University of Texas Rio Grande Valley

ScholarWorks @ UTRGV

Physics and Astronomy Faculty Publications and Presentations

College of Sciences

2017

Non-Hermiticity-induced flat band

Hamidrez Ramezani The University of Texas Rio Grande Valley, hamidreza.ramezani@utrgv.edu

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarworks.utrgv.edu/pa_fac

Part of the Astrophysics and Astronomy Commons, and the Physics Commons

Recommended Citation

Ramezani, Hamidrez, "Non-Hermiticity-induced flat band" (2017). *Physics and Astronomy Faculty Publications and Presentations*. 282. https://scholarworks.utrgv.edu/pa_fac/282

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the College of Sciences at ScholarWorks @ UTRGV. It has been accepted for inclusion in Physics and Astronomy Faculty Publications and Presentations by an authorized administrator of ScholarWorks @ UTRGV. For more information, please contact justin.white@utrgv.edu, william.flores01@utrgv.edu.

Non-Hermiticity-induced flat band

Hamidreza Ramezani*

Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Texas Rio Grande Valley, Brownsville, Texas 78520, USA (Received 19 January 2017; published 5 July 2017)

We demonstrate the emergence of an entire flat band with no complex component embedded in dispersive bands at the exceptional point of a \mathcal{PT} -symmetric photonic lattice. For this to occur, the gain and loss parameter effectively alters the size of the partial flat band windows and band gap of the photonic lattice simultaneously. The mode associated with the entire flat band is robust against changes in the system size and survives even at the edge of the lattice. Our proposal offers a route for controllable localization of light in non-Hermitian systems and a technique for measuring non-Hermiticity via localization.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevA.96.011802

Controllable and yet robust confinement of light, or simply localization, is vital for many applications such as quantum simulation of nondispersive states, diffractionless long-distance light propagation, enhancement of nonlinear effects, stop light, and imaging. Various approaches have been proposed to achieve localization; among them are impurity in periodic systems [1–3], quasiperiodic systems [4–6], Anderson localization [7], nonlinear self-trapping [8,9], bound state in continuum (BIC) [10,11], and flat bands [12,13]. In flat bands, localization occurs due to a destructive interference of the geometric phases and observed recently in Lieb photonic lattices [12,13]. Interest in flat bands is not limited to optics [14,15] or photonics [12,13,16,17] and has been studied in graphene [18], superconductors [19,20], quantum Hall effect [21–24], and exciton polariton condensates [25–27].

Nevertheless, all these achievements are limited in view of studying the properties of flat bands using Hermitian potential [28]. Thus, many of these studies cannot be used in active systems, such as coupled laser cavities and metal-dielectric structures, where gain or loss exists naturally. Moreover, none of the aforementioned studies can control the generated flat band and the localized modes. In other words, entering to the delocalization regime on demand and at different propagation coupling length or time needs fabrication of different samples. Overcoming these limitations will not only enrich the conventional research in flat bands but also offer new methods for controllable localization and imaging technologies. It is therefore extremely desirable to investigate and propose architectures with flat bands that incorporate gain and loss mechanisms.

Here we show that by altering the degree of non-Hermiticity in a \mathcal{PT} -symmetric lattice one can control the flat band formation and effectively control the localization of light. More specifically, we show that an entire flat band can be obtained at the exceptional point of a \mathcal{PT} -symmetric lattice which results in nondiffracting beam propagation with constant intensity. Below (above) the exceptional point, as we reduce (increase) the gain and loss parameter, the flat band shrinks and form partial flat bands. The exceptional point-induced flat band is located, with no gap, in between the dispersive bands and therefore composed of infinite BIC-like states in complex lattices. While in the exact phase partial flat bands are separated from each other with a gap and therefore are not BIC-like states, in the broken phase the partial flat bands

