Clinical prodromes of neurodegeneration in Anderson-Fabry disease

Matthias Löhle, MD Derralynn Hughes, MD Alan Milligan Linda Richfield Heinz Reichmann, MD, PhD Atul Mehta, MD Anthony H.V. Schapira, DSc, FMedSci

Correspondence to Dr. Löhle: matthias.loehle@uniklinikumdresden.de

ABSTRACT

Objective: To estimate the prevalence of prodromal clinical features of neurodegeneration in patients with Anderson-Fabry disease (AFD) in comparison to age-matched controls.

Methods: This is a single-center, prospective, cross-sectional study in 167 participants (60 heterozygous females and 50 hemizygous males with genetically confirmed AFD, 57 agematched controls) using a clinical screening program consisting of structured interview, quantitative tests of motor function, and assessments of cognition, depression, olfaction, orthostatic intolerance, pain, REM sleep behavior disorder, and daytime sleepiness.

Results: In comparison to age-matched controls (mean age 48.3 years), patients with AFD (mean age 49.0 years) showed slower gait and transfer speed, poorer fine manual dexterity, and lower hand speed, which was independent of focal symptoms due to cerebrovascular disease. Patients with AFD were more severely affected by depression, pain, and daytime sleepiness and had a lower quality of life. These motor and nonmotor manifestations significantly correlated with clinical disease severity. However, patients with AFD did not reveal extrapyramidal motor features or signs of significant cognitive impairment, hyposmia, orthostatic intolerance, or REM sleep behavior disorder, which commonly precede later neurodegenerative disease. In our cohort, there were no differences in neurologic manifestations of AFD between heterozygous females and hemizygous males.

Conclusions: Aside from cerebrovascular manifestations and small fiber neuropathy, AFD results in a distinct neurologic phenotype comprising poorer motor performance and specific nonmotor features. In contrast to functional loss of glucocerebrosidase in Gaucher disease, α -galactosidase deficiency in AFD is not associated with a typical cluster of clinical features prodromal for neurodegenerative diseases, such as Parkinson disease. *Neurology* **2015;84:1-11**

GLOSSARY

 α -Gal = α -galactosidase A; AFD = Anderson-Fabry disease; ALP = autophagy-lysosomal pathway; BDI = Beck Depression Inventory; BPI = Brief Pain Inventory; ERT = enzyme replacement therapy; ESS = Epworth Sleepiness Scale; GD = Gaucher disease; MDS-UPDRS = Movement Disorders Society-revised version of the Unified Parkinson's Disease Rating Scale; MMSE = Mini-Mental State Examination; MoCA = Montreal Cognitive Assessment; MSSI = Mainz Severity Score Index; NIHSS = NIH Stroke Scale; PD = Parkinson disease; RBD = REM sleep behavior disorder; RBDSQ = REM Sleep Behavior Disorder Screening Questionnaire; SF-36 = 36-item Short-Form health survey; SS-16 = Sniffin' Sticks 16-item smell identification test.

Anderson-Fabry disease (AFD) is a rare X-linked lysosomal storage disorder resulting in deficiency of the lysosomal enzyme α -galactosidase A (α -Gal)¹ and progressive accumulation of undegraded glycosphingolipids in various tissues. Neurologic manifestations of AFD include small fiber neuropathy associated with pain and reduced temperature sensation² and premature cerebrovascular events,³ which are attributed to complex vasculopathy secondary to progressive glycosphingolipid accumulation in vessels and to cardiac involvement.

Neuropathologic studies have shown that glycosphingolipid storage in AFD is not restricted to the vasculature but also present in neurons of brain regions known to be affected in

Supplemental data at Neurology.org

From the Department of Clinical Neuroscience, Institute of Neurology (M.L., A.H.V.S.), and the Lysosomal Storage Disorders Unit, Department of Haematology (D.H., A.M., L.R., A.M.), University College London, UK; and the Department of Neurology (M.L., H.R.), Dresden University of Technology, Germany.

Go to Neurology.org for full disclosures. Funding information and disclosures deemed relevant by the authors, if any, are provided at the end of the article. The Article Processing charge was paid by RCUK.

This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

neurodegenerative diseases, such as the dorsal motor nucleus of the vagus, substantia nigra, and neocortex.^{4,5} Moreover, disruption of the autophagy-lysosomal pathway (ALP) and focused presence of phosphorylated α -synuclein-containing lesions in the pons have been recently demonstrated in α -Gal-deficient mice.⁶ Although Gaucher disease (GD), another lysosomal storage disorder, has been shown to be associated with at least a fivefold risk for Parkinson disease (PD),⁷ and case reports have described L-dopa-responsive parkinsonism in patients with AFD,^{8,9} the prevalence of prodromes of neurodegeneration has not been examined in this rare disease.

We report the results of a prospective, crosssectional study investigating the clinical significance of neuronal glycosphingolipid storage in AFD by examining prodromal features of neurodegeneration in a large cohort of patients and age-matched controls. We hypothesized that neuronal glycosphingolipid storage and ALP disruption in AFD would result in clinical manifestations similar to those seen in GD patients and carriers.¹⁰

METHODS Standard protocol approvals, registrations, and patient consents. This prospective, cross-sectional study had ethical approval from the North West London Research Ethics Committee (REC number 10/H0720/21). Written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

Participants. A total of 110 patients with AFD (60 heterozygous females, 50 hemizygous males) were recruited between April 2011 and February 2012 from the Lysosomal Storage Disorders Unit at the Royal Free London Hospital. Diagnosis was confirmed by molecular genetic analysis in all patients except one female (genetic data available on request). Fifty-seven controls, matched to patients for age and ethnicity, were identified from volunteers and nonmedical staff at the hospital. Controls were required to have a negative family history for lysosomal storage disorders and had no clinical signs of AFD. Neither patients nor controls had been diagnosed with neurodegenerative diseases in the past.

