

► Additional material is published online only. To view please visit the journal online (http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/ bjophthalmol-2014-305985).

¹AP-HP, Service d'Ophtalmologie, Hôpital Universitaire Necker-Enfants Malades, Paris, France ²COGNAC-G, UMR 8257, CNRS-IRBA-Université Paris Descartes, Sorbonne Paris Cité, France ³Faculty of Medicine, Imperial College London, London, UK ⁴Western Eye Hospital, Imperial College Healthcare NHS Trust, London, UK ⁵Institute of Neurology, UCL, London, UK

Correspondence to

Dr Parashkev Nachev, Institute of Neurology, UCL, London WC1N 3GB, UK; p.nachev@ucl.ac.uk

Received 11 August 2014 Revised 22 December 2014 Accepted 15 January 2015

The scotogenic contact lens: a novel device for treating binocular diplopia

Matthieu P Robert,^{1,2} Fabrizio Bonci,³ Anand Pandit,³ Veronica Ferguson,^{3,4} Parashkev Nachev^{3,5}

ABSTRACT

Binocular diplopia is a debilitating visual symptom requiring immediate intervention for symptomatic control. whether or not definitive treatment is eventually possible. Where prismatic correction is infeasible, the current standard is occlusion, either by a patch or an opaque contact lens. In eliminating one problemdiplopia—occlusive techniques invariably create another: reduced peripheral vision. Crucially, this is often unnecessary, for the reduced spatial resolution in the periphery limits its contribution to the perception of diplopia. Here, we therefore introduce a novel soft contact lens device that instead creates a monocular central scotoma inversely mirroring the physiological variation in spatial acuity across the monocular visual field, thereby suppressing the diplopia with minimal impact on the periphery. We compared the device against standard eye patching in 12 normal subjects with prism-induced binocular diplopia and 12 patients with binocular diplopia of diverse causes. Indexed by self-reported scores and binocular perimetry, the scotogenic contact lens was comparably effective in eliminating the diplopia while significantly superior in acceptability and its impact on the peripheral visual field. This simple, inexpensive, non-invasive device may thus be an effective new tool in the treatment of a familiar but still troublesome clinical problem.

INTRODUCTION

It is widely assumed that innovation in medical treatment can only follow innovation in medical science, novel action naturally requiring novel fact. But there is a great deal of established fact—especially in physiology—whose therapeutic potential is underappreciated.

Here we apply such 'retro-innovation' to the management of binocular diplopia, a symptom reported by an estimated 120 000 people in the UK (data from Driver and Vehicle Licensing Agency for 2010). Where the misalignment of the eyes cannot immediately corrected-the majority-the be common approach is to remove one of the two images by occluding one eye, usually with a 'pirate-style' eye patch. This eliminates the symptom, but at the cost of creating another: a reduction in the visual field between 48% and 76%.¹ Crucially, while we do not use the peripheral visual field for exploratory vision, its sharp temporal sensitivity is key to navigating in response to sudden events.² Many patients find its loss unsettling, sometimes as much as the diplopia itself.³

The high temporal sensitivity of the peripheral field, however, is offset by low spatial sensitivity.^{4 5} Since diplopia is principally a percept in space, not

time, it typically does not occur in the periphery, for the spatial detail of the perceived image is too low there. The same is generally true of the rarer symptom of confusion: the perception of two different overlapping objects owing to binocular misalignment. To eliminate diplopia by occlusion, one therefore does not have to obscure the whole field but only to degrade it in a pattern that is the inverse of the variation in spatial acuity across the visual field: in short, one has to create a central scotoma in one eye (figure 1A). The result, predictable from the well-known physiology of vision, is abolition of diplopia with minimal impact on the peripheral field. Current occlusive contact lenses and implantable lenses either abolish vision in the eye altogether or degrade vision in a way that does not target this theoretical optimum.⁶

Though seemingly complex, this effect is readily achieved with the aid of a contact lens that simply blurs the image in the corresponding pattern with the aid of dispersant distributed in a radially reducing concentration (figure 1B). Here, we report the design and evaluation of a prototype of such a 'scotogenic' lens (coined from the Greek $\sigma\kappa \circ \tau \sigma \varsigma$ (darkness)+ $\gamma \acute{\epsilon} v \epsilon \sigma \varsigma (creation)$) in 12 normal participants with artificially induced diplopia and 12 patients with symptomatic diplopia of a disparate variety of causes, compared against conventional eye patching.