To show how an exceptional point can induce an entire flat band, we consider a quasi-one-dimensional (quasi-1D) \mathcal{PT} symmetric waveguide array depicted schematically in Fig. 1. The unit cell of the waveguide array is a trimer (indicated with a box in Fig. 1) that consists of a gain waveguide (A) with gain parameter γ , a passive waveguide (B) with no gain or loss, and a loss waveguide (C) with loss parameter $-\gamma$. Each of the waveguides supports only one mode. In the A-B-C units, the gain and loss waveguides are evanescently coupled to the passive waveguide with coupling strength t. In our model, gain (loss) waveguides are coupled to nearest neighbor loss (gain) waveguides with coupling strength k > t. Interestingly, it has been shown that the unit cell of our array can act as a unidirectional laser or absorber [29,30].

With a very good approximation diffraction of the electric field amplitude at the *n*th unit cell, $\Psi_n = (A_n, B_n, C_n)^T$ is given by

$$ci\frac{dA_n}{dz} = i\gamma A_n - tB_n - k(C_n + C_{n-1}),$$

$$i\frac{dB_n}{dz} = -t(A_n + C_n),$$

$$i\frac{dC_n}{dz} = -i\gamma C_n - tB_n - k(A_n + A_{n+1}).$$
 (1)

Above z is the propagation direction in the unit of couplings and we assumed that the real part of the refractive index of all the waveguides are zero. A nonzero real part shifts the whole dispersion relation. Adopting momentum representation in Eq. (1) $\Psi_n = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} dq \psi_q e^{inq}$, with $\psi_q = (a,b,c)^T$, results in the following Schrödinger equation for each value of q

$$i\dot{\psi}_q = H_q\psi; H_q = \begin{pmatrix} i\gamma & -t & -k - ke^{-iq} \\ -t & 0 & -t \\ -k - ke^{iq} & -t & -i\gamma \end{pmatrix},$$
 (2)

where \cdot is derivative with respect to z.

In Fig. 2, we plotted the dispersion relation of the H_q for different values of the gain and loss parameter. Specifically, Figs. 2(a)-2(d) depict the dispersion for the Hermitian

are in between the dispersive bands with no gap and thus can be considered as BIC-like states. Furthermore, we show that localized state associated with the bulk is robust against system size and remains localized at the edge of the lattice. Our results provide a scheme for generation of controllable flat bands and BIC states in synthetic non-Hermitian lattices.

^{*}hamidreza.ramezani@utrgv.edu

^{2469-9926/2017/96(1)/011802(5)}

HAMIDREZA RAMEZANI



FIG. 1. Schematic of a quasi-one-dimensional (quasi-1D) \mathcal{PT} -symmetric array of coupled waveguides with a flat band at the exceptional point. The unit cell of the array (identified in a cuboid) composed of a gain waveguide (*A*), a passive waveguide (*B*), and a loss waveguide (*C*). The passive waveguide in the unit cell is coupled to the gain and loss waveguides with coupling strength *t*. The gain and loss waveguides are coupled with coupling *k*.

case with $\gamma = 0$, exact phase with $\gamma < \gamma_{PT} = 0.95\gamma_{PT}$, exceptional point with $\gamma = \gamma_{PT}$, and broken phase with $\gamma = 1.05\gamma_{PT}$. In the Hermitian case, we observe that the dispersion relation of the lattice has three bands separated by two gaps. All the bands are partially flat at the center and edges of the Brillouin zone, which is expected for Hermitian lattices. Figure 2(b) shows that by increasing γ , the bands come close to each other and the gaps become tighter. At the same time, the flat band windows of the middle band,