Procedures. Test procedures were performed identically in patients and controls. All participants were assessed by the same movement disorders specialist (M.L.) and underwent a structured interview and detailed clinical screening for motor and nonmotor prodromes of neurodegenerative diseases as explained below. Disease severity in patients with AFD was rated with the Mainz Severity Score Index (MSSI).¹¹ The presence of white matter abnormalities, lacuna, and territorial infarctions on latest cerebral MRI scans was used to document cerebrovascular sequelae of AFD. Renal involvement was assessed by serum creatinine, serum urea, glomerular filtration rate estimated by ⁵¹Cr-EDTA clearance, and presence of proteinuria. Cardiac manifestations were assessed by the interventricular septal diameter measured by the latest transthoracic echocardiography. Evaluation of motor function. Three tests were performed for quantitative assessment of motor function: (1) the Timed Up and Go, which is a basic measure of gait and transfer speed¹²; (2) the Purdue Pegboard, a test of fine manual dexterity, motor speed, and finger-eye coordination13; and (3) a shortened, 30-second version of the alternate tap test, used for the assessment of motor speed in the hands with a moderate requirement of coordination and accuracy.14 Extrapyramidal motor symptoms were evaluated with the activities of daily living and motor subscales of the Movement Disorders Society-revised version of the Unified Parkinson's Disease Rating Scale (MDS-UPDRS parts II and III).15 The NIH Stroke Scale (NIHSS), a graded neurologic examination rating speech and language, cognition, visual field deficits, motor and sensory impairments, and ataxia, was used to identify potential focal neurologic deficits due to cerebrovascular disease.16

Evaluation of nonmotor function and quality of life. Cognitive function was assessed with the Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE)17 and the Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA).18 The MoCA has been shown to be more sensitive for the detection of mild cognitive impairment or dementia in PD than other scales, with optimal cut-off scores of <26 indicating mild cognitive impairment and <21 dementia.¹⁹ Depressive symptoms were evaluated with the Beck Depression Inventory (BDI) II.20 Olfactory function was assessed with the 16-item smell identification test from Sniffin' Sticks (SS-16; Burghart Messtechnik, Wedel, Germany). Individuals with anatomical upper airway abnormalities, respiratory tract infections, or a history of traumatic head injury were excluded from the analysis. Hyposmia was diagnosed at SS-16 scores <11, which in the UK population have shown to provide a PD probability of ≥50%.21 Resting blood pressure and heart rate were taken after participants had been resting for 5 minutes in supine position and 2 minutes after standing up to check for signs of orthostatic intolerance. Drops of ≥20 mm Hg in systolic blood pressure were considered to be clinically significant. Pain was quantified with the Brief Pain Inventory (BPI), which measures intensity of pain and the interference of pain with the participant's life.²² Features of REM sleep behavior disorder (RBD) were identified with the REM Sleep Behavior Disorder Screening Questionnaire (RBDSQ), which was considered positive at scores of ≥5.23 Daytime sleepiness was screened for with the Epworth Sleepiness Scale (ESS), with ESS values >10 points indicating significant sleepiness.24 Quality of life was assessed with the EQ-5D-5L²⁵ and the 36-item Short-Form health survey (SF-36).26

Statistical analyses. Statistical analyses were performed with SPSS software, version 21.0 (SPSS, Chicago, IL). Since we expected sex differences due to X-linked inheritance in AFD, statistical comparisons were initially carried out separately for female and male participants with the unpaired *t* test or Mann-Whitney *U* test (continuous variables) and the χ^2 or Fisher exact test (discrete variables), as appropriate. For comparison of continuous variables between all participants, we used a general linear model with disease and sex as fixed factors and age as covariate, which was valid in all outcome parameters. The Spearman correlation was used to assess the association of clinical outcomes and the MSSI. Pairwise deletion was used for missing data. Unless stated otherwise, values are displayed as unadjusted means \pm SD. Two-sided *p* values <0.05 were deemed statistically significant.

RESULTS Study participants. A total of 110 patients with AFD (60 heterozygous females, 50 hemizygous males) and 57 controls (29 female, 28 male) were

included in our cross-sectional study. Mean age, age range, and ethnic background were similar between patients and controls (table 1). Patients with AFD were less likely to have university education and to be employed and more likely to be medically retired than controls. Hyperlipidemia and hypertension had been diagnosed more often in patients, whereas other cardiovascular risk factors were similar between groups. Cerebrovascular events were more often reported by patients with AFD, of whom 13.6% reported TIAs or strokes in the past. Antidepressants were more frequently found in the concomitant medication of patients with AFD, whereas other CNS medications were not different.

Disease characteristics in patients with AFD. Female and male patients with AFD examined in this study had been diagnosed a mean of 9.6 and 11.8 years ago, respectively (table e-1 on the *Neurology®* Web site at Neurology.org). Male patients were less likely to have a positive family history for AFD at the time of diagnosis than their female counterparts, indicating that diagnosis in male patients had been made more frequently following prior organ involvement.

Clinical disease severity rated with the MSSI was higher in male than in female patients. In keeping with higher disease severity, male patients with AFD were treated more often with enzyme replacement therapy (ERT), which had been initiated in 88% of male and 60% of female patients. The difference in total MSSI scores between sexes was due to higher renal and cardiac subscores in male participants, whereas general and neurologic MSSI subscores as well as the point distribution in individual components of the neurologic subscore were not different. Accordingly, male patients showed more pronounced renal and cardiac involvement on laboratory markers of renal function and transthoracic echocardiography, respectively, whereas cerebral MRI reports did not indicate significant differences in cerebrovascular manifestations between female and male patients.

Structured interview. All participants were interviewed for motor and nonmotor symptoms frequently observed in neurodegenerative diseases (table e-2). In brief, patients with AFD did not report extrapyramidal motor features more often than controls. When asked for nonmotor symptoms, patients more frequently noted orthostatic problems, urinary dysfunction, constipation, depression, neuropathic pain, and impaired hearing, whereas hyposmia, visual disturbances, and sleep problems were not reported differently in patients and controls.

Motor function. Quantitative assessments of motor function in patients with AFD demonstrated lower gait and transfer speed on the Timed Up and Go test and poorer fine manual dexterity and hand speed on the Purdue Pegboard and the alternate tap test, respectively (table 2 and figure 1). Interestingly, these impairments were still evident when we used the NIHSS as covariate instead of age in order to correct for potential focal neurologic deficits due to cerebrovascular disease (results not shown). Evaluation of extrapyramidal motor function with the MDS-UPDRS parts II and III revealed higher scores in patients with AFD compared to controls, indicating more impairment in motor experiences of daily living and extrapyramidal motor function. Evaluation of extrapyramidal symptoms demonstrated more asymmetric motor slowing and a trend for more postural instability in patients with AFD, whereas frequencies of tremor, rigidity, and reduced arm swing during gait were similar between groups (figure 2). Assessment for focal neurologic deficits with the NIHSS demonstrated very low scores in all groups, although mean NIHSS scores were slightly higher in patients with AFD, as expected in a disease with known potential cerebrovascular manifestations.

