

UCC Library and UCC researchers have made this item openly available. Please let us know how this has helped you. Thanks!

Title	Mutagenesis by microbe: The role of the microbiota in shaping the	
	cancer genome	
Author(s)	Barrett, Maurice P. J.; Hand, Collette K.; Shanahan, Fergus; Murphy,	
	Thomas; O'Toole, Paul W.	
Publication date	2020-02-20	
Original citation	Barrett, M., Hand, C. K., Shanahan, F., Murphy, T. and O'Toole, P. W	
	(2020) 'Mutagenesis by microbe: The role of the microbiota in shaping	
	the cancer genome', Trends in Cancer, 6(4), 277-287. doi:	
	10.1016/j.trecan.2020.01.019	
Type of publication	Article (peer-reviewed)	
Link to publisher's	's http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.trecan.2020.01.019	
version	Access to the full text of the published version may require a	
	subscription.	
Rights	© 2020, Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved. This manuscript version is	
	made available under the CC BY-NC-ND 4.0 license.	
	https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/	
Embargo information	Access to this article is restricted until 12 months after publication by	
	request of the publisher.	
Embargo lift date	2021-02-20	
Item downloaded	http://hdl.handle.net/10468/9809	
from		

Downloaded on 2021-11-27T11:14:54Z



Coláiste na hOllscoile Corcaigh

1 Mutagenesis by microbe: The role of the microbiota in shaping the

2 cancer genome

- 3 Maurice Barrett ^{1, 2}, Collette K. Hand³, Fergus Shanahan ^{1, 4}, Thomas Murphy⁵ and Paul W.
- 4 O'Toole^{1, 2*}
- 5 1. APC Microbiome Ireland, University College Cork, National University of Ireland,
- 6 Cork, Ireland.
- School of Microbiology, University College Cork, National University of Ireland, Cork,
 Ireland.
- 9 3. Department of Pathology, University College Cork, Cork, Ireland.
- Department of Medicine, University College Cork, National University of Ireland,
 Cork, Ireland
- 12 5. Department of Surgery, Mercy University Hospital, Cork, Ireland
- 13 * Corresponding author. E-mail: pwotoole@ucc.ie

14 Keywords

15 microbiota; microbiome; DNA damage; mutational mechanism; mutational signatures

16 Abstract

17 Cancers arise through the process of somatic evolution fuelled by the inception of somatic mutations. We lack a complete understanding of the sources of these somatic mutations. 18 19 Humans host a vast repertoire of microbes collectively known as the microbiota. The microbiota plays a role in altering the tumour microenvironment and proliferation. In 20 addition, microbes have been shown to elicit DNA damage which provides the substrate for 21 somatic mutations. An understanding of microbiota-driven mutational mechanism would 22 contribute to a more complete understanding of the origins of the cancer genome. Here 23 24 we review the modes by which microbes stimulate DNA damage and the effect of these 25 phenomena upon the cancer genomic architecture, specifically in the form of mutational spectra and mutational signatures. 26

Origin of the cancer genome and the role of the microbiota

29 Oncogenesis is driven by the Darwinian selection of somatic mutations (see Glossary) over 30 time [1]. Mutations arise through the formation of genetic aberrations and their subsequent 31 interactions with the DNA repair machinery and cell cycle related pathways including DNA 32 synthesis[2]. Mutational mechanisms alter the DNA in distinguishing manners resulting in 33 genetic patterns known as mutational signatures (Box 1).

The origin of mutations allows them to be classified into three categories, which are (i) 34 inherited genetic variants that lead to an increase in the risk of cancer development. (ii) 35 36 Environmental factors, exogenous factors including UV light, tobacco smoking and diet that mutate the DNA and that are directly linked to cancer. (ii) Stochastic errors associated with 37 DNA replication and other phenomena. These are seemingly inevitable random mutations 38 which arise due to the intrinsic properties of DNA biology. Seminal work by Tomasetti and 39 40 Vogelstein showed that about two-thirds of the mutations in the cancer genome originate from stochastic events [3, 4]. 41

Lung and cervical adenocarcinoma genomes harbour median values of 33% and 83% 42 stochastic mutations respectively [3]. However, epidemiologic evidence indicates that a high 43 proportion (~90%) of cases are attributable to environmental factors, i.e. tobacco smoking 44 45 and HPV infection, respectively. The managing of environmental risk factors is thus crucial in cancer prevention, even though stochastic/replicative mechanisms are the major drivers 46 47 (See ref 3 for a more detailed discussion). However a complete catalogue of environmental 48 factors that contribute cancer risk is lacking. A large number of known carcinogens promote 49 oncogenesis by causing mutagenesis e.g. ultraviolet light, ethanol, tobacco smoke and radioactive substances. 50

The human microbiota is increasingly recognized as an emerging environmental risk factor. The human microbiota is home to about 3.8×10^{13} bacterial cells and it is estimated that the collective metagenome of these bacteria encompasses about 100 times more genes than the human genome [5, 6]. Although the majority of studies focus on bacteria, upon which this review is focussed, the human microbiota includes members from all 5 kingdoms of life as well as viruses. A large number of studies demonstrate that microbiota features are involved in the development and progression of a range of cancers. The term 'oncobiome'

has been coined to describe the relationship between the microbiota and cancers[7].
However, oncobiome research has identified relationships that are primarily correlative
rather than causative in nature. With regard to the putative mechanistic role that the
microbiota has in cancer development, immune modulation in the form of inflammation
caused by the microbiota is an intense area of research [8]. Effort has also been made in
defining the role of the microbiota in cell proliferation [9].

The microbiota is known to be involved in a diverse assortment of mutational mechanisms (Table 1). Known variation in cancer risk due to unknown environmental factors could be explained in part by variations in the ability of the microbiota of individual subjects to induce DNA-damage and thus somatic mutations. Here we describe the current state of knowledge on microbes and their ability to compromise the stability of the human genome ultimately leading to cancer.

In this review we describe the microbiota influences on genome integrity through (i) direct
DNA damage, (ii) immune cell induced DNA damage, (iii) dietary interaction, and (iv)
disruption to the DNA damage response.

73

74 Direct DNA Damage

Members of the microbiota can produce proteins, molecules and secondary metabolites
that can directly cause DNA damage. These products can interact directly with the host
DNA thereby mutating it.

