PeerJ

Determinants of neonatal mortality in rural India, 2007–2008

Aditya Singh¹, Abhishek Kumar² and Amit Kumar²

- ¹ Global Health and Social Care Unit, School of Health Sciences and Social Work, University of Portsmouth, Portsmouth, United Kingdom
- ² International Institute for Population Sciences, Mumbai, India

ABSTRACT

Background. Despite the growing share of neonatal mortality in under-5 mortality in the recent decades in India, most studies have focused on infant and child mortality putting neonatal mortality on the back seat. The development of focused and evidence-based health interventions to reduce neonatal mortality warrants an examination of factors affecting it. Therefore, this study attempt to examine individual, household, and community level factors affecting neonatal mortality in rural India. **Data and methods.** We analysed information on 171,529 singleton live births using the data from the most recent round of the District Level Household Survey conducted in 2007–08. Principal component analysis was used to create an asset index. Two-level logistic regression was performed to analyse the factors associated with neonatal deaths in rural India.

Results. The odds of neonatal death were lower for neonates born to mothers with secondary level education (OR = 0.60, p = 0.01) compared to those born to illiterate mothers. A progressive reduction in the odds occurred as the level of fathers' education increased. The odds of neonatal death were lower for infants born to unemployed mothers (OR = 0.89, p = 0.00) compared to those who worked as agricultural worker/farmer/laborer. The odds decreased if neonates belonged to Scheduled Tribes (OR = 0.72, p = 0.00) or 'Others' caste group (OR = 0.87, p = 0.04) and to the households with access to improved sanitation (OR = 0.87, p = 0.02), pucca house (OR = 0.87, p = 0.03) and electricity (OR = 0.84, p = 0.00). The odds were higher for male infants (OR = 1.21, p = 0.00) and whose mother experienced delivery complications (OR = 1.20, p = 0.00). Infants whose mothers received two tetanus toxoid injections (OR = 0.65, p = 0.00) were less likely to die in the neonatal period. Children of higher birth order were less likely to die compared to first birth order. Conclusion. Ensuring the consumption of an adequate quantity of Tetanus Toxoid (TT) injections by pregnant mothers, targeting vulnerable groups like young, first time and Scheduled Caste mothers, and improving overall household environment by increasing access to improved toilets, electricity, and pucca houses could also contribute to further reductions in neonatal mortality in rural India. Any public health interventions aimed at reducing neonatal death in rural India should consider these factors.

Subjects Health Policy, Pediatrics, Public health, Child Health **Keywords** Rural India, Social determinants of health, District Level Household Survey-3, Neonatal mortality

Submitted 16 January 2013 Accepted 25 April 2013 Published 28 May 2013

Corresponding author Aditya Singh, Aditya.Singh@port.ac.uk

Academic editor Offer Erez

Additional Information and Declarations can be found on page 19

DOI 10.7717/peerj.75

Copyright 2013 Singh et al.

Distributed under Creative Commons CC-BY 3.0

OPEN ACCESS

INTRODUCTION

The major public health interventions during the last two decades have been focused on reduction in infant and child mortality (*World Health Organization (WHO), 2005; United Nations, 1995*). As a result, the number of deaths among under-5 children has fallen from about 12 million to about 7.2 million during 1990–2011 (*Bhutta et al., 2005; Rajaratnam et al., 2010; Lozano et al., 2011*). Yet it remains a cause for concern because the annual rate of decline has been only 2.1% compared to the Millenium Development Goal-4 (MDG-4) target of 4.4% (*Rajaratnam et al., 2010; Murray et al., 2007*) and neonatal deaths still comprise about 40% of all under-5 deaths worldwide (*Liu et al., 2012*).

About 98% of all neonatal deaths occur only in developing countries while developed countries account for the rest of the neonatal deaths (Åhman & Zupan, 2007; Oestergaard et al., 2011). It has been noted that the reduction in neonatal mortality is slower than the reduction in post-neonatal and childhood mortality, particularly in low and middle-income countries (Rajaratnam et al., 2010; Black et al., 2010; United Nations International Children's Emergency Fund, 2008; You et al., 2010; Lawn, Cousens & Zupan, 2005) which has resulted in an increase in the share of neonatal mortality in overall under-5 mortality from 39% in 1970 to 41% in 2010 (Rajaratnam et al., 2010; Lawn, Cousens & Zupan, 2005; Zupan & Aahman, 2005; United Nations (UN), 2001). Similarly, in India too, which accounts for about one-fourth of all neonatal deaths occurring around the world and has achieved substantial reductions in mortality (Office of Registrar General of India (ORGI), 2008), the share of neonatal deaths in under-five deaths has been increasing over time - from 45% in 1990 to 54% in 2010 (Rajaratnam et al., 2010). This trend indicates a slower reduction in neonatal mortality compared to post-neonatal and childhood mortality during the last two decades in India (Arokiasamy & Gautam, 2008). Nevertheless, the child survival programs in India have been focusing more on the causes of mortality and morbidity which mostly affect children in the post-neonatal period – such as pneumonia, malaria, diarrhea, and vaccine-preventable diseases (Bhargave, 2004) rather than factors such as prematurity, low birth weight and neonatal infections (*Baqui et al.*, 2006; The Million Death Study Collaborators, 2010; Bang et al., 2005; Tinker et al., 2005).

It is argued that neonatal mortality could be reduced up to 70% only by evidence-based interventions and strategies (*Darmstadt et al., 2005; Yinger & Ransom, 2003; Titaley et al., 2008*). However, to adopt a focused and evidence-based approach to reduce neonatal mortality in India, a clear understanding of the associated factors is necessary. A review of past studies on this issues reveals that although there are many studies examining the factors affecting neonatal mortality available elsewhere in the world (*Bhutta et al., 2005; Titaley et al., 2008; Shakya & McMurray, 2001; Samms-Vaughan, McCaw-Binns & Foster-Williams, 1990; Machado & Hill, 2003; Mahmood, 2004; Rahman & Abidin, 2010; Diallo et al., 2010*), the issue seems to be understudied in India. Although there is a large body of literature available describing levels, trends and differentials in infant and child mortality at national and sub-national level (*Jain, 1985; Gupta, 1990; Simmons et al., 1982; Narayana, 2008; Subramanian et al., 2006; Pradhan & Arokiasamy, 2010; Joe, Mishra & Navaneetham, 2010; Behl, 2012; Bhattacharya & Chikwama, 2011;*

Pandey et al., 1998), existing studies on neonatal mortality are generally limited to small geographical areas (*Arokiasamy & Gautam, 2008; Kumar et al., 2013; Bapat et al., 2012; Singh, Yadav & Singh, 2012*). This study, therefore, aims to examine the effect of various determinants – socio-demographic, economic, healthcare, and community – on neonatal mortality in rural India. The focus is on rural India because about two-thirds (69%) of the Indian population still lives in the rural areas (*Office of the Registrar General and Census Commissioner, India, 2011*) and suffers from poor early life health conditions such as high infant and child mortality compared to its urban counterpart (*Ministry of Finance, 2011; Lau, Johnson & Kamalanabhan, 2012; International Institute for Population Sciences (IIPS) & Macro International, 2007*).

This study is different from previous studies in three important ways. Firstly, we examine the effects of variables representing different components of the health system and socio-economic development of villages. Secondly, we try to estimate the effects of household environment separately by including variables related to toilet, water, house type and electricity in the analysis. Finally, unlike previous studies on neonatal mortality in India, we use the two-level binary logistic regression which takes into account the hierarchical structure of the data and provides correct standard errors.

DATA AND METHODS

Ethics statement

This study uses anonymised survey data made available for academic use, for which ethical approval is not required. The survey data used in this study can be obtained by making a formal request on the official website (http://www.rchiips.org/) of the International Institute for Population Sciences, Mumbai (India) (*International Institute for Population Sciences (IIPS), 2010*).

Data

We use data from the third round of the District Level Household Survey (DLHS-3) conducted during 2007–08. It is a large scale, nationally representative, multi-round survey covering more than 700,000 households from 601 districts in 34 States and Union Territories of India. DLHS-3, like its former versions DLHS-1 and DLHS-2, was basically designed to provide reliable information on reproductive and child health (RCH) indicators at district level (*International Institute for Population Sciences (IIPS), 2010*).

The survey adopted a multi-stage stratified probability proportional to size (PPS) sampling design. The details of the survey design, implementation and response rate are given in the DLHS-3 report. The rural sample of DLHS-3 covered 559,663 households and 504,272 evermarried women of the age group 15–49 (*International Institute for Population Sciences (IIPS), 2010*). In this study, we use information on 171,529 infants nested in 22,587 Primary Sampling Unit (PSUs). We refer to PSU as "village" or "community" hereafter in the text.

Conceptual framework

Mosley & Chen (1984) proposed a framework that corrected the flaws in previous frameworks used by social scientists and medical scientists to study child mortality. It proposes a set of proximate determinants that directly influences the risk of child mortality. It also proposes that all other socio-economic factors must operate through this set of proximate determinants (*Mosley & Chen, 1984*). This framework given below has been modified for the present study (*Titaley et al., 2008*) and displays pathways and selected potential predictors relevant to the present study (see Fig. 1).

Exposure variable

The neonatal death is the outcome variable in the study. It is defined as "any death occurred during first 28 completed days of life". Neonatal death is recoded as a binary variable in this study where '0' indicates that the child survived for more than 28 days and '1' indicates otherwise, i.e., death of the child within 28 days. We have considered only singleton live births (i.e., all births excluding still births and twin births) in the analysis.