Motor function worsened with increasing age, which we used as covariate in our statistical model. Female participants performed better on the Purdue Pegboard test, whereas male participants performed better on the alternate tap test; otherwise, there were no significant differences between sexes. Importantly, we did not observe a combined effect of disease and sex on motor function, arguing for similar disease effects in female and male patients with AFD.

Nonmotor function and quality of life. Evaluation with MMSE and MoCA did not reveal significant cognitive deficits in patients with AFD, although mean MoCA scores were slightly lower than in controls (figure 1) due to reduced performance in abstraction and delayed recall (table 3). Depressive symptoms on the BDI were more frequent and severe in patients with AFD, who had significant and severe depression in 26.8% and 8.2% of cases, respectively. Testing with the SS-16 did not show differences in olfactory function between patients and controls, which was also illustrated by similarly low frequencies of hyposmia in all groups. During orthostatic challenge, patients with AFD did not show more evidence for orthostatic hypotension than age-matched controls. BPI assessments revealed higher severity and functional interference of pain in patients with AFD than in agematched controls, which upon visual inspection of the questionnaires was frequently due to a combination of joint problems and neuropathic pain. Evaluation with the ESS revealed significantly higher scores for daytime sleepiness in patients, whereas screening with the RBDSQ provided no evidence for a higher frequency of RBD in AFD. Although most patients were treated with ERT, both female and male patients with AFD had

Table 1 Demographic data of patients with Anderson-Fabry disease and age-matched controls							
	Female controls	Female patients	Male controls	Male patients	All controls	All patients	p Values ^a
No. of participants	29	60	28	50	57	110	
Age, y							
Mean (SD)	47.5 (17.9)	47.8 (16.1)	49.1 (17.1)	50.5 (15.9)	48.3 (17.4)	49.0 (16.0)	
Min-max	21.6-88.2	17.3-84.4	23.7-86.3	19.0-81.2	21.6-88.2	17.3-84.4	
Ethnic groups, n (%)							
White	26 (89.7)	59 (98.3)	27 (96.4)	50 (100.0)	53 (93.0)	109 (99.1)	
Asian	2 (6.9)	1 (1.7)	1 (3.6)	0 (0.0)	3 (5.3)	1 (0.9)	
Black	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	
Mixed	1 (3.4)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (1.8)	0 (0.0)	
School education, y, n (%)							
>12	21 (72.4)	22 (36.7) ^b	23 (82.1)	24 (48.0) ^b	44 (77.2)	46 (41.8)	< 0.05 ^b
12 (A-Levels)	4 (13.8)	10 (16.7)	2 (7.1)	3 (6.0)	6 (10.5)	13 (11.8)	
11 (GCSE)	3 (10.3)	15 (25.0)	0 (0.0)	13 (26.0) ^b	3 (5.3)	28 (25.5)	< 0.05 ^b
<11	1 (3.4)	13 (21.7) ^b	3 (10.7)	10 (20.0)	4 (7.0)	23 (20.9)	< 0.05 ^b
Profession, n (%)							
Studying	5 (17.2)	4 (6.7)	1 (3.6)	2 (4.0)	6 (10.5)	6 (5.5)	
Employed	20 (69.0)	35 (58.3)	22 (78.6)	29 (58.0)	42 (73.7)	64 (58.2)	< 0.05 ^b
Housewife	0 (0.0)	5 (8.3)	NA	NA	0 (0.0)	5 (4.5)	
Pensioned	4 (13.8)	10 (16.7)	5 (17.9)	9 (18.0)	9 (15.8)	19 (17.3)	
Medically retired	0 (0.0)	4 (6.7)	0 (0.0)	5 (10.0)	0 (0.0)	9 (8.2)	< 0.05 ^b
Unemployed	0 (0.0)	2 (3.3)	0 (0.0)	5 (10.0)	0 (0.0)	7 (6.4)	
Cardiovascular risk factors							
Adipositas, n (%)	4 (13.8)	12 (20.0)	5 (17.9)	9 (18.0)	9 (15.8)	21 (19.1)	
Body mass index, kg/m², mean (SD)	25.3 (4.2)	25.9 (4.7)	26.9 (4.1)	25.7 (5.1)	26.1 (4.2)	25.8 (4.9)	
Diabetes mellitus type 2, n (%)	1 (3.4)	2 (3.3)	1 (3.6)	4 (8.0)	2 (3.5)	6 (5.5)	
Hyperlipidemia, n (%)	3 (10.3)	22 (36.7)°	4 (14.3)	19 (38.0) ^c	7 (12.3)	41 (37.3)	0.001 ^c
Hypertension, n (%)	3 (10.3)	17 (28.3)	4 (14.3)	16 (32.0)	7 (12.3)	33 (30.0)	0.011 ^c
Smoking, n (%)	4 (13.8)	9 (15.0)	4 (14.3)	10 (20.0)	8 (14.0)	19 (17.3)	
Pack-years in smokers, mean (SD)	18.9 (16.6)	12.6 (16.1)	10.5 (11.7)	16.4 (11.8)	14.7 (14.1)	14.6 (12.4)	
Cerebrovascular events, n (%)							
None	28 (96.6)	50 (83.3)	28 (100.0)	45 (90.0)	56 (98.2)	95 (86.4)	0.013 ^c
TIA	0 (0.0)	5 (8.3)	0 (0.0)	2 (4.0)	0 (0.0)	7 (6.4)	
Stroke	1 (3.4)	5 (8.3)	0 (0.0)	3 (6.0)	1 (1.8)	8 (7.3)	
Concomitant CNS medications, n (%)							
Antidepressants	0 (0.0)	9 (15.0) ^d	0 (0.0)	4 (8.0)	0 (0.0)	13 (11.8)	0.005 ^d
Antiparkinsonian agents	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	
Dopamine antagonists	1 (3.4)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (2.0)	1 (1.8)	1 (0.9)	
Neuroleptics	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	2 (4.0)	0 (0.0)	2 (1.8)	

Abbreviations: GCSE = General Certificate of Secondary Education; NA = not applicable.

Nonsignificant p values were omitted for clarity. Significant differences within female and male participants with p < 0.05 additionally indicated by ^cPearson χ^2 test, ^dFisher exact test, or ^bZ test. Means are provided as unadjusted means.

