Landuse and Sustainability

Planning for more sustainable solutions

Brian Deal Department of Urban and Regional Planning









assessment

esubre



Overview

- Sustainability and planning
- Tools
- Approaches
- Lessons learned



əsnpue





and use sessment



World energy consumption projections

Resource Depletion

Energy Example



act assessment

esugase

- World Energy Consumption
 - United States, Russia, China, Japan, and Germany consumed half of the world's energy in 1997.
 - United States, China, Russia, Japan, and India were responsible for half of the world's carbon dioxide emissions from the consumption of fossil fuels in 1997.
 - Asia recorded the largest absolute increase in consumption between 1988 and 1997, 33 quadrillion British thermal units (Btu). This was more than double the increase of 16 quadrillion Btu for North America, the second largest regional increase in consumption
 - Asia also had the largest absolute increase in energy production between 1988 and 1997, 22 quadrillion Btu. The Middle East had the second largest regional increase at 16 quadrillion Btu.

World Oil Production



World Oil Production



Worldwatch Institute

pact assessment

esubre

U.S. Energy Flows 1997



npact assessment

US Electrical Energy



FC impact assessment

esubre

Where can we find the answers?





Sustainability is a Planning Issue

- Emissions
- Water quality and quantity
- Land use
- Transportation systems
- Energy use
- Green infrastructure
- Connections to buildings
 - Land around buildings
- Process based sustainability
 - Information
 - Dialogue
 - Communal consensus



How can we facilitate sustainable land use decisions?



Lake

20

DuPage

IcHenry 2

90

Kane

14

Tools can inform the process and outcome





Hypothesis

- We can facilitate more effective sustainable decisions by showing people the future consequences of current actions.
 - Communal goals vs personal aspirations
 - Personal vs Communal discounting
 - Economics
 - » AC Pigou (welfare Economics)
 - » Herman Daly (ecologic economics)
 - » David Orr (sense of place)

Questions for Engaging Sustainability

Three fundamental questions need to be considered:

- Where are we now?
 - Understanding the current state of the region provides a baseline to evaluate policy options and future impacts

• Where do we want to be?

 Answering this question requires a vision and communal consensus about the future of the region

• How do we get there?

 Planners and stakeholders need to be able to envision future alternatives and evaluate their potential consequences

Requires planning tools!





əsnpue



LEAM

- What is LEAM?
 - LEAM is a land use decision support system that facilitates informed thinking about future land-use change and its consequences
 - Provides a basis for analysis and evaluation of options
 - Informs critical dialogue
 - Can help analyze potential outcomes and implications of decisions
- Why LEAM?
 - LEAM provides a rich, quantitative knowledge base
 - LEAM is a processed based modeling environment
 - Engages stakeholders
 - Improves quality and communal support
 - Open and transparent
- How does it work?
 - Through innovations in technology, but....
 - LEAM is a process and not merely a software package!

The Value of Models to the Planning Process

- The model building process is as important as the end result
 - The process of modeling helps groups develop a shared understanding of key drivers affecting land use change in their region
 - Provides a common frame of reference that can be used to foster discussion among stakeholders
- Complex systems behave in unexpected and emergent ways
 - Feedbacks and lags are difficult to understand and predict without models
 - Uncertainty regarding variables can be tested with models
- Models provide quantifiable output
 - Models provide a basis for realizing community visions
 - Visioning becomes wishful thinking without reliable data and information
 - Dynamic land use models enable planners and stakeholders to make proactive land use change decisions



LEAM Laboratory

- The LEAMIab is a multidisciplinary laboratory comprised of University of Illinois faculty, students, and full-time staff that specializes in analyzing complex real-world problems using the power of dynamic spatial models
- Support for developing LEAM has come from multiple local, state, and federal agencies
- LEAMlab provides ideas and approaches that enhance the traditional planning process
 - Economic Modeling
 - Spatial Data Manipulation
 - Dynamic Modeling
 - Data Visualization
 - Environmental Impacts
 - Community Engagement
 - Interactive Web Development

and usessment

LEAM Technology Innovation

- A 'Next-Generation' model
 - Incorporates the local causal mechanisms of change
 - Can be used to test 'What If?' scenarios
- Scales up and down
 - High-performance computing
 - Enables the modeling of very large regions at a very fine resolution
 - Large regions
 - Helps to discover unintended consequences of policies or investments
 - Fine resolution (30m x 30m)
 - Results can be aggregated to any geography for analyses
 - » School districts, Watersheds, ...
- Open modular architecture
 - Can be limited or elaborate
 - · Limited version produces early results to foster dialog
 - Elaborate versions incorporate local knowledge