Independent variables

Table 1 lists all explanatory variables, their definitions and categories used in this study. These variables can be divided into four categories – community characteristics, individual/household characteristics, household environment characteristics and proximate determinants. The individual level socioeconomic variables included in this study are maternal and paternal education, maternal religion and caste, employment status of the mother and asset index.

The asset index in this study has been used as a proxy for economic status of the household (*Montgomery et al., 2000; Filmer & Ptitchett, 2001; Vyas & Kumaranayake, 2006; O'Donnell et al., 2008; Rutstein, 2008; Howe, Hargreaves & Gabrysch, 2009*). The asset index is based on variables related to household amenities. The variables included are – mattress, cooker, chair, sofa set, cot, table, fan, radio, black & white television, color television, sewing machine, mobile phone, telephone, fridge, watch, bicycle, scooter, cart, car, tractor, pump, thresher, cooking fuel used, landholding, and number of rooms in the house. However, it does not include variables representing household environment (type of house, availability of electricity, access to improved water and sanitation) because we wish to separate the effects of these variables later in the analysis. We use the Principal Component Analysis (PCA) to construct the index. The index is divided into three categories – Poor, Middle, Rich.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

The contingency table and two-level logistic regression analysis are used to examine the factors affecting neonatal mortality in rural India. Descriptive analysis is used to understand the differentials in neonatal deaths across the selected covariates. Unadjusted odds of neonatal mortality are then calculated, and only statistically significant variables are retained for the subsequent analysis. To estimate the adjusted effects of different individual, household and community level factors on neonatal mortality, we use a

	\frown			
			Proximate determinants	
Community	Socioeconomic		<u>Maternal factors</u>	
level factors	Tactors		Mother's age at child birth	
Distance to government health	Mother's education		<u>Neonatal factors</u>	
facility	Father's education		Sex of the child	
Distance to private health facility	Religion		Birth order of the child	
Auxiliary Nurse Midwife/Accredited Social Health	Caste		<u>Pre-delivery</u> <u>related factors</u>	
Activist available in the village	Employment of the mother		Time of the first antenatal care visit	Death
Janani Suraksha Yojana (Safe motherhood	Asset index	arphi	Frequency of antenatal care visits	
programme) implemented in the village	Household environment		Number of Tetanus Toxoid injections	Survival
Proportion of mothers with secondary education in the	Accessibility to improved source of water		Iron and folic acid	
village	Access to improved sanitation		consumed	
roportion of mothers belonging to rich households in the village	House type		<u>Delivery related</u> <u>factors</u>	
Connectivity of the	Availability of electricity		Place of delivery	
village with an all- weather road			Professional assistance during delivery	
\bigcup			Delivery complications	

Figure 1 Conceptual framework showing factors affecting neonatal mortality.

Table 1 Operational definition and categorization of variables used in the study.

Variables	Description
Community variables	
Accessibility by an all-weather road	Whether the village is accessible by an all-weather road $-No(0)$, Yes (1)
Distance to the nearest private health facility	Distance to any private health facilities (private hospital or private clinic) to the village – <i>Within</i> 1 km (0) = within village or within 1 km; 1–5 km (1); More than 5 km (2).
Distance to the nearest public health facility	Distance to any public health facilities (CHC or PHC or Block PHC or PHC or Government hospital) to the village – <i>Within 1 km</i> (0) = within village or within 1 km; 1–5 km (1); More than 5 km (2).
ANM/ASHA available in the village	ANM (Auxiliary Nurse and Midwife)/ASHA (Accredited Social Health Worker) resides in or visits the village – No (0), Yes (1).
Janani Suraksha Yojana (JSY) implemented	Whether JSY has been implemented in the village – No (0), Yes (1).
Proportion of mothers with 'above secondary' education	The proportion of mothers with 'above secondary' education in the village.
Proportion of rich households	The proportion of rich households in the villages. It is constructed by combining two upper quintiles of the Household Wealth Index already available in the dataset.
Region	A region in this study is a group of Indian states. North region (1) includes Jammu & Kash- mir, Himachal Pradesh, Punjab, Rajasthan, Haryana, Chandigarh (Union Territory - UT) and Delhi; <i>Central region</i> (2) includes the states of Uttar Pradesh, Uttaranchal, Madhya Pradesh and Chhattisgarh; East region (3) includes the states of Bihar, Jharkhand, West Bengal and Orissa; North-East region (4) includes the states of Sikkim, Assam, Meghalaya, Manipur, Mizoram, Nagaland, Tripura, and Arunachal Pradesh; West region (5) includes the states of Gujarat, Maharashtra, Goa and UTs of Dadara & Nagar Haveli and Daman & Diu; South region (6) includes the states of Kerala, Karnataka, Andhra Pradesh, Tamil Nadu and the UTs of Andaman & Nicobar Islands, Pondicherry and Lakshadweep)
Socioeconomic variables	
Mother's education	Mother's education is defined based on years of schooling and divided into four categories – <i>Illiterate</i> $(0) = 0$ years of schooling; Primary $(1) = 1-5$ years of schooling; Secondary $(2) = 6-10$ years of schooling; Above secondary $(3) =$ more than 10 years of schooling.
Father's education	Father's education is defined based on years of schooling and divided into four categories – <i>Illiterate</i> $(0) = 0$ years of schooling, Primary $(1) = 1-5$ years of schooling; Secondary $(2) = 6-10$ years of schooling; Above secondary $(3) =$ more than 10 years of schooling.
Asset index	The asset index is constructed using principal component analysis and divided into three categories $-Poor(0)$; Middle (1); Rich (2).
Religion	Religion is divided into three categories – $Hindu$ (0); Muslim (1); Others (3) = all religious groups other than Hindu and Muslim.
Caste/Tribe	Caste/Tribe is divided into four categories – <i>Scheduled Castes</i> – <i>SC</i> (0); Scheduled Tribes – ST (1); Other Backward Castes – OBC (2); General (3).
Employment of the mother	Mother is said to be employed if a mother was engaged in any economic activity in last 12 months preceding survey. It has been divided into three categories – <i>Agriculture worker</i> , <i>farmer</i> , <i>and labourer</i> (0); Unemployed (1); Professional/service/production workers (2).
Improved source of water	Whether the household has access to piped water within the premises of the house – $No(0)$; Yes (1).
Improved toilet facility	Whether the household has access to improved toilet facility $-No(0)$; Yes (1).
House type	Type of house – <i>Kaccha</i> (0) = wall, floors, and roofs are kaccha; Pucca (1) = walls, floors, and roofs are pucca.
Electricity	Whether the household has an electricity connection – <i>No</i> (0); Yes (1). (continued on next page)

two-level binary logistic regression (*Guang & Hongxin, 2000*). We choose a two-level regression technique instead of simple regression analysis because it can take into account

Table 1 (<i>continued</i>)	
Variables	Description
Proximate Determinants	
Mother's age at birth	Maternal age at birth is divided into four categories $- <20$ years (0); 20–24 years (1); 25–29 years (2); \geq 30 years (3).
Sex of the child	Sex of the child – $Girl(0)$; Boy (1).
Tetanus toxoid (TT) Injection	Number of TT injection taken during pregnancy – One (0); Zero (1); Two or more (2).
Iron and folic acid (IFA) tablets/syrup	Consumption of adequate IFA tablets/syrup during pregnancy – No (0); Yes (1).
Birth order	The order in which the child was born – <i>First order (0)</i> ; Second order (1); Third order (2); Four and above (3).
Delivery complications	Whether mother faced any complication/s during delivery – No (0); Yes (1).
Place of delivery and skilled birth attendance (SBA)	A variable is computed with combination of place of delivery and assistance during delivery by any health personnel. This is divided into three categories – <i>Home</i> (0); Home + SBA (1) = home delivery assisted by any trained health personnel; Health facility (2).
Antenatal Care (ANC) visits	This variable is computed using two variables, the frequency and the timing of ANC visits. This is divided into four categories – <i>No visit</i> (0)= no ANC visit; First trimester $+ \ge 4$ visits (1) = Four or more visits in first trimester; First trimester $+ <4$ visits (2) = less than four visits in first trimester; ANC visits made in second and third trimester (3).

Notes.

Categories in italics have been used as reference category in the regression models.

the hierarchical structure of the study sample in which individuals (children) are nested within communities (PSUs). Multilevel models allow for such datasets and produce standard errors (SEs) adjusted for clustering of observations (*Diez-Roux, 2000; Heck & Thomas, 2009; Blakely & Woodward, 2000*). On the other hand, if SEs are estimated using simple binary logistic regression model, there is a chance of underestimation of SEs which could affect the interpretation of the results.

Before applying the two-level regression, we examined the extent to which the outcome of interest varies at higher levels. We fitted a null model and carried out the Wald test to know whether residuals at village level are statistically significant (results not shown). We found that the Wald statistic was highly significant (result not shown) which suggested that fitting a two-level model made sense in this context (*Rasbash et al., 2009*). We looked for evidence of multicollinearity using variance inflation factor (VIF) as a post-estimation procedure. It initially revealed that the variable measuring antenatal care (ANC timing and frequency) had a very high VIF. Therefore, we removed it from the regression analysis. The small value of VIF (1.68) from the final regression model indicated the absence of any significant collinearity among the variables. The result of logistic regression is presented in the form of odds ratios with statistical significance shown by *p*-values. The statistical analysis for this study was performed with the help of statistical software Stata 12 SE and MLwiN 2.24 (*Stata Corporation, 2011; Rasbash et al., 2009*).