78

79 Colibactin

Escherichia coli is classified into 4 phylogenetic groups, A, B1, B2, and D. About 30–50% of *E. coli* strains identified in stool microbiota of individuals from high-income nations belong
to group B2. Within the B2 group, 35% of isolates possess genomic islands known as *pks* (for
polyketide synthase) islands[10]. The 54-kb *pks* island is a biosynthetic gene cluster
encoding for a non-ribosomal peptide synthetase (NRPS)–polyketide synthase (PKS) hybrid
gene cluster, which encodes for colibactin [11]. Colibactin can cause Double-strand breaks
(DSB) in mammalian DNA thereby promoting genome instability and an increase in mutation

87 rate [12, 13]. It is not currently known how colibactin is transported from the cell exterior all the way into the nucleus. The pks+ E. coli strains are over-represented in the gut of 88 89 individuals with colorectal cancer, being detected at a rate of 20% in the mucosa of healthy 90 individuals but 55%-67% in patients with colorectal cancer (CRC) [14, 15]. Furthermore, pks+ E. coli was disproportionally frequently identified in subjects with familial 91 adenomatous polyposis (FAP) compared to healthy controls [16]. Monocolonization of 92 93 azoxymethane (AOM)-treated IL10-/- mice with pks+ E. coli promoted tumorigenesis, while challenge with strains lacking *pks* reduces the frequency of tumorigenesis [14]. 94

Colibactin cross-links directly with DNA through an electrophilic cyclopropane moiety 95 'warhead' [17]. Liquid chromatography–mass spectrometry-based methodologies have 96 97 identified that colibactin alkylation of DNA via the cyclopropane warhead results in adenine-98 colibactin adducts [18, 19]. This phenomenon was identified in both HeLa cells and in mouse models [19]. Colibactin can also induce DNA inter-strand cross-links and activation of the 99 100 DNA damage response including Fanconi anemia DNA repair [20]. Recent structural analysis revealed that colibactin contains two conjoined warheads enabling its ability to cause DNA 101 crosslinks [21]. Double strands breaks are not believed to be a direct consequence of 102 103 colibactin activity but rather occur due to replication stress caused by DNA cross-links [20]. 104 Recent sequencing analysis of colibactin-induced DSB sites revealed that these DSBs 105 occurred at AT-rich regions and in particularly at the pentanucleotides motif containing 106 AAWWTT [22]. Single nucleotide variants at the AAWWTT were found to be enriched in a number of cancers including CRC and stomach cancer compared with a WWWWW motif. 107 108 Two mutational signatures were found to be linked with the AAWWTT colibactin motif, 109 SBS28 and SBS41[22]. Mutational signature SBS28 has been associated with POLE mutation 110 while Mutational signature SBS41 has no known aetiology.

111

112 Cytolethal distending toxin (CDT)

The cytolethal distending toxin (CDT) is produced by an array of gram-negative bacteria
within the gamma and epsilon classes of the phylum Proteobacteria[23]. It is a heat-labile
exotoxin whose properties lead it to be classified as a both a cyclomodulin and a genotoxin.

The proteobacteria that can produce CDT are sub-dominant members of the human gutmicrobiota.

CDT is a heteromultimeric protein comprised of three subunits, CdtA, CdtB and CdtC 118 119 which are encoded within a bacterial single operon [24, 25]. Subunits CdtA and CdtC 120 function to allow delivery and internalization of CDT into target cells[25]. CdtB shares sequence, structural and functional homology with DNase I and is highly conserved among 121 bacteria [26, 27]. Furthermore, nuclear localization signals have been identified in CdtB 122 123 proteins [28]. Studies with ApcMin/+ mice that are genetically susceptible to small bowel cancer found that a Campylobacter jejuni strain harbouring the CDT operon promoted 124 125 colorectal tumorigenesis compared to treatment with non-CDT bacterial controls, while 126 mutation of the cdtB subunit attenuated this phenomenon [29]. CdtB has been shown to 127 promote DSB in vitro and in vivo [26, 30, 31]. However, the current model of CdtB activity holds that CdtB acts in a dose-dependent manner and tends not to induce double strand 128 129 breaks directly [32]. At low to moderate doses, CdtB causes single strand breaks (SSB) which are addressed by Single-strand break repair (SSBR)[33]. If CDT-induced SSBs are not 130 131 addressed before replication or occur during replication, they may cause a stalled replication fork [32, 33]. At high doses, CDT can induce DSB directly by two cuts to the DNA 132 133 backbone that are juxtaposed to each other [32].

134

135 *Reactive oxygen species*

136 Reactive oxygen species (ROS) are a chemically reactive family of molecules containing

137 oxygen which include the highly reactive hydroxyl radical (OH–), superoxide radical (O2–),

and non-radical hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂). Reactions of ROS with DNA generates oxidative

139 DNA base lesions. To date, more than 30 oxidative DNA base lesions have been

140 identified(Box 2)[34].

Microbiota activity is known to produce reactive oxygen species through varied means. For example, primary bile acids, cholic acid (CA) and chenodeoxycholic acid; (CDCA) are synthesised by the liver and are secreted into the small intestine from the gall bladder. A small proportion of these bile salts are transformed into secondary bile salts by the gut microbiota. These secondary bile salts are thought to be involved in the production of ROS

[35]. The production of secondary bile in the colon where the bacterial metabolic repertoire
exist maybe be one of the reasons that CRC is more prevalent than small intestine cancer
although differences in stem cell turnover is likely a more important factor[3].

Hydrogen sulphide (H₂S) is produced by the metabolic activity of colonic bacteria including
taurine desulfonation by *Bilophila wadsworthia*, cysteine degradation by *Fusobacterium nucleatum* and sulfonate degradation by sulfate-reducing bacterium such as *Desulfovibrio desulfuricans*. Increased relative abundance of such bacteria has been linked to CRC
development [36, 37]. Evidence suggests that H₂S production leads to DNA damage partly
due to ROS generation [37, 38].

155 Dinitrogen trioxide and nitrosative deamination

156 Nitrosative deamination is deamination mediated by dinitrogen trioxide (N₂O₃, nitrous

anhydride). In this phenomenon, dinitrogen trioxide can react with nucleotides and induce

158 deamination by nucleophilic aromatic substitution. These events are mutagenic because the

resulting deaminated bases may be read incorrectly if not repaired[39].

160 Dinitrogen trioxide can be generated from the autooxidation of nitric oxide (NO-) or the condensation of nitrous acid (HNO₂)[40]. GIT microbes can produce endogenous nitric oxide 161 162 and/or nitrous acid by four mechanisms: (i) The hemethiolate monooxygenase, nitric oxide 163 synthase (NOS), oxidises L-arginine (Arg) to produce nitric oxide [41] (ii) Denitrification of nitrate (NO₃⁻) to nitrogen (N₂), which is an important part of the nitrogen cycle and is carried 164 165 out by denitrifying bacteria and plants. During denitrification, nitric oxide is produced by 166 one-electron reduction of nitrite (NO_2) by heme or Cu-containing nitrite reductases[42]. (iii) 167 Respiratory nitrite ammonification (also referred to as dissimilatory nitrate reduction to ammonium)[42]. (iv) Acidic non-enzymatic reduction of nitrite to NO which is driven by 168 lactic acid bacteria such as lactobacilli and bifidobacteria[43]. 169

170

171 Immune cell induced DNA damage

172 The microbiota and immune system closely interact from the early stages of human

173 development. In this section we review mechanisms by which the microbiota can influence

174 immune cells to behave in a genotoxic manner.

