Singapore Management University Institutional Knowledge at Singapore Management University

Perspectives@SMU

Centre for Management Practice

10-2019

When family trusts affect the value of firms

Singapore Management University

Follow this and additional works at: https://ink.library.smu.edu.sg/pers

Part of the Portfolio and Security Analysis Commons

Citation

Singapore Management University. When family trusts affect the value of firms. (2019). Perspectives@SMU. Available at: https://ink.library.smu.edu.sg/pers/524

This Magazine Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Centre for Management Practice at Institutional Knowledge at Singapore Management University. It has been accepted for inclusion in Perspectives@SMU by an authorized administrator of Institutional Knowledge at Singapore Management University. For more information, please email library@smu.edu.sg.

When family trusts affect the value of firms

31 Oct 2019

This article is republished with permission by <u>China Business Knowledge</u> at Chinese University of Hong Kong Business School. You can access the original article <u>here</u>.

Share transfer restriction may induce family shirking problems, make family conflicts difficult to resolve, and distort firm decisions

Ownership structure plays a critical role in the incentives and behavior of business organisations. The effects of firm ownership dispersion across managers and investors has been examined in past studies. Yet Professor Joseph P. H. Fan from the Chinese University of Hong Kong (CUHK) has extended the literature by examining the roles of ownership structure within a controlling family.

His research "<u>The impact of ownership transferability on family firm governance and</u> <u>performance: The case of family trusts</u>" examines specifically on the family trust structure, which is commonly used among Fortune 500 family firms.

Companies controlled by family trusts or similar structures include The New York Times newspaper, department store chain Walmart and global furniture retailer IKEA.

"Family trusts are typically established for inheritance tax avoidance, asset protection against undesirable events such as divorce, bankruptcy, taxation and hostile takeovers," says Prof. Fan of Department of Finance and School of Accountancy at CUHK Business School. "The trust structure often locks controlling ownership within a family for a very long period."

In collaboration with Dr. Winnie S.C. Leung from the University of Hong Kong (HKU), the study focused on 216 distinct family firms listed on the Hong Kong Stock Exchange, including 72 firms using family trusts and 144 firms using direct ownership.

The data was collected over the period from 1990 to 2008, which included the 2006 abolition of inheritance tax in Hong Kong, which ended the tax advantage of family trusts. 84 of the firms were in manufacturing, 52 in finance, insurance and real estate and 44 in wholesale and retail.

THE COST OF FAMILY DISPUTES

Different from prior studies, which almost unanimously focus on conflicts between corporate insiders and outsiders, his study looked at the impacts of intra-family ownership structures and conflicts between family members on firm behaviours and performance.

For example, he found that the sibling structure of a family, in particular the age gap between the first and last sons of the founder, could be a source of conflict.

"When the age gap is large, sons or daughters arguably have more diverse interests and very different ideologies for managing family issues. A large age distance also indicates high conflict potential," he says.

Prof. Fan says that founders of firms are not always able to correctly predict the consequences of adopting a family trust structure. In particular, they may underestimate the cost of keeping family harmony, or counteracting family disputes when a trust ties descendants up in the family business.

"The share transfer restriction may induce family members to shirk, making family conflicts difficult to resolve and distort company decisions," he says.

Prof. Fan cites a well-known case of Sun Hung Kai Properties (SHKP), a leading property developer and the second largest business conglomerate in Hong Kong.

Its founder, Kwok Tak-seng, transferred controlling interest of the company into a family trust as part of his succession plan before he died in 1990. The trust was set up in Jersey with a 100-year rule and with his wife and three sons as the beneficiaries. The trust appointed the three sons to co-manage the family business. Unfortunately, the brothers were not able to stay in harmony after Kwok died.

The Lo family, the founding family of prominent property developer Great Eagle Group in Hong Kong, is another victim of family fighting in which family trust ownership is at the center of the dispute.

"There was hardly an exit from the family ownership structure because the family stakes were locked up in the trust."

"The trust actually prolonged family infighting, and the corporate value of the business eroded substantially in the process," says Prof. Fan.

He further explains that the ability to transfer ownership is an important mechanism for resolving disputes between family members.

"When family members directly hold ownership, their income or dividend and voting rights are clearly delineated. If a family member decides to exit the family business, he or she can simply sell his or her shares either back to the family or to outsiders."

In other words, the selling family members can walk away with a fortune, while the active buying family members can have a more robust incentive and control over the firm.

"In contrast, the use of a family trust suppresses the transfer rights of the family ownership and blocks this buyout channel," he says.

The trust deed typically specifies a long or even indefinite period before the trust can be dissolved and ownership can be transferred.

THE NEGATIVE CONSEQUENCES OF USING A TRUST

Through marriages and having children, the controlling family increases in size and complexity over time. More and more family members are added as trust beneficiaries.

However, in an acute environment where competition is high, trust beneficiaries are inclined to exploit resources from the family business for their own benefit and sustain less for future corporate development.

"Our study shows that when family conflict potential increases, firms that adopt a family trust tend to have higher dividend payouts, lower capital expenditures and a worse performance."

Moreover, for firms using a family trust, family managers may find it hard to consolidate control to make timely critical decisions.

"The results support our hypothesis that a founder's choice of trust that underestimates the family conflict potential distorts family managers' behavior when making company decisions."

As the family's size grows over time, the average cash flow rights of a given family beneficiary shrink. This further tempts family beneficiaries to focus on the near term.

"When a family trust provides no exit for resolving conflicts, consolidation of control is difficult. Unhappy families with entrusted ownership can impede corporate development and destroy firm value," Prof. Fan says.

IMPLICATIONS

"Our study not only adds to the existing academic literature, but also informs business owners and practitioners contemplating the ownership structures of the firms they serve," says Prof. Fan, adding that the findings shed light on the direction of future research.

"Owing to a lack of information, we were unable to examine the role of family governance in mitigating family conflict potential, and look at original trust deeds when they include conflict prevention clauses. Both these important issues merit further research," he says.

Follow us on Twitter (@sgsmuperspectiv) or like us on Facebook (https://www.facebook.com/PerspectivesAtSMU)