RESULTS

To identify the associated predictors of neonatal mortality in rural India, 171,529 singleton live births to currently married women within 3 years preceding the survey (2004–07) were included in the analysis as the study population. We found that 2892 neonatal deaths occurred, which was 1.68% of total singleton live births, during this period.

Table 2 Characteristics of varia	bles.							
Variables	Births ^b	% Births ^c	Neonatal deaths	% Neonatal deaths ^d				
Community characteristics								
Region								
Central	52537	29.8	1216	2.30				
North	10736	15.2	129	1.20				
East	56965	23.1	1003	1.80				
North-East	21141	12.6	229	1.10				
West	13756	9.4	134	1.00				
South	16394	9.9	218	1.30				
Accessibility by an all-weather roa	ad							
No	26208	15.1	434	1.63				
Yes	144764	84.9	2491	1.70				
Distance to the nearest private he	alth facility							
Within 1 km	31,540	18.6	504	1.59				
1–5 km	19,128	11.1	324	1.67				
>5 km	120,204	70.3	2,097	1.72				
Distance to the nearest public health facility								
Within 1 km	31,136	18.3	484	1.54				
1–5 km	2,438	1.4	27	1.09				
>5 km	137,329	80.3	2,413	1.73				
ANM/ASHA available/visiting th	e village							
No	142530	83.0	2480	1.72				
Yes	28442	17.0	445	1.54				
JSY implemented in the village								
No	138795	81.18	2419	1.72				
Yes	32167	18.82	506	1.55				
Individual/socio-economic chara	cteristics							
Mother's education								
Illiterate	85924	49.3	1710	1.97				
Primary	26512	15.6	458	1.70				
Secondary	54422	32.4	728	1.32				
>Secondary	4663	2.8	33	0.69				
Father's education								
Illiterate	47758	27.5	991	2.05				
Primary	29087	17.0	548	1.86				
Secondary	83091	48.7	1260	1.49				
>Secondary	11585	6.8	130	1.09				
Asset index								
Poor	60496	34.8	1253	2.05				
Middle	56268	32.9	962	1.68				
Rich	54724	32.4	714	1.29				

(continued on next page)

The characteristics of the study variables are presented in Table 2. Around 50% of neonates were born to mothers who were illiterate. Only 6% of children were born to mothers working as professionals/service/production workers. A great majority of

Table 2 (<i>continued</i>)	Births ^b	% Births ^C	Neonatal deaths	% Neonatal deaths ^d
Deligion	Dirtiis	70 DH 113	Neonatal deatils	70 Incollatal deatils
Lindu	121720	76 F	2255	1 76
Muslim	21026	76.5	2555	1.76
Muslim Othana	21936	12.6	3/6	1./1
Others	1/852	10.9	198	1.09
Caste/Iribe	220.00	10.5	(- (2.02
Scheduled Castes	33069	19.5	6/6	2.02
Scheduled Tribes	34631	21.2	469	1.32
Other Backward Castes	67595	39.6	1267	1.86
General	32937	19.7	461	1.39
Employment of the mother ^a				
1	95210	55.4	1490	1.91
2	9943	5.8	156	1.54
3	66166	38.7	1280	1.54
Household environment				
Improved source of water				
No	56415	33.0	804	1.40
Yes	115106	67.0	2125	1.82
Improved toilet facility				
No	125912	72.9	2409	1.89
Yes	45606	27.1	520	1.13
House type				
Kaccha	140470	81.8	2543	1.78
Pucca	31050	18.2	386	1.23
Electricity				
No	79810	45.3	1639	2.04
Yes	91711	54.7	1290	1.39
Proximate determinants				
Mother's age at birth				
<20	21972	12.8	538	2.42
20–24	68773	40.3	1159	1.66
25–29	48555	28.3	674	1.36
>30	32221	18.6	558	1.71
Sex of the child				
Girls	79437	46.3	1223	1.52
Boys	92070	53.7	1699	1.82
Tetanus Toxoid injection				
1	54765	31.6	1086	1.96
0	10750	6.5	241	2.17
2 or more	105343	61.9	1592	1.49
Iron and Folic Acid tablets/syrup				
No	81154	47.4	1396	1.69
Yes	90302	52.6	1533	1.67

(continued on next page)

neonates were born to mothers living in kachcha or semi-kachcha houses (81%) and without any improved sanitation facilities (71%). About 12% of children were born to

Table 2 (<i>continued</i>) Variables	Births ^b	% Births ^c	Neonatal deaths	% Neonatal deaths ^d
Birth order of the child				
1	52975	30.1	1135	2.10
2	44187	18.5	616	1.37
3	29064	8.8	392	1.33
4 and above	45250	42.6	780	1.70
Delivery complications				
No	63862	37.8	926	1.42
Yes	107659	62.2	2003	1.84
Place of delivery and SBA				
Home	98407	56.7	1654	1.66
Home + SBA	9754	5.7	146	1.47
Health Facility	63287	37.6	1127	1.75
Time and frequency of ANC visit	ts			
No Visit	51491	30.1	1027	1.97
First trimester $+ \ge 4$ visits	33231	20.4	424	1.26
First trimester + <4 visits	30683	18.1	493	1.59
Second or third semester visits	53038	31.3	948	1.77
Total	171456	100.0	2929	1.69

Notes.

ANM/ASHA = Auxiliary Nurse and Midwife/Accredited Social Health Worker; SBA = Skilled Birth Attendance; ANC = Antenatal Care; JSY = *Janani Suraksha Yojana* (Mother Protection Scheme).

^a Employment of the mother: 1 = Agricultural worker/farmer/labourer, 2 = Unemployed, 3 = Professional/service/ production worker.

^b Some variables had missing cases.

^c The percentage of birth is calculated using total number of births i.e. the sample of this study (171456).

^d The percentage of deaths is the percentage of neonatal deaths out of total number of births in the subgroup. For example – the per cent deaths for Central region (2.30) comes from dividing 'Neonatal deaths' (1216) with 'Births' (52537) in the Central region.

adolescent mothers and about three-fourths to Hindu women. About 30% mothers never had an antenatal check-up, 38% of mothers did not have adequate IFA and about 47% did not receive a TT injection. A little more than 60% of deliveries occurred at home. About 62% of children were born to women who suffered from at least one delivery related complication.

Tables 3–6 present crude and adjusted odds ratios for neonatal mortality according to background characteristics. Unadjusted odds ratios revealed that some variables like accessibility by an all-weather road, place of delivery and consumption of adequate IFA did not turn out to be statistically significant. We dropped these variables in further analysis. The results of two-level logistic regression revealed that there was a great variation in the odds of neonatal mortality by region. Lower odds of neonatal death were observed in almost all the regions compared to the Central region. The odds of neonatal death were 19% lower in rich villages than in poor villages. It was also seen that the odds of neonatal death in villages, where the nearest government health facility is located one to five kilometers away, were 33% lower than the villages where the nearest public health facility is located within one kilometer from the village (Table 3).

Independent variables	Unadjusted				Adjusted [©]			
	OR	CI (95%)	р	OR	CI (95%)	р
		Lower	Upper	_		Lower	Upper	_
Region								
Central [®]	1.00				1.00			
North	0.71	0.63	0.79	< 0.001	0.89	0.78	1.00	0.056
North-East	0.72	0.66	0.80	< 0.001	0.64	0.53	0.77	< 0.001
East	0.46	0.40	0.53	< 0.001	0.69	0.62	0.76	< 0.001
West	0.42	0.35	0.50	< 0.001	0.51	0.42	0.62	< 0.001
South	0.57	0.49	0.65	< 0.001	0.73	0.62	0.86	< 0.001
Community characteristics								
Accessibility by an all-weather road								
No®	1.00							
Yes	1.04	0.94	1.15	0.457				
Distance to the nearest public health facility								
Within 1 km [®]	1.00				1.00			
1 to 5 km	1.06	0.92	1.22	0.409	0.97	0.83	1.13	0.719
More than 5 kms	1.09	0.99	1.21	0.074	0.97	0.87	1.08	0.592
Distance to the nearest private health facility	7							
Within 1 km [®]	1.00				1.00			
1 to 5 km	0.71	0.48	1.05	0.084	0.67	0.45	1.01	0.056
More than 5 kms	1.13	1.03	1.25	0.013	0.97	0.87	1.09	0.617
ANM/ASHA available in the village								
No®	1.00				1.00			
Yes	0.90	0.81	0.99	0.037	1.05	0.94	1.18	0.353
Janani Suraksha Yojana implemented								
No®	1.00				1.00			
Yes	0.90	0.82	0.99	0.034	1.06	0.96	1.17	0.268
Proportion of mothers with above sec- ondary education	0.43	0.37	0.49	< 0.001	0.87	0.70	1.09	0.229
Proportion of rich households	0.49	0.43	0.55	< 0.001	0.81	0.65	1.00	0.049

Table 3 Odds ratios for neonatal death according to community characteristics and region of residence.

Notes.

p = p-value; CI (95%) = Confidence Interval at 95% level; OR = Odds Ratio; ANM/ASHA = Auxiliary Nurse and Midwife/Accredited Social Health Worker. [©] The logistic regression model controlled for the following variables as well – mother's education, father's education, asset index, religion, caste/tribe, employment status of the mother, improved source of water, improved toilet facility, house type, electricity, mother's age at birth, sex of the child, birth order of the child, timing and number of ANC visits, Tetanus Toxoid injection, Iron and Folic Acid tablets/syrup, delivery place and SBA, and delivery complications.