175

176

177 Hypochlorous acid (HOCl) production

Neutrophils, which are a type of polymorphonuclear leukocyte, accumulate at sites of injury
with the primary function of promoting inflammation. Neutrophils produce a potent
antimicrobial known as hypochlorous acid (HOCl) which is produced by myeloperoxidase
using as substrates the chloride ions and hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) produced by NADPH
oxidase [44]. HOCl is highly reactive and readily interacts with DNA. HOCl has been shown to
cause a cytosine to 5-chlorocytosine (5ClC) conversion [45]. This is in turn can cause a C to T

184 transition during replication.

185 In addition, HOCl can induce the peroxidation of lipids leading to the formation of

186 malondialdehyde (MDA). Studies in both cellular and animal models found that such a

187 production of MDA can lead to a significant increase in the formation of $3-(2-\text{deoxy}-\beta-D-$

188 erythro-pentofuranosyl)pyrimido[1,2- α]purin-10(3H)-one (M1dG), a damaged guanine.

189 [46]. M1dG adducts are mutagenic causing G>T and G >A substitutions.[47]

The microbiota is now known to be a modulator of neutrophilic biology[48]. A recent study in a mouse model demonstrated that neutrophil pro-inflammatory activity correlates positively with neutrophil ageing while in circulation[49]. Furthermore the study found that the microbiota regulates neutrophil ageing by Toll-like receptor and myeloid differentiation factor 88-mediated signalling pathways[49]. A depletion of the microbiota was mirrored in the number of aged neutrophils and an improvement in inflammatory disease.

196

197 Hypobromous acid production

198 Eosinophils are granular leukocytes with a multifunctional role in immune biology.

199 Eosinophils secrete eosinophil peroxidase which catalyzes the formation of hypobromous

acid (HOBO) from hydrogen peroxide and halide ions (Br–) in solution. HOBO can also be

201 produced by reaction of HOCl with Br- ions. Like HOCl, HOBO is an oxidant and functions to

202 oxidize the cellular components of invading pathogens; however excess production of HOBO

203 can also lead to host damage including DNA damage, namely the formation of 8-bromo-2'-

deoxyguanosine and 5-bromo-2'-deoxycytidine. A SupF forward mutation assay in human
cells found that the prominent mutation induced was G >T mutation but HOBO also induces
G>C, G>A, and delG [50].

207

208 Activation-induced cytidine deaminase

209 Activation-induced cytidine deaminase (AID) is a member of the cytidine deaminase family of enzymes with a role in somatic hypermutation. Immunohistochemistry identified the 210 ectopic overexpression of AID in inflamed tissue derived from patients with Crohn's disease 211 and ulcerative colitis as well as colitis-associated colorectal cancers [51]. The expression of 212 AID in colonic epithelial cell lines induced an increase in the mutation rates in these cells 213 [51]. Knock-out of AID in IL10 null mice attenuated the mutation rate in their colonic cells 214 215 and also inhibits CRC development[52]. Inflammation seems to be key to this aberrant 216 activity. *H. pylori* infection, which is known to induce inflammation, promotes ectopic expression of AID in non-tumorous epithelial tissues [53] 217

- 218 Whole genome analyses in chronic lymphocytic leukaemia revealed that the activity of AID 219 may produces two types of substitution pattern (i) a 'canonical AID signature' characterised 220 by C to T/G substitutions at WRCY motifs near active transcriptional start sites and (ii) a 221 'non-canonical AID signature' characterised by A to C mutations at WA (W=A or T) motifs 222 occurring genome-wide in a non-clustered fashion [54]. These mutational processes have
- 224

223

225 By-stander effect and Enterococcus faecalis

226 Enterococcus faecalis is known to promote CRC oncogenesis in interleukin 10 -/- mice [56].

E. faecalis can promote the bystander effect which leads to double-stranded DNA breaks,

tetraploidy and chromosomal instability. In this model, E. faecalis production of

been assigned to mutational signatures SBS84 and SBS85[55].

extracellular superoxide induces polarization of macrophages to an M1 phenotype [57-59].

230 In turn macrophages produce 4-hydroxy-2-nonenal (4-HNE), a diffusible breakdown product

of ω -6 polyunsaturated fatty acids whose expression in this context is dependent on

Cyclooxygenase-2[60, 61]. Primary murine colon epithelial cells exposed to polarized
macrophages or purified 4-HNE undergo transformation [62].

234 **Dietary interaction**

The diet of the host and the gut microbiota are inextricably linked. GIT bacteria depend almost exclusively on the host diet for their nutritional substrates (a restricted number of taxa can metabolize mucins and glycoproteins) and indeed the composition of the microbiome is correlated strongly with diet. Diet is a key modulator of cancer risk. In the cases described below, microbiota-diet interactions lead to the formation of genotoxic compounds capable of mutating the host genome.

241

242 N-nitroso compounds (NOCs)

243 NOCs, such as nitrosamines and nitrosamide, are known to be potent carcinogens. NOCs 244 are formed by the nitrosation of secondary amines and amides via nitrosating agents, such as N₂O₃ and N₂O₄ [63]. NOCs can be found in foods such as processed meats, smoked/cured 245 246 fish and German beer[64]. Additional compounds such as nitrate and nitrite which are precursors to nitrosating agents can be found in food including vegetables which may 247 248 account for 50–70% of an individual's intake of nitrate and nitrite [65]. Endogenous NOCs are also formed and in many cases, this is because of the activities of microbes. Firstly, 249 bacteria produce nitrosating agents (See Dinitrogen trioxide and nitrosative deamination). 250 251 Further amines and amides are produced by bacterial decarboxylation of amino acids [65]. 252 Heme has been suggested to catalyse the formation of NOCs[66]. Inhibitors of nitrosation 253 are ingested as part of a diet and include vitamin C, vitamin E and polyphenols[67]. 254 The activated form of NOCs induce a number of methylated DNA adducts (of which over 12

- are known) by SN1-nucleophilic substitution[68]. These alkylated DNA bases can be
- 256 mutagenic if not repaired before replication[69]. SBS mutational signature 11 has been
- 257 linked to the mutagenic activity of alkylating agents [70].
- 258

259 Acetaldehyde

Alcohol is classified as a Group 1 carcinogen (carcinogenic to humans). Worldwide, 3.6% of
 all cancer deaths and 3.5% of all cancer cases are attributable to alcohol consumption[71].
 Ethanol (C₂H₅OH), the psychoactive ingredient in alcoholic beverages, is believed to be the
 major causative compound of cancer in alcoholic beverages.

