Music Increases Alcohol Consumption Rate

In Young females

Lorenzo D. Stafford, PhD

Hannah Dodd, BSc

Centre for Comparative & Evolutionary Psychology
Department of Psychology, University of Portsmouth, U.K.

Correspondence to be sent to: Lorenzo D. Stafford, Department of Psychology, University of Portsmouth, King Henry Building, King Henry I Street, Portsmouth PO1 2DY. UK.   Email: lorenzo.Stafford@port.ac.uk. Tel: 02392 846322. Fax: 02392 846300

5 Tables
2 Figures

1
2 **Abstract**
3
4 Previous field research has shown that individuals consumed more alcohol and at a faster rate in

5 environments paired with loud music. Theoretically, this effect has been linked to

6 approach/avoidance accounts of how music influences arousal and mood, but no work has tested

7 this experimentally. In the present study, female participants (n=45) consumed an alcoholic (4%

8 alcohol-by-volume) beverage in one of three contexts: slow tempo, fast tempo music or no music

9 control. Results revealed that compared to the control, the beverage was consumed fastest in the

10 two music conditions. Interestingly, whereas arousal and negative mood declined in the control

11 condition, this was not the case for either of the music conditions, suggesting a down regulation

12 of alcohol effects. We additionally found evidence for music to disrupt sensory systems in that

13 counter-intuitively, faster consumption was driven by increases in perceived alcohol strength,

14 which in turn predicted lower BrAL. These findings suggest a unique interaction of music

15 environment and psychoactive effects of alcohol itself on consumption rate. Since alcohol

16 consumed at a faster rate induces greater intoxication, these findings have implications for

17 applied and theoretical work.

18

Disclosures and Acknowledgments

1. Introduction

The psychopharmacology of attention has been examined from a number of different perspectives. In terms of addiction, a large body of research has demonstrated how environmental stimuli frequently associated to a drug (e.g. packet of cigarettes, beer glass) capture attentional focus (Hogarth, Mogg, Bradley, Duka, & Dickinson, 2003; Townshend & Duka, 2001) in such drug users. Apart from addiction, work has shown how drugs consumed in particular environments can lead to differences in their actual effects. For instance one study found that alcohol produced contrasts in physical sensations (e.g. face numb) when consumed alone compared to a group environment (Sher, 1985). Using a conditioning paradigm, more recent work found that alcohol impaired cognition when consumed in the unfamiliar environment (Birak, Higgs, & Terry, 2011). It is therefore clear that environment has a potent effect on responses to alcohol, but few experimental studies have examined the influence of another important context: music. Field research (Gueguen, Jacob, Le Guellec, Morineau, & Lourel, 2008; Gueguen, Le Guellec, & Jacob, 2004) has already shown that music can affect the speed and amount of alcohol consumption but no research has examined the mechanism underlying these effects.

Excessive alcohol consumption is a concern for any government due to its risks to both individual health (Parry, Patra, & Rehm, 2011) and the associated burden of costs to healthcare, law and order (POST, 2005). Young people are a priority since hazardous drinking habits learned whilst young may well shape such behavior later in life (Jefferis, Power, & Manor, 2005). It is therefore especially worrying that university students consume more alcohol than their non-student peers (Dawson, Grant, Stinson, & Chou, 2004; Gill, 2002).

1   In a recent UK study (Craigs, Bewick, Gill, O'May, & Radley, 2012) 32% of undergraduates

2 reported consuming alcohol at levels that are considered hazardous and additionally 24% of

3 females reported consuming alcohol at levels thought to be harmful to health (see Table 1 for UK

4 recommendations and US equivalents). To put this into a larger context, if we define female

5 heavy drinking as consuming more than 4 drinks on at least one occasion in the past 2 weeks, one

6 large international study found similar rates for UK (33%) and US (27%) females, compared to

7 Ireland (57%) at the top end and Germany, France & Italy (≤7%) at the lower end of the range

8 (Dantzer, Wardle, Fuller, Pampalone, & Steptoe, 2006).   Connecting this to the present study, it

9 is likely that a large proportion of students total alcohol intake takes place in environments paired

10 with music, which make it particularly important to study the effects of music on alcohol

11 consumption in this population.

12

13   In considering the influence of music it is worth remembering that music is not a single entity but

14 a multitude of different elements (Bruner, 1990) such as timbre (tone that differentiates music)

15 and tempo (pace of music). Tempo is particularly relevant to the present investigation, as related

16 work has already demonstrated how music that is faster in tempo can speed up behavior, leading

17 to faster food/soft drink consumption (McElrea & Standing, 1992; Roballey et al., 1985) and less

18 time spent in a restaurant (Milliman, 1986). One theory to explain these effects is based on how

19 music alters arousal and mood systems and their impact on approach/avoidance behavior

20 (Mehrabian & Russel, 1974). Hence music of a faster tempo is generally considered as more

21 arousing compared to slower music (Berlyne, 1971), and indeed has been shown to increase

22 treadmill running speed and arousal (Edworthy & Waring, 2006).