At the individual level, the mother's education was significantly associated with a reduction in the odds of neonatal deaths. However, this is not true for all literate or educated women. There appears to be a threshold number of years of schooling needed for a significant reduction in neonatal mortality. Infants born to mothers with more than 10 years of schooling were about 40% less likely to experience neonatal death compared to those born to illiterate mothers. The same is true about the father's education. The odds of neonatal mortality reduced significantly by 15% and 24% among children whose fathers

Independent variables	Unadjusted					Adjusted [©]			
•	OR	CI	(95%)	p	OR	CI (95%)		p	
		Lower	Upper			Lower	Upper		
Socioeconomic character	ristics								
Mother's education									
Illiterate [©]	1.00				1.00				
Primary	0.87	0.78	0.96	0.007	1.01	0.90	1.14	0.823	
Secondary	0.67	0.61	0.73	< 0.001	0.96	0.85	1.09	0.563	
>Secondary	0.35	0.25	0.50	< 0.001	0.60	0.41	0.88	0.009	
Father's education									
Illiterate [©]	1.00				1.00				
Primary	0.91	0.82	1.01	0.067	0.98	0.88	1.10	0.75	
Secondary	0.73	0.67	0.79	< 0.001	0.85	0.76	0.94	0.00	
>Secondary	0.54	0.45	0.64	< 0.001	0.76	0.61	0.94	0.01	
Asset index									
Poor [©]	1.00				1.00				
Middle	0.82	0.76	0.90	< 0.001	1.00	0.91	1.10	0.967	
Rich	0.63	0.57	0.69	< 0.001	1.04	0.90	1.19	0.612	
Religion									
Hindu [©]	1.00				1.00				
Muslim	0.96	0.86	1.07	0.444	0.95	0.83	1.08	0.397	
Others	0.62	0.53	0.71	< 0.001	0.99	0.83	1.17	0.881	
Caste/Tribe									
Scheduled Castes [©]	1.00				1.00				
Scheduled Tribes	0.66	0.58	0.74	< 0.001	0.72	0.63	0.82	< 0.001	
Other Backward Castes	0.92	0.83	1.01	0.066	0.94	0.85	1.04	0.207	
General	0.68	0.60	0.77	< 0.001	0.87	0.77	0.99	0.041	
Employment status of th	e mothei	a							
1 [©]	1.00				1.00				
2	0.81	0.87	0.75	0.012	0.90	0.83	0.98	0.012	
3	0.81	0.95	0.68	< 0.001	1.00	0.84	1.19	0.991	

Table 4 Odds ratios for neonatal death according to socioeconomic characteristics.

Notes.

p = p-value; CI (95%) = Confidence Interval at 95% level; OR = Odds Ratio; [©] The logistic regression model controlled for following variables as well – region, accessibility by an all-weather road, distance to the nearest private health facility, distance to the nearest public health facility, ANM/ASHA available in the village, *Janani Suraksha Yojana* implemented, proportion of mothers with above secondary education, proportion of rich households, improved source of water, improved toilet facility, house type, electricity, mother's age at birth, sex of the child, birth order of the child, timing and number of ANC visits, Tetanus Toxoid injection, Iron and Folic Acid tablets/syrup, delivery place and SBA, and delivery complications.

^a Employment status of the mother: 1 = Agricultural worker/farmer/labourer, 2 = Unemployed, 3 = Professional/service/ production.

had their schooling up to 'secondary' and 'above secondary' level, respectively, compared to children belonging to illiterate fathers. The caste of the child also emerged as a significant predictor. The odds of neonatal death reduced by 28% and 13% in Scheduled Tribes and Others category of castes, respectively, compared to Scheduled Caste children. The odds

Independent variables		Unadjusted Adjusted [©]					usted [©]	
	OR	CI	CI (95%)		OR	CI	CI (95%)	
		Lower	Upper			Lower	Upper	
Household environmen	ıt							
Improved source of wat	er							
No	1.00				1.00			
Yes	1.30	1.20	1.41	< 0.001	1.13	1.04	1.24	0.006
Improved toilet facility								
No	1.00				1.00			
Yes	0.59	0.54	0.65	< 0.001	0.87	0.77	0.98	0.019
House type								
Kachcha	1.00				1.00			
Рисса	0.68	0.61	0.76	< 0.001	0.87	0.77	0.98	0.025
Electricity								
No	1.00				1.00			
Yes	0.68	0.63	0.73	< 0.001	0.84	0.76	0.92	< 0.001

Table 5 Odds ratios for neonatal death according to household environmental factors.

Notes.

p = p-value; CI (95%) = Confidence Interval at 95% level; OR = Odds Ratio. [©] The logistic regression model controlled for the following variables as well – region, accessibility by an all-weather road, distance to the nearest private health facility, distance to the nearest public health facility, ANM/ASHA available in the village, *Janani Suraksha Yojana* implemented, proportion of mothers with above secondary education, proportion of rich households, mother's education, father's education, asset index, religion, caste/tribe, employment status of the mother, mother's age at birth, sex of the child, birth order of the child, timing and number of ANC visits, Tetanus Toxoid injection, Iron and Folic Acid tablets/syrup, delivery place and SBA, and delivery complications.

decreased significantly by 10% among the neonates of unemployed mothers compared to the neonates of those working as farmers/laborers/agricultural workers (Table 4).

All household environment variables appeared as significant predictors of neonatal mortality even after controlling for other factors. Children from households with access to an improved source of water were 13% more prone to death in the neonatal period than those belonging to households with no accessibility to an improved source of water. Having an improved toilet facility and electricity in the household reduced the odds of neonatal death significantly by 13% and 16% as compared to the household where these facilities were not available. The odds of neonatal death decreased by 13% among children belonging to households living in a pucca house compared with those living in kachcha houses (Table 5).

Among the proximate determinants, all variables included in the analysis were found to be significant except the variables for time and frequency of ANC visits of the mother although results were in the expected direction. Increasing mother's age at birth reduced the odds of neonatal death. The odds decreased significantly by 15% and 26% respectively among children whose mothers were 20–24 and 25–29 years old, respectively, at the time of their birth than children of adolescent mothers. Boy neonates in rural India were found to be 21% more prone to neonatal death compared to girl neonates. Another demographic variable found significantly related to the reduced risk of neonatal mortality was their birth

Independent variables	Unadjusted					Adj	usted [©]	
	OR	CI	(95%)	p	OR	CI	(95%)	р
		Lower	Upper			Lower	Upper	_
Proximate determinants								
Mother's age at birth								
Below 20	1.00				1.00			
20–24	0.68	0.62	0.76	< 0.001	0.85	0.76	0.95	< 0.001
25–29	0.56	0.50	0.63	< 0.001	0.74	0.65	0.85	< 0.001
30 and above	0.70	0.62	0.79	< 0.001	0.87	0.74	1.01	0.065
Sex of the child								
Girl	1.00				1.00			
Boy	1.20	1.12	1.29	< 0.001	1.21	1.12	1.30	< 0.001
Birth order of the child								
1	1.00				1.00			
2	0.65	0.58	0.71	< 0.001	0.65	0.59	0.72	< 0.001
3	0.62	0.56	0.70	< 0.001	0.58	0.51	0.66	< 0.001
4 and above	0.80	0.73	0.88	< 0.001	0.62	0.55	0.71	< 0.001
Timing and number of ANC visits								
No ANC visits	1.00							
First trimester $+ >=4$ ANC visits	0.64	0.57	0.71	< 0.001				
First trimester + <4 ANC visits	0.80	0.72	0.89	< 0.001				
2nd or 3rd semester ANC visits	0.89	0.82	0.98	0.014				
Tetanus Toxoid injection								
One	1.00				1.00			
No	0.88	1.02	0.77	0.083	0.75	0.65	0.87	< 0.001
Two or more	0.67	0.77	0.58	< 0.001	0.65	0.56	0.75	< 0.001
Iron and Folic Acid tablets/syrup								
No	1.00							
Yes	0.99	0.92	1.06	0.719				
Delivery place and SBA								
Home	1.00							
Home but SBA	0.88	0.75	1.05	0.176				
Health facility	1.06	0.98	1.14	0.131				
Delivery complications								
No	1.00				1.00			
Yes	1.29	1.19	1.39	< 0.001	1.20	1.10	1.30	< 0.001

 Table 6
 Odds ratios for neonatal death according to proximate determinants.

Notes.

p = p-value; CI (95%) = Confidence Interval at 95% level; OR = Odds Ratio; SBA = Skilled Birth Attendance; ANC = Antenatal Care. [®] The logistic regression model controlled for the following variables as well – region, accessibility by an all-weather road, distance to the nearest private health facility, distance to the nearest public health facility, ANM/ASHA available in the village, *Janani Suraksha Yojana* implemented, proportion of mothers with above secondary education, proportion of rich households, mother's education, father's education, asset index, religion, caste/tribe, employment status of the mother, improved source of water, improved toilet facility, house type, electricity.

order. The odds of neonatal death reduced by 35%, 42%, and 38% for second, third and 'four and above' birth orders, respectively, compared to the first birth order. In comparison to the mothers who received only one TT injection during pregnancy, the odds of neonatal

death were significantly lower (OR = 0.65, p = 0.00) among those infants whose mothers had two or more TT injections. Similarly, mothers who did not receive any TT injections, their infants were too about 25% less at the risk of death in the neonatal period compared to those who had received one TT injection. The risk of neonatal death increased by 20% if the mother experienced any delivery complications compared to those mothers who did not experience any of the delivery complications (Table 6).