Ethanol is introduced into a catabolic pathway where it is broken down and the metabolites 264 expelled via the urinary system. Ethanol is first metabolized by alcohol dehydrogenase 265 266 (ADH), cytochrome P4502E1 (CYP2E1) and catalase thereby forming acetaldehyde (ethanal). 267 Acetaldehyde is further oxidised by aldehyde dehydrogenase to produce acetate. Aldehydes cause DNA damage in the form of double strand breaks and the Fanconi anaemia 268 269 pathway is responsible for the repair of this damage [72]. Aldehydes has been 270 demonstrated to cause intrastrand crosslink between adjacent guanine bases[73]. This can 271 lead to the mutagenic event of GG>TT double base substitution which is a characteristics of 272 Mutational signature DBS2 [55, 73].

Bacteria can not only produce ethanol but also break it down into acetaldehyde. Oral taxa 273 are known to be able to produce acetaldehyde from ethanol or glucose [74]. In addition, gut 274 275 microbes can also produce acetaldehyde from sugars [75]. Indeed there have been reports 276 of bacterial autobrewery syndrome (intoxication by ethanol formed by fermentation by microbes in the gut) in which a strain of Klebsiella pneumoniae was implicated [76]. This 277 278 strain was also strongly associated with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease and fatty liver 279 disease symptoms in a mouse model. Mutational signature 16 has been link to alcohol 280 consumption [77].

281

282 **Disruption to the DNA damage response**

Human DNA experiences repeated events of DNA damage throughout the cell cycle. The cell
has a complex network of systems whose purpose is to ensure the fidelity of DNA. Known as
the DNA damage response, this cellular system is responsible for detecting DNA damage,
signalling its presence, promoting DNA repair cell cycle checkpoint and/or apoptosis.

The mismatch repair mechanism is responsible for addressing base-base mismatches and
insertion/deletion mis-pairs generated during DNA replication and recombination[78].

- 289 Enteropathogenic *Escherichia coli* was found to promote the depletion of MSH2 and MLH1
- 290 proteins, which are crucially important for mismatch repair in cell models[79]. This
- 291 phenomenon was found to be dependent on the bacterial type-3 secretion effector
- 292 EspF[79]. Furthermore, mitochondrial targeting of EspF was necessary for this activity.
- 293 Colonic epithelial cells infected with Enteropathogenic *E. coli* display an increased mutation
- rate particularly in microsatellite DNA sequences.
- The human gastric pathogen *Helicobacter pylori* also inhibits the expression of MMR gene expression, in part through the modulation of miRNAs [80, 81].
- 297
- 298 Mutational signature 6 is characterised by C>T transitions at an NpCpG trinucleotide context
- [82]. This mutational signature is associated with small indels (usually 1-3bp) at nucleotide
- 300 repeats. This indel pattern is equivalent to phenomena known as microsatellite instability.
- 301 Microsatellite instability is caused by aberrations in the DNA mismatch repair (MMR)
- 302 machinery. The origin of MMR deficiencies is genetic and/or epigenetic alterations in MMR
- 303 genes. Microsatellite instability occurs in 15% of CRC genomes; 3% are associated with
- Lynch syndrome while 12% are associated with sporadic CRC [83].
- 305

306 Mutational signatures as a tool to study the effect of microbes on the human 307 genome

Multiomic experimental designs are supremely placed to delineate the relationship between 308 309 the microbiota and the architecture of the cancer genome. Population studies in which 310 both cancer genomic and microbiome are assessed can provide information on the 311 interaction between the cancer genetic architecture and the microbiota. However, there is a fundamental caveat with this type of experimental design. Cancer can take many years to 312 form, and mutational mechanisms act at different time points of the natural tumour history. 313 Furthermore, composition of the microbiota at most body sites is usually dynamic. Thus, a 314 single snap shot of the microbiota may not be wholly related to the mutational signatures 315 then identified. A prospective study where individual's microbiota are determined at pre-316 and post-transformation stages would allow for more informative comparisons between the 317

318 microbiota and pre-transformation mutational mechanisms. Additionally, individuals with pre-cancer lesions such as Barrett's oesophagus may be prime candidates to study due to 319 320 their increased propensity to develop cancer. Studying cancer heterogeneity and 321 evolutionary dynamics could allow for the identification of the timing of mutational 322 mechanisms. Futhermore, recent advancements have allowed for mutational signature 323 extraction from non-cancerous tissue thus allowing elucidation of microbial associated 324 mechanisms prior to transformation [84]. Experiments in which a microbe or a community of microbes are grown in the context of a model such as a cell line or organoids would help 325 326 to eliminate confounders and make more direct correlations. Dziubańska-Kusibab and 327 colleagues used cultured cell lines exposed to colibactin to identify its DNA sequence 328 targets. Furthermore this target sequence was then cross-referenced with mutational 329 signatures derived in population cancer genomic data to find clinically associated mutational 330 signatures (See colibactin section).

- 331
- 332
- 333
- 334

335 Concluding Remarks

336 Cancer prevention is relatively under-researched when compared to therapeutic development, with only 2 to 9% of funding put towards this area [85]. A high proportion of 337 cancer cases and cancer deaths could be avoided through modification of environmental 338 339 risk factors. About 42% of cancer incidences in the US are estimated as being attributable to 340 modifiable risk factors - this figure is also reflected in the UK population [86]. Evidence is 341 building in favour of the microbiota as an environmental modulator of cancer risk. We outlined the multitude of ways that the metabolic activities of members of the human 342 microbiota can lead to mutations. 343

344 Our ability to modulate the microbiota is improving steadily, featuring diet, antibiotics,

345 phage therapy, faecal microbiota transplantation (FMT), prebiotics, probiotics and Live

Biotherapeutics[87]. Thus one could plausibly develop strategies to alter the structure of an

individual's microbiota in order to reduce its mutagenic potential (see OutstandingQuestions).

In order to make informed decisions on therapeutic interventions, a complete catalogue of
microbial-associated mutational mechanisms is required. Furthermore, the relative impact
of each mutational mechanisms on the cancer genome need to be delineated. Microbialassociated mutational mechanisms which have both been found in a wide range of cancers
as well as contributing to a great number of mutations will take priority when deciding what
mechanisms need to be addressed first.
We propose to leverage advancements in cancer genomics, namely in the form of

mutational signatures, to associate microbes to mutational mechanisms. These can provide
 qualitative and quantitative information on the mutagenic effect that microbes undoubtedly

358 have.

359 It is possible that certain aspects of the microbiota activity protect against mutagenesis and

360 cancer. These potential mechanism need to be elucidated to enable the harnessing the

- 361 microbiota as prophylactic agents.
- 362

363

364 Acknowledgments

365 This work was supported by The Health Research Board of Ireland under Grant ILP-POR-

366 2017-034, and by Science Foundation Ireland (APC/SFI/12/RC/2273) in the form of a

367 research centre, the APC Microbiome Institute.

368

369 Glossary

370 **Base substitutions**: A type of mutation in which one base is replaced by another in DNA.

371 Chromosomal instability: A phenomena which leads to alterations in chromosome number

and/or structure.