1   Separately, it is well known that certain music has the ability to increase positive mood

2   (Gerrardshesse, Spies, & Hesse, 1994). It is therefore theorized that increases in arousal lead to

3   increases in avoidant behavior, thus explaining faster consumption (Roballey et al., 1985;

4   (McElrea & Standing, 1992). In contrast, increases in positive mood lead to increases in

5   approach behavior. Support for the theory comes mainly from consumer research, where

6   increased arousal predicted less time spent shopping but increases in positive mood (pleasure)

7   predicted increases in shopping time (Donovan, Rossiter, Marcoolyn, & Nesdale, 1994).

8

9   In terms of alcohol consumption, in addition to possible changes to arousal/mood systems,

10 another important factor is alterations to alcohol taste, since research has demonstrated that

11 slower consumption of an alcoholic drink was accompanied by increases in perceived alcohol

12 strength (Higgs, Stafford, Attwood, Walker, & Terry, 2008). So, presumably alcohol strength

13 was a cue to slow down the rate of consumption. Moreover, a recent study found that individuals

14 estimated the initial taste of alcohol beverages as sweeter in the context of background music

15 (Stafford, Fernandes, & Agobiani, 2012). Since humans have an innate preference for sweet

16 foods (Steiner, 1979) and habitual alcohol consumption is higher if found sweeter (Lanier, Hayes,

17 & Duffy, 2005) all provide a plausible explanation as to how music can increase consumption.

18

19 Theoretically, the ability of alcohol taste to be affected by background music might also be

20 explained using Cognitive Load Theory (CLT), which posits that completion of two concurrent

21 tasks can result in excessive demands on attentional resources, resulting in impaired

22 performance/judgement. This model can explain related work where individuals consumed more

23 food in a distracted condition (Bellisle & Dalix, 2001). So in that study, one assumes that

1   listening to a distracting story resulted in less attentional resources available to monitor energy

2   (food) consumption. In a similar way, listening to background music could distract individuals

3   attention on the taste attributes (bitter, sweet, alcohol strength) on the alcoholic beverage and

4   thereby influence the rate at which it is consumed. However, what is not known is how these

5   effects are influenced by alcohol itself, which is important since episodes of alcohol consumption

6   are always accompanied by the pharmacological effect of alcohol and we therefore need to know

7   how this combines with music to influence alcohol perception. Although we might expect fast

8   tempo music to increase arousal and consequent avoidant behavior (faster consumption), just

9   how this interacts with the sedative effect of alcohol itself is unknown. Additionally, could the

10   presence of music act to distract attention to the effects of alcohol itself as well as the taste

11   attributes.

12

13   To test this theory in the present study, females consumed an alcoholic beverage in the context of

14   either a slow, fast tempo or no music condition. There were a number of reasons for using only

15   females. Firstly, there is evidence that males and females differ in their rate of alcohol

16   metabolism (Dettling et al., 2007) and hence using both genders would have added a confound to

17   the study. Secondly, a number of previous studies have used males only to study the effects of

18   environment on alcohol consumption (Gueguen et al., 2008; Higgs et al., 2008), and it was

19   therefore thought important to extend the research to females.   Finally, selecting an alcoholic

20   beverage for the study that was liked and consumed equally by both males and females would be

21   challenging.

22

1   We predicted that the drink would be consumed fastest in the fast tempo music condition, and

2   this would most likely be related to alterations in arousal, mood and drink taste. We also planned

3   to explore the relationships between drink duration and the sensory evaluations of the beverage.

4
5
6   2. Method

7
8   2.1 Participants
9
10 Forty-five female university students participated in the study, aged between 18 and 28 years of

11 age (M = 18.9, SD = 1.7). Participants were recruited using an online system where the study

12 was advertised as examining the factors which influence alcohol related behaviour. Participants

13 were invited to take part if they were aged between 18 and 25 and were regular consumers of

14 alcohol. Since the test drink to be consumed was vodka based, an additional criteria was that

15 potential participants' habitual consumption had to include vodka based beverages. The study

16 protocol was given ethical approval from the department's ethics committee (BPS guidelines).

17
18
19 2.2 Design
20
21 The study used a between-subjects design where participants were randomly allocated to one of 3

22 groups (Table 2) based on music condition: Control (No music), Slow Tempo, Fast Tempo. The

23 main dependent variable was the duration to consume the test beverage.

24

25 2.3 Materials
26
27 *2.3.1 Alcohol Usage Questionnaire (AUQ)*

28 Patterns of habitual alcohol consumption were measured using a questionnaire (based on

29 (Mehrabian & Russell, 1978). Participants were accepted into the study only if their total weekly

1   alcohol consumption was between 8 and 50 units of alcohol, consistent with previous research

2   (Higgs, et al., 2008).