FIG. 2. Real (blue curves) and imaginary (red dots) part of the propagation constant β of the \mathcal{PT} -symmetric structure in Fig. 1 vs the Bloch wave vector q with k = 1 and t = 0.5. (a) Hermitian case with $\gamma = 0$. The imaginary parts of the propagation constants are zero. Three bands are separated by gaps. The bands are partially flat at the edge and center of Brillouin zone. (b) Exact phase with $\gamma = 0.95 \gamma_{PT}$. The imaginary parts of the propagation constants are zero. By increasing the γ , the bands approach each other, namely the gaps become smaller. For the two upper bands, the window for which the bands are partially flat expands. (c) Exceptional point with $\gamma = \gamma_{PT} \approx 0.66$. The two upper bands touch each other at $q \approx 2.77$, and the partial flat bands of each one combine and form an entire flat band. Still imaginary parts are zero. The flat band is embedded in between the two dispersive bands, forming an infinite number of BIC-like states. (d) Broken phase with $\gamma = 1.05 \gamma_{PT}$. The two upper bands start merging for $\gamma > \gamma_{PT}$. The flat band loses its flatness at the windows of the wave vector for which the bands are merged. The propagation constant of the merged parts becomes complex (red dots with nonzero values).

PHYSICAL REVIEW A 96, 011802(R) (2017)

at the center of Brillouin zone, and the upper band, at the edges of the Brillouin zone, become wider. As depicted in Fig. 2(c), at the exceptional point the upper and lower bands touch each other at $q \approx \pm 2.77$. Thus, the two partial flat bands created by non-Hermiticity combine and form an entire flat band embedded between the rest of their dispersive bands. This entire flat band has a zero group velocity and infinite effective mass, which is a new BIC-like state. By definition, modes in dispersive bands should disperse. However, sometimes by means of symmetries a mode (BIC mode) might not diffract, although it belongs to the dispersive band. Thus, a flat band in between two dispersive bands with no gap is a BIC state [12,13].

Eigenmodes of \mathcal{PT} -symmetric systems are biorthogonal, which makes the total norm nonconservative. Furthermore, at any exceptional point of a non-Hermitian system, at least two eigenvalues and eigenvectors coalesce and become degenerate [31-33], which makes the corresponding Hamiltonian to be defective [34]. Thus, while it is excepted that an entire flat band of a Hermitian system allows the formation of compaction states with no diffraction [35], it is not obvious that our proposed flat band at the exceptional point supports nondispersive modes with constant intensity. In the following, we show that although at the exceptional point the Hilbert space of our system collapses, the system supports localized nondispersive modes with constant intensity. In the broken phase with $\gamma > \gamma_{PT}$ [Fig. 2(d)], the parts of the bands that are merged lose their flatness while the unmerged part of the middle band remains flat. This partial flat band, which shrinks as we increase the gain and loss parameter, is in between the dispersive bands and the modes associated with it are BIC-like states. This is in contrast to previous studies [31,36,37]. Specifically, while the lattice supports a partially flat band, the merged parts of the bands are not flat. It should be noted that for very large values of gain and loss parameter and deep in the broken phase, ultimately all the bands merge and form a flat band [31,36,37]. Such flat bands have eigenmodes with entirely degenerate real and asymmetric nondegenerate imaginary components. Consequently, the modes associated with these bands will amplify or decay exponentially in a nonuniform fashion [38], which makes them less appealing for applications.

In our lattice, obtaining the entire flat band can be a measure for which the system reaches to exceptional point. Assuming that the propagation constant of the lattice at the flat band is denoted by $\beta = \beta_0$, we can find the corresponding nondispersive mode. In the momentum space and using Eq. (2), we can calculate the dispersionless mode associated with wave vector q. Indeed, one can find that this mode is given by $\psi_q = [1 - \frac{t}{\beta_0}(1 + \xi)\xi]^T$ or $\psi_q = [1 - \frac{t}{\beta_0}(1 + 1/\xi^*)1/\xi^*]^T$, where $\xi = (\beta_0 - \frac{t^2}{\beta_0} - i\gamma)[\frac{t^2}{\beta_0} - k(1 + e^{-iq})]^{-1}$ and * means complex conjugation. As both expressions at the exceptional point denote the same mode, they should be equal. Therefore, one can find the gain and loss value for which we attain the exceptional point, $\gamma_{PT} = \frac{\sqrt{2\beta_0(k^2 + t^2) + 2k\cos(q)(k\beta_0 - t^2) - 2kt^2 - \beta_0^3}}{\sqrt{\beta_0}}$.