^a p Values for comparisons between patients with Anderson-Fabry disease and age-matched controls.

 ${}^{b}\chi^{2}$ test for equality of 2 proportions (Z test).

 $^{\rm c}$ Pearson $\chi^{\rm 2}$ test.

^dFisher exact test.

Table 2

							F and p values after GLM analysis		
	Female controls	Female patients	Male controls	Male patients	All controls	All patients	Disease	Other factors	
No. of participants	29	60	28	50	57	110			
Right-handedness, n (%)	24 (82.8)	48 (80.0)	26 (92.9)	44 (88.0)	50 (87.7)	92 (83.6)			
Quantitative tests of motor function									
Timed Up and Go, s, mean (SD)	7.2 (1.6)	8.7 (2.9)ª	7.2 (1.1)	8.8 (6.1)ª	7.2 (1.4)	8.8 (4.6)	F = 5.8, p = 0.018	Age: F = 8.4, p = 0.004	
Purdue Pegboard test, pegs/30 s, mean (SD)									
Dominant hand	16.4 (2.6)	15.0 (2.3)ª	15.3 (2.3)	14.1 (2.5)ª	15.9 (2.5)	14.6 (2.4)	F = 13.2, p < 0.001	Age: F = 57.1, p < 0.001; sex: F = 5.4, p = 0.021	
Nondominant hand	15.0 (2.4)	14.0 (2.2)	14.6 (2.3)	13.7 (2.1)	14.8 (2.4)	13.9 (2.2)	F = 7.4, p = 0.007	Age: F = 65.1, p < 0.001	
Alternate tap test, taps/30 s, mean (SD)									
Dominant hand	114.9 (17.1)	105.2 (22.7)	123.6 (13.9)	113.2 (22.0)ª	119.1 (16.1)	108.9 (22.6)	F = 9.2, p = 0.003	Age: F = 14.2, p <0.001; sex: F = 8.0, p = 0.005	
Nondominant hand	105.1 (17.1)	96.4 (17.8)	111.0 (16.1)	101.8 (16.9)ª	108.0 (16.7)	98.9 (17.5)	F = 10.4, p = 0.002	Age: F = 15.1, p <0.001; sex: F = 5.4, p = 0.021	
Extrapyramidal function, mean (SD)									
MDS-UPDRS part II (M-EDL)	0.9 (1.8)	2.8 (4.5)	0.9 (1.6)	2.4 (6.2)	0.9 (1.7)	2.6 (5.3)	F = 5.5, p = 0.021	Age: F = 5.6, p = 0.019	
MDS-UPDRS part III (motor examination)	3.3 (5.2)	5.6 (6.1)	4.2 (3.6)	6.0 (6.0)	3.7 (3.9)	5.8 (6.0)	F = 5.6, p = 0.019	Age: F = 33.0, p < 0.001	
Focal neurologic deficits, mean (SD)									
NIHSS score, mean (SD)	0 (0)	0.28 (0.85)ª	0.04 (0.19)	0.20 (0.78)	0.02 (0.13)	0.25 (0.82)	F = 4.1, p = 0.046		
Neurologic deficit, NIHSS ≥1, n (%)	0 (0)	9 (15.0)⊳	1 (3.6)	5 (10.0)	1 (1.8)	14 (12.7)	(0.019)		

Motor function and focal neurologic symptoms in patients with Anderson-Fabry disease and age-matched controls

Abbreviations: GLM = general linear model; M-EDL = motor experiences of daily living; MDS-UPDRS = Movement Disorder Society-sponsored new version of the Unified Parkinson's Disease Rating Scale; NIHSS = NIH Stroke Scale.

Statistical comparison between controls and patients was performed with a GLM using disease and sex as fixed factors and age as covariate. Additional statistical comparison within female and male participants was performed with Fisher exact test or Mann-Whitney test, as appropriate: p < 0.05 within same sex with Mann-Whitney U test; p < 0.05 within same sex with Fisher exact test. Values in parentheses represent group analysis of NIHSS ordinal data performed with χ^2 test instead of GLM. Means are provided as unadjusted means. Nonsignificant p values have been omitted for clarity.

Neurology 84

April 7, 2015



Figure 1 Motor and nonmotor outcomes in patients with Anderson-Fabry disease (red circles) and age-matched controls (blue circles)

Black bars indicate unadjusted mean values. N = 110 and n = 57 for patients with Anderson-Fabry disease (AFD) and age-matched controls, respectively. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001 in comparison to age-matched controls with a general linear model using disease and sex as fixed factors and age as covariate. Only disease effects are shown. BDI = Beck Depression Inventory; BPI = Brief Pain Inventory; ESS = Epworth Sleepiness Scale; MCI = mild cognitive impairment; MoCA = Montreal Cognitive Assessment; RBD = REM sleep behavior disorder; RBDSQ = REM Sleep Behavior Disorder Screening Questionnaire; SS-16 = 16-item smell identification test from Sniffin' Sticks.

a markedly reduced quality of life, as documented by the EQ-5D-VAS and the SF-36 total scores (table 3).

Similar to motor function, age also affected several nonmotor outcomes in patients and controls (table 3). Higher age was associated with cognitive decline on the MMSE and MoCA, a reduction of olfactory function on the SS-16, a lower drop of blood pressure and reduced compensatory increase of heart rate upon standing up, higher pain severity and interference indices on the BPI, more daytime sleepiness on the ESS, and a lower quality of life on both EQ-5D-VAS and SF-36 (not shown). Sex effects were only identified during olfactory testing, where female participants performed significantly better than male participants (table 3). In keeping with our observations on motor function, we did not identify any differences in nonmotor outcomes between female and male patients with AFD, again indicating that the disease similarly affected both sexes.



N=110 and n=57 for patients with Anderson-Fabry disease (AFD) and age-matched controls, respectively. Symptoms were assessed during clinical evaluation with the Movement Disorders Society-revised version of the Unified Parkinson's Disease Rating Scale (MDS-UPDRS). Postural instability was evaluated with the pull test. Motor asymmetry was defined as right-minus-left difference score ≥ 2 on side-specific MDS-UPDRS items. *Fisher exact test. **Pearson χ^2 test.