3

4   *2.3.2 Olfactory & Taste Tests*

5   The olfactory and taste tests were similar to those used in a previous study (Stafford et al., 2012)

6   and were taken to check for any differences between conditions. For the olfactory test,

7   participants were asked to smell the odor from a plastic squeeze bottle (250ml), containing 50ml

8   distilled water and 4% n-butanol (a neutral odor). Participants were asked to complete ratings

9   using Visual Analogue Scales (VAS), with three 100mm unmarked lines labelled "not at all" and

10   "extremely" at either end, with the adjectives: intensity, bitterness, sweetness, pleasantness

11   centred above each line. For the taste test, participants were presented with a bitter (0.005g

12   quinine hydrochloride in 10g water) followed by a sweet (1g sucrose in 10g water) tastant

13   (counterbalanced order) which was sprayed onto the tongue. After each taste, they completed the

14   same VAS ratings and sipped some water before the next taste. The bitter and sweet taste sprays

15   were part of a larger test from the 'Sniffin sticks' battery (Burghart Instruments, West Germany).

16

17   *2.3.3 Arousal, Thirst & Hunger*

18   Arousal, thirst and hunger were measured using VAS with the adjectives centred above each line

19   in the following order; "alert", "thirsty", "drowsy", "hungry".

20

21   *2.3.4 Positive and Negative Mood*

22   The Positive and Negative Affect Schedule (PANAS) from (Watson, Clark, & Tellegen, 1988)

23   was used to measure mood during the experiment. The PANAS consisted of a 5 point Likert

24   scales ranging from 1 (very slightly or not at all) to 5 (extremely) on which participants rated

1 their feelings and indicated the extent to which they currently experienced 10 positive and 10

2 negative emotions.

3

4 *2.3.5 Music*

5 A contemporary piece of instrumental music ('Stress' by Justice – Justice Cross) was selected for

6 the study, being typical of that played currently in nightclubs. The music was modified using the

7 software BestPractice to produce slow (85 bpm) and fast (142 bpm) tempo versions. The music

8 was played from iTunes through headphones being played at the same volume (≈80db).

9
10 *2.3.6 Sensory Ratings*

11 For each beverage, participants used VAS anchored with "Low" or "Not at all" followed by the

12 relevant adjective, and "High" or "Very", again followed by the relevant adjective. The

13 following descriptors within the context of a sentence verifying the question were centred above

14 each line in the following order; "cold", "familiar", "alcohol strength", "like", "sweet", "bitter".

15 These descriptors were the same as those used in previous research (Higgs, et al., 2008).

16

17 *2.3.7 Drinks and administration*

18 A mini-study was conducted in order to select the most appropriate alcoholic beverage to use in

19 the study. Five female participants were presented with five shot glasses, each containing 25ml

20 of a shop purchased vodka based drink: Vodka Kick Apple (4% ABV), Smirnoff Ice (4% ABV),

21 Smirnoff and Cranberry(6.4% ABV), WKD (4% ABV) and Orange Reef (5% ABV),

22 refrigerated separately at a temperature of 7°C. These beverages were chosen as they are

23 frequently consumed by young females in the UK. Drinks were presented in a counterbalanced

24 order; participants rated the taste (and other sensory characteristics including alcohol strength)

1   using VAS. WKD was selected for the main study since it was rated as one of the most pleasant

2   drinks and provided variability in terms of bitter/sweet. For the main study, participants were

3   presented with a glass containing 275ml of freshly opened WKD.

4

5

6   **2.4 Procedure**

7   All testing took place between 1200 and 1700 in test rooms at the Psychology dept. Upon

8   arrival, participants provided informed consent, had their weight measured and then completed a

9   breathalyzer test using a digital personal breathalyzer (Alcoscan AL7000) to ensure their Breath

10   Alcohol Level (BrAL) was zero (all readings were '0'). Next, participants completed the AUQ,

11   arousal thirst & hunger ratings, followed by the PANAS mood questionnaire. Participants then

12   consumed water to ensure they were at similar levels of thirst. Participants then completed the

13   olfactory and taste tests, followed by the taste test. Participants took two sips of the alcoholic

14   beverage (WKD) and then completed the VAS on the sensory characteristics of the beverage.

15

16   Participants were then instructed to consume all of the drink whilst watching a DVD programme

17   ('The blue planet', a natural history of the oceans, BBCDVD 1089, 2001) and either listen to

18   music or be told there was no sound. The main purpose of showing subjects a television

19   programme, was to provide a more naturalistic environment and also divert attention away from

20   any suspicion that the rate of consumption was being measured, particularly important for those

21   participants in the control condition and is similar to previous research (Higgs et al., 2008). The

22   participants were timed covertly using a stopwatch on a mobile phone. The stopwatch began

23   when they took their first sip of the beverage and stopped when participants placed the empty

24   glass back on the table. Participants then made their final sensory ratings for the drink, their

1 arousal, thirst and hunger ratings and positive and negative mood. Their BrAL was measured for

2 a final time. The participant was then given a partial debriefing on the purpose of the study and

3 told a full debriefing would be sent to participants by email after completion of the study. A

4 partial debriefing was felt necessary since a full debriefing might well have compromised the

5 study for future participants. Although the amount of alcohol consumed was relatively small

6 ($\approx$1.5 UK Alcohol units/1 standard 125ml glass of wine), participants were asked to wait for 10

7 minutes in a waiting area to ensure no adverse reaction to alcohol. They were additionally

8 informed not to operate heavy machinery, drive a car, ride a bicycle for at least 3 hours (by which

9 time the alcohol would have been fully metabolized). Participants were given course credit for

10 participation.