In general, the dispersion relation of the \mathcal{PT} symmetric Hamiltonian in Eq. (2) does not have a closed form. However, at the exceptional point, using the expression of the $\gamma_{\mathcal{PT}}$, one can find a closed form for the dispersion relation of the



FIG. 3. Localization of the light at the exceptional point. (a) Beam dynamics in an array of 150 waveguides. We excited the mode associated with the flat band, namely passive waveguide no. 73, loss waveguide no. 74, gain waveguide no. 75, and passive waveguide no. 76. Although the system is not Hermitian, the original excitation does not show any dynamics and the intensity remains constant. [(b), (c)] The same as part (a) with 18 waveguides and two unit cells, namely 6 waveguides, respectively. The system size does not affect the mode associated with the flat band of infinite lattice.

waveguide array

$$\beta = \beta_0, -\frac{\beta_0}{2} \pm \sqrt{\frac{\beta_0^2}{4} + \frac{4kt^2 \cos^2 \frac{q}{2}}{\beta_0}}.$$
 (3)

Moreover, at the exceptional point the two bands coalesce, which occurs at $q = \pm \cos^{-1}(\frac{\beta_0^3 - kt^2}{kt^2})$. This wave vector can be used to obtain the value of the gain and loss parameter for which we have the exceptional point $\gamma_{PT} = \sqrt{\frac{2k\beta_0^3}{t^2} + 2t^2 - 3\beta_0^2}$. Further analysis shows that the propagation constant of the flat band is given by $\beta = \beta_0 = t^2/k$, which can be used to obtain the γ_{PT} :

$$\gamma_{\mathcal{P}\mathcal{T}} = t\sqrt{2 - \frac{t^2}{k^2}}.$$
(4)

Using Eq. (4) and $H_{q=0}$ we can show that only for $|k| \ge |\frac{t}{\sqrt{2}}$ the entire flat band is generated at the exceptional point.

We can calculate the flat band mode in the spatial representation by taking the inverse Fourier of the eigenmode associated with the flat band in the momentum representation. We find that five sites need to be excited. More precisely, in unit cells *n*th and *n*th – 1, we need to excite one gain waveguide and one passive waveguide with amplitude one, $-\frac{k}{t}$, and one loss waveguide and one passive waveguide with amplitude $\frac{k^2}{k^2-t^2-ik\gamma_{PT}}$, $-\frac{k}{t} \times \frac{k^2}{k^2-t^2-ik\gamma_{PT}}$, respectively. To verify our analytical results, we perform numerical

To verify our analytical results, we perform numerical simulation for propagation distance L = 40 coupling units. In our simulation in Fig. 3(a), we consider 150 waveguides arranged according to Fig. 1. We excite the gain waveguide no. 75 with amplitude 1, waveguide no. 76 with amplitude -2, waveguide no. 74 with amplitude $\approx e^{0.72i}$, and waveguide no. 73 with amplitude $\approx -2e^{0.72i}$. We see that initial excitation propagates without any diffraction and the light remains localized in the original excited waveguides. Furthermore, the initial intensity of excited waveguide remains constant and consequently the total norm associated with this excitation in the system is conserved.

In order to find the properties of the flat band generated by the exceptional point, we assumed that the \mathcal{PT} symmetric waveguide array has infinite size. Therefore, we were able to find the dispersion relation of the lattice in Eq. (3). However, in the above numerical simulation we used only 150 waveguides, which tells us that the compacton-like mode associated with the exceptional point might be robust against the changes in the system size. Hence to verify our conjecture, in Fig. 3(b) we perform numerical simulations for array of 18 waveguides, which are composed of 6 unit cells. We observe that the diffractionless dynamics stays unchanged. In Fig. 3(c), we decrease the array size to the smallest number of unit cells that allows us to excite the dispersionless mode, namely 6 waveguides composed of only two unit cells. Numerical simulation in Fig. 3(c) clearly shows that the compacton-like solution remains unaffected by changing the system size.