Correlation of study outcomes with disease severity. In order to assess whether neurologic symptoms would also correlate with disease severity in AFD, we performed bivariate correlations of all outcome parameters with the MMSI (table e-3). Significant and moderate correlations were found for all motor scales, depression (BDI-II), pain (BPI), and quality of life (EuroQoL Visual Analogue Scale, SF-36 score).

DISCUSSION We report a prospective, cross-sectional study on prodromal symptoms of neurodegeneration in a large cohort of patients with AFD aiming to evaluate the clinical relevance of neuronal glycosphingolipid accumulation in this rare disease. We found that

AFD is associated with impaired motor function and various nonmotor symptoms, but unlike GD does not lead to a pattern of extrapyramidal symptoms, significant cognitive problems, hyposmia, or RBD commonly preceding neurodegenerative diseases, in particular PD²⁷ and dementia with Lewy bodies.²⁸

Aside from cardinal neurologic manifestations, such as stroke²⁹ and small fiber neuropathy,² previous studies have described depression,³⁰ pain,³¹ and daytime sleepiness³² in 46%, 53%, and 68% of patients with AFD, respectively. Our study was able to reproduce these findings, but prevalence of these nonmotor symptoms was lower in our cohort, possibly due to ongoing symptomatic treatment or ERT in the majority of cases. Nevertheless, it is noteworthy that 4 out of 9 severely depressed patients did not receive treatment with antidepressants, reemphasizing the need for recognition of depressive symptoms in this disease. AFD was not associated with cognitive impairment or autonomic dysfunction, which is in keeping with previous, smaller studies.^{33–35} Moreover, we were able to show that AFD does not result in hyposmia or RBD, which are prodromal for later neurodegenerative disease.

In addition, our study suggests that AFD does not lead to extrapyramidal symptoms or parkinsonian motor features but instead is associated with motor impairments during gait and transfer and poorer fine manual dexterity and hand speed. These deficits in motor function correlated to clinical disease severity similar to depression and pain, which emphasizes that motor impairments are an integral part of the disease. The pathophysiologic reasons for poorer motor performance in AFD cannot be answered by our clinical study and remain to be elucidated. Although cerebrovascular manifestations of AFD may lead to motor impairment, it must be noted that frequency and burden of cerebrovascular symptoms in our AFD cohort was very low and that disease effects on motor function were still evident when results were corrected for the presence of focal neurologic deficits. None of our patients complained about sensory loss, which is in agreement with previous neurophysiologic studies demonstrating that small fiber dysfunction predominates in the disease² and argues against peripheral neuropathy as the main reason for impaired motor function. Interestingly, former neuropathologic studies have shown that glycosphingolipid accumulation in AFD is not limited to the vasculature but can be found in neurons of various brain regions, in particular the brainstem.^{4,5} Recently, a study has demonstrated ALP disruption and focused presence of phosphorylated a-synuclein-containing lesions in the pons of α -Gal-deficient mice, which were colocalized with large axonal spheroids indicating axonal degeneration.6 We therefore speculate that

Neurology 84 April 7, 2015

Table 3 Nonmotor function and quality of life in patients with Anderson-Fabry disease and age-matched controls

				F and p values after GLM analysis for				
	Female controls	Female patients	Male controls	Male patients	All controls	All patients	Disease	Other factors
No. of participants	29	60	28	50	57	110		
Autonomic function (orthostatic challenge)								
BP change at 2 minutes, mm Hg, mean (SD)	-5.6 (11.1)	-4.4 (10.6)	-3.9 (14.1)	0.4 (11.9)	-4.8 (12.6)	-2.2 (11.4)		Age: F = 5.0, p = 0.026
HR change at 2 minutes (1/min), mean (SD)	9.2 (9.1)	11.1 (9.2)	8.6 (7.0)	10.0 (6.5)	8.9 (8.0)	10.6 (8.0)		Age: F = 26.8, p < 0.001
Significant BP drop (>20 mm Hg), n (%)	2 (6.9)	4 (7.4)	4 (14.3)	2 (4.3)	6 (10.5)	6 (6.0)		
Cognition								
MMSE								
Total score (0-30), mean (SD)	28.7 (1.5)	28.3 (2.0)	29.0 (1.3)	28.5 (1.5)	28.8 (1.4)	28.4 (1.8)		Age: F = 17.1, p < 0.001
Dementia (<24 points), n (%)	0 (0)	2 (3.3)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	2 (1.2)		
MoCA								
Visuospatial and executive, mean (SD)	4.5 (0.7)	4.2 (1.0)	4.6 (0.7)	4.3 (0.8)	4.5 (0.7)	4.3 (0.9)		Age: F = 17.3, p < 0.001
Naming, mean (SD)	3.0 (0.2)	3.0 (0.2)	3.0 (0)	3.0 (0.1)	3.0 (0.2)	3.0 (0.2)		
Attention, mean (SD)	5.4 (0.7)	5.4 (0.9)	5.8 (0.6)	5.8 (0.5)	5.6 (0.7)	5.6 (0.8)		Age: $F = 7.7$, $p = 0.006$; sex: $F = 10.0$, $p = 0.002$
Language, mean (SD)	2.4 (0.7)	2.1 (0.9)	2.4 (0.7)	2.3 (0.8)	2.4 (0.7)	2.2 (0.9)		
Abstraction, mean (SD)	1.8 (0.4)	1.8 (0.4)	2.0 (0.0)	1.8 (0.4)ª	1.9 (0.3)	1.8 (0.4)	F = 6.1, p = 0.014	
Delayed recall, mean (SD)	4.4 (1.0)	3.8 (1.5) ^b	4.2 (0.8)	3.8 (1.2)	4.3 (0.9)	3.8 (1.4)	F = 6.3, p = 0.013	Age: F = 25.3, p < 0.001
Orientation, mean (SD)	5.9 (0.3)	5.9 (0.3)	5.9 (0.3)	5.9 (0.3)	5.9 (0.3)	5.9 (0.3)		
Total score (0-30), mean (SD)	27.6 (2.3)	26.6 (2.8)	28.0 (1.6)	27.3 (2.0)	27.8 (2.0)	26.9 (2.5)	F = 5.0, p = 0.027	Age: F = 26.1, p < 0.001
MCI (<26 points), n (%)	4 (13.8)	15 (25.0)	2 (7.1)	8 (16.0)	6 (10.5)	23 (20.9)	(p = 0.093 ^c)	
Dementia (<21 points), n (%)	1 (3.4)	3 (5.0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	1 (1.8)	3 (2.7)		
Depression								
BDI-II								
Total score, mean (SD)	3.8 (4.7)	11.3 (11.1)ª	3.2 (3.5)	8.0 (8.6)ª	3.5 (4.1)	9.8 (10.2)	F = 18.7, p < 0.001	
Depression (>13 points), n (%)	2 (6.9)	19 (31.7)°	0 (0)	10 (20.0) ^d	2 (3.5)	29 (26.8)	(p < 0.001°)	
Severe depression (≥30 points), n (%)	0 (0)	5 (8.3)	0 (0)	4 (8.2)	0 (0)	9 (8.2)	$(p = 0.028^{\circ})$	
Olfaction								
SS-16								
Total score (0-16), mean (SD)	13.5 (1.5)	13.5 (1.7)	12.7 (2.1)	12.9 (1.5)	13.1 (1.8)	13.2 (1.6)		Age: $F = 4.5$, $p = 0.035$; sex: $F = 5.6$, $p = 0.02$
Hyposmia (<11 points), n (%)	1 (3.4)	3 (5.3)	2 (7.4)	2 (4.2)	3 (5.4)	5 (4.8)		