373 **DNA adduct:** Formed by the addition of a chemical moiety to a DNA base

374	DNA alkylation: The addition of an alkyl group (C_nH2_{n+1}) to a DNA base
375	DNA crosslinking: Formation of covalent bonds between two nucleotides. This bond can be
376	formed between nucleotides on the same DNA stand (intrastrand crosslinks) or different
377	strands (interstrand crosslinks)
378	DNA deamination: The removal of an amino group from a DNA base.
379	DNA repair: A diverse collection of pathways with the purpose of addressing DNA damage
380	and maintaining genome stability.
381	Double-strand breaks: This is where both strands of DNA which are juxtaposed to each
382	other
383	Environmental risk factor: A thing or process which is not inherited that increases the risk
384	for a particular disease.
385	Microbes: Microorganisms including bacteria, fungi, protists and virus. Usually exist as a
386	single cell organism.
387	Microbiome: The combined genetic material of the microorganisms in a particular niche.
388	Microbiota: The collection of organisms in a niche.
389	Mutational mechanism: Biological phenomena which lead to the generation of mutations.
390	Usually involving DNA damage, DNA repair and DNA replication.
391	Mutational signature: The characteristic DNA pattern of mutations produced by a
392	mutational mechanism.
393	Oncogenesis : The transformation of a normal cell into a cancer cell.
394	Oxidative Base Lesions: DNA Bases that occur due to a reaction with Reactive oxygen
395	species
396	Somatic mutation: A mutation which occurs in a somatic cell and is thus not heritable.
397	
398	
399	
400	

401 **Box1 | Mutational signatures**

402 Specific mutational mechanisms produce characteristic patterns in the genome known as 403 mutational signatures. Recent advances in mathematical modelling and bioinformatics have 404 led to great improvements in our ability to identify mutational signatures from cancer 405 genomic data. There are six defined classes of base substitutions: C>A, C>G, C>T, T>A, T>C and T>G [note: In accordance with the Catalogue of Somatic Mutations in Cancer (COSMIC) 406 407 system, all substitutions are referred to by the pyrimidine of the mutated Watson-Crick base pair]. The incorporation of the 5' and 3' bases flanking the mutated base of the six originally 408 409 defined classes gives an expanded classification system of 96 possible mutations. Utilizing this 96-class system as the framework and applying non-negative matrix factorization and 410 411 model selection, with input from genomic data from 7042 cancer samples from 31 different cancer types, 21 mutational signatures were initially identified [82]. With the inclusion of 412 413 more genomes for a heterogeneity of cancers, as well as the consideration of single base 414 insertion/deletions and double base substitutions, the number of mutational signatures has 415 expanded[55]. Currently, the number and type of mutational signatures characterised are as follows: 49 single base substitutions, 11 doublet base substitutions, four clustered base 416 417 substitutions (DBS), and 17 small insertion and deletion (indels) mutational signatures[55]. Structural variants also occur in cancer genomes and they include insertions, deletions, 418 419 inversions, balanced or unbalanced translocations, amplifications and complex 420 rearrangements on a scale of >50 bp in size[88]. Efforts have also been made to define the 421 signatures of these events [89]. Mutational signatures provide an insight into the mutational 422 mechanisms that act on a cancer genome over time. Mutational signatures are typically 423 displayed as histogram with the frequency of base substations (or indels or doublet base 424 substitutions) with respect to the genomic context. SBS signature 1 is characterised by C>T 425 transversions at methylated CpG sites within an NpCpG trinucleotide context. The putative 426 mechanisms behind SBS signature 1 is spontaneous or enzymatic deamination of 5-427 methylcytosine to thymine. This newly formed thymine maybe base-paired with adenine 428 during replication, provided DNA repair is not executed. Many mutational signatures 429 described do not have a known aetiology.

430

433 Table 1. Microbial associated mechanisms and genomic consequences

Source	Involvement of	Key role in a	Postulated	Reference
	microbiota	mutational	effected on	
	features	mechanism	cancer genomic	
			landscape	
Activation-	Helicobacter pylori	Cytosine deamination at	Mutational	[53, 55]
induced	infection cause	specific motifs	signatures SBS84	
cytidine	ectopic expression		and SBS85	
deaminase (AID)	of AID			
Acetaldehyde	Various inhabitants	N2-	GG-to-TT base	[73]
	of produce ethanol	ethylidenedeoxyguanosine,	substitution.	
	and are capable	Guanine- guanine	Mutational	
	metabolic act on it	intrastrand crosslinks	signature DBS2	
	to produces			
	acetaldehyde			
Colibactin	Expressed by	Adenine – adenine intra-	DSBs at an	[22]
	Escherichia coli	strand crosslinks, Double	AAWWTT	
	containing a pks	strand breaks,	pentanucleotides	
	island		motif. Mutational	
			signatures SBS28	
			and SBS41	
Cytolethal	Produced by	Single strand breaks and	Infidelity of DNA	[55]
distending toxin	various Gram-	Double-strand breaks	repair can lead to	
(CDT)	negative bacteria		structural variants	
	including		such as indels	
	enteropathogenic			
	Escherichia coli,			
	Campylobacter			
	species, Shigella			
	species and			
	Haemophilus			
	ducreyi			
Disruption of	Helicobacter pylori	Deletion of MMR proteins	Microsatellite	[79, 80, 82]
DNA mismatch	and		instability,	
repair	Enteropathogenic		Mutational	

	<i>Escherichia coli</i> can		signature SBS6, ID1	
	disrupt mismatch		and ID2	
	repair			
Dinitrogen	Metabolic activities	Nitrosative deamination	Various base	[39, 42]
trioxide	of the microbiota		substitutions e.g.	
	can produces		Adenine nitrosative	
	precursors to N203		deamination to	
	e.g. denitrifying		Hypoxanthine can	
	bacteria		lead to T>A	
			substitution	
Hypobromous	Eosinophil's	8-bromoguanine	G > T primarily but	[50]
acid	produce		also G > C, G > A,	
	Hypobromous acid.		and delG	
	The microbiota can			
	influence			
	eosinophic biology			
Hypochlorous	HOCL is produce by	Formation of 5-	C>T, G >A, G>T	[45, 46]
acid	Neutrophils. The	chlorocytosine (5ClC),	substitutions	
	microbiota can	formation of		
	influence	malondialdehyde		
	neutrophil			
	inflammatory			
	status			
N-nitroso	Microbes play a	Alkylated DNA base	Various base	[69]
compounds	role in the		substitutions e.g	
(NOCs)	production of		O6-methylguanine	
	nitrosating agents		(O6-MeG) can	
	and produces		cause a G(C)>A(T)	
	biogenic amine		transition	
Reactive oxygen	Various metabolic	Oxidative Base Lesions	G to T transversion,	[90]
species	activities		SBS Mutational	
			signatures 18 and	
			36	
4-hydroxy-2-	Enterococcus	Exocyclic HNE-DNA	Chromosomal	[60]
nonenal	faecalis induces the	adducts	instability	
	bystander effect via			
	polarising			
	1	I	1	1

