}_{Q,\lambda_{n_l}}(\nu_{Q,\lambda_{n_l}}) \leq \mathcal{E}_{Q,\lambda_{n_l}}(m) \leq \mathcal{E}_{Q,0}(m) \tag{6.17}$$

and therefore

$$\liminf_{l\to\infty} \mathcal{E}_{Q,\lambda_{n_l}}(\nu_{Q,\lambda_{n_l}}) \leq \mathcal{E}_{Q,0}(m). \tag{6.18}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{E}_{Q,\lambda_{n_l}}(\nu_{Q,\lambda_{n_l}}) &= \mathcal{E}_{Q,0}(\nu_{Q,\lambda_{n_l}}) - \left(\mathcal{E}_{Q,0}(\nu_{Q,\lambda_{n_l}}) - \mathcal{E}_{Q,\lambda_{n_l}}(\nu_{Q,\lambda_{n_l}})\right) \\
&\geq \mathcal{E}_{Q,0}(\nu_{Q,\lambda_{n_l}}) - \lambda_{n_l}\|\nu_{Q,\lambda_{n_l}}\|. \tag{6.19}
\end{aligned}$$

and thus since $\mathcal{E}_{Q,0}$ is lower semi-continuous $\liminf_{l\to\infty}\mathcal{E}_{Q,\lambda_{n_l}}(\nu_{Q,\lambda_{n_l}}) \geq \mathcal{E}_{Q,0}(\nu^*)$ and so $\mathcal{E}_{Q,0}(\nu^*) \leq \mathcal{E}_{Q,0}(m)$ for all $m \in E$. This implies that $\nu^* = \nu_{Q,0} = \nu$ and therefore $\{\nu_{Q,\lambda_n}\}$ has only one limit point ν and thus ν_{Q,λ_n} converges to ν. ¿From (6.14) using $\pi'_{Q,\lambda}(y) \leq \pi'_{Q,0}(y+\lambda)$ with, $v_0 = \sup|v(k,k')|$, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nu_{Q,\lambda_n,e}(k) &\leq \pi'_{Q,0}(-\{\varepsilon(k)-\mu+a\|\nu_{Q,\lambda_n}\|+(v\nu_{Q,\lambda_n})(k)-1\}) \\
&\leq \pi'_{Q,0}(-\{\varepsilon(k)-\mu-v_0\|\nu_{Q,\lambda_n}\|\}-1) \\
&\leq \pi'_{Q,0}(-\{\varepsilon(k)-\mu-v_0K-1\})
\end{aligned}
\tag{6.20}
$$

for some K and all n. For large $\|k\|$, $\varepsilon(k)-\mu-v_0K-1 > \varepsilon(k)/2$ and therefore

$$
\nu_{Q,\lambda_n,e}(k) \leq \frac{1}{e^{\beta\varepsilon(k)/2}-1}.
\tag{6.21}
$$

Again from (6.14) that $\nu_{Q,\lambda_n,e}(k)$ converges pointwise to

$$
\pi'_{Q,0}(-\{\varepsilon(k)-\mu+a\|\nu\|+(v\nu)(k)\})
\tag{6.22}
$$

since $(v\nu_{Q,\lambda_n})(k) \to (v\nu)(k)$ for each k. $\qquad\square$

Proof of Theorem 6.1

Recall that $P_{Q,\lambda}(\mu) = -\mathcal{E}_{Q,\lambda}(\nu_{Q,\lambda})$. Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{Q,\lambda_n}(\mu) - P_{Q,0}(\mu) &= \mathcal{E}_{Q,0}(\nu_{Q,0}) - \mathcal{E}_{Q,\lambda_n}(\nu_{Q,\lambda_n}) \\
&= (\mathcal{E}_{Q,0}(\nu_{Q,0}) - \mathcal{E}_{Q,\lambda_n}(\nu_{Q,0})) + (\mathcal{E}_{Q,\lambda_n}(\nu_{Q,0}) - \mathcal{E}_{Q,\lambda_n}(\nu_{Q,\lambda_n})) \\
&\geq \mathcal{E}_{Q,0}(\nu_{Q,0}) - \mathcal{E}_{Q,\lambda_n}(\nu_{Q,0}) \geq -\lambda_n\frac{\partial\mathcal{E}_{Q,\lambda}(\nu_{Q,0})}{\partial\lambda}\bigg|_{\lambda=0}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{6.23}
$$