œ

Continued

Neurology 84

April 7, 2015

Table 3 Continued

							F and p values after GLM analysis for		
	Female controls	Female patients	Male controls	Male patients	All controls	All patients	Disease	Other factors	
Pain									
BPI									
Pain severity index (0-10), mean (SD)	0.9 (1.6)	2.2 (2.4) ^b	0.7 (1.4)	1.5 (2.2)ª	0.8 (1.5)	1.9 (2.3)	F = 9.8, p = 0.002	Age: F = 9.6, p = 0.002	
Function interference index (0-10), mean (SD)	0.6 (1.2)	1.9 (2.5) ^b	0.6 (1.4)	1.5 (2.6)ª	0.6 (1.3)	1.7 (2.5)	F = 9.1, p = 0.003	Age: F = 4.8, p = 0.03	
Sleep									
RBDSQ									
Total score, mean (SD)	2.5 (2.0)	3.2 (2.8)	2.6 (1.9)	2.8 (2.7)	2.5 (1.9)	3.0 (2.8)		Age: F = 6.7, p = 0.01	
RBD (≥5 points), n (%)	5 (17.2)	16 (26.7)	3 (10.7)	13 (26.5)	8 (14.0)	29 (26.6)			
ESS									
Total score (0-24), mean (SD)	5.6 (3.9)	7.4 (5.1)	4.7 (4.4)	7.1 (4.8) ^b	5.1 (4.2)	7.2 (5.0)	F = 7.0, p = 0.009	Age: F = 5.7, p = 0.018	
Significant sleepiness (≥10 points), n (%)	5 (17.2)	16 (26.7)	6 (21.4)	12 (24.5)	11 (19.3)	28 (25.7)			
Quality of life									
EuroQol Visual Analogue Scale (0-100), mean (SD)	85.6 (19.8)	76.8 (19.0)ª	83.9 (11.6)	72.6 (20.1) ^b	84.8 (16.1)	74.9 (19.5)	F = 10.9, p = 0.001	Age: F = 8.9, p = 0.003	
SF-36 total score (0-100), mean (SD)	84.6 (12.5)	62.8 (24.4)ª	86.3 (12.0)	68.2 (23.9)ª	85.4 (12.2)	65.2 (24.2)	F = 34.4, p < 0.001	Age: F = 11.3, p = 0.001	

Abbreviations: BDI = Beck Depression Inventory; BP = blood pressure; BPI = Brief Pain Inventory; ESS = Epworth Sleepiness Scale; GLM = general linear model; HR = heart rate; MCI = mild cognitive impairment; MMSE = Mini-Mental State Examination; MoCA = Montreal Cognitive Assessment; RBD = REM sleep behavior disorder; RBDSQ = REM Sleep Behavior Disorder Screening Questionnaire; SF-36 = Short Form Health Survey; SS-16 = Sniffin' Sticks 16-item smell identification test.

Statistical comparison between controls and patients was performed with a GLM using disease and sex as fixed factors and age as covariate. Additional statistical comparison within female and male participants was performed with the χ^2 test, Fisher exact test, or Mann-Whitney *U* test: ${}^{a}p < 0.01$, ${}^{b}p < 0.05$, within same sex with Mann-Whitney *U* test. ${}^{c}p < 0.05$ within same sex with χ^2 test. ${}^{a}p < 0.05$ within same sex with χ^2 test. Values in parentheses represent *p* values derived from group analysis of MCI and depression rates performed with χ^2 test instead of GLM. Means are provided as unadjusted means. Nonsignificant *p* values have been omitted for clarity.

neuronal glycosphingolipid storage and ALP disruption in AFD lead to focused brainstem pathology, which results in a distinct clinical phenotype with mild motor impairment and nonmotor symptoms such as depression, pain, daytime sleepiness, and hearing loss, but is not associated with cardinal clinical prodromes of neurodegenerative diseases, such as parkinsonian motor signs and impairments of cognition and olfaction that are found in GD.¹⁰ However, we would not completely rule out a contribution of α -Gal deficiency to the development of neurodegenerative disease based on the lack of clinical prodromes, especially as neuropathologic brainstem involvement has also been demonstrated in presymptomatic stages of PD.³⁶

Another interesting observation of our study is the similarity of neurologic manifestations in female and male patients with AFD, which is in keeping with other studies showing significant organ involvement in female heterozygotes despite X-chromosomal inheritance.37 Skewed inactivation of the X-chromosome was suspected to explain disease manifestations in female patients, which is supported by recent research demonstrating correlations between X-inactivation and clinical disease severity in female patients.38 Molecular interference by the mutant enzyme protein exerting a dominant negative effect on the normal gene product has also been suggested,³⁹ but this explanatory theory remains to be proven. While the reasons for sex parity of disease manifestations in AFD remain to be elucidated, our study should raise physicians' awareness for symptoms in female patients with AFD, who are often considered as carriers of but not patients with the disease.

