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#### Abstract

We find necessary and sufficient conditions for an orthogonal polynomial system to be compatible with another orthogonal polynomial system. As applications, we find new characterizations of semi-classical and classical orthogonal polynomials


## 1. Introduction

In [4] Bonan et al. raised and solved the following problem: Characterize distribution functions $d \alpha(x)$ and $d \beta(x)$ for which there are integers $r \geq 1, s \geq 0$, and $t \geq 0$, and a rational function $R(x)=S(x) / Q(x)(\neq 0)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(x) Q_{n}^{(r)}(x)=\sum_{i=n-r-t}^{n-r+s} c_{n, i} P_{i}(x), \quad n \geq 0 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{n, i}$ are real numbers with $c_{n, i}=0$ for $i<0, c_{n, n-r-t} \neq 0$ and $\left\{P_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ and $\left\{Q_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ are real orthogonal polynomial systems relative to $d \alpha(x)$ and $d \beta(x)$ respectively. Due to the three-term recurrence relations satisfied by any orthogonal polynomial system, in the relation (1.1) the denominator $Q(x)$ of $R(x)$ plays no significant role.

When $R(x)=S(x), r=1$, and $\left\{P_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}=\left\{Q_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$, the relation (1.1) is the so-called structure relation characterizing semi-classical orthogonal polynomials, which was first introduced by Maroni [12,13] in answering to questions raised by Askey (see Al-Salam and Chihara [2, p. 69]).
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Here, we will consider the same problem in a more general setting by allowing $d \alpha(x)$ and $d \beta(x)$ to be signed measures, that is, $\alpha(x)$ and $\beta(x)$ are functions of bounded variation.

In other words, let $\left\{P_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ and $\left\{Q_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be orthogonal polynomial systems relative to quasi-definite moment functionals $\sigma$ and $\tau$ respectively and ask: When are there a polynomial $S(x) \neq 0$ and integers $r \geq 0, s \geq 0$, and $t$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(x) Q_{n}^{(r)}(x)=\sum_{i=n-r-t}^{n-r+s} a_{n, i}^{(r)} P_{i}(x), \quad n \geq 0 \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{n, i}^{(\tau)}$ are complex numbers with $a_{n, i}^{(r)}=0$ for $i<0$. Then, $t$ must be non-negative as we shall see later in Theorem 2.2.

A moment functional $\sigma$ (i.e., a linear functional on $\mathcal{P}$, the space of all polynomials with complex coefficients) is said to be quasi-definite if its moments $\sigma_{n}:=\left\langle\sigma, x^{n}\right\rangle, n \geq 0$, satisfy the Hamburger condition:

$$
\Delta_{n}(\sigma):=\operatorname{det}\left[\sigma_{i+j}\right]_{i, j=0}^{n} \neq 0, \quad n \geq 0
$$

Then, $\sigma$ is quasi-definite if and only if there is an orthogonal polynomial system (OPS) $\left\{P_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ relative to $\sigma$ (cf. [5]), that is, $\operatorname{deg}\left(P_{n}\right)=n$, $n \geq 0$ and

$$
\left\langle\sigma, P_{m} P_{n}\right\rangle=K_{n} \delta_{m n}, \quad m \text { and } n \geq 0
$$

where $K_{n} \neq 0$. We say that an OPS $\left\{Q_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is compatible of order $r(\geq$ 0 ) and depth $\leq t$ (respectively, depth $t$ ) with another OPS $\left\{P_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ if the relation (1.2) holds for some polynomial $S(x)(\neq 0)$ of degree $s$ (respectively, $a_{n, n-r-t}^{(r)} \neq 0$ for some $n \geq r+t$ ).

Compatibility of order 1 was studied in [10] as an inverse problem for orthogonal polynomials.

The primary goal of this work is to solve the following inverse problem: Characterize quasi-definite moment functionals $\sigma$ and $\tau$ for which the corresponding OPS's are compatible. In Section 2 we find necessary and sufficient conditions for an OPS $\left\{Q_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ to be compatible with another OPS $\left\{P_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$. Then it turns out that as long as $r \geq 1$, $r$ plays no significant role in the compatibility condition (1.2). To be precise, if $\left\{Q_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is compatible of order $r \geq 1$ with $\left\{P_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$, then $\left\{Q_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ (respectively, $\left\{P_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ ) is compatible of any order $\geq 0$ with $\left\{P_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ (respectively, $\left\{Q_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ ). In Section 3 we find, as
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applications of results in Section 2 new characterizations of semi-classical and classical OPS's.

## 2. Main Results

For a moment functional $\sigma$ and a polynomial $\phi(x)$ we let $\sigma^{\prime}$ and $\phi \sigma$ be the moment functionals defined respectively by

$$
\left\langle\sigma^{\prime}, \psi\right\rangle=-\left\langle\sigma, \psi^{\prime}\right\rangle
$$

and

$$
\langle\phi \sigma, \psi\rangle=\langle\sigma, \phi \psi\rangle
$$

for any $\psi \in \mathcal{P}$. Then it is straightforward to prove

$$
(\phi \sigma)^{\prime}=\phi^{\prime} \sigma+\phi \sigma^{\prime}
$$

Lemma 2.1. Let $\tau$ be a quasi-definite moment functional and $\left\{Q_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ a monic OPS relative to $\tau$. Then
(i) for any polynomial $\phi(x), \phi(x) \tau=0$ if and only if $\phi(x)=0$;
(ii) for any other moment functional $\sigma$ and any integer $k \geq 0,\left\langle\sigma, Q_{n}\right\rangle=$ 0 for $n \geq k+1$ if and only if $\sigma=\pi_{k}(x) \tau$ for some polynomial $\pi_{k}(x)$ of degree $\leq k$.

Proof. See [7, Lemma 2.2] and [13, Proposition 2.2].
In fact, in Lemma 2.1 (ii) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{k}(x)=\sum_{j=0}^{k} \frac{\left\langle\sigma, Q_{\jmath}\right\rangle Q_{j}(x)}{\left\langle\tau, Q_{\jmath}^{2}\right\rangle}=\left\langle\sigma_{y}, K_{k}(x, y)\right\rangle \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K_{k}(x, y):=\sum_{j=0}^{k} \frac{Q_{\mu}(x) Q_{2}(y)}{\left\langle\tau, Q_{j}^{2}\right\rangle}$ is the $k$-th kernel polynomial for $\left\{Q_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ and $\sigma_{y}$ means the action of $\sigma$ over the variable $y$ for polynomials in two variables $(x, y)$.