marcophages.		
Polarised		
marcophages		
produces 4-		
hydroxy-2-nonena		

434

435

436

437 Box 2 | Oxidative DNA Base Lesions

438 Guanine has the lowest redox potential of the native bases and is thus the most readily 439 oxidised. Two common oxidative base lesions which are generated by the oxidation of 440 Guanine include 8-oxo-7,8-dihydro-2'-deoxyguanosine and 2,6-diamino-4-oxo-5formamidopyrimidine (FapyG) which occur at an estimated rate of 1000-2000 and 1500-441 442 2500 per cell/per day in normal tissues, respectively[91]. Furthermore, the occurrence and 443 the mutagenicity of these oxidative DNA base lesions vary considerable. For example, 7,8-444 dihydro-8-oxo-guanine is about four times as mutagenic and four times more frequent in its 445 occurrence than 7,8-dihydro-8-oxo-adenine[91, 92]. Replication of DNA containing 8-oxo-7,8-dihydro-2'-deoxyguanosine and 2,6-diamino-4-oxo-5-formamidopyrimidine (FapyG) are 446 447 shown to induce G:C to T:A (C >A) and G:C to T:A (C >A) respectively[93].

The nucleobases within the cellular nucleotide pool may also undergo oxidation.
Misincorporation of these nucleoside triphosphates can induce mutations. The two major
products of nucleotide pool oxidation are 8-hydroxy-2'-deoxyguanosine 5'-triphosphate (8OH-dGTP) and 2-hydroxydeoxyadenosine 5'-triphosphate (2-OH-dATP).
8-OH-dGTP has
been demonstrated to induce A:T to C:G transversions when introduced into COS-7
mammalian cells[94]. *In vitro* analysis using HeLa cell extract showed that 2-OH-dATP within
the nucleotide pool can led to G·C to A·T (C>T) transitions and G·C to T·A(C>A)[95].

Mutational signatures 18 and 36 have been suggested to be attributed to reactive oxygen
species. Mutational signature 36 has been specifically attributed to ROS in the context of
MUTYH-Associated Polyposis (MAP) syndrome [90]. MAP syndrome is defined by biallelic
germline mutation of MUTYH gene and is a colorectal polyposis which predisposes
individuals to CRC. MUTYH DNA glycosylase is coded by the MUTYH gene and functions to

460	prevent 8-Oxoguanine-related mutagenesis by scanning the newly-synthesized daughter
461	strand in order locate and remove incorporated adenine paired with 8-Oxoguanine[93].
462	References
463	
464	1. Williams, M.J. et al. (2019) Measuring Clonal Evolution in Cancer with Genomics. Annual Review of
465	Genomics and Human Genetics, Vol 20, 2019 20, 309-329.
466	2. Helieday, 1. et al. (2014) Mechanisms underlying mutational signatures in numan cancers. Nat Rev
407	2 Tomasetti C et al (2017) Stem cell divisions somatic mutations cancer etiology and cancer
400	nrevention Science 355 (6331) 1330-1334
470	4. Tomasetti, C. and Vogelstein, B. (2015) Cancer etiology. Variation in cancer risk among tissues can
471	be explained by the number of stem cell divisions. Science 347 (6217), 78-81.
472	5. Sender, R. et al. (2016) Revised Estimates for the Number of Human and Bacteria Cells in the
473	Body. PLoS Biol 14 (8), e1002533.
474	6. Gilbert, J.A. et al. (2018) Current understanding of the human microbiome. Nat Med 24 (4), 392-
475	400.
476	7. Thomas, R.M. and Jobin, C. (2015) The Microbiome and Cancer: Is the 'Oncobiome' Mirage Real?
477	Trends Cancer 1 (1), 24-35.
478	8. Belkaid, Y. and Hand, T.W. (2014) Role of the microbiota in immunity and inflammation. Cell 157
479	(1), 121-41. O Themas J.D. et al. (2018) The Cut Microbiota Influences Intectinal Enithelial Proliferative
480 791	9. momas, J.P. et al. (2018) The Gut Microbiola influences intestinal Epithelial Promerative Potential Gut 67, A204-A204
481	10 Tenaillon O et al. (2010) The population genetics of commensal Escherichia coli. Nat Rev
483	Microbiol 8 (3), 207-17.
484	11. Putze, J. et al. (2009) Genetic Structure and Distribution of the Colibactin Genomic Island among
485	Members of the Family Enterobacteriaceae. Infection and Immunity 77 (11), 4696-4703.
486	12. Cuevas-Ramos, G. et al. (2010) Escherichia coli induces DNA damage in vivo and triggers genomic
487	instability in mammalian cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 107 (25), 11537-42.
488	13. Nougayrede, J.P. et al. (2006) Escherichia coli induces DNA double-strand breaks in eukaryotic
489	cells. Science 313 (5788), 848-51.
490	14. Arthur, J.C. et al. (2012) Intestinal inflammation targets cancer-inducing activity of the
491	microbiota. Science 338 (6103), 120-3.
492	15. Buc, E. et al. (2013) High prevalence of mucosa-associated E. coll producing cyclomodulin and
495 ЛОЛ	16 Deiea C.M. et al. (2018) Patients with familial adenomatous polynosis barbor colonic biofilms
495	containing tumorigenic bacteria. Science 359 (6375), 592-597.
496	17. Vizcaino, M.I. and Crawford, J.M. (2015) The colibactin warhead crosslinks DNA. Nat Chem 7 (5),
497	411-7.
498	18. Xue, M. et al. (2018) Characterization of Natural Colibactin-Nucleobase Adducts by Tandem Mass
499	Spectrometry and Isotopic Labeling. Support for DNA Alkylation by Cyclopropane Ring Opening.
500	Biochemistry 57 (45), 6391-6394.
501 502	19. Wilson, M.R. et al. (2019) The human gut bacterial genotoxin colibactin alkylates DNA. Science 363 (6428).
503	20. Bossuet-Greif, N. et al. (2018) The Colibactin Genotoxin Generates DNA Interstrand Cross-Links in
504	21 Xue M et al. (2019) Structure elucidation of colibactin and its DNA cross-links. Science 365
506	(6457).
507	22. Dziubańska-Kusibab, P.J. et al. (2019) Colibactin DNA damage signature indicates causative role
508	in colorectal cancer. bioRxiv, 819854.