DISCUSSION

In this study, we used the most recent data available in the public domain to examine the factors affecting neonatal mortality in rural areas of India. Estimates based on two-level logistic regression model indicate that a number of factors were significantly associated with neonatal mortality. Our findings revealed that maternal education significantly reduced the odds of neonatal death in rural India. The finding is similar to previous studies that have established a link between a mother's education and the child's survival (*Basu & Stephenson, 2005; Caldwell, 1979; Mellington & Cameron, 1999; Ware, 1984; Zanini et al., 2011*). Maternal education is argued to improve child health through increased knowledge about the practices to improve child health (*Caldwell, 1979*) and increased use of maternal care services (*Elo, 1992; Raghupathy, 1996*). Similarly, the father's education was also found important for reduction in neonatal deaths.

Results indicated that neonates belonging to STs and 'Others' caste groups were less likely to die before one month compared to SC children. STs have remained one of the most socioeconomically deprived communities in India for centuries (*Borooah*, 2005). A large majority of them live in inaccessible and far-off places which are still underdeveloped (*Mohindra & Labonté*, 2010). Yet, significantly lower odds of deaths compared to ST neonates appears quite strange and is a matter of further investigation. The lower risk of neonatal death among 'Others' neonates compared to SC neonates is not surprising because 'Others' castes have been economically better off and socially and politically privileged (*Zacharias & Vakulabharanam*, 2011).

Children belonging to mothers who stayed at home (unemployed) were less likely to die during the neonatal period compared to the children belonging to mothers who worked as farmers/agricultural workers/laborers. The finding is similar to that of previous studies (*Titaley et al., 2008; Kishor & Parasuraman, 1998*). It is worth mentioning here that unemployed mothers in rural India were more educated (44% versus 19%) and richer (40% versus 18%) than those who worked as farmers/agricultural workers/laborers (data not shown in tables). This coupled with enough available time for seeking antenatal care and taking care of her neonate (like breastfeeding) could explain the significant decline in the odds of neonatal death (*Basu & Basu, 1991; Hobcraft, 1993; Tulasidhar, 1993*). On the other hand, there was no significant difference between the odds of neonatal mortality among mothers who worked as professional/service/production workers and farmers/agricultural workers/laborers. This is supported by the findings of previous studies (*Zanini et al., 2011; Murthi, Anne-Catherine & Jean, 1995*).

All four variables – improved source of drinking water, improved sanitation, type of house, and availability of electricity - included to represent the household environment appeared as significant predictors of neonatal deaths in rural India. Access to improved water actually increased the risk of neonatal death in rural India. It is worth noting here that the relationship of access to an improved source of drinking water with neonatal mortality has been ambiguous. It has shown both positive (Mahmood, 2004) and negative effects (Zanini et al., 2011) on neonatal mortality. At first, it seems to be a peculiar result in itself. Newborn babies after all are not directly affected by the source of water. Nevertheless, it is plausible that they are indirectly affected. In the case of rural India, the access to improved water sources like a hand-pump within the premises probably leads to more use of water compared to the households where the source of water is located away from the house. However, in the absence of proper drainage, (only 4% of Indian households had any underground or covered pucca drainage system in 2011) the household wastewater stagnates or stays in the open drainages in and around the house (NSSO 58th round) (Office of the Registrar General and Census Commissioner of India, 2011). This coupled with mud floors (according to Census of India 2011, about 62% rural houses have mud floors) create an infectious environment which could help spread malaria, diarrhea, and other infectious diseases in both the mother and the newborn (*Rath et al.*, 2010). In previous studies too, the two waterborne diseases – maternal malaria among pregnant mothers (causes anemia in mothers during pregnancy and subsequent low birth weight of the newborn) and diarrhea among neonates – have been found to be among the main causes of neonatal death in the developing countries (Hartman, Rogerson & Fischer, 2010; Yilgwan, Hyacinth & Oguche, 2011; Rijken et al., 2012; Yakoob et al., 2011; Lawn et al., 2009; Ghosh, 2010; Titaley et al., 2010; Taha, Gray & Abdelwahab, 1993). Since, the purpose of this study is not to catalogue and investigate the different channels through which the source of water could affect the chances of neonatal death, further exploration is needed on this issue.

Three other variables representing household environment – availability of improved toilets, pucca house and electricity – were found to reduce the likelihood of neonatal death. Access to improved toilet reduces the risk of dying through the mechanism of less exposure of neonates to contamination making them less susceptible to diseases and infections, and eventually death (*Rahman & Abidin, 2010*). Unlike kachcha houses, pucca houses have hardened brick walls and concrete/brick roofs which provide better shelter from harsh weather conditions especially during monsoon season. Availability of electricity may help to create better environmental conditions in the house for the newborn (*Poel & Doorsleaer, 2009*). It not only helps in hygienic preparation of food but also encourages the use of electric fan, television and radio.

Among five proximate determinants included in the analysis, the mother's age was found to be significantly associated with reduction in neonatal mortality (*Titaley et al.*, *2008; Zanini et al.*, *2011*). Older mothers not only possess better knowledge of pregnancy and childbirth but also enjoy greater autonomy compared to younger mothers which help them take care of their neonates in a better way in this period (*O'Malley & Forrest*, *2002; Hobcraft & Kiernan*, *2001*). It also emerges from the analysis that the risk of neonatal death decreases with increasing birth order of the child. These results confirm the results of many studies of the past conducted in different settings around the world (*Titaley et al., 2008; Zanini et al., 2011; Rutstein, 1984; Yerushalmy, 1938; Hussain, 2002; Rahman & Huq, 2009*). A strong association has been previously reported between the sex of the child and neonatal mortality (*Rahman & Abidin, 2010; Rahman & Huq, 2009; Chaman et al., 2009; Machado & Hill, 2005*). Similarly, in this study too, we find that the boys are more susceptible to death within the first month after birth compared to girls. It has been argued that boys are biologically weaker than girls due to various reasons (*Ulizzi & Zonta, 2002; Green, 1992; Mahy, 2003*). These reasons include immunodeficiency (*Green, 1992*) leaving baby boys more vulnerable to infectious diseases (*Arokiasamy & Gautam, 2008*), late maturity (*Alonso, Fuster & Luna, 2006*) resulting in a higher prevalence of respiratory diseases in males, and congenital malformations of the urogenital system.

The main causes of neonatal mortality are intrinsically linked to the health of the mother and the care she receives during pregnancy and delivery. Our findings indicate that one of the components of antenatal care (TT injection) is significantly associated with lower risk of neonatal deaths. Our study confirmed the results of previous studies that using two or more TT injections during pregnancy help reducing neonatal deaths substantially through reducing the likelihood of tetanus infection in newborns (*Taha, Gray* & *Abdelwahab, 1993; Rahman et al., 1982; Gupta & Keyl, 1998; Yusuf et al., 1991; Blencowe et al., 2010; Arnold, Soewarso & Karyadi, 1986*). It has been noted that neonatal tetanus is one of the major causes of neonatal deaths in developing countries (*Taha, Gray & Abdelwahab, 1993; Rahman et al., 1982; Gupta & Keyl, 1998; Vandelaer et al., 2003*). Being an effective strategy to reduce the number of maternal and newborn deaths due to tetanus, increasing the coverage of TT injections could be an important intervention in rural India.

It is well established now that delivery complications cause poor neonatal outcomes as indicated by low apgar scores and low arterial cord blood pH. Confirming the same, our study also found that the neonates born to women, who experienced complications like vaginal bleeding, fever or convulsions during delivery, had remarkably higher odds of neonatal death compared to those born to women without any complications during delivery. However, higher odds of neonatal deaths can also be attributed to the mothers' inability to take care of their newborn properly in the postnatal period as they take time to recover from damage due to complications during birth. The findings are consistent with many other studies in the South Asian setting (*Titaley et al., 2008*; *Titaley, Dibley & Roberts, 2011*; *Mercer et al., 2006*).

At the community level, the prosperity of the villages (as measured by the proportion of richest households in the PSU) had a significant influence on neonatal mortality. It is generally argued that community factors, such as overall level of wealth and education in the community, may influence the individual's behaviour, partly through social learning and social influence. It has also been argued that if mothers in a community are more wealthy, they are likely to be more educated and have better knowledge of health care behaviour. Their knowledge and attitudes may be passed on to other women. It is very much possible in a rural Indian setting, where communities are socially more cohesive than urban India. The consequences of such social influence and learning from educated mothers may include better nutrition, adequate and timely vaccination, home care, a hygienic household environment, and interaction with health workers (*Kravdal, 2004*; *Parashar, 2005; Moursund & Kravdal, 2003*).

Quite surprisingly, we found that any increase in the distance to the nearest private health facility decreased the odds of neonatal death. Though it is inconsistent with most of the previous studies conducted in different settings around the world, a study in Pakistan, a neighboring country, has found similar patterns (*Noorali, Luby & Rahbar, 1999*). Such results might be attributed to purposeful outreach by health workers or some other unknown situations, however, the issue needs a further exploration.

The 'region' of residence is also significantly associated with the risk of neonatal death. It was found that neonates from 'South' and 'West' regions were less likely to die in the neonatal period. Higher levels of socioeconomic development and better functioning of the healthcare system could be some of the factors behind the better performance of states in these regions. The states covered under the Central regions included Madhya Pradesh and Uttar Pradesh (including Uttarakhand, Chhattisgarh). These states are characterized by comparatively poor socioeconomic and demographic indicators and dysfunctional government healthcare systems. Hence, it is not surprising that most of the regions show lower odds of neonatal death compared to the Central region.