The robustness of the flat band localized mode at exceptional point vs system size helps us to explain how the entire flat band is generated at the exceptional point. In Fig. 4(a) in our numerical simulation, we coupled four waveguides, two passive waveguides at the edge and two active waveguides at the middle, where one has gain and the other has loss (B-C-A-B). This arrangement is the smallest waveguide number that accommodates the dynamicless mode. We observe that the initial excitation propagates in the waveguides without any changes, which indicates that such excitation is an eigenvector of the system. This clearly explains why the infinite lattice has a flat band at the exceptional point. By connecting these four waveguides through the dark states (loss waveguides with amplitude zero), a nondiffracting state with real propagation constant is generated. On the other hand, such nondiffracting



FIG. 4. Localization of the light at the edge of the lattice. (a) Four coupled waveguides composed of two passive waveguides, one loss waveguide, and one gain waveguide forming *B-C-A-B* type coupled waveguides. The excited mode propagates without any oscillation. Such a dynamic shows that the mode associated with the flat band of an infinite lattice at the exceptional point is an eigenmode of the coupled four waveguides. (b) Beam dynamics in six \mathcal{PT} -symmetric unit cells coupled from the left to a passive-loss (*B-C*) dimer. Although the total system is not \mathcal{PT} symmetric and has at least one complex propagation constant, the excited edge mode is not affected and remains at the edge with constant intensity.

beam requires a flat band with no complex part. As the system originally does not have such a band, the only option for the lattice is to find a flat band at the exceptional point.

Furthermore, the robustness brings about the question of having the localized mode at the edge of the lattice. However, if we want to have the mode at the edge, then at least one unit cell should miss one waveguide (*A* or *C*), which makes the total system not be \mathcal{PT} symmetric anymore. Moreover, from Fig. 4(a), we infer that the localization can exists at the edge of a truncated lattice as depicted in Fig. 4(b). In Fig. 4(b), the simulation is performed in a waveguide array composed of six \mathcal{PT} -symmetric unit cells coupled from the left to a passive-loss (*B*-*C*) dimer. So in this case the total system is not \mathcal{PT} symmetric anymore and has at least one complex propagation constant. We clearly observe that the mode propagates at the edge of the lattice without any diffraction.

Participation ratio $R = (\sum_{n} |\phi_{n}|^{2})^{2} / \sum_{n} |\phi_{n}|^{4}$, where ϕ_{n} is the field in the *n*th waveguide, is a measure of the degree of localization. Participation ratio takes value 1 for a state localized at one waveguide and *N* for a completely delocalized state. In our case, where 4 sites are populated, the participation ratio is R < 3, which indicates that we have an extremely localized state.

Our proposed structure can be demonstrated experimentally in numerous different systems [38–42]. For instance, in photonics, one can use the femtosecond direct writing method [43] to realize an array of passive-loss \mathcal{PT} symmetric photonic coupled waveguide without using actual gain. In another approach, one can use the time reversed of the passive-loss \mathcal{PT} waveguides, namely coupled laser cavities, to demonstrate non-Hermitian flat bands with the advantage of an exponential growth in nondiffracting super mode of coupled cavities. In the case of passive-loss (-gain) \mathcal{PT} structure, there are three sites in the unit cell of the periodic array: one with no net loss (gain), the second one with loss (gain) value γ ($-\gamma$), and the third one with loss (gain) value 2γ (-2γ). The Hamiltonian of the system in the momentum representation in these cases is written as

$$H_q = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -t & -k - ke^{-iq} \\ -t & -i(-)\gamma & -t \\ -k - ke^{iq} & -t & -2i(-)\gamma \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (5)

If we make a transformation of the form $\psi_q = e^{-(-)\gamma z} \phi_q$ then the dynamics of the system in the new variable will be given by

$$i\dot{\phi_q} = H_q \phi_q; H_q' = \begin{pmatrix} i(-)\gamma & -t & -k - ke^{-iq} \\ -t & 0 & -t \\ -k - ke^{iq} & -t & -i(-)\gamma \end{pmatrix}.$$
(6)

The above Hamiltonian H_q' has the same form as the one in Eq. (2). Thus, our previous results are valid with the only difference that the mode amplitudes are multiplied by an exponential decay (growth) factor.