Following Maroni [12,13] a moment functional $\sigma$ is said to be semiclassical if $\sigma$ is quasi-definite and satisfies a Pearson type functional equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\alpha \sigma)^{\prime}-\beta \sigma=0 \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$
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for some polynomials $\alpha(x)$ and $\beta(x)$ with $|\alpha(x)|+|\beta(x)| \neq 0$. It is then easy to see that $\alpha(x) \neq 0$ and $\operatorname{deg}(\beta) \geq 1$. For a semi-classical moment functional $\sigma$ we call

$$
s:=\min \max (\operatorname{deg}(\alpha)-2, \operatorname{deg}(\beta)-1)
$$

the class number of $\sigma$, where the minimum is taken over all pairs $(\alpha, \beta) \neq$ $(0,0)$ of polynomials satisfying (2.2). An OPS $\left\{P_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ relative to a semi-classical moment functional $\sigma$ (of class $s$ ) is said to be a semiclassical OPS (SCOPS) (of class $s$ ). It is well known (cf. [7,13]) that an OPS $\left\{P_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a classical OPS if and only if $\left\{P_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a SCOPS of class 0 .

We are now ready to state and prove our main result consisting in the characterization of compatibility. In the following we always let $\left\{P_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ and $\left\{Q_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be the monic OPS's relative to quasi-definite moment functionals $\sigma$ and $\tau$ respectively. We also let

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{n+1}(x)=\left(x-b_{n}\right) P_{n}(x)-c_{n} P_{n-1}(x), \quad n \geq 0 \quad\left(P_{-1}(x)=0\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{n+1}(x)=\left(x-\beta_{n}\right) Q_{n}(x)-\gamma_{n} Q_{n-1}(x), \quad n \geq 0 \quad\left(Q_{-1}(x)=0\right) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

be the three-term recurrence relations for $\left\{P_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ and $\left\{Q_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$, where $b_{n}$ and $\beta_{n}, n \geq 0$, are complex numbers and $c_{n}$ and $\gamma_{n}, n \geq 1$, are non-zero complex numbers.

ThEOREM 2.2. $\left\{Q_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is compatible of order $r(\geq 0)$ and depth $\leq t$ with $\left\{P_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ if and only if there are non-zero polynomials $S(x)$ and $T_{j}(x), 0 \leq j \leq r$, such that $\operatorname{deg}\left(T_{j}\right) \leq t+2 r-j$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
(S(x) \sigma)^{(j)}=T_{j}(x) \tau, \quad 0 \leq j \leq r \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case we have $j \leq \operatorname{deg}\left(T_{j}\right) \leq t+2 r-j$ (so that $t \geq 0$ ),

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{j}(x)=(-1)^{j}\left\langle\sigma_{y}, S(y) K_{t+2 r-j}^{(0, j)}(x, y)\right\rangle, \quad 0 \leq j \leq r \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(x) Q_{n}^{(j)}(x)=\sum_{i=n+j-2 r-t}^{n-j+s} a_{n, i}^{(j)} P_{i}(x), \cdot n \geq 0, \text { and } 0 \leq j \leq r \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{n, i}^{(j)}=0$ for $i<0$ and $s:=\operatorname{deg}(S)$.

Hence, for any $j=0,1, \cdots, r,\left\{Q_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is also compatible of order $j$ and depth $\leq t+2(r-j)$ for any $j=0,1, \cdots, r$ with $\left\{P_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$.

Moreover, if $r=0$, then $\sigma$ is semi-classical if and only if $\tau$ is semiclassical and if $r \geq 1$, then both $\sigma$ and $\tau$ must be semi-classical.

Proof. Assume that $\left\{Q_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is compatible of order $r(\geq 0)$ and depth $\leq t$ with $\left\{P_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$, that is, the relation (1.2) holds for some polynomial $S(x)$ of degree $s$ and a positive integer $t$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle(S(x) \sigma)^{(r)}, Q_{n}(x)\right\rangle & =(-1)^{r}\left\langle\sigma, S(x) Q_{n}^{(r)}(x)\right\rangle \\
& =(-1)^{r}\left\langle\sigma, \sum_{n-r-t}^{n-r+s} a_{n, i}^{(r)} P_{i}(x)\right\rangle=0
\end{aligned}
$$

if $n \geq r+t+1$. Hence, by Lemma 2.1 (ii), $(S(x) \sigma)^{(r)}=T_{r}(x) \tau$ for some polynomial $T_{r}(x)$ of degree $\leq t+r$. If $T_{r}(x)=0$ then $(S(x) \sigma)^{(r)}=0$ so that $S(x) \sigma=0$ and $S(x)=0$ by Lemma 2.1 (i), which is a contradiction. Hence, $T_{r}(x) \neq 0$.

We now assume $r \geq 1$. Differentiating $r$-times the three-term recurrence relation (2.4) we obtain

$$
Q_{n+1}^{(r)}(x)=x Q_{n}^{(r)}(x)+r Q_{n}^{(r-1)}(x)-\beta_{n} Q_{n}^{(r)}(x)-\gamma_{n} Q_{n-1}^{(r)}(x)
$$

so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S(x) Q_{n}^{(r-1)}(x) \\
&= \frac{1}{r}\left[S(x) Q_{n+1}^{(r)}(x)+\beta_{n} S(x) Q_{n}^{(r)}(x)+\gamma_{n} S(x) Q_{n-1}^{(r)}(x)-x S(x) Q_{n}^{(r)}(x)\right] \\
&= \frac{1}{r}\left[\sum_{i=n+1-r-t}^{n+1-r+s} a_{n+1, i}^{(r)} P_{i}(x)+\beta_{n} \sum_{i=n-r-t}^{n-r+s} a_{n, i}^{(r)} P_{i}(x)+\gamma_{n} \sum_{i=n-1-r-t}^{n-1-r+s} a_{n-1, i}^{(r)} P_{i}(x)\right. \\
&\left.-\sum_{i=n-r-t}^{n-r+s} a_{n, i}^{(r)}\left(P_{i+1}(x)+b_{i} P_{i}(x)+c_{i} P_{i-1}(x)\right)\right] \\
&= \sum_{i=n-r-1-t}^{n-r+1+s} a_{n, i}^{(r-1)} P_{i}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

by (1.2) and (2.3). Hence, (2.7) holds for $j=r-1$ so that $\left\{Q_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is compatible of order $r-1$ and depth $\leq t+2$ with $\left\{P_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$. Pepeating the same process we can obtain (2.7) for $0 \leq j \leq r$ so that $\left\{Q_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$
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is compatible of order $j$ and depth $\leq t+2(r-j)$ for $0 \leq j \leq r$ with $\left\{P_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$.