In the last inequality we have used the fact that $\mathcal{E}_{Q,\lambda}$ is concave in λ. Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
\liminf_{n\to\infty}\frac{P_{Q,\lambda_n}(\mu)-P_{Q,0}(\mu)}{\lambda_n} &\geq \int\frac{dk}{(2\pi)^d}\,\frac{\partial\pi_{Q,\lambda}}{\partial\lambda}(y_{Q,\lambda}(\nu_{Q,0,e}(k)))\bigg|_{\lambda=0} \\
&= \int\frac{dk}{(2\pi)^d}\,\sum_{q=1}^{Q}\exp\{\beta y_{Q,0}(\nu_{Q,0,e}(k))q\} \\
&= \int\frac{dk}{(2\pi)^d}\,\sum_{q=1}^{Q}\exp\{\beta y_0(\nu_e(k))q\}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{6.24}
$$

On the other hand

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{Q,\lambda_n}(\mu) - P_{Q,0}(\mu) &= \mathcal{E}_{Q,0}(\nu_{Q,0}) - \mathcal{E}_{Q,\lambda_n}(\nu_{Q,\lambda_n}) \\
&= (\mathcal{E}_{Q,0}(\nu_{Q,0}) - \mathcal{E}_{Q,0}(\nu_{Q,\lambda_n})) + (\mathcal{E}_{Q,0}(\nu_{Q,\lambda_n}) - \mathcal{E}_{Q,\lambda_n}(\nu_{Q,\lambda_n})) \\
&\leq \mathcal{E}_{Q,0}(\nu_{Q,\lambda_n}) - \mathcal{E}_{Q,\lambda_n}(\nu_{Q,\lambda_n}) \leq -\lambda_n\frac{\partial\mathcal{E}_{Q,\lambda}(\nu_{Q,\lambda_n})}{\partial\lambda}\bigg|_{\lambda=\lambda_n}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{6.25}
$$

Thus

$$
\limsup_{n\to\infty}\frac{P_{Q,\lambda_n}(\mu)-P_{Q,0}(\mu)}{\lambda_n} \leq \int\frac{dk}{(2\pi)^d}\,\sum_{q=1}^{Q}\exp\{\beta\left(\lambda_n+y_{Q,\lambda_n}(\nu_{Q,\lambda_n,e}(k))\right)q\}.
\tag{6.26}
$$

To show that the righthand side of (6.26) converges to the righthand side of (6.15) we note that

$$\sum_{q=1}^{Q} \exp\{\beta\left(\lambda_n + y_{Q,\lambda_n}(\nu_{Q,\lambda_n,e}(k))\right)q\} \leq \pi'_{Q,\lambda_n}(y_{Q,\lambda_n}(\nu_{Q,\lambda_n,e}(k))) = \nu_{Q,\lambda_n,e}(k). \qquad (6.27)$$

Therefore by using the tightness of the sequence ν_{Q,λ_n} we can make both the integrals

$$\int_{\|k\|^2 > R} dk \sum_{q=1}^{Q} \exp\{\beta\left(\lambda_n + y_{Q,\lambda_n}(\nu_{Q,\lambda_n,e}(k))\right)q\}, \qquad \int_{\|k\|^2 > R} dk \sum_{q=1}^{Q} \exp\{\beta y_{Q,0}(\nu_{Q,0,e}(k))q\}$$
$$(6.28)$$

arbitrarily small by taking R large enough. On $\|k\|^2 \leq R$ we use the bound

$$\sum_{q=1}^{Q} \exp\{\beta\left(\lambda_n + y_{Q,\lambda_n}(\nu_{Q,\lambda_n,e}(k))\right)q\} \leq \sum_{q=1}^{Q} \exp\{\beta q\}. \qquad (6.29)$$

for $\lambda_n \leq 1$ and the Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem.