- 509 23. Jinadasa, R.N. et al. (2011) Cytolethal distending toxin: a conserved bacterial genotoxin that
- 510 blocks cell cycle progression, leading to apoptosis of a broad range of mammalian cell lineages.
- 511 Microbiology-Sgm 157, 1851-1875.
- 512 24. Scott, D.A. and Kaper, J.B. (1994) Cloning and sequencing of the genes encoding Escherichia coli 513 cytolethal distending toxin. Infect Immun 62 (1), 244-51.
- 514 25. Lara-Tejero, M. and Galan, J.E. (2001) CdtA, CdtB, and CdtC form a tripartite complex that is
- required for cytolethal distending toxin activity. Infection and Immunity 69 (7), 4358-4365.
- 26. Lara-Tejero, M. and Galan, J.E. (2000) A bacterial toxin that controls cell cycle progression as a
- 517 deoxyribonuclease I-like protein. Science 290 (5490), 354-357.
- 518 27. Nesic, D. et al. (2004) Assembly and function of a bacterial genotoxin. Nature 429 (6990), 429-33.
- 519 28. Boesze-Battaglia, K. et al. (2016) A Journey of Cytolethal Distending Toxins through Cell
- 520 Membranes. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 6, 81.
- 521 29. He, Z. et al. (2019) Campylobacter jejuni promotes colorectal tumorigenesis through the action
 522 of cytolethal distending toxin. Gut 68 (2), 289-300.
- 523 30. Elwell, C.A. and Dreyfus, L.A. (2000) DNase I homologous residues in CdtB are critical for
- 524 cytolethal distending toxin-mediated cell cycle arrest. Mol Microbiol 37 (4), 952-63.
- 525 31. Frisan, T. et al. (2003) The Haemophilus ducreyi cytolethal distending toxin induces DNA double-
- 526 strand breaks and promotes ATM-dependent activation of RhoA. Cell Microbiol 5 (10), 695-707.
- 527 32. Fedor, Y. et al. (2013) From single-strand breaks to double-strand breaks during S-phase: a new
- 528 mode of action of the Escherichia coli Cytolethal Distending Toxin. Cellular Microbiology 15 (1), 1-15.
- 33. Bezine, E. et al. (2016) Cell resistance to the Cytolethal Distending Toxin involves an associationof DNA repair mechanisms. Sci Rep 6, 36022.
- 531 34. Dizdaroglu, M. (2015) Oxidatively induced DNA damage and its repair in cancer. Mutat Res Rev
 532 Mutat Res 763, 212-45.
- 533 35. Bernstein, H. et al. (2009) Bile acids as endogenous etiologic agents in gastrointestinal cancer.
- 534 World Journal of Gastroenterology 15 (27), 3329-3340.
- 36. Yazici, C. et al. (2017) Race-dependent association of sulfidogenic bacteria with colorectal cancer.
 Gut 66 (11), 1983-1994.
- 537 37. Ridlon, J.M. et al. (2016) Taurocholic acid metabolism by gut microbes and colon cancer. Gut
- 538 Microbes 7 (3), 201-15.
- 38. Attene-Ramos, M.S. et al. (2010) DNA damage and toxicogenomic analyses of hydrogen sulfide in
 human intestinal epithelial FHs 74 Int cells. Environ Mol Mutagen 51 (4), 304-14.
- 39. Cao, W. (2013) Endonuclease V: an unusual enzyme for repair of DNA deamination. Cell Mol Life
 Sci 70 (17), 3145-56.
- 40. Weiss, B. (2006) Evidence for mutagenesis by nitric oxide during nitrate metabolism in
- 544 Escherichia coli. J Bacteriol 188 (3), 829-33.
- 545 41. Crane, B.R. et al. (2010) Bacterial nitric oxide synthases. Annu Rev Biochem 79, 445-70.
- 42. Kuypers, M.M.M. et al. (2018) The microbial nitrogen-cycling network. Nat Rev Microbiol 16 (5),263-276.
- 43. Tiso, M. and Schechter, A.N. (2015) Nitrate reduction to nitrite, nitric oxide and ammonia by gut
 bacteria under physiological conditions. PLoS One 10 (3), e0119712.
- 44. Klebanoff, S.J. et al. (2013) Myeloperoxidase: a front-line defender against phagocytosed
- microorganisms. Journal of Leukocyte Biology 93 (2), 185-198.
- 45. Fedeles, B.I. et al. (2015) Intrinsic mutagenic properties of 5-chlorocytosine: A mechanistic
- connection between chronic inflammation and cancer. Proceedings of the National Academy of
 Sciences of the United States of America 112 (33), E4571-E4580.
- 46. Gungor, N. et al. (2010) Genotoxic effects of neutrophils and hypochlorous acid. Mutagenesis 25 (2), 149-54.
- 47. VanderVeen, L.A. et al. (2003) Induction of frameshift and base pair substitution mutations by
- the major DNA adduct of the endogenous carcinogen malondialdehyde. Proceedings of the National
- Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 100 (24), 14247-14252.