Limitations of the study

Although this study identified important determinants of neonatal mortality in rural India, it has a few limitations. Firstly, we could not include many other community level variables that possibly have an effect on neonatal mortality because they were not available in the dataset that we used. Such variables might include service supply environment such as quality, quantity, and the adequacy of the services; beliefs and traditions about pregnancy and motherhood prevailing in the community. Secondly, some variables like employment of the mother and asset index represented the conditions of the time of the interview, not of the time when the child was born.

CONCLUSION

To conclude the study, we can say that the growing share of neonatal mortality in under-5 mortality warrants adoption of comprehensive strategies to further reduce the neonatal mortality in rural India. Although a continuum of healthcare during pregnancy, childbirth, and even during the postnatal period (*Arokiasamy & Gautam*, 2008; *Titaley et al.*, 2008) is necessary for further reductions in neonatal mortality, ensuring uptake of an adequate quantity of TT injections during pregnancy should be a priority in maternal and child health related programmatic interventions and strategies (*Singh et al.*, 2012; *Ayaz & Saleem*, 2010). Certain groups of children and women, such as neonates of first birth order, neonates belonging to Scheduled Castes, adolescent mothers and mothers working in agricultural sector need special attention. Targeting these groups in order to provide the continuum of essential maternal and childcare would be a crucial step if neonatal mortality in rural India will be further reduced. In addition to that, improving the overall household

environment by increasing access to improved toilets, electricity and pucca houses could also contribute to further reductions in neonatal mortality in rural India.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION AND DECLARATIONS

Funding

No direct financial support or funding was received to conduct this study.

Competing Interests

The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

Author Contributions

- Aditya Singh conceived and designed the experiments, performed the experiments, analyzed the data, contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools, wrote and edited the paper.
- Abhishek Kumar conceived and designed the experiments, performed the experiments, analyzed the data, contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools, wrote the paper.
- Amit Kumar analyzed the data and wrote the paper.

Supplemental Information

Supplemental information for this article can be found online at http://dx.doi.org/ 10.7717/peerj.75.

REFERENCES

- Åhman E, Zupan J. 2007. *Neonatal and perinatal mortality: country, regional and global estimates 2004*. Geneva: World Health Organisation.
- Alonso V, Fuster V, Luna F. 2006. Causes of neonatal mortality in Spain (1975–98): influence of sex, rural-urban residence and age at death. *Journal of Biosocial Science* **38**(**4**):537–551 DOI 10.1017/S0021932005026957.
- Arnold RB, Soewarso TI, Karyadi A. 1986. Mortality from neonatal tetanus in Indonesia: results of two surveys. *Bulletin of World Health Organization* 64:259–262.
- Arokiasamy P, Gautam A. 2008. Neonatal mortality in the empowered action group states of India: trends and determinants. *Journal of Biosocial Science* **40(2)**:183–201 DOI 10.1017/S0021932007002623.
- **Ayaz A, Saleem S. 2010.** Neonatal mortality and prevalence of practices for newborn care in a squatter settlement of Karachi, Pakistan: a cross-sectional study. *PLoS ONE* **5(11)**:e13783 DOI 10.1371/journal.pone.0013783.
- Bang AT, Reddy HM, Bang RA, Deshmukh MD. 2005. Why do neonates die in rural Gadchiroli, India? (Part II): estimating population attributable risks and contribution of multiple morbidities for identifying a strategy to prevent deaths. *Journal of Perinatology* 25(Suppl1):S35–S43 DOI 10.1038/sj.jp.7211270.
- Bapat U, Alcock G, More NS, Das S, Joshi W, Osrin D. 2012. Stillbirths and newborn deaths in slum settlements in Mumbai, India: a prospective verbal autopsy study. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth* 12:39–39 DOI 10.1186/1471-2393-12-39.

- Baqui AH, Darmstadt GL, Williams EK, Kumar V, Kiran TU, Panwar D, Srivastava VK, Ahuja R, Black RE, Santosham M. 2006. Rates, timing and causes of neonatal deaths in rural India: implications for neonatal health programmes. *Bulletin of the World Health Organisation* 84(9):706–713 DOI 10.2471/BLT.05.026443.
- **Basu AM, Basu K. 1991.** Women's economic roles and child survival: the case of India. *Health Transition Review* **1**:83–103.
- Basu AM, Stephenson R. 2005. Low levels of maternal education and the proximate determinants of childhood mortality: a little learning is not a dangerous thing. *Social Science & Medicine* 60:2011–2023 DOI 10.1016/j.socscimed.2004.08.057.
- **Behl AS. 2012.** Trends and disparities in child mortality in India. Indian Pediatriacs pii: S097475591100843-1 [Epub ahead of print].
- Bhargave SK. 2004. The challenge of neonatal mortality in India. Indian Pediatrics 41:657-662.
- Bhattacharya C, Chikwama C. 2011. Inequalities in child mortality in India. *Asian Population Studies* 7(3):243–261 DOI 10.1080/17441730.2011.608985.
- Bhutta ZA, Darmstadt GL, Hasan BS, Haws RA. 2005. Community-based interventions for improving perinatal and neonatal health outcomes in developing countries: a review of the evidence. *Pediatrics* 115:519–617.
- Black RE, Cousens S, Johnson HL, Lawn JE, Rudan I, Bassani DG, Jha P, Campbell H, Walker CF, Cibulskis R, Eisele T, Liu L, Mathers C, Child Health Epidemiology Reference Group of WHO and UNICEF. 2010. Global, regional, and national causes of child mortality in 2008: a systematic analysis. *The Lancet* **375(9730)**:1969–1987 DOI 10.1016/S0140-6736(10)60549-1.
- Blakely TA, Woodward AJ. 2000. Ecological effects in multi-level studies. *Journal of Epidemiology* and Community Health 54:367–374 DOI 10.1136/jech.54.5.367.
- Blencowe H, Lawn J, Vandelaer J, Roper M, Cousens S. 2010. Tetanus toxoid immunization to reduce mortality from neonatal tetanus. *International Journal of Epidemiology* **39(suppl** 1):i102–i109 DOI 10.1093/ije/dyq027.
- Borooah VK. 2005. Caste, inequality and poverty in India. *Review of Development Economics* 9(3):399–414 DOI 10.1111/j.1467-9361.2005.00284.x.
- **Caldwell JC. 1979.** Education as a factor in mortality decline: an examination of Nigerian data. *Population Studies* **33**:395–413 DOI 10.2307/2173888.
- Chaman R, Naieni KH, Golestan B, Nabavizadeh H, Yunesian M. 2009. Neonatal mortality risk factors in a rural part of Iran: a nested case-control study. *Iranian Journal of Public Health* **38**(1):48–52.
- Darmstadt GL, Bhutta ZA, Cousens S, Adam T, Walker N, De Bernis L, Lancet Neonatal Survival Steering Team. 2005. Evidence-based, cost-effective interventions: how many newborn babies can we save? *The Lancet* 365(9463):977–988 DOI 10.1016/S0140-6736(05)71088-6.
- Diallo AH, Meda N, Zabsonré E, Sommerfelt H, Cousens S, Tylleskär T, PROMISE-EBF Study Group. 2010. Perinatal mortality in rural Burkina Faso: a prospective community-based cohort study. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth* 17:10–45.
- **Diez-Roux AV. 2000.** Multilevel analysis in public health research. *Annual Review of Public Health* **21**:171–192 DOI 10.1146/annurev.publhealth.21.1.171.
- **Elo IT. 1992.** Utilization of maternal healthcare services in Peru: the role of women's education. *Health Transition Review* **2**(1):49–69.