11

12 **2.5 Analysis**
13

14 The time taken to consume the beverage was analysed using a Univariate ANOVA with the

15 between-subjects factor of Group (No Music/Slow Tempo/Fast Tempo). Sensory data, positive

16 and negative affect and arousal, thirst and hunger were analysed by using repeated measures

17 ANOVAs with the within subjects factor of Time (Baseline/End of study) and the between-

18 subjects factor of Group (No Music/Slow Tempo/Fast Tempo).

19
20 **3. Results**
21
22
23 *3.1 Participant characteristics*
24
25 For participants weight, analysis revealed a significant effect of Group (Table 2), with those in

26 the Slow tempo group being heavier than the Control (p = .015) and Fast tempo group (p =.06),

1   who did not differ from each other (p = .52). There were no group differences in any of the

2   olfactory and taste tests.

3
4   *3.2 Consumption Duration*
5
6   We found a significant effect of Group, $F(2, 42) = 10.35$, $p < .001$, $\eta^2 = .33$, where partially

7   agreeing with our prediction, both fast and slow tempo groups consumed the drink faster than the

8   control (both ps <.01), though no difference was found in the former two groups (p = .51) (Figure

9   1). Since there were differences in weight between groups we completed an ANCOVA with

10 weight as covariate which did not alter these findings.

11
12   -Insert Figure 1 about here-

13
14
15 *3.3 Sensory Ratings*
16
17 We found significant effects of Time for alcohol strength and liking, with increases and decreases

18 respectively (Table 3). There were however no main effects of Group or Group x Time

19 interactions which was against our prediction. None of the other sensory ratings were significant.

20

21 *3.3 Arousal/Thirst/Hunger*
22
23 For alertness, there was an approaching effect of Time, $F(1, 42) = 3.67$, $p = .06$, $\eta^2 = .08$ and

24 although the Group and Group x Time effects were not significant (Fs < 1.3), the reduction in

25 alertness from baseline to the end of study is clearly more gradual in the two music conditions

26 (Figure 2), which partially agrees with our prediction that differences in arousal would be seen in

27 the music versus no music conditions.

28

-14-

| 1 | -Insert Figure 2 about here- |
| 2 | |

3   For ratings of thirst, there was a significant main effect of Time, $F(1, 42) = 5.34$, $p = .02$, $\eta^2 = .11$,

4   with as expected, thirst ratings decreasing from baseline (M = 58, SE = 2.8) to post drink task (M

5   = 47.5, SE = 3.8). The absence of any Group effects confirm that drink duration could not be

6   attributable to differences in thirst between conditions.

7

8

9   *3.4 Positive and Negative Mood*

10

11 Positive mood ratings revealed significant effects of Time and separately Group (Table 3), where

12 positive mood was highest in the Fast versus both Slow (p = .01) and Control (p = .001) groups,

13 who did not differ from each other (p = .30). For negative mood, we found a significant effect of

14 Time qualified by a Group x Time interaction, $F(2, 42) = 3.35$, $p < .05$, $\eta^2 = .14$. To examine this

15 further, we completed separate ANOVAs for each group, which revealed for the Control group

16 only, a significant effect of Time, $F(1, 14) = 9.00$, $p = .01$, $\eta^2 = .39$, with negative mood declining

17 from baseline (Table 4). In summary, the clearest effects for mood revealed that negative mood

18 declined in the control group but remained constant for both music groups. This supports the

19 prediction of differences in mood between music and control conditions.

20

21 *3.5 Correlations*

22 In order to explore what other factors might modulate consumption rate in the context of music

23 and since there were no differences between the two music conditions, we merged this data and

24 completed correlations between consumption rate, mood and sensory ratings, comparing these to

25 the control group. We then repeated these correlations replacing consumption rate with BrAL,

1   since it was theoretically interesting to compare changes in alcohol perception in the light of

2   objective BrALs (Table 5). In terms of duration we found that for control individuals, increases

3   in drink liking and sweetness predicted faster consumption, which could in turn be related to

4   increased drink liking being related to decreased negative mood. In contrast, none of these

5   associations were found for those exposed to music who against intuition consumed the drink

6   more quickly when it was perceived as higher in alcohol strength. This latter finding could be

7   explained by the inverse association between BrAL and alcohol strength; hence (paradoxically)

8   decreases in BrAL were associated with higher perceived alcohol strength. We also found that

9   whereas increases in negative mood predicted a higher BrAL in the control group, no such

10 association was found for those exposed to music. Since the ascending limb of blood alcohol

11 concentration is normally associated with more positive mood, we calculated a change from

12 baseline score separately for positive and negative mood. This revealed that for control

13 participants only that decreases in negative mood were associated with higher BrAL, $r(15) = -.49$,

14 $p = .06$, which conforms more to what we would expect.

15 In summary, these correlations suggest a disruption to both sensory and affective systems in

16 those exposed to music.