Now, from (2.7) we have for $0 \leq j \leq r$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle(S(x) \sigma)^{(j)}, Q_{n}(x)\right\rangle & =(-1)^{j}\left\langle\sigma, S(x) Q_{n}^{(j)}(x)\right\rangle \\
& =(-1)^{j}\left\langle\sigma, \sum_{i=n+j-2 r-t}^{n-j+s} a_{n, i}^{(j)} P_{i}(x)\right\rangle=0
\end{aligned}
$$

if $n \geq t+2 r-j+1$. Hence, by Lemma 2.1, we have (2.5) for some polynomial $T_{j}(x)(\neq 0)$ of degree $\leq t+2 r-j$. Moreover, from (2.1) we obtain

$$
T_{j}(x)=\left\langle(S(y) \sigma)_{y}^{(j)}, K_{t+2 r-j}(x, y)\right\rangle=(-1)^{j}\left\langle\sigma_{y}, S(y) K_{t+2 r-j}^{(0, j)}(x, y)\right\rangle
$$

where $K_{n}^{(i, j)}(x, y):=\sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{Q_{k}^{(i)}(x) Q_{k}^{(j)}(y)}{\left\langle\tau, Q_{k}^{2}\right\rangle}$, which gives (2.6).
Assume that $0 \leq \operatorname{deg}\left(T_{j}(x)\right)=k<j$ for some $j=0,1, \cdots, r$. Then
$0=\left\langle S(x) \sigma, Q_{k}^{(j)}(x)\right\rangle=(-1)^{j}\left\langle(S(x) \sigma)^{(j)}, Q_{k}(x)\right\rangle=(-1)^{j}\left\langle\tau, T_{j}(x) Q_{k}(x)\right\rangle$, which is impossible since $\left\langle\tau, T_{j}(x) Q_{k}(x)\right\rangle \neq 0$. Hence, $j \leq \operatorname{deg}\left(T_{j}(x)\right)$.

Conversely, assume that (2.5) holds. Write $S(x) Q_{n}^{(r)}(x)$ as

$$
S(x) Q_{n}^{(r)}(x)=\sum_{i=0}^{n-r+s} a_{n, i}^{(r)} P_{i}(x), \quad n \geq 0
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{n, i}^{(r)}\left\langle\sigma, P_{i}^{2}(x)\right\rangle & =\left\langle\sigma, S(x) Q_{n}^{(r)}(x) P_{i}(x)\right\rangle=(-1)^{r}\left\langle\left(P_{i}(x) S(x) \sigma\right)^{(r)}, Q_{n}(x)\right\rangle \\
& =(-1)^{r} \sum_{j=0}^{r}\binom{r}{j}\left\langle P_{i}^{(r-j)}(x)(S(x) \sigma)^{(j)}, Q_{n}(x)\right\rangle \\
& =(-1)^{r} \sum_{j=0}^{r}\binom{r}{j}\left\langle\tau, Q_{n}(x) P_{i}^{(r-j)}(x) T_{j}(x)\right\rangle=0
\end{aligned}
$$

if $i<n-r-t$ so that $a_{n, i}^{(r)}=0$ if $i<n-r-t$. Hence, (1.2) holds, that is, $\left\{Q_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is compatible of order $r$ and depth $\leq t$ with $\left\{P_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$.

Assume now that $\left\{Q_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is compatible of order 0 with $\left\{P_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$. Then, $S(x) \sigma=T(x) \tau$ for some non-zero polynomials $S(x)$ and $T(x)$. If
one of $\sigma$ and $\tau$, say, $\sigma$ is semi-classical satisfying (2.2), then $\tau$ satisfies

$$
(S(x) \alpha(x) T(x) \tau)^{\prime}=\left(2 S^{\prime}(x) \alpha(x) T(x)+S(x) \beta(x) T(x)\right) \tau
$$

so that $\tau$ is also semi-classical since $S(x) \alpha(x) T(x) \neq 0$. Finally, assume that $\left\{Q_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is compatible of order $r \geq 1$ and depth $\leq t$ with $\left\{P_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$. Then, (2.5) holds. In particular, we have

$$
S(x) \sigma=T_{0}(x) \tau \quad \text { and } \quad(S(x) \sigma)^{\prime}=T_{1}(x) \tau
$$

so that

$$
\left(T_{0}(x) \tau\right)^{\prime}=T_{1}(x) \tau
$$

and so

$$
\left(T_{0}(x) S(x) \sigma\right)^{\prime}=\left(T_{0}^{\prime}(x)+T_{1}(x)\right) S(x) \sigma .
$$

Hence, both $\sigma$ and $\tau$ must be semi-classical since $T_{0}(x) \neq 0$ and $T_{0}(x) S(x)$ $\neq 0$.

In particular, $\left\{Q_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is compatible of order 0 with $\left\{P_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ if and only if $\left\{P_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is compatible of order 0 with $\left\{Q_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$. Later, we will see that the compatibility of any order is a reflexive property for $\left\{P_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ and $\left\{Q_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$.

