

Multiple Origins of Serpentine-Soil Endemism Explained by Pre-existing Tolerance of Open Habitats

W. Scott Armbruster¹

School of Biological Sciences, University of Portsmouth, Portsmouth PO1 2DY, UK

Institute of Arctic Biology, University of Alaska, Fairbanks, AK 99775, USA

Department of Biology, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, N-7491 Trondheim, Norway

¹email: scott.armbruster@port.ac.uk

Plant specialization on soils derived from unusual parent materials is an important contributor to regional biodiversity. These stressful substrates include serpentine, gabbro, and other ultramafic rocks rich in heavy metals. The effect of substrate on plant diversity is illustrated by serpentine soils in California: they comprise less than 1% of the surface of state (1), but serpentine endemics (species restricted to serpentine soils) make up about 10% of the flora (2). How such “edaphic endemics” (plants restricted to stressful soils) evolve is a long-standing question that remains largely unresolved. For example, plant tolerance of serpentine soils may often involve trade-offs in competitive ability, and restriction to serpentine soil may reflect poor competitive ability on less stressful soils rather than obligate association (3,4,5), although possible counter examples exist (6). A common feature of plant communities on stressful soils is the wide-spacing of plants and openness of the habitat. Openness may itself be stressful for a variety of reasons (detailed below) (7,8,9). In PNAS, Cacho & Strauss (10) use a novel comparative-experimental approach to assess explicitly the role of openness vs. soil chemistry as factors in the evolution of plant tolerance of, and endemism to, serpentine soils.

Plants that tolerate and are endemic to stressful ultramafic (serpentine) soils have become a well developed system for the study of adaptation, speciation, endemism, competition, and community ecology (2,6,11). Classic studies have shown the probable role of elemental imbalances (e.g. high concentrations of heavy metals, including Ni, Co, Cu, Cr, Pb; low Ca:Mg ratios; low concentrations of macronutrients, etc.), although there is no consensus on the main causes of stress and species exclusion (2,6). There may indeed be a diversity of causal factors, as might be expected from the diversity of plant lineages in which serpentine tolerance and endemism have evolved, each with different genetic and physiological starting points. Regardless of the physiological causes, there are well defined serpentine floras and vegetation types recognized in North America, including Cuba; Europe, including Britain; South Africa; and Oceania, among other regions. The floras are characterized by very high endemism, and the vegetation is usually sparser and often more xeromorphic (appearing drought adapted) than nearby vegetation on “normal” soils (Figs. 1A, B).

The openness of the habitat may itself be a source of stress contributing to endemism. Several authors have found that the balance between competition (for light, water, and/or nutrients) and facilitation between neighboring plants in a landscape depends on the level of stress: in a benign landscape competition dominates, but in a stressful environment, facilitation dominates (8,9). As the habitat gets more open, especially in hot, dry climates (e.g., summer in regions with Mediterranean climates), the paucity of neighbors means greater exposure to the drying effects of wind and high direct-radiation loads, as well as the stress of high leaf temperatures and potential UV damage. Recent research has also shown that plants in sparsely vegetated sites are also more apparent to herbivores (e.g., more

susceptible to attack by herbivorous insects), and such plants may have had to evolve expensive counter adaptations (e.g., increased chemical defenses and/or more cryptic foliage) (7).

A series of studies from a remote corner of NE Alaska illustrates a possible biological connection between edaphic endemism and open habitats. Studies in the region have noted the narrow distribution of possible relict plant species, disjunct from nearest relatives by hundreds of kilometers (e.g., 12,13,14). Some of these endemics appeared, at first, to be restricted to greenstone (an ultramafic mineral) outcrops (12). Later observations (13,14) and experiments (14) showed that all putative greenstone endemics were found also on a variety of other parent materials. The taxa are indeed rare and disjunct, but endemic to south-facing slopes so steep and dry that they are largely open habitats with sparse vegetation. Thus plants adapted to the stress of dry, open habitats can have similar ecological behavior as edaphic endemics. This could lead one to ask if adaptation to open habitats might sometimes be the first step towards tolerance of ultramafic soils.

Cacho & Strauss (10) address this and related questions by looking at the evolution of tolerance to elements associated with serpentine soils, and to open habitats in relation to soil shifts across the phylogeny of a group of wild mustards (*Streptanthus* and relatives; “streptanthoid mustards”) in which serpentine endemism has evolved 4-5 times (15). They use a powerful, but rarely realized approach in evolutionary-ecological research, that of integrating comprehensive sets of measurements and/or experiments into a molecular phylogeny (see 16) to gain insights into the evolutionary history of soil specialization.

Intriguingly, Cacho and Strauss (10) find evidence that tolerance to open habitats appears to have evolved before tolerance of serpentine soils (Fig. 1C) and hence been a preadaptation (pre-adaptation). Cecchi et al. (17) also concluded recently that preadaptations (e.g., for drought tolerance) have been critical in the origins of serpentine tolerance, but had no direct evidence to support their hypothesis. Cacho and Strauss (10) also provide evidence that tolerance of stressful soil elements evolved in streptanthoid mustards coincident with, or after, switches to serpentine soils, rather than prior to switches to serpentine, as expected. In addition, in a novel, common-environment, competition experiment, the authors show that plants from more open habitats have lower competitive abilities. Importantly, this relationship is similar whether the plants are from bare habitats in serpentine-soil landscapes or from bare habitats in “normal”-soil landscapes.