17

18

19 **4. Discussion**
20
21 The study found that in a sample of young female drinkers that consumption of an alcoholic

22 beverage was faster in the presence of both slow and fast tempo music compared to a no music

23 control. This result agrees with the prediction that music would lead to faster alcohol

24 consumption, though we also expected faster consumption in the fast versus slow tempo

-16-

1   condition, which was not seen. Previous work in this field demonstrated that a soft drink was

2   consumed quicker in the presence of a fast versus slow tempo environment (McElrea & Standing,

3   1992). However, since their design did not include a 'no music' control, we cannot be sure if

4   drink duration actually differed from individuals not exposed to any music. Additionally, that

5   study used piano music played at a speed of either 54(slow) or 132(fast) bpm, compared to

6   contemporary club music 85/142 bpm in the present study. It is therefore possible that using a

7   higher magnitude between the two conditions as that study might elicit contrasts in alcohol

8   consumption speed.

9

10   Surprisingly, there were no differences in sensory ratings between the three conditions, which

11   one might have expected as a way of explaining the contrasting rates of consumption. In

12   previous research, we found that individuals rated alcohol as sweeter in the context of music

13   (Stafford et al., 2012). However, that work examined the effects of music on the initial taste of

14   alcohol and it is therefore possible that the combined influence of the pharmacological effect of

15   alcohol itself and music act to diminish any absolute differences in taste. Nevertheless, the

16   findings from the correlational data do suggest some differences in the relationship between

17   consumption speed and taste.

18
19   For control participants, the association between final sweetness/ liking ratings of the beverage

20   and consumption speed appear normal in that one might be expected to consume something faster

21   the more it is liked (also found in food research, (Hill & McCutcheon, 1984). In contrast for

22   participants in the music conditions, no such pattern was found but rather increased ratings of

23   alcohol strength predicting faster consumption, which is counterintuitive given that in other work,

24   individuals who took longer to consume a 7% versus 3% abv drink also rated the drink as higher

1   in alcohol strength (Higgs et al., 2008). Hence higher perceived alcohol strength was likely used

2   as a cue to 'slow' down the speed of consumption, which is opposite to the findings here.

3   We also found that for control participants, increases in negative mood were strongly associated

4   with lower liking for the drink, i.e. the sadder individuals became, the less they liked the drink,

5   which was not seen in those exposed to music. Since liking was related to the speed of

6   consumption, this suggests that music altered the relationship between sensory and affective

7   systems which influence the rate of alcohol consumption.

8

9   In terms of alterations in arousal and mood, compared to controls we found evidence for music to

10 prevent the fall in alertness and maintain a static level of negative mood. The fact that negative

11 mood declined over the course of the study for the control group is particularly noteworthy. If

12 we assume the net effect of negative mood reduction is feeling more positive, this is precisely

13 what we would expect in the ascending limb of blood alcohol concentration per previous research

14 (Sutker, Tabakoff, Goist, & Randall, 1983). Indeed, this gains support from the observation that

15 for control participants only, decreases in negative mood predicted increases in breath alcohol

16 level. The fact this was not seen in either music condition could be significant in its down

17 regulation of alcohol effects on mood.

18

19 Based on the findings of the current study and previous work, we can now make some inferences

20 on music's effect on alcohol perception. The initial effects of music on alcohol perception are

21 principally via its ability to increase estimates of sweetness (Stafford et al., 2012), which may

22 well prime individuals to consume greater volumes and at a faster rate as has been observed in

23 field work (Gueguen, 2008). We now know that although the combined effects of music and

1   psychoactive effects of alcohol itself do indeed lead to faster alcohol consumption, the causal

2   nature of this effect are not straightforward. The approach/avoidance theory (Mehrabian &

3   Russell, 1974) received some support from these findings, most notably from a persistence in

4   negative mood in the two music conditions. Of course, it needs to be recalled that this theory has

5   been most commonly applied to retail environments, whereas in the study here, the drug effects

6   of alcohol itself likely interacted with music.   Hence the arousing/mood altering properties of

7   music were likely partially offset by the psychoactive effects of alcohol itself.

8   An alternative theory is that the environment of music may have induced a compensatory

9   response to counteract the effects of alcohol, analogous to individuals who developed tolerance to

10   alcohol received in the same environment (Birak et al., 2011). So, the contemporary music in the

11   present study (typical of current club music) acted to curb some of the sedative effects of alcohol

12   in the form of preventing a decline in arousal. However, since work has shown that perceiving

13   the effects of alcohol can be a cue to drink strength (Higgs et al, 2008), the ability of music to

14   reduce these effects may have led to a false appreciation of alcohol strength being lower than it

15   actually was (BrAL) and thereby induce faster consumption. In other words in the present study,

16   music caused a mismatch between the objective BrAL and the perceived alcohol strength, and

17   this may explain why the drink was consumed faster with higher perceived alcohol strength.

18

19   The findings in the present study might also be accommodated by Cognitive Load Theory (CLT),

20   similarly to how a distracting task led to greater food consumption (Bellisle & Dalix, 2001).