We may also express $T_{j}(x)$ in terms of $a_{n, 0}^{(j)}$ 's: Write $T_{j}(x)$ as

$$
T_{j}(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{t+2 r-j} c_{j, k} Q_{k}(x)
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c_{j, k}\left\langle\tau, Q_{k}^{2}(x)\right\rangle=\left\langle T_{j}(x) \tau, Q_{k}(x)\right\rangle=(-1)^{j}\left\langle\sigma, S(x) Q_{k}^{(j)}(x)\right\rangle \\
& = \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } 0 \leq k<j \\
(-1)^{j}\left\langle\sigma, \sum_{i=k+j-2 r-t}^{k-j+s} a_{k, i}^{(j)} P_{i}(x)\right\rangle & \\
=(-1)^{j} a_{k, 0}^{(j)}\left\langle\sigma, P_{0}(x)\right\rangle & \text { if } j \leq k \leq t+2 r-j\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

by (2.7) so that

$$
T_{j}(x)=(-1)^{j}\left\langle\sigma, P_{0}(x)\right\rangle \sum_{k=j}^{t+2 r-j} \frac{a_{k, 0}^{(j)}}{\left\langle\tau, Q_{k}^{2}\right\rangle} Q_{k}(x) .
$$

Hence, $\operatorname{deg}\left(T_{j}(x)\right)=t+2 r-j$ if and only if $a_{t+2 r-j, 0}^{(j)} \neq 0$. In particular, if $t=0$, then $\operatorname{deg}\left(T_{r}(x)\right)=r$ so that $a_{r, 0}^{(r)} \neq 0$. Moreover for $j=0$, either
$a_{n, n-2 r-t}^{(0)} \neq 0$ for all $n \geq 2 r+t$ (if $\operatorname{deg}\left(T_{0}(x)\right)=t+2 r$ ) or $a_{n, n-2 r-t}^{(0)}=0$ for all $n \geq 2 r+t$ (if $\left.\operatorname{deg}\left(T_{0}(x)\right)<t+2 r\right)$ since we have:

Proposition 2.3. For any polynomial $S(x) \neq 0$ of degree $s(\geq 0)$, write $S(x) Q_{n}(x)$ as

$$
S(x) Q_{n}(x)=\sum_{i=0}^{n+s} a_{n, i} P_{i}(x), \quad n \geq 0
$$

Then, either $a_{n, 0} \neq 0$ for infinitely many $n$ 's or

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(x) Q_{n}(x)=\sum_{i=n-t}^{n+s} a_{n, i} P_{i}(x), \quad n \geq 0 \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{n, i}=0$ for $i<0$ and $a_{t, 0} \neq 0$ for some integer $t \geq 0$. In the latter case, $\left\{Q_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is compatible of order 0 and depth $t$ with $\left\{P_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ and $a_{n, n-t} \neq 0, n \geq t$.

Proof. Assume that $a_{n, 0} \neq 0$ for only finitely many $n$ 's. Let $t(\geq 0)$ be the largest integer such that $a_{t, 0} \neq 0$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(x) Q_{n}(x)=\sum_{i=1}^{n+s} a_{n, i} P_{i}(x), \quad n \geq t+1 \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that $\left\langle S(x) \sigma, Q_{n}(x)\right\rangle=0, n \geq t+1$. Hence, by Lemma 2.1,

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(x) \sigma=T(x) \tau \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some polynomial $T(x)(\neq 0)$ of degree $\leq t$. Then from (2.9) and (2.10) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{n, i}\left\langle\sigma, P_{i}^{2}(x)\right\rangle & =\left\langle S(x) Q_{n}(x) \sigma, P_{i}(x)\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\tau, Q_{n}(x) P_{i}(x) T(x)\right\rangle=0
\end{aligned}
$$

if $i<n-t$ so that $a_{n, i}=0$ if $i<n-t$. Hence (2.8) holds since (2.8) for $0 \leq n \leq t$ holds trivially. Similarly, we have from (2.8) and (2.10)

$$
a_{n, n-t}=\frac{\left\langle\tau, Q_{n}(x) P_{n-t}(x) T(x)\right\rangle}{\left\langle\sigma, P_{n-t}^{2}(x)\right\rangle}, \quad n \geq t
$$

Since $a_{t, 0} \neq 0,\left\langle\tau, Q_{t}(x) P_{0}(x) T(x)\right\rangle=\left\langle\tau, Q_{t}(x) T(x)\right\rangle \neq 0$ and so $\operatorname{deg}(T(x))$ $=t$. Then $\left\langle\tau, Q_{n}(x) P_{n-t}(x) T(x)\right\rangle \neq 0, n \geq t$ so that $a_{n, n-t} \neq 0, n \geq t$.

Theorem 2.2 shows, in particular, that if $\left\{Q_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is compatible of order $r(\geq 1)$ with $\left\{P_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$, then $\left\{Q_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ must be compatible of order $j$ for any $j=0,1, \cdots, r$ with $\left\{P_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$. This fact is essentially proved in [4; See Theorem 1.1 and Lemma 2.1], where they showed that the relation (1.1) implies the relation (2.7) for positive-definite moment functionals $\sigma$ and $\tau$. Note that in this case, $t$ and $s$ for (1.1) are different from $t$ and $s$ for (2.7) in general.

On the other hand, Marcellán et al. [10] showed that if $\left\{Q_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is compatible with $\left\{P_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ of order 1 , then $S \sigma=T_{0} \tau$ for some nonzero polynomials $S(x)$ and $T_{0}(x)$ and $\sigma$ and $\tau$ must be semi-classical (see Proposition 1.1 in [10]).

In general, compatibility of order 0 does not imply compatibility of higher order. However, we have:

Theorem 2.4. For any integer $r \geq 1$ the following statements are equivalent.
(i) $\left\{Q_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ (or $\left\{P_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ ) is an SCOPS and is compatible of order 0 (and depth $\leq t$ ) with $\left\{P_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$, that is, there are non-negative integers $s$ and $t$ and a polynomial $S(x)$ of degree $s$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(x) Q_{n}(x)=\sum_{i=n-t}^{n+s} a_{n, i} P_{i}(x), \quad n \geq 0 \quad\left(a_{n, i}=0 \text { for } i<0\right) \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) $\left\{Q_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is compatible of order $r$ (and depth $\leq t^{*}$ ) with $\left\{P_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$, that is, there are non-negative integers $s^{*}$ and $t^{*}$ and a polynomial $S^{*}(x)$ of degree $s^{*}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S^{*}(x) Q_{n}^{(r)}(x)=\sum_{i=n-\tau-t^{*}}^{n-\tau+s^{*}} a_{n, i}^{(r)} P_{i}(x), \quad n \geq 0 \quad\left(a_{n, i}^{(r)}=0 \text { for } i<0\right) \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, in this case, $\left\{P_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is also an SCOPS and