- Filmer D, Pritchett LH. 2001. Estimating wealth effects without expenditure data-or tears: an application to educational enrolments in states of India. *Demography* 38(1):115 DOI 10.1353/dem.2001.0003.
- Ghosh R. 2010. Child mortality in India: a complex situation. *World Journal of Pediatrics* 8(1):11–18 DOI 10.1007/s12519-012-0331-y.
- Green MS. 1992. The male predominance in the incidence of infectious diseases in children: a postulated explanation for disparities in the literature. *International Journal of Epidemiology* 21(2):381–386 DOI 10.1093/ije/21.2.381.
- Guang Guo, Hongxin Zhao. 2000. Multilevel modelling for binary data. *Annual Review of Sociology* 26:441–462 DOI 10.1146/annurev.soc.26.1.441.
- **Gupta M. 1990.** Death clustering, mothers' education and the determinants of child mortality in rural Punjab, India. *Population Studies* **44(3)**:489–505 DOI 10.1080/0032472031000144866.
- **Gupta SD, Keyl PM. 1998.** Effectiveness of prenatal tetanus toxoid immunization against neonatal tetanus in a rural area in India. *The Pediatric Infectious Disease Journal* **17**:316–321 DOI 10.1097/00006454-199804000-00010.
- Hartman TK, Rogerson SJ, Fischer PR. 2010. The impact of maternal malaria on newborns. *Annals of Tropical Paediatrics* 30(4):271–282 DOI 10.1179/146532810X12858955921032.
- Heck RH, Thomas SL. 2009. An introduction to multilevel modelling techniques, E-edition. Taylor and Francis.
- Hobcraft J. 1993. Women's education, child welfare and child survival: a review of the evidence. *Health Transition Review* 3(2):159–173.
- Hobcraft J, Kiernan K. 2001. Childhood poverty, early motherhood and adult social exclusion. *British Journal of Sociology* 52(3):495–517 DOI 10.1080/00071310120071151.
- Howe LD, Hargreaves JR, Gabrysch S. 2009. Is the wealth index a proxy for consumption expenditure? A systematic Review. *Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health* 63(11):871–877 DOI 10.1136/jech.2009.088021.
- Hussain R. 2002. Risk factors for neonatal mortality in low-income population subgroups in Karachi. *Pakistan. Community Genetics* 5(4):249–256 DOI 10.1159/000066688.
- **International Institute for Population Sciences (IIPS), Macro International. 2007.** National Family Health Survey (NFHS-3), 2005–06: India, Volume I. Mumbai: IIPS.
- **International Institute for Population Sciences (IIPS). 2010.** District Level Household and Facility Survey (DLHS-3), 2007–08: India. Mumbai: IIPS.
- Jain A. 1985. Determinants of regional variations in infant mortality in rural India. *Population Studies* 39(3):407–424 DOI 10.1080/0032472031000141596.
- Joe W, Mishra US, Navaneetham K. 2010. Socio-economic inequalities in child health: recent evidence from India. *Global Public Health* 5(5):493–508 DOI 10.1080/17441690903213774.
- Kishor S, Parasuraman S. 1998. Mother's employment and infant and child mortality in India. National Family Health Survey Subject Reports Number 8. Mumbai, India, International Institute for Population Sciences. Calverton: Macro International, Inc.
- Kravdal O. 2004. Child mortality in India: the community-level effect of education. *Population Studies: A Journal of Demography* 58(2):177–192 DOI 10.1080/0032472042000213721.
- Kumar C, Singh PK, Rai RK, Singh L. 2013. Early neonatal mortality in India, 1990–2006. *Journal of Community Health* 38(1):120–130 DOI 10.1007/s10900-012-9590-8.
- Lau Robin S, Johnson Shanthi, Kamalanabhan TJ. 2012. Healthy life expectancy in the context of population health and ageing in India Asia Pacific. *Journal of Public Health* 24(1):155–207.

- Lawn JE, Cousens S, Zupan J. 2005. 4 million neonatal deaths, When? Where? Why? *The Lancet* 365:891–900 DOI 10.1016/S0140-6736(05)71048-5.
- Lawn JE, Lee AC, Kinney M, Sibley L, Carlo WA, Paul VK, Pattinson R, Darmstadt GL. 2009. Two million intra-partum related stillbirths and neonatal deaths: where, why, and what can be done? *International Journal of Gynaecology and Obstetrics* 107:S5–S19 DOI 10.1016/j.ijgo.2009.07.016.
- Liu L, Johnson HL, Cousens S, Perin J, Scott S, Lawn JE, Rudan I, Campbell H, Cibulskis R, Li M, Mathers C, Black RE, Child Health Epidemiology Reference Group of WHO and UNICEF. 2012. Global, regional, and national causes of child mortality: an updated systematic analysis for 2010 with time trends since 2000. *Lancet* **379(9832)**:2151–2161 DOI 10.1016/S0140-6736(12)60560-1.
- Lozano R, Wang H, Foreman KJ, Rajaratnam JK, Naghavi M, Marcus JR, Lindgren LD, Lofgren KT, Phillips D, Atkinson C, Lopez AD, Murray CJL. 2011. Progress towards Millennium Development Goals 4 and 5 on maternal and child mortality: an updated systematic analysis. *The Lancet* 378(9797):1139–1165 DOI 10.1016/S0140-6736(11)61337-8.
- Machado CJ, Hill K. 2003. Determinants of neonatal and post neonatal mortality in the city of Sao-Paulo. *Brazilian Journal of Epidemiology* 6(4):345–358.
- Machado CJ, Hill K. 2005. Maternal, neonatal and community factors influencing neonatal mortality in Brazil. *Journal of Biosocial Science* 37(2):193–208 DOI 10.1017/S0021932004006595.
- Mahmood MA. 2004. Determinants of neonatal and post-neonatal mortality in Pakistan. *The Pakistan Development Review* 41(4):723–744.
- Mahy M. 2003. DHS Comparative Reports No 4: Childhood mortality in the developing world: a review evidence from the demographic and health surveys. Calverton: ORC Macro.
- Mellington N, Cameron L. 1999. Female education and child mortality in Indonesia. *Bulletin of Indonesian Economic Studies* 35:115–144 DOI 10.1080/00074919912331337717.
- Mercer A, Haseen F, Huq NL, Uddin N, Hossain Khan M, Larson CP. 2006. Risk factors for neonatal mortality in rural areas of Bangladesh served by a large NGO programme. *Health Policy & Planning* 21(6):432–443 DOI 10.1093/heapol/czlo24.
- Ministry of Finance. 2011. Economic Survey 2011–12. Government of India. Available at http://www.indiabudget.nic.in/survey.asp (accessed 24 July 2012).
- Mohindra KS, Labonté S. 2010. A systematic review of population health interventions and Scheduled Tribes in India. *BMC Public Health* 10:438 DOI 10.1186/1471-2458-10-438.
- Montgomery MR, Grangnolati M, Burke MKA, Paredes E. 2000. Measuring living standards with proxy variables. *Demography* 37(2):155–174 DOI 10.2307/2648118.
- Mosley WH, Chen LC. 1984. An analytical framework for the study of child survival in developing countries. *Population and Development Review* 10(supplementary):25–45 DOI 10.2307/2807954.
- Moursund A, Kravdal O. 2003. Individual and community effects of women's education and autonomy on contraceptive use in India. *Population Studies* 57:285–302 DOI 10.1080/0032472032000137817.
- Murray CJL, Laakso T, Shibuya K, Hill K, Lopez AD. 2007. Can we achieve Millennium Development Goal 4? New analysis of country trends and forecasts of under-5 mortality to 2015. *Lancet* **370**:1040–1054 DOI 10.1016/S0140-6736(07)61478-0.
- Murthi Mamta, Anne-Catherine Guio, Jean Dreze. 1995. Mortality, fertility, and gender bias in India: a district-level analysis. *Population and Development Review* 21(4):745–782 DOI 10.2307/2137773.

- Narayana D. 2008. Intensifying infant mortality inequality in India and a reversal by policy intervention. *Journal of Human Development and Capabilities* **9**(2):265–281 DOI 10.1080/14649880802078801.
- Nayar KR. 2011. India's country experience in addressing social exclusion in maternal and child health. World Conference on Social Determinants of Health, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil 2011. Draft background paper 8 WCSDH/BCKGRT/8/2011. Available at http://www.who.int/sdhconference/resources/draft_background_paper8_india.pdf (accessed 21 July 2012).
- Noorali R, Luby S, Rahbar MH. 1999. Does use of a government service depend on distance from the health facility? *Health Policy and Planning* 14(2):191–197 DOI 10.1093/heapol/14.2.191.
- **O'Donnell O, Doorslaer EV, Wagstaff A, Lindelow M. 2008.** Analysing health equity using household survey data: a guide to techniques and their implementation. *World Bank Institute Learning Resource Series.* Washington, DC: The World Bank.
- **Office of Registrar General of India (ORGI). 2008.** *Sample registration system report 2008.* New Delhi: Government of India.
- **Office of Registrar General of India (ORGI). 2010.** *Sample registration system report 2010.* New Delhi: Government of India.
- **Office of the Registrar General and Census Commissioner, India. 2011.** Provisional Population Totals Paper 1 of 2011 India Series-1. New Delhi: Government of India.
- Office of the Registrar General and Census Commissioner of India. 2011. Houselisting and Housing Census Data Highlights 2011. New Delhi: Government of India. *Available at http://www.censusindia.gov.in* (accessed 24 July 2012).
- Oestergaard MZ, Inoue M, Yoshida S, Mahanani WR, Gore FM, Cousens S, Lawn JE, Mathers CD, United Nations Inter-Agency Group for Child Mortality Estimation and the Child Health Epidemiology Reference Group. 2011. Neonatal mortality levels for 193 countries in 2009 with trends since 1990: a systematic analysis of progress, projections, and priorities. *PLoS Medicine* 8(8):e1001080 DOI 10.1371/journal.pmed.1001080.
- O'Malley AS, Forrest CB. 2002. Beyond the examination room: primary care performance and patient-physician relationship for low income women. *Journal of General Internal Medicine* 17:66–74 DOI 10.1046/j.1525-1497.2002.10338.x.
- Pandey A, Minja KC, Luther NY, Sahu D, Chand J. 1998. Infant and child mortality in India. National Family Health Survey Subject Reports 11, 1998; International Institute of Population Sciences, Mumbai and East-West Centre Program on Population, Hawaii.
- Parashar S. 2005. Moving beyond the mother-child dyad: women's education, child immunization, and the importance of context in rural India. *Social Science and Medicine* 61(5):989–1000 DOI 10.1016/j.socscimed.2004.12.023.
- Poel EV, Doorsleaer EV. 2009. What explains the rural-urban gap in infant mortality: household or community characteristics. *Demography* 46(4):827–850 DOI 10.1353/dem.0.0074.
- Pradhan J, Arokiasamy P. 2010. Socio-economic inequalities in child survival in India: a decomposition analysis. *Health Policy* 98(2–3):114–120 DOI 10.1016/j.healthpol.2010.05.010.
- Raghupathy S. 1996. Education and the use of maternal health care in Thailand. Social Science & Medicine 43(4):459–471 DOI 10.1016/0277-9536(95)00411-4.
- Rahman MM, Abidin S. 2010. Factors affecting neonatal mortality in Bangladesh. *Journal of Health Management* 12:137 DOI 10.1177/097206341001200203.