- 48. Zhang, D. and Frenette, P.S. (2019) Cross talk between neutrophils and the microbiota. Blood 133(20), 2168-2177.
- 562 49. Zhang, D.C. et al. (2015) Neutrophil ageing is regulated by the microbiome. Nature 525 (7570),
 563 528-+.
- 564 50. Shinmura, K. et al. (2017) Mutation Spectrum Induced by 8-Bromoguanine, a Base Damaged by
- 565 Reactive Brominating Species, in Human Cells. Oxid Med Cell Longev 2017, 7308501.
- 566 51. Endo, Y. et al. (2008) Activation-induced cytidine deaminase links between inflammation and the
- 567 development of colitis-associated colorectal cancers. Gastroenterology 135 (3), 889-898.
- 568 52. Takai, A. et al. (2012) Targeting activation-induced cytidine deaminase prevents colon cancer 569 development despite persistent colonic inflammation. Oncogene 31 (13), 1733-42.
- 570 53. Matsumoto, Y. et al. (2007) Helicobacter pylori infection triggers aberrant expression of
- 571 activation-induced cytidine deaminase in gastric epithelium. Nat Med 13 (4), 470-6.
- 572 54. Kasar, S. et al. (2015) Whole-genome sequencing reveals activation-induced cytidine deaminase
- 573 signatures during indolent chronic lymphocytic leukaemia evolution. Nat Commun 6, 8866.
- 574 55. Alexandrov, L.B. et al. (2019) The Repertoire of Mutational Signatures in Human Cancer. bioRxiv,575 322859.
- 576 56. Kim, S.C. et al. (2005) Variable phenotypes of enterocolitis in interleukin 10-deficient mice
- 577 monoassociated with two different commensal bacteria. Gastroenterology 128 (4), 891-906.
- 578 57. Wang, X. and Huycke, M.M. (2007) Extracellular superoxide production by Enterococcus faecalis
- promotes chromosomal instability in mammalian cells. Gastroenterology 132 (2), 551-61.
- 580 58. Wang, X.M. et al. (2008) Enterococcus faecalis Induces Aneuploidy and Tetraploidy in Colonic
- 581 Epithelial Cells through a Bystander Effect. Cancer Research 68 (23), 9909-9917.
- 59. Yang, Y.H. et al. (2013) Colon Macrophages Polarized by Commensal Bacteria Cause Colitis and
 Cancer through the Bystander Effect. Translational Oncology 6 (5), 596-+.
- 60. Wang, X. et al. (2012) 4-hydroxy-2-nonenal mediates genotoxicity and bystander effects caused
- by Enterococcus faecalis-infected macrophages. Gastroenterology 142 (3), 543-551 e7.
- 586 61. Wang, X. et al. (2013) Cyclooxygenase-2 generates the endogenous mutagen trans-4-hydroxy-2-
- 587 nonenal in Enterococcus faecalis-infected macrophages. Cancer Prev Res (Phila) 6 (3), 206-16.
- 588 62. Wang, X. et al. (2015) Commensal bacteria drive endogenous transformation and tumour stem 589 cell marker expression through a bystander effect. Gut 64 (3), 459-68.
- 590 63. Lundberg, J.O. et al. (2004) Nitrate, bacteria and human health. Nat Rev Microbiol 2 (7), 593-602.
- 591 64. Lijinsky, W. (1999) N-Nitroso compounds in the diet. Mutat Res 443 (1-2), 129-38.
- 592 65. Habermeyer, M. et al. (2015) Nitrate and nitrite in the diet: How to assess their benefit and risk 593 for human health. Molecular Nutrition & Food Research 59 (1), 106-128.
- 66. Bastide, N.M. et al. (2011) Heme iron from meat and risk of colorectal cancer: a meta-analysis
- and a review of the mechanisms involved. Cancer Prev Res (Phila) 4 (2), 177-84.
- 596 67. Bartsch, H. et al. (1993) Inhibition of nitrosation. Basic Life Sci 61, 27-44.
- 68. Fahrer, J. and Kaina, B. (2013) O6-methylguanine-DNA methyltransferase in the defense against
 N-nitroso compounds and colorectal cancer. Carcinogenesis 34 (11), 2435-42.
- 69. Shrivastav, N. et al. (2010) Chemical biology of mutagenesis and DNA repair: cellular responses toDNA alkylation. Carcinogenesis 31 (1), 59-70.
- 70. Kucab, J.E. et al. (2019) A Compendium of Mutational Signatures of Environmental Agents. Cell
 177 (4), 821-836 e16.
- 603 71. Boffetta, P. et al. (2006) The burden of cancer attributable to alcohol drinking. Int J Cancer 119604 (4), 884-7.
- 605 72. Garaycoechea, J.I. et al. (2018) Alcohol and endogenous aldehydes damage chromosomes and
 606 mutate stem cells. Nature 553 (7687), 171-177.
- 73. Sonohara, Y. et al. (2019) Acetaldehyde forms covalent GG intrastrand crosslinks in DNA. Sci Rep9 (1), 660.
- 74. Moritani, K. et al. (2015) Acetaldehyde production by major oral microbes. Oral Dis 21 (6), 74854.

- 611 75. Elshaghabee, F.M. et al. (2016) Ethanol Production by Selected Intestinal Microorganisms and
- 612 Lactic Acid Bacteria Growing under Different Nutritional Conditions. Front Microbiol 7, 47.
- 613 76. Yuan, J. et al. (2019) Fatty Liver Disease Caused by High-Alcohol-Producing Klebsiella
- 614 pneumoniae. Cell Metab 30 (4), 675-688 e7.
- 615 77. Letouze, E. et al. (2017) Mutational signatures reveal the dynamic interplay of risk factors and 616 cellular processes during liver tumorigenesis. Nat Commun 8 (1), 1315.
- 617 78. Li, G.M. (2008) Mechanisms and functions of DNA mismatch repair. Cell Res 18 (1), 85-98.
- 618 79. Maddocks, O.D. et al. (2013) An Escherichia coli effector protein promotes host mutation via
- depletion of DNA mismatch repair proteins. MBio 4 (3), e00152-13.
- 80. Kim, J.J. et al. (2002) Helicobacter pylori impairs DNA mismatch repair in gastric epithelial cells.
 Gastroenterology 123 (2), 542-53.
- 622 81. Santos, J.C. et al. (2017) Helicobacter pylori infection modulates the expression of miRNAs
- associated with DNA mismatch repair pathway. Mol Carcinog 56 (4), 1372-1379.
- 82. Alexandrov, L.B. et al. (2013) Signatures of mutational processes in human cancer. Nature 500(7463), 415-21.
- 626 83. Moller, P. et al. (2017) Cancer incidence and survival in Lynch syndrome patients receiving
- 627 colonoscopic and gynaecological surveillance: first report from the prospective Lynch syndrome628 database. Gut 66 (3), 464-472.
- 84. Lee-Six, H. et al. (2019) The landscape of somatic mutation in normal colorectal epithelial cells.
 Nature 574 (7779), 532-537.
- 631 85. Eckhouse, S. et al. (2008) Trends in the global funding and activity of cancer research. Mol Oncol 632 2 (1), 20-32.
- 633 86. Islami, F. et al. (2018) Proportion and number of cancer cases and deaths attributable to
- 634 potentially modifiable risk factors in the United States. CA Cancer J Clin 68 (1), 31-54.
- 635 87. O'Toole, P.W. et al. (2017) Next-generation probiotics: the spectrum from probiotics to live 636 biotherapeutics. Nat Microbiol 2, 17057.
- 637 88. Baker, M. (2012) Structural variation: the genome's hidden architecture. Nat Methods 9 (2), 133638 7.
- 89. Nik-Zainal, S. et al. (2016) Landscape of somatic mutations in 560 breast cancer whole-genome
 sequences. Nature 534 (7605), 47-54.
- 641 90. Viel, A. et al. (2017) A Specific Mutational Signature Associated with DNA 8-Oxoguanine
- 642 Persistence in MUTYH-defective Colorectal Cancer. EBioMedicine 20, 39-49.
- 91. van Loon, B. et al. (2010) Oxygen as a friend and enemy: How to combat the mutational potentialof 8-oxo-guanine. DNA Repair 9 (6), 604-616.
- 645 92. Tan, X. et al. (1999) Comparison of the mutagenic properties of 8-oxo-7,8-dihydro-2'-
- deoxyadenosine and 8-oxo-7,8-dihydro-2'-deoxyguanosine DNA lesions in mammalian cells.
- 647 Carcinogenesis 20 (12), 2287-92.
- 648 93. Whitaker, A.M. et al. (2017) Base excision repair of oxidative DNA damage: from mechanism to 649 disease. Front Biosci (Landmark Ed) 22, 1493-1522.
- 94. Satou, K. et al. (2007) Mutagenic effects of 8-hydroxy-dGTP in live mammalian cells. Free RadicBiol Med 42 (10), 1552-60.
- 652 95. Satou, K. et al. (2003) Mutagenic effects of 2-hydroxy-dATP on replication in a HeLa extract:
- 653 induction of substitution and deletion mutations. Nucleic Acids Res 31 (10), 2570-5.
- 654