$$
\begin{gather*}
S(x)\left[\alpha^{*}(x) Q_{n}^{\prime \prime}(x)+\beta^{*}(x) Q_{n}^{\prime}(x)\right]=\sum_{i=n-v}^{n+s+s^{*}} a_{n, i}^{*} P_{i}(x)  \tag{2.13}\\
n \geq 0 \quad\left(a_{n, i}^{*}=0 \quad \text { for } i<0\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

if $\left(\alpha^{*}(x) \tau\right)^{\prime}=\beta^{*}(x) \tau$ and $\left|\alpha^{*}(x)\right|+\left|\beta^{*}(x)\right| \neq 0$, where $v$ is a non-negative integer and $s^{*}:=\max \left(\operatorname{deg}\left(\alpha^{*}(x)\right)-2, \operatorname{deg}\left(\beta^{*}(x)\right)-1\right)$.
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Proof. Assume that $\left\{Q_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is compatible of order $r$ and depth $\leq t^{*}$ with $\left\{P_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$. Then by Theorem $2.2,\left\{Q_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is compatible of order 0 and depth $\leq t^{*}+2 r$ with $\left\{P_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ and both $\left\{P_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ and $\left\{Q_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ are SCOPS's. Conversely, assume that $\tau$ is a semi-classical moment functional satisfying $\left(\alpha^{*}(x) \tau\right)^{\prime}=\beta^{*}(x) \tau$ with $\left|\alpha^{*}(x)\right|+\left|\beta^{*}(x)\right| \neq$ 0 . Then by [Theorem 3.1, 13], $\left\{Q_{n}^{(r)}(x)\right\}_{n=r}^{\infty}$ is quasi-orthogonal relative to $\alpha^{*}(x)^{r} \tau$ of order $\leq r s^{*}$, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\alpha^{*}(x)^{r} \tau, x^{k} Q_{n}^{(r)}(x)\right\rangle=0, \quad 0 \leq k<n-r-r s^{*} . \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, (2.11) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(x) \sigma=T(x) \tau \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some polynomial $T(x)$ with $0 \leq \operatorname{deg}(T(x)) \leq t$. Write $S(x) \alpha^{*}(x)^{r} Q_{n}^{(\tau)}(x)$ as

$$
S(x) \alpha^{*}(x)^{r} Q_{n}^{(r)}(x)=\sum_{i=0}^{n-r+s+u r} a_{n, i}^{(r)} P_{i}(x), \quad n \geq 0,
$$

where $u:=\operatorname{deg}\left(\alpha^{*}(x)\right)$. Then from (2.14) and (2.15) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{n, i}^{(r)}\left\langle\sigma, P_{i}^{2}(x)\right\rangle & =\left\langle\sigma, S(x)\left(\alpha^{*}(x)\right)^{r} Q_{n}^{(r)}(x) P_{i}(x)\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\left(\alpha^{*}(x)\right)^{r} \tau, Q_{n}^{(r)}(x) P_{i}(x) T(x)\right\rangle=0
\end{aligned}
$$

if $i<n-r-r s^{*}-\tilde{t}$, where $\tilde{t}=\operatorname{deg}(T(x))$ so that $a_{n, i}^{(r)}=0$ if $i<$ $n-r-r s^{*}-\tilde{t}$. Hence we have (2.12) with $S^{*}(x)=S(x) \alpha^{*}(x)^{\tau}, s^{*}=s+u r$, and $t^{*}=r s^{*}+\tilde{t}$.

Finally to show (2.13) note first that $\operatorname{deg}\left(S(x)\left[\alpha^{*}(x) Q_{n}^{\prime \prime}(x)+\beta^{*}(x) Q_{n}^{\prime}(x)\right]\right)$ $\leq n+s+s^{*}$ so that

$$
S(x)\left[\alpha^{*}(x) Q_{n}^{\prime \prime}(x)+\beta^{*}(x) Q_{n}^{\prime}(x)\right]=\sum_{i=0}^{n+s+s^{*}} a_{n, i}^{*} P_{i}(x), \quad n \geq 0 .
$$

Then, by (2.14) with $r=1$ and (2.15) we deduce

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{n, i}^{*}\left\langle\sigma, P_{i}^{2}(x)\right\rangle & =\left\langle\sigma, S\left[\alpha^{*}(x) Q_{n}^{\prime \prime}(x)+\beta^{*}(x) Q_{n}^{\prime}(x)\right] P_{i}(x)\right\rangle \\
& =-\left\langle\left(T(x) P_{i}(x) \alpha^{*}(x) \tau\right)^{\prime}+T(x) P_{i}(x) \beta^{*}(x) \tau, Q_{n}^{\prime}(x)\right\rangle \\
& =-\left\langle\alpha^{*}(x) \tau, Q_{n}^{\prime}(x)\left(T(x) P_{i}(x)\right)^{\prime}\right\rangle=0
\end{aligned}
$$

if $i<n-s^{*}-\tilde{t}$ so that $a_{n, i}^{*}=0$ if $i<n-s^{*}-\tilde{t}$. Hence we have (2.13) with $v=s^{*}+\tilde{t} \geq 0$.

Remark 2.1. We can now see from Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 2.4: Assume $\left\{Q_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is compatible of order $r(\geq 0)$ with $\left\{P_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$. If $r=0$ we also assume that either $\left\{P_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ or $\left\{Q_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is an SCOPS. Then $\left\{P_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ and $\left\{Q_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ are compatible each other of any order $\geq 0$ and both $\left\{P_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ and $\left\{Q_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ must be SCOPS's. Thus, for $r \geq 1, r$ plays no significant role in the compatibility condition (1.2).

## 3. Applications

As applications of Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 2.4 we give some new characterizations of SCOPS's and Classical OPS's.