$\square$

7 Concluding remarks

The most general way to define the condensate density ρ_c for an interacting Bose system is by means of the concept of off-diagonal long range order

$$\rho_c = \lim_{|x-x'|\to\infty} \lim_{|\Lambda|\to\infty} \rho_\Lambda(x,x') \qquad (7.1)$$

where $\rho_\Lambda(x,x')$ are the configurational matrix elements of the one-body reduced density matrix. For the perturbed mean field model the infinite volume reduced density matrix $\rho(x,x')$ is simply the Fourier transform of the equilibrium distribution $\nu(dk)$ (3.3) so that

$$\lim_{|x-x'|\to\infty} \rho(x,x') = \lim_{|x-x'|\to\infty} \int \nu(dk)e^{ik\cdot(x-x')} = \nu_c \qquad (7.2)$$

since the Fourier transform of the smooth part of the mode distribution does not contribute asymptotically. We therefore see that the three possible definitions of condensation, namely particles in long cycles ρ_{long}, macroscopic occupation of the $k=0$ mode ν_c and off-diagonal long range order ρ_c coincide in this model. It may be conjectured that the relation $\rho_{\text{long}} = \rho_c$ holds under rather general circumstances (e.g. for models with local pair interactions). It is therefore of interest to examine the relation of $\rho_\Lambda(x,x')$ with the cycle statistics. For this we first consider the average of an arbitrary one-particle observable of the form $A(n) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} A_i$ with $A_i = A$ a single particle operator acting on the one-particle Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_\Lambda$. Its grand-canonical average reads

$$\langle A \rangle_\Lambda = \frac{1}{\Xi_\Lambda^\mu} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{\beta\mu n} \text{trace}_{\mathcal{H}_\Lambda^{\otimes n}} \left[A(n)e^{-\beta H_\Lambda(n)}\right] \qquad (7.3)$$

where $H_\Lambda(n)$ is the n-particle Hamiltonian. We can write as in (2.4)

$$\text{trace }_{\mathcal{H}_{\Lambda,\text{symm}}^n} \left[A(n)e^{-\beta H_\Lambda(n)} \right] = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\pi \in S_n} f_\Lambda^A(\pi) \qquad \text{with}$$

$$f_\Lambda^A(\pi) = \text{trace }_{\mathcal{H}_\Lambda^{\otimes n}} \left[U(\pi)A(n)e^{-\beta H_\Lambda(n)} \right] . \tag{7.4}$$

For the same reasons as in (2.7) $f_\Lambda^A(\pi) = f_\Lambda^A(\mathbf{q})$ only depends on the cycle decomposition of π and all the steps leading to (2.13) are the same:

$$\langle A \rangle_\Lambda = \frac{1}{\Xi_\Lambda^\mu} \sum_{r=1}^\infty \frac{1}{r!} \sum_{\mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{N}^r} \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{e^{\beta \mu q_i}}{q_i} f_\Lambda^A(q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_r) . \tag{7.5}$$

With (2.9) one can write the function $f_\Lambda^A(q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_r)$ more explicitly as

$$f_\Lambda^A(q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_r) = \text{trace }_{\mathcal{H}_\Lambda^{\otimes q_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{H}_\Lambda^{\otimes q_r}} \left[U_{q_1} U_{q_2} \cdots U_{q_r} \sum_{j=1}^r A(q_j)e^{-\beta H_\Lambda(q_1,q_2,\ldots,q_r)} \right] \tag{7.6}$$

where the notation $H_\Lambda(n) = H_\Lambda(q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_r)$ indicates that among the n interacting particles q_j of them belong to the cycle j, $j = 1, \ldots, r$. It is a symmetric function of $q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_r$ so that when inserted in (7.5) and relabelling the dummy summation indices, it contributes as

$$r \times \text{trace }_{\mathcal{H}_\Lambda^{\otimes q_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{H}^{\otimes q_r}} \left[U_{q_1} U_{q_2} \cdots U_{q_r} A(q_1)e^{-\beta H_\Lambda(q_1,q_2,\ldots,q_r)} \right] =$$

$$r \times \text{trace }_{\mathcal{H}_\Lambda^{\otimes q_1}} \left[U_{q_1} A(q_1)\text{trace }_{\mathcal{H}_\Lambda^{\otimes q_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{H}_\Lambda^{\otimes q_r}} \left(U_{q_2} \cdots U_{q_r} e^{-\beta H_\Lambda(q_1,q_2,\ldots,q_r)} \right) \right] \tag{7.7}$$