In conclusion, we demonstrated that altering non-Hermiticity in our \mathcal{PT} -symmetric system can change the flatness of bands. The ultimate robust flatness occurs at the exceptional point of the system where two bands combine to form an entire flat band embedded between the dispersive bands. The importance of our results is twofold; first, it provides a controllable localization and BIC states, and second, it shows that localization can be used as a measure for the degree of non-Hermiticity. Our proposal gives rise to new possibilities in imaging via gain and loss elements, quantum computing in the presence of complex entities, systems with intrinsic amplification or absorption mechanism such as coupled laser cavities and lossy metamaterials where Hermiticity is no longer valid, and long-distance communication.

H.R. gratefully acknowledge support from the UT system under the Valley STAR award.

- S. Fan, J. D. Joannopoulos, J. N. Winn, A. Devenyi, J. C. Chen, and R. D. Meade, J. Opt. Soc. Am. B 12, 1267 (1995).
- [2] O. Painter, Science **284**, 1819 (1999).
- [3] J. Lydon, M. Serra-Garcia, and C. Daraio, Phys. Rev. Lett. 113, 185503 (2014).
- [4] M. Kohmoto, B. Sutherland, and K. Iguchi, Phys. Rev. Lett. 58, 2436 (1987).
- [5] W. Gellermann, M. Kohmoto, B. Sutherland, and P. C. Taylor, Phys. Rev. Lett. 72, 633 (1994).
- [6] M. H. Teimourpour, J. Opt. 14, 035501 (2012).
- [7] M. Segev, Y. Silberberg, and D. N. Christodoulides, Nat. Photon. 7, 197 (2013).
- [8] S. F. Mingaleev and Y. S. Kivshar, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 5474 (2001).
- [9] M. H. Teimourpour, A. Rahman, K. Srinivasan, and R. El-Ganainy, Phys. Rev. Appl. 7, 014015 (2017).
- [10] Y. Plotnik, O. Peleg, F. Dreisow, M. Heinrich, S. Nolte, A. Szameit, and M. Segev, Phys. Rev. Lett. 107, 183901 (2011).
- [11] C. W. Hsu, B. Zhen, J. Lee, S.-L. Chua, S. G. Johnson, J. D. Joannopoulos, and M. Soljačić, Nature (London) 499, 188 (2013).

- [12] S. Mukherjee, A. Spracklen, D. Choudhury, N. Goldman, P. Öhberg, E. Andersson, and R. R. Thomson, Phys. Rev. Lett. 114, 245504 (2015).
- [13] R. A. Vicencio, C. Cantillano, L. Morales-Inostroza, B. Real, C. Mejía-Cortés, S. Weimann, A. Szameit, and M. I. Molina, Phys. Rev. Lett. 114, 245503 (2015).
- [14] V. Apaja, M. Hyrkäs, and M. Manninen, Phys. Rev. A 82, 041402(R) (2010).
- [15] M. Hyrkäs, V. Apaja, and M. Manninen, Phys. Rev. A 87, 023614 (2013).
- [16] M. C. Rechtsman, J. M. Zeuner, A. Tünnermann, S. Nolte, M. Segev, and A. Szameit, Nat. Photon. 7, 153 (2013).
- [17] M. Biondi, E. P. L. van Nieuwenburg, G. Blatter, S. D. Huber, and S. Schmidt, Phys. Rev. Lett. 115, 143601 (2015).
- [18] F. Guinea, M. I. Katsnelson, and A. K. Geim, Nat. Phys. 6, 30 (2009).
- [19] S. Deng, A. Simon, and J. Köhler, J. Solid State Chem. 176, 412 (2003).
- [20] M. Imada and M. Kohno, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 143 (2000).