ThEOREM 3.1. For an OPS $\left\{P_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ relative to $\sigma$ and an integer $r \geq 1$ the following statements are equivalent.
(i) $\left\{P_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is an SCOPS.
(ii) $\left\{P_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is compatible of order $r$ with $\left\{P_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$, that is, there are a polynomial $S(x)$ of degree $s \geq 0$ and an integer $t \geq 0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(x) P_{n}^{(r)}(x)=\sum_{i=n-r-t}^{n-r+s} a_{n, i}^{(r)} P_{i}(x), \quad n \geq 0\left(a_{n, i}^{(r)}=0 \text { for } i<0\right) . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(iii) (cf. [1]) There are polynomials $\alpha(x) \neq 0$ and $\beta(x)$ and integers $r$ and $s$ with $0 \leq r \leq s$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha(x) P_{n}^{\prime \prime}(x)+\beta(x) P_{n}^{\prime}(x)=\sum_{i=n-r}^{n+s} a_{n, i} P_{i}(x), \quad n \geq 0\left(a_{n, i}=0 \text { for } i<0\right) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) and (iii): It comes from Theorem 2.4 since $\left\{P_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is compatible of order 0 with $\left\{P_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$.
(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i): It comes from Theorem 2.2.
(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i): For any integer $k \geq 0$, we have by (3.2)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\left[\left(x^{k} \alpha(x) \sigma\right)^{\prime}-x^{k} \beta(x) \sigma\right]^{\prime}, P_{n}(x)\right\rangle & =\left\langle\sigma, x^{k}\left(\alpha(x) P_{n}^{\prime \prime}(x)+\beta(x) P_{n}^{\prime}(x)\right)\right\rangle \\
& =\sum_{i=n-r}^{n+s} a_{n, i}\left\langle\sigma, x^{k} P_{i}(x)\right\rangle=0
\end{aligned}
$$
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if $n>r+k$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\left(x^{k} \alpha(x) \sigma\right)^{\prime}-x^{k} \beta(x) \sigma\right]^{\prime}=\pi_{r+k}(x) \sigma \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some polynomial $\pi_{r+k}(x)$ of degree $\leq r+k$ by Lemma 2.1. In particular, for $k=1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi_{r+1}(x) \sigma=\left[(x \alpha(x) \sigma)^{\prime}-x \beta(x) \sigma\right]^{\prime} & =\left[\alpha(x) \sigma+x\left\{(\alpha(x) \sigma)^{\prime}-\beta(x) \sigma\right\}\right]^{\prime} \\
& =2(\alpha(x) \sigma)^{\prime}+\beta(x) \sigma+x \pi_{r}(x) \sigma
\end{aligned}
$$

by (3.3) for $k=0$ so that

$$
2(\alpha(x) \sigma)^{\prime}=\left[\pi_{r+1}(x)-x \pi_{r}(x)-\beta(x)\right] \sigma
$$

Hence, $\sigma$ must be semi-classical since $\alpha(x) \neq 0$.
Characterization of SCOPS's by the relation (3.1) with $r=1$ was first proved by Maroni [12,13], who called it a structure relation of the SCOPS $\left\{P_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$. We may call (3.1) a structure relation of order $r(\geq 1)$. Characterization of SCOPS's by the relation (3.2) was first proved in [1] assuming $r=s$, where they used the dual basis of a polynomial sequence.

Al-Salam and Chihara [2] (see also [7]) characterized classical OPS'a via a structure relation of order 1: an OPS $\left\{P_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a classical OPS if and only if there is a polynomial $S(x) \neq 0$ of degree at most 2 such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(x) P_{n}^{\prime}(x)=r_{n} P_{n+1}(x)+s_{n} P_{n}(x)+t_{n} P_{n-1}(x), \quad n \geq 1 \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r_{n}, s_{n}$, and $t_{n}$ are real numbers with $t_{n} \neq 0$, that is, $\left\{P_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is compatible of order 1 and depth 0 with $\left\{P_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$. We can now extend Al-Salam and Chihara's characterization of classical OPS's for which we need the following extended Hahn's characterization of classical OPS's (see Theorem 3.3 in [8]): An OPS $\left\{P_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a classical OPS if and only if for some integer $r \geq 1,\left\{P_{n}^{(r)}(x)\right\}_{n=r}^{\infty}$ is quasi-orthogonal of order 0 , that is, there is a non-zero moment functional $\mu$ such that

$$
\left\langle\mu, P_{m}^{(r)} P_{n}^{(r)}\right\rangle=0 \quad \text { for } m \neq n
$$

ThEOREM 3.2. If $\left\{Q_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is compatible of order $r \geq 1$ and depth $\cdot 0$ with $\left\{P_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ then $\left\{Q_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ must be a classical OPS.

## Compatible pairs of orthogonal polynomials

Proof. Assume that (1.2) holds for some polynomial $S(x)$ of degree $s(\geq 0)$ and $t=0$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle S(x) \sigma, Q_{m}^{(r)}(x) Q_{n}^{(r)}(x)\right\rangle & =\left\langle\sigma, Q_{m}^{(r)}(x) \sum_{i=n-r}^{n-r+s} a_{n, i}^{(r)} P_{i}(x)\right\rangle \\
& =\sum_{i=n-r}^{n-r+s} a_{n, i}^{(r)}\left\langle\sigma, Q_{m}^{(r)}(x) P_{i}(x)\right\rangle=0
\end{aligned}
$$

if $n>m$. Hence $\left\{Q_{n}^{(r)}(x)\right\}_{n=r}^{\infty}$ is quasi-orthogonal of order 0 relative to $S(x) \sigma$ so that $\left\{Q_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ must be a classical OPS.

Corollary 3.3. Let $r \geq 1$ be any integer and $\left\{P_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ an OPS. Then $\left\{P_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a classical OPS if and only if there is a polynomial $S(x)$ of degree $s \geq 0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(x) P_{n}^{(r)}(x)=\sum_{i=n-r}^{n-r+s} a_{n, i} P_{i}(x), \quad n \geq 0\left(a_{n, i}=0 \text { for } i<0\right) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is, $\left\{P_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is compatible of order $r \geq 1$ and depth 0 with $\left\{P_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$.

Proof. Assume that $\left\{P_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a classical OPS relative to $\sigma$ satisfying $(\alpha(x) \sigma)^{\prime}=\beta(x) \sigma$ with $\max (\operatorname{deg}(\alpha(x))-2, \operatorname{deg}(\beta(x))-1)=0$. Then we have (3.5) with $S(x)=\alpha(x)^{r}$ (see the proof of (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) in Theorem 2.4). The converse result comes from Theorem 3.2.

The relation (3.5) (which is exactly (3.4) for $r=1$ ) gives a characterization of classical OPS's via higher order structure relations.