A Visualization Tool

- –LEAM results include analysis of scenarios showing the transformation of the landscape as a product of policy-related inputs
- -Dynamic visual outputs are critical for testing policy scenarios and raising concerns regarding the impacts of development



LEAM Model Framework



LEAM Land-use Simulations



McHenry County



- Future regional demand for land is located based on a calculated probability of change for each cell or raster
- Based on growth 'Drivers'

- Locational drivers assess proximity to growth attractors
 - Jobs, shopping, health care, etc.
- Dynamic drivers change depending on local condition
 - Are utilities close by, etc.
- Causing different parts of the region grow differently



Viewing Change Over Time

 LEAM simulates annual growth

1 41,400

1.21.200

1.01.000

8 800

15 600

- 400

2 200

2001

 When viewed as a dynamic map or a graph the future is described in a much richer fashion

2003 2005 2007 2009 2011 2013 2015 2017 2019 2021 2023 2025 2027 2029 203



esugase

Scenario Futures



The implications of transportation investment

Miles

NORTH



Multiple Scenarios



McHenry County 2030

Households Change: Scenario 1 / Scenario 2

•



Scenario 1: Baseline Scenario Scenario 2: New ramp and Metra Stations





- Changing policies produces different scenarios
 - Land-use change
 in different
 scenarios can be
 compared at
 different
 geographical
 scales
 - Quarter sections
 - Watersheds
 - School districts
 - Transportation zones
 - Sensitive natural areas
 - Municipal boundaries



Types of Scenarios

- Typically look at implications of significant public investment or policies, or economic development project
 - Infrastructure:
 - New roads, interchanges, bridges
 - New passenger rail stations
 - New airport
 - Sewer/water facilities
 - Policy:
 - Resource protection
 - Stream buffer protection
 - Higher density development
 - Expansion of military base
 - Economic development
 - Expansion/New industry
 - Brownfield redevelopment
- Cannot model micro level issue





əsnpue

Implications of Land-use Change

- More detailed comparisons among land-use futures can be made
 - What are environmental, social, and economic consequences?
 - How do they differ?
- Development probabilities indicate which areas are under most pressure for development
- LEAM data on land-use change is processed for input into other available models



Developmental Stress Analysis

- A way of assessing the implications of planning decisions
- DSA is Based on
 - Spatial data
 - $\boldsymbol{\cdot}$ of the issue in question
 - LEAM probability results
 - for any given time
 - Compare across scenarios



McHenry County

Development Pressure on Areas with Very High Recharge Potential Scenario 1/ Scenario 2







Development Stress on Wetlands



McHenry County Development Pressure on Hydric Soils Scenario 1/ Scenario 2 - Stream - Interstate - US route pressure State route Developed Area _ Stress Difference Hydric Soil stress is higher in Scenario 1 Hydric Soil stress is higher in Scenario 2 Scenario 1: Baseline Scenario Scenario 2: New ramp and Metra stations 3 4 5 NOFTH

ILLINOIS

A good way of viewing information on the changes in stress across space and time due to development

- On resources
- On social systems



Watershed Stress Analysis

Baseline



act assessment

esuduse

Watershed Stress Analysis





and use assessment

Other Implications Modeled

- Traffic volume
- Fiscal impacts
- School costs
- Water quality and quantity
- Air quality impacts
- Economic impacts
- Habitat fragmentation
- Storm water and flooding
- Infrastructure costs
- Greenways
- Ground water
- Energy



IcHenry 2 Lake 94 14 90 20 Democratization Kane DuPage 90 COOK 88 55 28 Kendall 80 52 Will 45

pact assessment

əsnpue

LEAM Process Innovation

- Model development and analysis in the public eye
 - Use limited model to produce preliminary results
 - Invite local stakeholders to repeatedly critique work
 - Use stakeholder insights to iteratively refine model and analysis
 - Stakeholders define scenarios



QuickTime[™] and a TIFF (Uncompressed) decompressor are needed to see this picture.



QuickTime™ and a ompressed) decompressor ded to see this picture.