METHODS

We created a prototype scotogenic lens by precipitating barium sulfate within the substance of a soft hydrolens contact lens in the form of a disc with radially thinning edges of an approximate overall diameter of 7 mm. Dye was added to the precipitate so as to achieve the appearance of a black pupil. The parameters of the lens were base curve 8.60 mm and diameter 14 mm, a fitting that suited all participants in the study. The base lenses were manufactured by Cantor and Nissel (http://www.cantor-nissel.co.uk/) and the prototypes were prepared by SCL Contact Lenses (http://www.sclcontactlenses.com/).

To evaluate the performance of the lens, we recruited 12 healthy adult volunteers and 12 adult patients with binocular diplopia attending the ophthalmology service at Imperial College Healthcare National Health Service Trust. The former were unselected except for freedom from any significant ophthalmological disease. The inclusion criterion for the latter was the presence of symptomatic binocular diplopia of any cause; exclusion criteria were inability to give informed consent, contraindications to soft contact lens use and inability to complete a questionnaire. The characteristics of the patients are summarised in online supplementary table S1.

1

To cite: Robert MP, Bonci F, Pandit A, et al. Br J Ophthalmol Published Online First: [please include Day Month Year] doi:10.1136/bjophthalmol-2014-305985

Copyright Article author (or their employer) 2015. Produced by BMJ Publishing Group Ltd under licence.



Figure1 (A) Diagrammatic representation of the variation in spatial acuity across the visual field (black line) and the consequently optimal pattern of degradation of the perceived image produced by a scotogenic lens designed to abolish binocular diplopia with minimal effect on the peripheral visual field (white line). (B) Diagram of the design of a scotogenic contact lens (not to scale). Dispersant material (in our prototypes barium sulfate combined with black dye) is introduced in the substance of the lens so as to produce the optimal pattern of degradation of acuity across the visual field described in (A).

In most cases, diplopia was secondary to an oculomotor palsy or restriction, so that the deviation and impairment varied considerably according to gaze position. All patients had previously been comprehensively evaluated by an ophthalmologist (VF) and found to be free from contraindications to soft contact lens use. In addition, all participants were evaluated immediately before the testing session by an optometrist (FB), including a clinical assessment of visual acuity and motility and slit lamp examination of the ocular surface. Diplopia in the healthy participant group was artificially induced with the aid of a Fresnel prism applied to one eye, generating a 10° full-field misalignment.

The evaluation process involved wearing either the scotogenic lens or a black occlusive patch on one eye—each for a period of 30 min of free reading and room exploration, with the order randomised across participants—followed by completion of a questionnaire where effectiveness in abolishing the symptom, comfort, aesthetics, and overall success were each rated on a 10-point scale (0 poor, 10 perfect, see online supplementary material). In addition, automated Estermann perimetry was performed on the normal participants in each test condition with a Humphrey visual field apparatus.

Questionnaire scores and the results from the Estermann perimetry were analysed by standard two sample Kolmogorov– Smirnoff (K–S) tests, quoting asymptotic p values. We used K–S
 Table 1
 Questionnaire and Estermann scores for the group of normal participants with artificially induced diplopia

Test	Occlusive patch (means)	Scotogenic lens (means)	Significance (K–S test), p value	
Symptom control	8.42	8.75	0.991	
Comfort	6.50	6.17	0.990	
Aesthetics	2.33	8.75	<0.001	
Overall assessment	6.42	8.67	0.005	
Estermann score	14.92 missed locations	0.92 missed locations	<0.001	
Bold represents significance at the p=0.05 level.				

K–S, Kolmogorov–Smirnoff.

because it makes no assumptions about the nature of the underlying distributions and is maximally conservative, minimising the risk of type II errors.

RESULTS

In the normal participant group (table 1), both methods were equally effective in abolishing binocular diplopia and in comfort, but the lens was rated significantly superior in aesthetics and overall. Performance on Estermann perimetry was significantly superior with the lens, further illustrated in a heatmap of the mean difference between the two conditions at each field location (figure 2).

In the patient group (table 2), both methods were identically effective in abolishing binocular diplopia, but the lens was significantly superior to all other measures.

DISCUSSION

We show that it is possible to eliminate diplopia by selective degradation of the image in one eye *without* significantly



Figure 2 Heatmap depicting the mean difference between the two conditions for each location of the Estermann perimetry test. For each participant, a location was given a 1 if successfully detected with the lens but not the patch, and -1 if detected with the patch but not the lens. Mean values close to 1 therefore indicate superiority of the lens across the group (red to yellow range), and values close to -1 the converse (blue to cyan range). Locations without a difference are given in grey. Note that where there is a difference the lens is substantially superior for all locations but one.