Hence we obtain finally (changing $r \to r+1$ in the r-sum)

$$\langle A \rangle_\Lambda = \sum_{q=1}^\infty \text{trace }_{\mathcal{H}_\Lambda^{\otimes q}} \left[A(q)U_q R_\Lambda(q) \right] \tag{7.8}$$

where we have introduced the cycle reduced density matrix $R_\Lambda(q)$ as an operator acting in the space $\mathcal{H}_\Lambda^{\otimes q}$ of the the particles belonging to a q-cycle:

$$R_\Lambda(q) = \frac{e^{\beta \mu q}}{q} \frac{1}{\Xi_\Lambda^\mu} \sum_{r=1}^\infty \frac{1}{r!} \sum_{q_1,q_2,\ldots,q_r \in \mathbb{N}^r} \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{e^{\beta \mu q_i}}{q_i} \text{trace }_{\mathcal{H}_\Lambda^{\otimes q_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{H}_\Lambda^{\otimes q_r}} \left[U_{q_1} U_{q_2} \cdots U_{q_r} e^{-\beta H_\Lambda(q,q_1,q_2,\ldots,q_r)} \right]$$
$$\tag{7.9}$$

The reduced density matrix is obtained from (7.8) by specifying $A(q) = \sum_{i=1}^q P_i(x, x')$ with $P_i(x, x')$ acting as $P(x, x') = |x\rangle\langle x'|$ on the one-particle space

$$\rho_\Lambda(x, x') = \sum_{q=1}^\infty \text{trace }_{\mathcal{H}_\Lambda^{\otimes q}} \left[\sum_{i=1}^q P_i(x, x')U_q R_\Lambda(q) \right] . \tag{7.10}$$

We want to show that, as for ρ_{long}, off-diagonal long range order is carried by infinitely long cycles. For this consider the case of a positive pair potential and let $H_\Lambda^0(q) = \sum_i^q ||p_i||^2/2M$ be the kinetic energy of q particles. Then by dropping the interactions of the particles in the q-cycle with those belonging to other cycles $q_j, j = 1, \ldots, r$, one has the inequalities

$$H_\Lambda(q, q_1, \ldots, q_r) \le H_\Lambda^0(q) + H_\Lambda(q_1, \ldots, q_r)$$
$$\text{trace }_{\mathcal{H}_\Lambda^{\otimes q_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{H}_\Lambda^{\otimes q_r}} \left[U_{q_1} U_{q_2} \cdots U_{q_r} e^{-\beta H_\Lambda(q,q_1,q_2,\ldots,q_r)} \right] \le$$
$$e^{-\beta H_\Lambda^0(q)}\text{trace }_{\mathcal{H}_\Lambda^{\otimes q_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{H}_\Lambda^{\otimes q_r}} \left[U_{q_1} U_{q_2} \cdots U_{q_r} e^{-\beta H_\Lambda(q_1,q_2,\ldots,q_r)} \right] . \tag{7.11}$$

The above traces involve off-diagonal configurational matrix elements because of the presence of the permutation operators U_{q_j} but the inequality is easily seen to hold using the Feynman-Kac path integral representation of these traces. When inserted in (7.9) this shows that each term of the sum (7.10) is bounded by

$$\frac{e^{\beta\mu q}}{q}\text{trace}_{\mathcal{H}_\Lambda^{\otimes q}}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{q}P_i(x,x')U_q e^{-\beta H_\Lambda^0(q)}\right]$$

$$= e^{\beta\mu q}\langle x|e^{-\beta q H_\Lambda^0}|x'\rangle \leq e^{\beta\mu q}\left(\frac{M}{2\pi\hbar^2\beta q}\right)^{d/2}\exp\left(-\frac{M||x-x'||^2}{2\hbar^2\beta q}\right) . \qquad (7.12)$$

For the last upper bound we have used that Dirichlet boundary conditions increase the kinetic energy and written the explicit form of the kernel of $e^{-\beta H^0(q)}$ in infinite space. Therefore each term of the sum (7.10) tends to zero uniformly with respect to Λ as $|x-x'| \to \infty$ and we conclude that only the tail of the series (7.10) will contribute to off-diagonal long range order, namely

$$\rho_c = \lim_{Q\to\infty}\lim_{|x-x'|\to\infty}\lim_{|\Lambda|\to\infty}\sum_{q=Q}^{\infty}\text{trace}_{\mathcal{H}_\Lambda^{\otimes q}}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{q}P_i(x,x')U_q R_\Lambda(q)\right] . \qquad (7.13)$$

At the moment we do not have a proof of the equality of the expressions (7.13) and (2.21) for a Bose gas with pair potential interactions.