- Rahman M, Chen LC, Chakraborty J, Yunus M, Chowdhury AI, Sarder AM, Bhatia S, Curlin GT. 1982. Use of tetanus toxoid for the prevention of neonatal tetanus.1. Reduction of neonatal mortality by immunization of non-pregnant and pregnant women in rural Bangladesh. *Bulletin of the World Health Organization* 60(2):261–267.
- Rahman M, Huq SS. 2009. Biodemographic and health seeking behavior factors influencing neonatal and postneonatal mortality in Bangladesh: evidence from DHS data. *East African Journal of Public Health* 6(1):77–84.
- Rajaratnam JK, Marcus JR, Flaxman AD, Wang H, Levin-Rector A, Dwyer L, Costa M, Lopez AD, Murray CJ. 2010. Neonatal, post neonatal, childhood, and under-5 mortality for 187 countries, 1970–2010: a systematic analysis of progress towards Millennium Development Goal 4. *The Lancet* **375(9730)**:1988–2008 DOI 10.1016/S0140-6736(10)60703-9.
- Rasbash J, Charlton C, Browne WJ, Healy M, Cameron B. 2009. MLwiN Version 2.1 Bristol: Centre for Multilevel Modelling, University of Bristol.
- Rasbash J, Steele F, Browne WJ, Goldstein H. 2009. A User's Guide to MLwiN, v2.10 Bristol: Centre for Multilevel Modelling, University of Bristol.
- Rath S, Nair N, Tripathy PK, Barnett S, Rath S, Mahapatra R, Gope R, Bajpai A, Sinha1 R, Costello A, Prost A. 2010. Explaining the impact of a women's group led community mobilisation intervention on maternal and newborn health outcomes: the Ekjut trial process evaluation. *BMC International Health and Human Rights* 10:25 DOI 10.1186/1472-698X-10-25.
- Rijken MJ, Papageorghiou AT, Thiptharakun S, Kiricharoen S, Dwell SLM, Wiladphaingern J, Pimanpanarak M, Kennedy SH, Nosten F, McGready R. 2012. Ultrasound evidence of early fetal growth restriction after maternal malaria infection. *PLoS ONE* 7(2):e31411 DOI 10.1371/journal.pone.0031411.
- **Rutstein SO. 2008.** The DHS wealth index: approaches to rural and urban areas. Demographic and Health Survey, Working paper number 60. Calverton: Macro International Inc.
- **Rutstein OS. 1984.** Infant and child mortality: levels, trends, and demographic differentials. Revised edition. WFS Comparative Studies No. 43. Voorburg: International Statistical Institute.
- Samms-Vaughan ME, McCaw-Binns AM, Ashley DC, Foster-Williams K. 1990. Neonatal mortality determinants in Jamaica. *Journal of Tropical Pediatrics* 36:171–175 DOI 10.1093/tropej/36.4.171.
- Shakya K, McMurray C. 2001. Neonatal mortality and maternal health care in Nepal: searching for patterns of association. *Journal of Biosocial Science* 33(1):87–105 DOI 10.1017/S0021932001000876.
- Simmons GB, Smucker C, Bernstein S, Jensen E. 1982. Post-neonatal mortality in rural India: implications of an economic model. *Demography* 19(3):371–389 DOI 10.2307/2060977.
- Singh A, Pallikadavath S, Ogollah R, Stones W. 2012. Maternal tetanus toxoid vaccination and neonatal mortality in rural north India. *PLoS ONE* 7(11):e48891 DOI 10.1371/journal.pone.oo48891.
- Singh A, Yadav A, Singh A. 2012. Utilization of postnatal care for newborns and its association with neonatal mortality in India: an analytical appraisal. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth* 12:33 DOI 10.1186/1471-2393-12-33.
- Stata Corporation. 2011. Stata Statistical Software: Release 12. College Station: StataCorp LP.
- Subramanian SV, Nandy S, Irving M, Gordon D, Lambert H, Smith GD. 2006. The mortality divide in India: the differential contributions of gender, caste, and standard of living across the life course. *American Journal of Public Health* **96**(5):818–825 DOI 10.2105/AJPH.2004.060103.

- Taha TE, Gray RH, Abdelwahab MM. 1993. Determinants of neonatal mortality in central Sudan. *Annals of Tropical Paediatrics* 13(4):359–364.
- The Million Death Study Collaborators. 2010. Causes of neonatal and child mortality in India: nationally representative mortality survey. *The Lancet* **376(9755)**:1853–1860 DOI 10.1016/S0140-6736(10)61461-4.
- Tinker A, Hoope-Bender P, Azfar S, Bustreo F, Bell R. 2005. A continuum of care to save newborn lives. *The Lancet* 365:822–825 DOI 10.1016/S0140-6736(05)71016-3.
- Titaley CR, Dibley MJ, Agho K, Roberts CL, Hall J. 2008. Determinants of neonatal mortality in Indonesia. *BMC Public Health* 8:232 DOI 10.1186/1471-2458-8-232.
- Titaley CR, Dibley MJ, Roberts CL. 2011. Type of delivery attendant, place of delivery and risk of early neonatal mortality: analyses of the 1994–2007 Indonesia Demographic and Health Surveys. *Health Policy and Planning* 27(5):405–416 DOI 10.1093/heapol/czro53.
- Titaley CR, Dibly M J, Roberts CL, Hall J, Agho K. 2010. Iron and Folic Acid supplements and reduced early neonatal deaths in Indonesia. *Bulletin of the World Health Organization* 88:500–508 DOI 10.2471/BLT.09.065813.
- **Tulasidhar VB. 1993.** Maternal education, female labour force participation and child mortality: evidence from the Indian census. *Health Transition Review* **3**(**2**):177–189.
- Ulizzi L, Zonta LA. 2002. Sex differential patterns in perinatal deaths in Italy. *Human Biology* 74:879–888 DOI 10.1353/hub.2003.0012.
- United Nations. 1995. International conference on population and development: summary of the programme of action. *Available at: http://www.un.org/ecosocdev/geninfo/populatin/icpd.htm* (accessed 21 July 2012).
- **United Nations (UN). 2001.** *Road map towards the implementation of the United Nations Millennium Declaration: report of the Secretary-General.* New York: United Nations.
- **United Nations International Children's Emergency Fund. 2008.** *The state of the world's children 2009.* New York: UNICEF.
- Vandelaer J, Birmingham M, Gasse F, Kurian M, Shaw C, Garnier S. 2003. Tetanus in developing countries: an update on the Maternal and Neonatal Tetanus Elimination Initiative. *Vaccine* 21(24):3442–3445 DOI 10.1016/S0264-410X(03)00347-5.
- Vyas S, Kumaranayake L. 2006. Constructing socio-economic status indices: how to use principal component analysis. *Health Policy and Planning* 21(6):459–468 DOI 10.1093/heapol/czlo29.
- Ware H. 1984. Effects of maternal education, women's roles, and child care on child mortality. *Population and Development Review* 10:191–214 DOI 10.2307/2807961.
- **World Health Organization (WHO). 2005.** *MDG: Health and the millennium development goals.* Geneva: World Health Organization.
- **World Health Organisation. 2005.** *World Health Report 2005: Make every mother and child count.* Geneva: World Health Organisation.
- Yakoob MY, Ali MA, Ali MU, Imdad A, Lawn JE, Broek NVD, Bhutta ZA. 2011. The effect of providing skilled birth attendance and emergency obstetric care in preventing stillbirths. *BMC Public Health* 11(Suppl 3):S7 DOI 10.1186/1471-2458-11-S3-S7.
- Yerushalmy J. 1938. Neonatal mortality by order of birth and age of parents. *American Journal of Hygeine* 28(2):244–270.
- Yilgwan CS, Hyacinth HI, Oguche S. 2011. Factors associated with decreased survival from neonatal malaria infection in Jos, North Central Nigeria. *Nigerian Journal of Medicine* 20(3):349–354.

- **Yinger NV, Ransom EI. 2003.** Why invest in newborn health: policy perspectives on newborn health. In: Policy Perspectives on Newborn Health. Save the Children and Population Reference Bureau 1-6.
- You D, Wardlaw T, Salama P, Jones G. 2010. Levels and trends in under-5 mortality, 1990–2008. *The Lancet* 375(9709):100–103 DOI 10.1016/S0140-6736(09)61601-9.
- Yusuf B, Solter S, Bertsch D, Arnold RB. 1991. Impact of a tetanus toxoid immunization mass campaign on neonatal tetanus mortality in Aceh Province, Indonesia. *Southeast Asian Journal of Tropical Medicine and Public Health* 22:351–356.
- Zacharias A, Vakulabharanam V. 2011. Caste stratification and wealth inequality in India. *World Development* 39(10):1820–1833 DOI 10.1016/j.worlddev.2011.04.026.
- Zanini RR, de Moraes AB, Giugliani ERJ, Riboldi J. 2011. Contextual determinants of neonatal mortality using two analysis methods, Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil. *Revista de Saúde Pública* 45(1):79–89 DOI 10.1590/S0034-89102011000100009.
- **Zupan J, Aahman E. 2005.** *Perinatal mortality for the year 2000: estimates developed by the WHO.* Geneva: World Health Organization.