21   However in that study, the distracting task entailed a much clearer cognitive load where

22   participants were asked to listen to a story whose contents they would later be questioned upon,

23   compared to listening to sensory information about the food (lower cognitive load). In the

1 current study, participants were not asked to remember any of the distracting material and hence

2 it is uncertain of the level of cognitive demand. Interestingly, in terms of the initial perception of

3 alcoholic beverages, our previous study (Stafford et al., 2012) demonstrated simply listening to

4 music had a greater effect on distorting alcohol sweetness than a task with a higher cognitive load

5 (listening to music and shadowing a news story), which might seem to challenge cognitive load

6 accounts in this area. Importantly however, that study did not examine the rate of consumption

7 and effects of alcohol itself. Additional research is therefore needed, using tasks varying in

8 cognitive load to understand whether they affect the rate of alcohol consumption.

9

10 In terms of limitations, since we only used female participants, we cannot be sure whether music

11 would have similar effects in male drinkers. However, relevant here is the finding from

12 observational research that there were no interactive effects of environment (music volume) and

13 gender on alcohol consumption (Gueguen, 2004), which suggests that music would affect males

14 and females equally. Following on from this, further research with a wider population (not just

15 university students), age range and level of habitual consumption is encouraged to ascertain

16 generalizability of these effects. Additional information on female use of contraceptives and

17 menstrual cycle would also be useful, since it is possible that the mood alterations that

18 accompany PMS (Kiesner, 2012) could affect alcohol perception. It would also be prudent to

19 screen potential participants for alcohol related problems, for instance using the Michigan

20 Alcohol Screening Test (MAST). We also recommend that future research obtain additional

21 measures of alcohol intoxication (e.g. perceived lightheadedness) as it would be useful to

22 understand how this might change with music context; together with a more comprehensive

23 measure of arousal such as the Bond-Lader scale (Bond & Lader, 1974). In terms of the

1 experimental design, although using a within-subjects has advantages (e.g. each participant acting

2 as their own control) over the between-subjects design used here, there would also be a good

3 chance of order effects as seen in previous research (Higgs et al., 2008), with participants

4 consuming drinks more slowly on the second occasion irrespective of condition.

5

6 The current study found that individuals consumed an alcoholic beverage faster in the context of

7 music. Since alcohol that is consumed at a faster rate will have a greater intoxicating effect and

8 associated health risks, it is important that consumers are aware of this effect. In an era of

9 unparalleled distractions on human attention, it is imperative that we understand further how

10 these factors interact with the effect of drugs, particularly with one of the most widely consumed

11 and controversial: alcohol.

12

13

14

1

2   **References**

3

4   Bellisle, F., & Dalix, A. M. (2001). Cognitive restraint can be offset by distraction, leading to

5   increased meal intake in women. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition, 74*(2), 197-200.

6   Berlyne, D. E. (1971). *Aesthetics and psychology*. New York: Appleton-County Crofts.

7   Birak, K. S., Higgs, S., & Terry, P. (2011). Conditioned tolerance to the effects of alcohol on

8   inhibitory control in humans. *Alcohol and Alcoholism, 46*(6), 686-693. doi:

9   10.1093/alcalc/agr084

10   Bond, A., & Lader, M. (1974). The use of analogue scales in rating subjective feelings. *British

11   Journal of Medical Psychology, 47*, 211-218.

12   Bruner, G. C. (1990). Music, mood and marketing. *Journal of Marketing, 54*(4), 94-104.

13   Craigs, C. L., Bewick, B. M., Gill, J., O'May, F., & Radley, D. (2012). UK student alcohol

14   consumption: A cluster analysis of drinking behaviour typologies. *Health Education

15   Journal, 71*(4), 516-526. doi: 10.1177/0017896911406967

16   Dantzer, C., Wardle, J., Fuller, R., Pampalone, S. Z., & Steptoe, A. (2006). International study of

17   heavy drinking: Attitudes and sociodemographic factors in university students. *Journal of

18   American College Health, 55*(2), 83-89. doi: 10.3200/jach.55.2.83-90

19   Dawson, D. A., Grant, B. F., Stinson, F. S., & Chou, P. S. (2004). Another look at heavy episodic

20   drinking and alcohol use disorders among college and noncollege youth. *Journal of

21   Studies on Alcohol, 65*(4), 477-488.

1   Dettling, A., Fischer, F., Boehler, S., Ulrichs, F., Skopp, G., Graw, M., & Haffner, H.-T. (2007).

2   Ethanol elimination rates in men and women in consideration of the calculated liver

3   weight. *Alcohol, 41*(6), 415-420. doi: 10.1016/j.alcohol.2007.05.003

4   Donovan, R. J., Rossiter, J. R., Marcoolyn, G., & Nesdale, A. (1994). Store atmosphere and

5   purchasing behavior. *Journal of Retailing, 70*(3), 283-294. doi: 10.1016/0022-

6   4359(94)90037-x

7   Edworthy, J., & Waring, H. (2006). The effects of music tempo and loudness level on treadmill

8   exercise. *Ergonomics, 49*(15), 1597-1610. doi: 10.1080/00140130600899104

9   Gerrardshesse, A., Spies, K., & Hesse, F. W. (1994). Experimental Inductions of emotional states

10   and their effectiveness - a review. *British Journal of Psychology, 85*, 55-78.