Example 3.1. Let $\sigma$ be the moment functional defined by

$$
\langle\sigma, \phi\rangle=\int_{0}^{\infty} \phi(x) x^{\alpha} e^{-x} d x, \quad \phi \in \mathcal{P}
$$

Then $\sigma$ is positive-definite for $\alpha>-1$ and the corresponding monic OPS is the Laguerre OPS $\left\{L_{n}^{(\alpha)}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ :

$$
L_{n}^{(\alpha)}(x)=(-1)^{n} n!\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n+\alpha}{n-k} \frac{(-x)^{k}}{k!}, \quad n \geq 0
$$
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and

$$
\left\langle\sigma, L_{n}^{(\alpha)}(x)^{2}\right\rangle=n!\Gamma(n+\alpha+1), \quad n \geq 0
$$

We now consider another moment functional $\tau$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
x \tau=x \sigma \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\tau=\sigma+\left(\tau_{0}-\sigma_{0}\right) \delta(x)
$$

so that $\tau$ is quasi-definite if and only if (cf. [9, Corollary 3.2] or [11, Theorem 2.1])

$$
1+\left(\tau_{0}-\sigma_{0}\right) \frac{(\alpha+1)_{n}}{\Gamma(\alpha+2)(n-1)!} \neq 0, \quad n \geq 1
$$

i.e.,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau_{0} \neq \sigma_{0}-\frac{\Gamma(\alpha+2)(n-1)!}{(\alpha+1)_{n}} & =\Gamma(\alpha+1)-\frac{\Gamma(\alpha+2)(n-1)!}{(\alpha+1)_{n}} \\
& =\Gamma(\alpha+1)\left[1-\frac{(\alpha+1)(n-1)!}{(\alpha+1)_{n}}\right] \\
& =\Gamma(\alpha+1)\left[1-\frac{(n-1)!}{(\alpha+2)_{n-1}}\right], \quad n \geq 1
\end{aligned}
$$

From now on we will assume $\tau_{0} \neq \sigma_{0}, \Gamma(\alpha+1)\left[1-\frac{n!}{(\alpha+2)_{n}}\right], n \geq 1$ so that $\tau$ is quasi-definite. Then the monic $\operatorname{OPS}\left\{Q_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ relative to $\tau$ is

$$
Q_{n}(x)=L_{n}^{(\alpha)}(x)+\frac{\left(\sigma_{0}-\tau_{0}\right)}{d_{n-1}} L_{n}^{(\alpha)}(0) K_{n-1}(x, 0), \quad n \geq 0
$$

where $d_{-1}=K_{-1}(x, y)=1$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{n} & =1+\left(\tau_{0}-\sigma_{0}\right) K_{n}(0,0) \\
& =1+\left(\tau_{0}-\sigma_{0}\right) \frac{(\alpha+2)_{n-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)(n-1)!}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then by (3.6) and Theorem $2.2\left\{Q_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is compatible of order 0 and depth 1 with $\left\{L_{n}^{(\alpha)}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
x Q_{n}(x)=\sum_{i=n-1}^{n+1} a_{n, i} L_{i}^{(\alpha)}(x), \quad n \geq 0 \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Compatible pairs of orthogonal polynomials

where $a_{n, i}=0$ for $i<0$ and $a_{n, n-1} \neq 0$ for $n \geq 1$. On the other hand, since $K_{n-1}(x, 0)=\frac{(-1)^{(n-1)}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)(n-1)!} L_{n-1}^{(\alpha+1)}(x)$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
L_{n}^{(\alpha)}(x) & =L_{n}^{(\alpha+1)}(x)+n L_{n-1}^{(\alpha+1)}(x),  \tag{3.8}\\
Q_{n}(x) & =L_{n}^{(\alpha+1)}(x)+L_{n-1}^{(\alpha+1)}(x)\left[n+\frac{\sigma_{0}-\tau_{0}}{d_{n-1}} L_{n}^{(\alpha)}(0) \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)(n-1)!}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

i.e., $\left\{Q_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is compatible of order 0 and depth 1 with $\left\{L_{n}^{(\alpha+1)}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$. Note that the basic difference with the compatibility condition (3.7) is that there is no polynomial factor in (3.8). Since

$$
\begin{align*}
(x \sigma)^{\prime} & =(-x+\alpha+1) \sigma  \tag{3.9}\\
(x \tau)^{\prime} & =(-x+\alpha+1) \sigma,
\end{align*}
$$

we have, by (3.9) and Theorem 2.2, $\left\{L_{n}^{(\alpha)}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is compatible of order 1 and depth 0 with $\left\{Q_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ :

$$
x\left[L_{n}^{(\alpha)}\right]^{\prime}=\sum_{i=n-1}^{n} b_{n, i} Q_{i}(x), \quad n \geq 0
$$

where $b_{n, i}=0$ for $i<0$ and $b_{n, n-1} \neq 0$.
Example 3.2. Let $\sigma$ be the Bessel moment functional, i.e.,

$$
\left(x^{2} \sigma\right)^{\prime}=[(\alpha+2) x+2] \sigma
$$

with $\alpha \neq-n$ and $n \geq 2$. $\sigma$ is quasi-definite and the corresponding monic OPS is the Bessel OPS $\left\{B_{n}^{(\alpha)}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ :

$$
B_{n}^{(\alpha)}(x)=\frac{2^{n}}{(\alpha+n+1)_{n}} \sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k}(n+\alpha+1)_{k}\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{k}
$$

and

$$
\left\langle\sigma, B_{n}^{(\alpha)}(x)^{2}\right\rangle=\frac{(-1)^{n+1} 2^{2 n+\alpha+1} \Gamma(n+\alpha+1) n!}{(2 n+\alpha+1) \Gamma(2 n+\alpha+2)} .
$$

We now consider another moment functional $\tau$ satisfying

$$
x^{2} \tau=x^{2} \sigma .
$$

Then

$$
\tau=\sigma+\left(\tau_{0}-\sigma_{0}\right) \delta(x)+\left(\sigma_{1}-\tau_{1}\right) \delta^{\prime}(x)
$$