- [21] E. Tang, J.-W. Mei, and X.-G. Wen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 106, 236802 (2011).
- [22] T. Neupert, L. Santos, C. Chamon, and C. Mudry, Phys. Rev. Lett. 106, 236804 (2011).
- [23] S. Yang, Z.-C. Gu, K. Sun, and S. Das Sarma, Phys. Rev. B 86, 241112 (2012).
- [24] S. A. Parameswaran, R. Roy, and S. L. Sondhi, C. R. Phys. 14, 816 (2013).
- [25] T. Jacqmin, I. Carusotto, I. Sagnes, M. Abbarchi, D. D. Solnyshkov, G. Malpuech, E. Galopin, A. Lemaître, J. Bloch, and A. Amo, Phys. Rev. Lett. **112**, 116402 (2014).
- [26] F. Baboux, L. Ge, T. Jacqmin, M. Biondi, E. Galopin, A. Lemaître, L. Le Gratiet, I. Sagnes, S. Schmidt, H. E. Türeci, A. Amo, and J. Bloch, Phys. Rev. Lett. 116, 066402 (2016).
- [27] D. Leykam, S. Flach, O. Bahat-Treidel, and A. S. Desyatnikov, Phys. Rev. B 88, 224203 (2013).
- [28] A. V. Yulin and V. V. Konotop, Opt. Lett. 38, 4880 (2013).
- [29] H. Ramezani, H.-K. Li, Y. Wang, and X. Zhang, Phys. Rev. Lett. 113, 263905 (2014).
- [30] H. Ramezani, Y. Wang, E. Yablonovitch, and X. Zhang, IEEE J. Sel. Top. Quantum Electron. 22, 115 (2016).
- [31] K. G. Makris, R. El-Ganainy, D. N. Christodoulides, and Z. H. Musslimani, Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 103904 (2008).
- [32] C. M. Bender, Rep. Prog. Phys. 70, 947 (2007).
- [33] M. H. Teimourpour, R. El-Ganainy, A. Eisfeld, A. Szameit, and D. N. Christodoulides, Phys. Rev. A 90, 053817 (2014).

- [34] H. Ramezani, D. N. Christodoulides, V. Kovanis, I. Vitebskiy, and T. Kottos, Phys. Rev. Lett. 109, 033902 (2012).
- [35] D. L. Bergman, C. Wu, and L. Balents, Phys. Rev. B 78, 125104 (2008).
- [36] A. Szameit, M. C. Rechtsman, O. Bahat-Treidel, and M. Segev, Phys. Rev. A 84, 021806(R) (2011).
- [37] B. Zhen, C. W. Hsu, Y. Igarashi, L. Lu, I. Kaminer, A. Pick, S.-L. Chua, J. D. Joannopoulos, and M. Soljačić, Nature (London) 525, 354 (2015).
- [38] C. E. Rüter, K. G. Makris, R. El-Ganainy, D. N. Christodoulides, M. Segev, and D. Kip, Nat. Phys. 6, 192 (2010).
- [39] A. Regensburger, C. Bersch, M. A. Miri, G. Onishchukov, D. N. Christodoulides, and U. Peschel, Nature (London) 488, 167 (2012).
- [40] J. Schindler, Z. Lin, J. M. Lee, H. Ramezani, F. M. Ellis, and T. Kottos, J. Phys. Math. Theor. 45, 444029 (2012).
- [41] S. Weimann, M. Kremer, Y. Plotnik, Y. Lumer, S. Nolte, K. G. Makris, M. Segev, M. C. Rechtsman, and A. Szameit, Nat. Mater. 16, 433 (2017).
- [42] J. M. Zeuner, M. C. Rechtsman, Y. Plotnik, Y. Lumer, S. Nolte, M. S. Rudner, M. Segev, and A. Szameit, Phys. Rev. Lett. 115, 040402 (2015).
- [43] A. Szameit and S. Nolte, J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys. 43, 163001 (2010).