11   Gill, J. S. (2002). Reported levels of alcohol consumption and binge drinking within the UK

12   undergraduate student population over the last 25 years. *Alcohol and Alcoholism, 37*(2),

13   109-120. doi: 10.1093/alcalc/37.2.109

14   Gueguen, N., Jacob, C., Le Guellec, H., Morineau, T., & Lourel, M. (2008). Sound level of

15   environmental music and drinking behavior: A field experiment with beer drinkers.

16   *Alcoholism-Clinical and Experimental Research, 32*(10), 1795-1798.

17   Gueguen, N., Le Guellec, H., & Jacob, C. (2004). Sound level of background music and alcohol

18   consumption: An empirical evaluation. *Perceptual and Motor Skills, 99*(1), 34-38.

19   Higgs, S., Stafford, L. D., Attwood, A. S., Walker, S. C., & Terry, P. (2008). Cues that signal the

20   alcohol content of a beverage and their effectiveness at altering drinking rates in young

21   social drinkers. *Alcohol and Alcoholism 43*(6), 630-635.

1   Hill, S. W., & McCutcheon, N. B. (1984). Contributions of obesity, gender, hunger, food

2   preference, and body size to bite size, bite speed, and rate of eating. *Appetite, 5*(2), 73-83.

3   doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0195-6663(84)80026-4

4   Hogarth, L. C., Mogg, K., Bradley, B. P., Duka, T., & Dickinson, A. (2003). Attentional

5   orienting towards smoking-related stimuli. *Behavioural Pharmacology, 14*, 153-160.

6   Jefferis, B., Power, C., & Manor, O. (2005). Adolescent drinking level and adult binge drinking

7   in a national birth cohort. *Addiction, 100*(4), 543-549. doi: 10.1111/j.1360-

8   0443.2005.01034.x

9   Kiesner, J. (2012). Affective response to the menstrual cycle as a predictor of self-reported

10   affective response to alcohol and alcohol use. *Archives of Womens Mental Health, 15*(6),

11   423-432. doi: 10.1007/s00737-012-0303-1

12   Lanier, S. A., Hayes, J. E., & Duffy, V. B. (2005). Sweet and bitter tastes of alcoholic beverages

13   mediate alcohol intake in of-age undergraduates. *Physiology & Behavior, 83*(5), 821-831.

14   doi: DOI: 10.1016/j.physbeh.2004.10.004

15   McElrea, H., & Standing, L. (1992). Fast music causes fast drinking. *Perceptual and Motor*

16   *Skills, 75*(2), 362-362.

17   Mehrabian, A., & Russel, J. A. (1974). *An approach to environmental psychology*. Cambridge,

18   Mass: MIT press.

19   Mehrabian, A., & Russell, J. A. (1978). A questionnaire measure of habitual alcohol use.

20   *Psychological Reports, 43*, 803-806.

21   Milliman, R. E. (1986). The influence of background music on the behavior of restaurant patrons.

22   *Journal of Consumer Research, 13*(2), 286-289. doi: 10.1086/209068

1 Parry, C. D., Patra, J., & Rehm, J. (2011). Alcohol consumption and non-communicable diseases:

2   epidemiology and policy implications. *Addiction, 106*(10), 1718-1724. doi:

3   10.1111/j.1360-0443.2011.03605.x

4 POST. (2005). *Binge Drinking and Public Health* London: Parliamentary Office of Science and

5   Technology.

6 Roballey, T. C., McGreevy, C., Rongo, R. R., Schwantes, M. L., Steger, P. J., Wininger, M. A.,

7   & Gardner, E. B. (1985). The effect of music on eating behavior. *Bulletin of the*

8   *Psychonomic Society, 23*(3), 221-222.

9 Sher, K. J. (1985). Subjective effects of alcohol: The influence of setting and individual

10   differences in alcohol expectancies. *Journal of Studies on Alcohol, 46*(2), 137-146.

11 Stafford, L. D., Fernandes, M., & Agobiani, E. (2012). Effects of noise and distraction on alcohol

12   perception. *Food Quality and Preference, 24*(1), 218-224. doi:

13   10.1016/j.foodqual.2011.10.012

14 Steiner, J. E. (1979). Human facial expressions in response to taste and smell stimulation. *Adv*

15   *Child Development and Behavior, 13*, 257-295.

16 Sutker, P. B., Tabakoff, B., Goist, K. C., & Randall, C. L. (1983). Acute alcohol-intoxication,

17   mood states and alcohol metabolism in women and men. *Pharmacology Biochemistry and*

18   *Behavior, 18*, 349-354. doi: 10.1016/0091-3057(83)90198-3

19 Townshend, J. M., & Duka, T. (2001). Attentional bias associated with alcohol cues: differences

20   between heavy and occasional social drinkers. *Psychopharmacology, 157*(1), 67-74.