Table 2	Questionnaire scores for the group of patients with
diplopia	

Test	Occlusive patch (means)	Scotogenic lens (means)	Significance (K–S test), p value
Symptom control	9.73	9.27	0.985
Comfort	4.36	7.45	0.047
Aesthetics	2.00	9.45	<0.001
Overall assessment	4.18	8.54	<0.001

impairing peripheral vision, thereby eliminating a major negative consequence of occlusion. The comparison with eye-patch occlusion—the most effective means of eliminating diplopia across the entire field—maximised the stringency of the test.

Preserving peripheral vision benefits all patients, but especially those with pre-existing field defects in whom full monocular occlusion may disqualify from important activities such as driving.

Though the extent of image degradation will interact with the size of the pupil, we did not observe functionally significant consequences of such variation—perhaps because of the graded boundary—despite considerable variability in pupil size. In any event, the direction of variation is favourable to the effect we are seeking, for a more pronounced effect is desirable where illumination is higher and therefore acuity greater. The graded boundary will also minimise the perception of contact lens movement. Clinical embodiments of the device may allow a choice of scotoma sizes, allowing tailoring for this and other effects such as interindividual variability in Panum's fusional area. Though here we use a dispersant material—following occlusive lens technology—essentially identical perceptual effects can be achieved by diffraction, without altering the substance of the lens. Even so, the much smaller amount of dispersant material used in our prototypes compared with fully occlusive lenses greatly minimised any adverse impact on oxygen permeability.

Contributors MPR and PN conceived and designed the device and the study. MPR, FB, AP, VF and PN carried out the research and analysed the data. MPR and PN wrote the paper.

Funding This work was funded by Imperial Innovations and by an Imperial Innovations/Johnson & Johnson proof-of-concept award. PN is funded by the Wellcome Trust and the NIHR Biomedical Research Centre at University College London Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust and University College London.

Competing interests Imperial College holds a patent on the technology described here through Imperial Innovations PLC.

Ethics approval NRES Committee London-Dulwich.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Open Access This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt and build upon this work, for commercial use, provided the original work is properly cited. See: http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by/4.0/

REFERENCES

- Good GW, Fogt N, Daum KM, et al. Dynamic visual fields of one-eyed observers. Optometry 2005;76:285–92.
- 2 McKee SP, Nakayama K. The detection of motion in the peripheral visual field. *Vision Res* 1984;24:25–32.
- 3 Kondo T, Tillman WT, Schwartz TL, et al. Health related quality of life after surgical removal of an eye. Ophthal Plast Reconstr Surg 2013;29:51–6.
- 4 Randall HG, Brown DJ, Sloan LL. Peripheral visual acuity. Arch Ophthalmol 1966;75:500–4.
- 5 Pointer JS, Hess RF. The contrast sensitivity gradient across the human visual field: with emphasis on the low spatial frequency range. *Vision Res* 1989;29:1133–51.
- 6 Burger DS, London R. Soft opaque contact lenses in binocular vision problems. J Am Optom Assoc 1993;64:176–80.



The scotogenic contact lens: a novel device for treating binocular diplopia

Matthieu P Robert, Fabrizio Bonci, Anand Pandit, Veronica Ferguson and Parashkev Nachev

Br J Ophthalmol published online February 13, 2015

Updated information and services can be found at: http://bjo.bmj.com/content/early/2015/02/13/bjophthalmol-2014-30598 5

These	inal		<u>.</u>
THESE	11111:1	1101	е.
111000		uu	۰.

Supplementary Material	Supplementary material can be found at: http://bjo.bmj.com/content/suppl/2015/02/13/bjophthalmol-2014-3059 85.DC1.html
References	This article cites 6 articles, 0 of which you can access for free at: http://bjo.bmj.com/content/early/2015/02/13/bjophthalmol-2014-30598 5#BIBL
Open Access	This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt and build upon this work, for commercial use, provided the original work is properly cited. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/
Email alerting service	Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article. Sign up in the box at the top right corner of the online article.
Topic Collections	Articles on similar topics can be found in the following collections Open access (187) Neurology (1261) Vision (592)

Notes

To request permissions go to: http://group.bmj.com/group/rights-licensing/permissions

To order reprints go to: http://journals.bmj.com/cgi/reprintform

To subscribe to BMJ go to: http://group.bmj.com/subscribe/