Strengths of our study in this rare disease include its size, range, and complexity of clinical testing, and the use of age-matched controls to enhance external validity of the results. Due to its observational and cross-sectional design, our study has some limitations, which may have influenced its outcome. First, the majority of patients in our AFD cohort were treated with ERT, which may have partly altered the natural phenotype of the disease and explain lower severity of peripheral nonmotor symptoms, such as pain. However, ERT is unlikely to influence neurologic symptoms caused by central manifestations of AFD, such as motor features, hyposmia, or RBD, since it cannot cross the blood-brain barrier. Secondly, it must be acknowledged that blinded examination was not possible due to facial stigmata and skin manifestations in AFD, which may have subconsciously influenced our assessments, although we applied well-established and highly standardized clinical tests to minimize observer bias. Third, sex comparison in our study may not entirely reflect natural conditions in AFD carriers, since our cohort was recruited from a university-based

specialty center, in which more severely affected female patients may be overrepresented. Moreover, patients' perception of having AFD may have contributed to worse outcomes on questionnaires in comparison to controls.

Taken together, our study argues for a distinct neurologic phenotype in AFD that lacks classical prodromal features of neurodegeneration that have been demonstrated in GD. Unlike functional loss of glucocerebrosidase in GD, which has been shown to be involved in accumulation of α -synuclein and results in neurotoxicity,⁴⁰ AFD-linked deficiency of α -Gal is apparently not associated with neurodegeneration on the clinical level.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

M. Löhle: design and conceptualization of the study, analysis and interpretation of the data, drafting and revising the manuscript for intellectual content. D. Hughes: revising the manuscript for intellectual content. A. Milligan: revising the manuscript for intellectual content. L. Richfield: revising the manuscript for intellectual content. H. Reichmann: revising the manuscript for intellectual content. A. Mehta: revising the manuscript for intellectual content. A. Schapira: design and conceptualization of the study, interpretation of the data, revising the manuscript for intellectual content.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors thank the patients and controls for their participation and Junibelle Cooke, Anna Fernandez-Saranillo, Patricia Pilgrim, and Mark McKie, members of the Lysosomal Storage Disorder Unit at the Royal Free Hospital, who helped with recruitment for this study. M. Löhle thanks Alisdair McNeill, Christos Proukakis, and Alexander Storch for discussions.

STUDY FUNDING

Supported by the NIHR UCLH Biomedical Research Centre and UCLH BRC award to A.H.V. Schapira. A.H.V. Schapira is a NIHR Senior Investigator.

DISCLOSURE

M. Löhle was supported by a seed grant of the Center for Regenerative Therapies Dresden and has received honoraria for presentations from Boehringer Ingelheim, GlaxoSmithKline, MEDA Pharma, and UCB. D. Hughes has received travel and research grants and honoraria for speaking and advisory boards from Amicus, Genzyme (Sanofi), and Shire. A. Milligan has received travel grants from Genzyme (Sanofi) and Shire. L. Richfield has received travel grants from Genzyme (Sanofi) and Shire. H. Reichmann was acting on Advisory Boards and gave lectures and has received research grants from Abbott, Abbvie, Bayer Health Care, Boehringer Ingelheim, Brittania, Cephalon, Desitin, GSK, Lundbeck, Medtronic, Merck-Serono, Novartis, Orion, Pfizer, TEVA, UCB, and Valeant. A. Mehta has received travel and research grants and honoraria for speaking and advisory boards from Genzyme (Sanofi) and Shire. A. Schapira is a NIHR Senior Investigator. He has received honoraria for educational symposia and consultancy from Boehringer Ingelheim, Teva-Lundbeck, UCB, Merz, Merck, Orion, and Novartis. Go to Neurology.org for full disclosures.

Received September 13, 2014. Accepted in final form December 22, 2014.

REFERENCES

 Brady RO, Gal AE, Bradley RM, Martensson E, Warshaw AL, Laster L. Enzymatic defect in Fabry's disease: ceramidetrihexosidase deficiency. N Engl J Med 1967;276:1163–1167.

- Dutsch M, Marthol H, Stemper B, Brys M, Haendl T, Hilz MJ. Small fiber dysfunction predominates in Fabry neuropathy. J Clin Neurophysiol 2002;19:575–586.
- Mitsias P, Levine SR. Cerebrovascular complications of Fabry's disease. Ann Neurol 1996;40:8–17.
- deVeber GA, Schwarting GA, Kolodny EH, Kowall NW. Fabry disease: immunocytochemical characterization of neuronal involvement. Ann Neurol 1992;31:409–415.
- Kaye EM, Kolodny EH, Logigian EL, Ullman MD. Nervous system involvement in Fabry's disease: clinicopathological and biochemical correlation. Ann Neurol 1988;23: 505–509.
- Nelson MP, Tse TE, O'Quinn DB, et al. Autophagy-lysosome pathway associated neuropathology and axonal degeneration in the brains of alpha-galactosidase A-deficient mice. Acta Neuropathol Commun 2014;2:20.
- Sidransky E, Nalls MA, Aasly JO, et al. Multicenter analysis of glucocerebrosidase mutations in Parkinson's disease. N Engl J Med 2009;361:1651–1661.
- Borsini W, Giuliacci G, Torricelli F, Pelo E, Martinelli F, Scordo MR. Anderson-Fabry disease with cerebrovascular complications in two Italian families. Neurol Sci 2002;23: 49–53.
- Buechner S, De Cristofaro MT, Ramat S, Borsini W. Parkinsonism and Anderson Fabry's disease: a case report. Mov Disord 2006;21:103–107.
- McNeill A, Duran R, Proukakis C, et al. Hyposmia and cognitive impairment in Gaucher disease patients and carriers. Mov Disord 2012;27:526–532.
- Whybra C, Kampmann C, Krummenauer F, et al. The Mainz Severity Score Index: a new instrument for quantifying the Anderson-Fabry disease phenotype, and the response of patients to enzyme replacement therapy. Clin Genet 2004;65:299–307.
- Podsiadlo D, Richardson S. The timed "Up & Go": a test of basic functional mobility for frail elderly persons. J Am Geriatr Soc 1991;39:142–148.
- Desrosiers J, Hebert R, Bravo G, Dutil E. The Purdue Pegboard Test: normative data for people aged 60 and over. Disabil Rehabil 1995;17:217–224.
- Nutt JG, Lea ES, Van Houten L, Schuff RA, Sexton GJ. Determinants of tapping speed in normal control subjects and subjects with Parkinson's disease: differing effects of brief and continued practice. Mov Disord 2000;15:843–849.
- Goetz CG, Tilley BC, Shaftman SR, et al. Movement Disorder Society-sponsored revision of the Unified Parkinson's Disease Rating Scale (MDS-UPDRS): scale presentation and clinimetric testing results. Mov Disord 2008;23: 2129–2170.
- Goldstein LB, Samsa GP. Reliability of the National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale: extension to non-neurologists in the context of a clinical trial. Stroke 1997;28:307–310.
- 17. Folstein MF, Robins LN, Helzer JE. The Mini-Mental State Examination. Arch Gen Psychiatry 1983;40:812.
- Nasreddine ZS, Phillips NA, Bedirian V, et al. The Montreal Cognitive Assessment, MoCA: a brief screening tool for mild cognitive impairment. J Am Geriatr Soc 2005;53:695–699.
- Dalrymple-Alford JC, MacAskill MR, Nakas CT, et al. The MoCA: well-suited screen for cognitive impairment in Parkinson disease. Neurology 2010;75:1717–1725.
- Beck AT, Steer RA, Ball R, Ranieri W. Comparison of Beck Depression Inventories IA and II in psychiatric outpatients. J Pers Assess 1996;67:588–597.