1   Watson, D., Clark, L. A., & Tellegen, A. (1988). Development and Validation of Brief Measures

2   of Positive and Negative Affect - the Panas Scales. *Journal of Personality and Social*

3   *Psychology, 54*(6), 1063-1070.

4

5

6

7

1 **Table 1. UK/US Comparison Of Alcohol Measurements**

2
3
4

	UK Guidelines[1] (UK Alcohol units per week)			
	Sensible		Harmful	
	Male	Female	Male	Female
	<21units	<14units	50units+	35units+
US Standard drinks equivalent	12	8	29	20

5
6   Notes
7   '1' UK Alcohol unit = 8g alcohol
8   '1' US Standard drink = 14g alcohol (eqv 5oz/116ml 12% wine)
9   Therefore 1 US Standard drink = 1.75 UK Alcohol units
10

[1] Department of Health. *Safe. sensible. social. The next steps in the National Alcohol Strategy*. London: DH Publications, 2007.

1
2 **Table 2. Mean (SEM) Participant Characteristics**

3

	Control		Slow Tempo		Fast Tempo		Group
	M	**SE**	**M**	**SE**	**M**	**SE**	**Differences**
Age	19.9	0.2	19.9	0.40	20.3	0.3	F = 0.66, NS
UK Alcohol units (p/week)	23.6	3.4	26.0	4.1	30.1	3.0	F = 1.09, NS
Weight	62.0	2.5	72.3	3.6	64.6	2.4	F = 3.48, p = .04
BrAL	0.59	0.09	0.63	0.13	0.56	0.06	F = .126, NS
Smoker[1]/Non Smoker Ratio	2/13		0/15		5/10		

4
5 [1] Mostly social smokers, $\leq$ 5 cigarettes per day.

1
2 **Table 3. Mean Drink Sensory Ratings Depending On Group**
3

		Control		Slow Tempo		Fast Tempo		
	Time	**M**	**SE**	**M**	**SE**	**M**	**SE**	**Main Effect (Time)**
Alcohol Strength	**Baseline**	19.7	4.3	24.7	4.1	30.1	3.3	
	End	24.2	4.9	31.5	5.0	36.6	4.5	$F = 9.00$, $p < .01$
Liking	**Baseline**	68.4	6.2	78.1	4.3	77.3	5.2	
	End	67.8	6.7	70.1	5.7	74.2	6.6	$F = 4.13$, $p < .05$
Sweet	**Baseline**	80.7	4.6	86.2	2.2	86.2	2.1	
	End	83.5	4.4	87.2	2.7	84.1	2.8	$F < 1$, NS
Bitter	**Baseline**	15.3	4.4	17.2	2.6	11.2	1.6	
	End	13.1	3.5	15.3	3.5	16.5	4.3	$F < 1$, NS
Familiar	**Baseline**	89.3	2.8	88.6	3.3	91.3	2.1	
	End	89.9	3.3	92.3	1.7	90.1	2.9	$F < 1$, NS
Cold	**Baseline**	76.4	3.1	68.0	6.0	66.8	4.6	
	End	69.4	4.6	62.5	5.3	65.5	5.2	$F = 3.39$, $p = .07$

4
5

1
2 **Table 4. Mean PANAS Ratings Depending On Time Period And Group**
3

		Control		Slow Tempo		Fast Tempo		Main Effects	
	Time	**M**	**SE**	**M**	**SE**	**M**	**SE**	**Time**	**Group**
Positive	**Baseline**	25.8	1.7	27.7	1.6	32.7	1.1		
	End	23.6	1.8	25.6	1.6	31.0	1.3	$F = 7.76$, $p < .01$	$F = 6.89$, $p < .01$
Negative	**Baseline**	15.5	1.8	14.5	0.8	16.6	1.9		
	End	12.9	1.1	14.6	1.1	16.1	1.8	$F = 5.36$, $p < .05$	$F < 1$, NS

4
5

Table 5. Correlations Between Duration, BrAL, Mood And Sensory Ratings Depending On Group

	Control	Slow & Fast Tempo
Duration/Alcohol Strength	-.16	-.40**
Duration/Alcohol Liking	-.49*	-.24
Duration/Alcohol Sweetness	-.48*	12
Duration/Alcohol Bitterness	.09	.08
Duration/Positive Mood	-.05	.14
Duration/Negative Mood	-.01	-.18
BrAL/Duration	-.34	.06
BrAL/Alcohol Strength	.01	-.38**
BrAL/Alcohol Liking	-.39	-.21
BrAL/Alcohol Sweetness	.10	-.35*
BrAL/Alcohol Bitterness	.42	-.08
BrAL/Positive Mood	.34	-.11
BrAL/Negative Mood	.58**	-.04
Liking/Positive Mood	.13	.28
Liking/Negative Mood	-.71***	.06

***p < .01; **p < .05; *p < .10

1
2   **Legends for figures:**

3
4   Figure 1. Mean Duration To Consume Drink By Group
5   Error bars represent standard errors of the mean.
6
7   Figure 2. Mean Alertness Ratings Dependent On Time And Group
8   Error bars represent standard errors of the mean.
9
10