In numerical calculations of the path integral representation of the Bose gas [10], it is more convenient to use periodic boundary conditions, i. e. Λ is a d-dimensional torus. Cycles with q particles correspond to Brownian paths on the torus with typical length $\sim \sqrt{q}$. To such a path one can associate a winding number, the number of times that the path wraps around the torus. Then the typical length of a winding path is at least of the order $\sqrt{q} \sim |\Lambda|^{1/d}$, the linear dimension of Λ and hence the number of paths such that $q \geq |\Lambda|^{2/d}$ is larger than the number of winding paths. For a particle configuration , let N_w be the total number of particles found in winding paths. Since $q\rho_\Lambda(q)$ is the average number density of particles in q-cycles, one has for Λ sufficiently large

$$\sum_{q=Q+1}^{\infty}q\rho_\Lambda(q) \geq \sum_{q\geq|\Lambda|^{2/d}}^{\infty}q\rho_\Lambda(q) \geq \frac{\langle N_w\rangle}{|\Lambda|} . \qquad (7.14)$$

If the average number density of particles in winding paths $\langle N_w\rangle/|\Lambda|$ in is different from zero in the infinite volume limit, we conclude that ρ_{long} is non zero and thus Bose condensation occurs (provided that $\rho_{\text{long}} \neq 0$ is equivalent for the other possible definitions of the condensate density). This criterion can be compared with that for superfluidity involving the fluctuations density of the winding number [10]. Thus the statistics of winding paths will make it possible to estimate both the superfluid density and the Bose condensate.

References

[1] R. P. Feynman, Atomic theory of the λ transition in Helium, *Phys. Rev.*, **91**, 1291, 1953, and R. P. Feynman, Statistical Mechanics, Chap. 11, *Benjamin*, 1974

[2] O. Penrose, L. Onsager, Bose-Einstein condensation and Liquid Helium, *Phys. Rev.*, **104**, 576, 1956

[3] D. Chandler, P. G. Wolynes, Exploiting the isomorphism between quantum theory and classical statistical mechanics of polyatomic fluids, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **74**, 4078, 1981

[4] R. P. Sear, J. A. Cuesta, What do emulsification failure and Bose-Einstein condensation have in common ?, *Europhys. Lett.*, **55**, 451, 2001

[5] A. M. J. Schakel, Percolation, Bose-Einstein condensation and string proliferation, *Phys. Rev. E*, **63**, 126115, 2001

[6] A. Sütö, Percolation transition in the Bose gas, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.*, **26**, 4689, 1993 and Percolation transition in the Bose gas: II *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.*, **35**, 6995, 2002

[7] M. van den Berg , T.C. Dorlas , J.T. Lewis and J.V. Pulé , A perturbed mean field model of an interacting boson gas and the Large Deviation Principle, *Commun. Math. Phys.*, **127** 4, 1990

[8] J. Ginibre, "Some applications of functional integration in statistical mechanics", *Statistical Mechanics and Quantum Field Theory*, C. DeWitt and R. Stora, eds, Les Houches, Gordon and Breach, 327, 1971

[9] F. Cornu, Correlations in quantum plasmas, *Phys. Rev. E*, **53**, 4562, 1996

[10] D. M. Ceperley, Path integrals in the theory of condensed Helium, *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, **67**, 279, 1995

[11] Ph. A. Martin, Quantum Mayer graphs: application to Bose and Coulomb gases, *Acta Phys. Pol. B*, **34**, 3629, 2003

[12] T.C. Dorlas, J.T. Lewis and J.V Pulé, Condensation in Some Perturbed Meanfield Models of a Bose Gas, *Helv. Phys. Acta*, 1200, 1991

[13] T.C. Dorlas, T. C. Lewis and J.V Pulé, Condensation in a variational problem on the space of measures, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, **118**, 245, 1992

[14] R. B. Griffiths, Peierls Proof of Spontaneous Magnetization in a Two-Dimensional Ising Ferromagnet, *Phys. Rev.*, **136**, A437, 1964

[15] L. Landau and E. Lifshitz, Statistical Mechanics, *Pergamon Press*, 1976

[16] R.S. Ellis , J. Gough and J.V. Pulé , The Large Deviation Principle for measures with random weights, *Rev. Math. Phys.*, **5**, 659, 1993

[17] S.R.S. Varadhan , Asymptotic probabilities and differential equations, *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.*, **19**, 261, 1989