The multiple origins of serpentine tolerance in the streptanthoid mustards raise a long-standing question in evolutionary biology: How do new ecological adaptations originate and why do parallelisms occur? The answer in both cases may be that critical pre-existing features that enable an ecological shift are already in place. A recent study by Christin et al. (18) found evidence for multiple parallel origins of C₄-photosynthesis in grasses and that these shifts were contingent on appropriate pre-existing leaf anatomy (exaptation). The parallels to the present study (10) are striking. Thus there seems to be growing support for the idea that major adaptive transitions in evolution often, or even usually, occur through the prior establishment by chance of one or more preadaptations (19; e.g., 20,21). Once these preadaptations are in place, it becomes much more likely that multiple independent parallel shifts (parallelisms) will occur, hence explaining the commonness of parallel evolution as is often observed in adaptive traits (e.g., 15,18,21). Additional detailed phylogenetic-comparative studies, such as this one (10), may show evolution by exaptation (preadaptations acquiring new functions) to be the dominant theme wherever parallelisms are observed in groups of related species.

1. Kruckeberg AR (1984) *California serpentine: flora, vegetation, geology, soils, and management problems* (University of California Press, Berkeley).

2. Brady KU, Kruckeberg AR, Bradshaw Jr HD (2005) Evolutionary ecology of plant adaptation to serpentine soils. *Annu Rev Ecol Syst* 36:243–266.
3. Kruckeberg AR (1954) The ecology of serpentine soils III. Plant species in relation to serpentine soils. *J Ecol* 35:267–274.
4. Grime JP (1977) Evidence for the existence of three primary strategies in plants and its relevance to ecological and evolutionary theory. *Am Nat* 111:1169–1194.
5. Anacker BL (2014) The nature of serpentine endemism. *Amer J Bot* 101(2):219–224
6. Powell KI, Knight TM (2009) Effects of nutrient addition and competition on biomass of five *Cirsium* species (Asteraceae), including a serpentine endemic. *Int J Plant Sci* 170(7):918–925.
7. Strauss SY, Cacho NI (2013). Nowhere to run, nowhere to hide: The importance of enemies and apparency in adaptation to harsh soil environments. *Amer Naturalist* 182:E1-E14.
8. Bertness MD, Callaway R (1994) Positive interactions in communities. *Trends Ecol Evol* 9:191–3.
9. Oviedo R, et al. (2014) Facilitation allows plant coexistence in Cuban serpentine soils. *Plant Biology* 16(4):711-716.
10. Cacho NI, Strauss SY (2014) Occupation of bare habitats, an evolutionary precursor to soil specialization in plants. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* XX,ZZZ.
11. Harrison S, Rajakaruna N (2011) *Serpentine: The evolution and ecology of a model system* (University of California Press, Berkeley, California, USA).
12. Shacklette HT (1966) Phytoecology of a greenstone habitat at Eagle, Alaska. *US Geological Survey Bulletin* 1198-F.
13. Batten AR, Murray DF, Dawe JC (1979) Threatened and endangered plants in selected areas of the BLM Fortymile Planning Unit Alaska. *BLM Tech Rep BLM/AK/TR3*:1–134.
14. Wesser SD, Armbruster WS (1991) Species distribution controls across a forest-steppe transition: A causal model and experimental test. *Ecol Monogr* 61:323–342.
15. Cacho NI, Burrell AM, Pepper AE, Strauss SY (2014) Novel nuclear markers inform the systematics and the evolution of serpentine use in *Streptanthus* and allies Thelypodieae, Brassicaceae). *Mol Phylogenet Evol* 72:71–81.
16. Weber MG, Agrawal AA (2012) Phylogeny, ecology, and the coupling of comparative and experimental approaches. *Trends Ecol Evol* 27:394-403.
17. Cecchi L, Coppi A, Selvi F (2011) Evolutionary dynamics of serpentine adaptation in *Onosma* (Boraginaceae) as revealed by ITS sequence data. *Plant Systematics Evolution* 297:185-199
18. Christin PA, et al. (2013) Anatomical enablers and the evolution of C-4 photosynthesis in grasses. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 110:1381-86.
19. Gould SJ, Vrba ES. (1982) Exaptation - a missing term in the science of form. *Paleobiology* 8(1):4-15.
20. Pellmyr O (1997) Pollinating seed eaters: Why is active pollination so rare? *Ecology* 78:1655-1660.
21. Armbruster WS, Lee J, Baldwin BG (2009) Macroevolutionary patterns of defense and pollination in *Dalechampia* vines: adaptation, exaptation, and evolutionary novelty. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 106:18085–90.

Figure 1. Evolution of serpentine-soil endemism from occupation of “normal” soils is apparently contingent on prior adaptations to occurring in open habitats. A. Dense vegetation characteristic of “normal” soils in Napa County, California. Pink flowers are *Collinsia sparsiflora* Fisch. & C.A. Mey, a species with “normal” and serpentine ecotypes. Photo credit: WS Armbruster. B. Open, sparse vegetation on a serpentine outcrop in nearby Lake County, California. Pink flowers in foreground are *Clarkia gracilis* subsp. *tracyi* (Jeps.) Abdel-Hameed & R. Snow, a subspecies largely restricted to serpentine soils. Photo credit: WS Armbruster. C. Maximum-credibility tree of the streptanthoid mustards, showing inferred evolution of open-habitat adaptations (maximum-likelihood ancestor-state reconstruction; shading on branches, with darker indicating occurrence in more open habitats; modified with permission from Fig. S4 in (10-Cacho & Strauss 2014)). Arrows indicate inferred origins of serpentine endemism (> 87% of records from serpentine soils; red) and serpentine tolerance (>11%, <87% of records from serpentine soils; violet), evolving from occupation of “normal” soils (branches to left of the violet or red arrows; data from (10-Cacho & Strauss 2014)). Soil-type optimization on branches used ordered parsimony, where serpentine tolerance is assumed to be a precursor of serpentine endemism. (To simplify the diagram, inferred coincident origins of tolerance and endemism are not shown.)



C