Main page



Potential Groundwater Recharge Areas



anduse impact assessment



esubre



esubre

LEAM Applications

- Regional Planning
 - Chicago
 - St. Louis
 - Peoria
 - Traverse City, MI
 - Columbus, GA

- Economic Development
 - Edwardsville, IL

•

- Peoria, IL
- International
 Planning
 - Ansung City.
 Korea

- Travel demand modeling
 - St. Louis
 - Traverse City, MI
 - Chicago



- Environmental stress analysis
 - McHenry County, IL
 - Will County, IL
 - Peoria
 - St Louis
 - Watershed Analysis
 - Kishwaukee River Başi
 - Wisconsin, IL

- Urban encroachment
 - Ft. Benning
 - Ft. Bragg
 - Scott AFB
 - Camp Lejune
 - Camp Ripley

- Impacts Analysis
 - Metro St Louis
 - Peoria, IL
 - McHenry County
 - Ft Bragg, NC

- Factor Analysis
 - Belleville, 🛙
 - Peoria, IL
 - LaSalle County

esugase

IcHenry 2 Lake 94 14 90 20 Lessons Learned Kane DuPage 90 COOK 88 55 28 Kendall 80 52 Will 45

pact assessment

əsnpue

Some Lessons Learned

- Need faster feedback for deliberations
- The process of modeling can be more important than the model
- The need to link multiple scales
- Action Research promotes emergent research questions
- Learn by doing
 - Contribute to practical concerns of a constituent population
 - engage in the situation being studied
 - Costs
 - Integral to a process
 - Requires long-term relationships
 - Requires a programmatic infrastructure
 - Benefits
 - Real time critique of hypotheses and inferences
 - Practical solutions, sense of making a difference

əsnpue

Uncovers synergistic behaviors

Feedback Matters

- Need better (faster) feedback for decisions
 - Steinitz Scenario-Based Studies of Alternative Futures
 - "Alternative futures studies are also valuable in helping to manage uncertainty and risk. Because no one can tell what the actual future will be, investigating several options, which might encompass a spectrum of possibilities, can provide a useful step toward making sustainable decisions."
 - Process of observation to output and communal dialogue
 - How should the state of the landscape be described?
 - How does the landscape operate?
 - Is the current landscape functioning well?
 - How might the landscape be altered?
 - What predictable differences might the changes cause?
 - How should the landscape be changed?
 - Lacks feedback
 - Time (not viable for continuous dialogue)

QuickTime[™] and a TIFF (Uncompressed) decompressor are needed to see this picture.

Process Matters

- The process of modeling can be more important than the model
 - Informs decision making
 - Peoria By Pass
 - Organizing framework for data
 - · Complex data sets
 - Schools and growth
 - Visual output
 - Tangible representations of ideas promote dialog

20

esugase

- Provides insights
 - Lake Co growth pressure
- Provides system memory
 - Comparison analysis
 - Metropolitan forum
 - Not data mining
 - Cumulative natural selection

The Importance of Visualization





Process Provides Insights

- Lake County pressure
 - What policies are needed to curtail growth in sensitive areas?
- Are planning tools available?
 - Zoning, policies and other planning tools
- How do investments alter outcomes?
 - New rail stations
 - Where do you get the most for the investment dollars?
 - Depends on what you are conserving
- How does downtown redevelopment affect the outcomes?
- The importance of areas of future growth for establishing current policy.

QuickTime™ and a TIFF (LZW) decompressor are needed to see this picture.

Underlying Data Can Reveal Behaviors

0

-

C. ST.

444

.

Employment Attractor Map Uncongested

.

Underlying Data Can Reveal Behaviors

Employment Attractor Map Congested

Scenario Building Provides Systems Memory



əsnpue

Comparisons Scenario Building



əsnpue

Scale Matters

- The need to link multiple scales
 - Multiple levels
 - Regions
 - Landscapes
 - Buildings
 - How do these levels interact?
 - Design decisions are connected to planning decisions

esnpue

- Examples legacy
- Planning decisions can be informed by design
 - Conservation planning example

Regional Scales



esubne

Regional Analysis





County Level Analysis Blueprint-LEAM Jefferson County Landuse

osnpuB



2.25

1.5

0 0.375 0.75

3

LEAM Blueprint 2030 and Madison County Plan 2020 - Edwardsville/Glen Carbon



and use assessment

Summary

- Sustainability is a planning issue
- All communities want to be more sustainable
 - The question is how?
- New tools are available to help us make sense of the 'how' question
 - Provide multiple futures analysis
 - Assessing implications of current decisions on the future
 - Facilitating scenario-based planning

Contact Information

LEAM Laboratory http://www.leam.uiuc.edu

Email deal@uiuc.edu



smen