- Silveira-Moriyama L, Petrie A, Williams DR, et al. The use of a color coded probability scale to interpret smell tests in suspected parkinsonism. Mov Disord 2009;24:1144–1153.
- Cleeland CS, Ryan KM. Pain assessment: global use of the Brief Pain Inventory. Ann Acad Med Singapore 1994;23: 129–138.
- Stiasny-Kolster K, Mayer G, Schafer S, Moller JC, Heinzel-Gutenbrunner M, Oertel WH. The REM sleep behavior disorder screening questionnaire: a new diagnostic instrument. Mov Disord 2007;22:2386–2393.
- Johns MW. A new method for measuring daytime sleepiness: the Epworth Sleepiness Scale. Sleep 1991;14:540–545.
- Herdman M, Gudex C, Lloyd A, et al. Development and preliminary testing of the new five-level version of EQ-5D (EQ-5D-5L). Qual Life Res 2011;20:1727–1736.
- McHorney CA, Ware JE Jr, Raczek AE. The MOS 36-Item Short-Form Health Survey (SF-36): II: psychometric and clinical tests of validity in measuring physical and mental health constructs. Med Care 1993;31:247–263.
- Gaenslen A, Wurster I, Brockmann K, et al. Prodromal features for Parkinson's disease: baseline data from the TREND study. Eur J Neurol 2014;21:766–772.
- Molano J, Boeve B, Ferman T, et al. Mild cognitive impairment associated with limbic and neocortical Lewy body disease: a clinicopathological study. Brain 2010;133:540–556.
- Sims K, Politei J, Banikazemi M, Lee P. Stroke in Fabry disease frequently occurs before diagnosis and in the absence of other clinical events: natural history data from the Fabry Registry. Stroke 2009;40:788–794.
- Cole AL, Lee PJ, Hughes DA, Deegan PB, Waldek S, Lachmann RH. Depression in adults with Fabry disease: a common and under-diagnosed problem. J Inherit Metab Dis 2007;30:943–951.
- Uceyler N, Ganendiran S, Kramer D, Sommer C. Characterization of pain in Fabry disease. Clin J Pain 2014;30: 915–920.
- Duning T, Deppe M, Keller S, et al. Excessive daytime sleepiness is a common symptom in Fabry disease. Case Rep Neurol 2009;1:33–40.
- Low M, Nicholls K, Tubridy N, et al. Neurology of Fabry disease. Intern Med J 2007;37:436–447.
- Schermuly I, Muller MJ, Muller KM, et al. Neuropsychiatric symptoms and brain structural alterations in Fabry disease. Eur J Neurol 2011;18:347–353.
- Biegstraaten M, van Schaik IN, Wieling W, Wijburg FA, Hollak CE. Autonomic neuropathy in Fabry disease: a prospective study using the Autonomic Symptom Profile and cardiovascular autonomic function tests. BMC Neurol 2010;10:38.
- Braak H, Del Tredici K, Rub U, de Vos RA, Jansen Steur EN, Braak E. Staging of brain pathology related to sporadic Parkinson's disease. Neurobiol Aging 2003;24:197–211.
- Wilcox WR, Oliveira JP, Hopkin RJ, et al. Females with Fabry disease frequently have major organ involvement: lessons from the Fabry Registry. Mol Genet Metab 2008;93:112–128.
- Germain DP, Echevarria L. Tissue-specific X chromosome inactivation studies as a decision-making criteria for enzyme replacement therapy in female heterozygotes for Fabry disease. Mol Genet Metab 2014;111:S45.
- Percy AK, Kaye EM. Does gender parity exist in Fabry disease? Neurology 2005;65:508–509.
- 40. Schapira AH, Gegg ME. Glucocerebrosidase in the pathogenesis and treatment of Parkinson disease. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2013;110:3214–3215.

Neurology®

Clinical prodromes of neurodegeneration in Anderson-Fabry disease Matthias Löhle, Derralynn Hughes, Alan Milligan, et al. *Neurology* published online March 11, 2015 DOI 10.1212/WNL.00000000001450

Updated Information & Services	including high resolution figures, can be found at: http://www.neurology.org/content/early/2015/03/11/WNL.0000000000 001450.full.html
Supplementary Material	Supplementary material can be found at: http://www.neurology.org/content/suppl/2015/03/11/WNL.000000000 0001450.DC1.html
Subspecialty Collections	This article, along with others on similar topics, appears in the following collection(s): All Movement Disorders http://www.neurology.org//cgi/collection/all_movement_disorders Lipidoses http://www.neurology.org//cgi/collection/lipidoses Metabolic disease (inherited) http://www.neurology.org//cgi/collection/metabolic_disease_inherited Parkinson's disease/Parkinsonism http://www.neurology.org//cgi/collection/parkinsons_disease_parkinso nism Prevalence studies http://www.neurology.org//cgi/collection/prevalence_studies
Permissions & Licensing	Information about reproducing this article in parts (figures,tables) or in its entirety can be found online at: http://www.neurology.org/misc/about.xhtml#permissions
Reprints	Information about ordering reprints can be found online: http://www.neurology.org/misc/addir.xhtml#reprintsus

This information is current as of March 11, 2015

Neurology [®] is the official journal of the American Academy of Neurology. Published continuously since 1951, it is now a weekly with 48 issues per year. Copyright © 2015 American Academy of Neurology. All rights reserved. Print ISSN: 0028-3878. Online ISSN: 1526-632X.