According to Proposition 1 in [3] a necessary and sufficient condition for the quasi-definiteness of $\tau$ is

$$
0 \neq\left|\begin{array}{cc}
1+\left(\tau_{0}-\sigma_{0}\right) K_{n}(0,0)+\left(\sigma_{1}-\tau_{1}\right) K_{n}^{(0,1)}(0,0) & \left(\sigma_{1}-\tau_{1}\right) K_{n}(0,0) \\
\left(\tau_{0}-\sigma_{0}\right) K_{n}^{(0,1)}(0,0)+\left(\sigma_{1}-\tau_{1}\right) K_{n}^{(1,1)}(0,0) & 1+\left(\sigma_{1}-\tau_{1}\right) K_{n}^{(0,1)}(0,0)
\end{array}\right|, \quad n \geq 0
$$

With this hypothesis, if $\left\{Q_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is the monic OPS relative to $\tau$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{n}(x)=B_{n}^{(\alpha)}(x)+a_{n} K_{n-1}(x, 0)+b_{n} K_{n-1}^{(0,1)}(x, 0) \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and from (19) in [3],

$$
x^{2} Q_{n}(x)=\sum_{j=n-2}^{n+2} a_{n, j} B_{j}^{(\alpha)}(x), \quad n \geq 0
$$

That is, $\left\{Q_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is compatible of order 0 and depth 2 with $\left\{B_{n}^{(\alpha)}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$, where $a_{n, j}=0$ for $j<0$ and $a_{n, n-2} \neq 0$ for $n \geq 2$.

On the other hand, from (46) in [3] we obtain

$$
Q_{n}(x)=B_{n}^{(\alpha+2)}(x)+c_{n} B_{n-1}^{(\alpha+2)}(x)+e_{n} B_{n-2}^{(\alpha+2)}(x)
$$

That is, $\left\{Q_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is compatible of order 0 and depth 2 with $\left\{B_{n}^{(\alpha+2)}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$. Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(x^{2} \sigma\right)^{\prime}=[(\alpha+2) x+2] \sigma \\
& \left(x^{2} \tau\right)^{\prime}=[(\alpha+2) x+2] \sigma
\end{aligned}
$$

$\left\{B_{n}^{(\alpha)}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is compatible of order 1 and depth 0 with $\left\{Q_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ :

$$
x^{2}\left[B_{n}^{(\alpha)}(x)\right]^{\prime}=\sum_{i=n-1}^{n+1} b_{n, i} Q_{i}(x)
$$

with $b_{n, n-1} \neq 0$ for $n \geq 1$.
Example 3.3. Let $\sigma$ be the moment functional defined by

$$
\langle\sigma, \phi\rangle=\int_{-1}^{1} \phi(x)(1-x)^{\alpha}(1+x)^{\beta} d x, \quad \phi \in \mathcal{P}
$$

Then $\sigma$ is positive-definite for $\alpha, \beta>-1$ and the corresponding monic OPS is the Jacobi OPS $\left\{P_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ :

$$
P_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)=\frac{1}{\binom{2 n+\alpha+\beta}{n}} \sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n+\alpha}{n-k}\binom{n+\beta}{k}(x-1)^{k}(x+1)^{n-k}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\sigma, P_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)^{2}\right\rangle= & \frac{2^{\alpha+\beta+2 n+1} n!\Gamma(n+\alpha+1) \Gamma(n+\beta+1)}{\Gamma(n+\alpha+\beta+1)(2 n+\alpha+\beta+1)(n+\alpha+\beta+1)_{n}^{2}} \\
& n \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

We now consider another moment functional $\tau$ satisfying

$$
\left(1-x^{2}\right) \tau=\left(1-x^{2}\right) \sigma
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau= & \sigma \\
& +\overbrace{\frac{1}{2}\left[\left(\tau_{0}+\tau_{1}\right)-\left(\sigma_{0}+\sigma_{1}\right)\right]}^{A_{1}} \delta(x-1) \\
& +\overbrace{\frac{1}{2}\left[\left(\tau_{0}-\tau_{1}\right)+\left(\sigma_{0}-\sigma_{1}\right)\right]}^{A_{2}} \delta(x+1)
\end{aligned}
$$

so that $\tau$ is quasi-definite if and only if (cf. [9, Theorem 3.1])

$$
0 \neq\left|\begin{array}{cc}
1+A_{1} K_{n-1}(1,1) & A_{2} K_{n-1}(1,-1) \\
A_{1} K_{n-1}(1,-1) & 1+A_{2} K_{n-1}(-1,-1)
\end{array}\right|
$$

Under this hypothesis, let $\left\{Q_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be the monic OPS relative to $\tau$. Note that if $A_{1} \geq 0$ and $A_{2} \geq 0 \tau$ is a positive-definite moment functional. The corresponding sequence of orthogonal polynomials was studied by T . H. Koornwinder [6]. It is easy to prove

$$
Q_{n}(x)=P_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)+a_{n} K_{n-1}(x, 1)+b_{n} K_{n-1}(x,-1)
$$

and

$$
\left(1-x^{2}\right) Q_{n}(x)=\sum_{j=n-2}^{n+2} a_{n, j} P_{j}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x), \quad n \geq 0
$$

D. H. Kim, Kil H. Kwon, D. W. Lee, and F. Marcellán

This means that $\left\{Q_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is compatible of order 0 and depth 2 with $\left\{P_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ where $a_{n, j}=0$ for $j<0$ and $a_{n, n-2} \neq 0$ for $n \geq 2$. On the other hand,

$$
Q_{n}(x)=P_{n}^{(\alpha+1, \beta+1)}(x)+c_{n} P_{n-1}^{(\alpha+1, \beta+1)}(x)+e_{n} P_{n-2}^{(\alpha+1, \beta+1)}(x)
$$

so that $\left\{Q_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is compatible of order 0 and depth 2 with $\left\{P_{n}^{(\alpha+1, \beta+1)}\right.$ $(x)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$. Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\left(1-x^{2}\right) \sigma\right]^{\prime}=[-(\alpha+\beta+2) x+\beta-\alpha] \sigma} \\
& {\left[\left(1-x^{2}\right) \tau\right]^{\prime}=[-(\alpha+\beta+2) x+\beta-\alpha] \sigma,}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\left\{P_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is compatible of order 1 and depth 0 with $\left\{Q_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ :

$$
\left(1-x^{2}\right)\left[P_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)\right]^{\prime}=\sum_{i=n-1}^{n+1} b_{n, i} Q_{i}(x)
$$

where $b_{n, n-1} \neq 0$ for $n \geq 1$.
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