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#### Abstract

In this paper we consider the sequence of monic polynomials $\left(Q_{n}\right)$ orthogonal with respect to a symmetric Sobolev inner product. If $Q_{2 n}(x)=P_{n}\left(x^{2}\right)$ and $Q_{2 n+1}(x)=x R_{n}\left(x^{2}\right)$, then we deduce the integral representation of the inner products such that $\left(P_{n}\right)$ and $\left(R_{n}\right)$ are, respectively, the corresponding sequences of monic orthogonal polynomials. In the semiclassical case, algebraic relations between such sequences are deduced. Finally, an application of the above results to Freud-Sobolev polynomials is given.


## 1 Introduction

Let U be a linear functional in the linear space $\mathbb{P}$ of polynomials with real coefficients. The sequence of real numbers $\left(\mu_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ where $\mu_{n}=U\left(x^{n}\right)$ is said
to be the sequence of the moments associated with the linear functional.
Let consider the bilinear functional $\varphi_{U}: \mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\varphi(p, q)=U(p q), \quad p, q \in \mathbb{P}
$$

The Gram matrix of $\varphi_{U}$ with respect to the canonical basis $\left(x^{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Hankel matrix (see [3]). If the principal submatrices of the Hankel matrix are nonsingular, then the linear functional U is said to be quasi-definite.

For a quasi-definite linear functional $U$ there exists a sequence of monic polynomials $\left\{T_{n}\right\}$ such that ([3])

1. $\operatorname{deg}\left(T_{n}\right)=n, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}$.
2. $\varphi_{U}\left(T_{n}, T_{m}\right)=k_{n} \delta_{n m}, \quad k_{n} \neq 0$.

This sequence of polynomials satisfies a three-term recurrence relation

$$
x T_{n}(x)=T_{n+1}(x)+b_{n} T_{n}(x)+c_{n} T_{n-1}(x), \quad n \geq 0,
$$

with initial conditions

$$
T_{-1}(x)=0, \quad T_{0}(x)=1, \quad \text { and } \quad c_{n} \neq 0, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N} .
$$

The linear functional is said to be positive definite if the principal submatrices of the associated Hankel matrix are positive definite. In such conditions, there exists a positive Borel measure $\mu$ supported in the real line such that the following integral representation for the linear functional U holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(p)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} p(x) d \mu(x), \quad p \in \mathbb{P} . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

A linear functional U is said to be symmetric if $U\left(x^{2 n+1}\right)=0, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}$. In particular, if U is positive definite and symmetric, then the support of the measure $\mu$ in (1.1) is a symmetric set with respect to the origin in the real line and the measure $\mu$ is associated with an even function in $\mathbb{R}$.

If U is a quasi-definite linear functional and $\left(T_{n}\right)$ denotes the corresponding sequence of monic orthogonal polynomials, then

$$
T_{2 n}(x)=S_{n}\left(x^{2}\right), \quad n \in \mathbb{N},
$$

and

$$
T_{2 n+1}(x)=x S_{n}^{*}\left(x^{2}\right), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}
$$

Here $\left(S_{n}\right)$ and $\left(S_{n}^{*}\right)$ are, respectively, sequences of monic polynomials orthogonal with respect to two quasi-definite linear functionals $V$ and $V^{*}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
V\left(x^{n}\right) & =U\left(x^{2 n}\right), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \\
V^{*}\left(x^{n}\right) & =V\left(x^{n+1}\right), \quad n \in \mathbb{N},
\end{aligned}
$$

(see [3]).
Conversely, given a quasi-definite linear functional $V$ such that $S_{n}(0) \neq 0$ for the corresponding sequence of monic orthogonal polynomials, the linear functional U satisfying

$$
U\left(x^{2 n}\right)=V\left(x^{n}\right), \quad U\left(x^{2 n+1}\right)=0
$$

is said to be the symmetrized linear functional associated with U . Notice that in this situation the sequence $\left(T_{n}\right)$ satisfies a three-term recurrence relation

$$
x T_{n}(x)=T_{n+1}(x)+c_{n} T_{n-1}(x), \quad n \geq 0,
$$

with initial conditions

$$
T_{0}(x)=1, \quad T_{1}(x)=x, \quad \text { and } \quad c_{n} \neq 0, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N} .
$$

As a very well known example of symmetrization process, the Hermite polynomials are the symmetrized of Laguerre polynomials with parameter $\alpha=-1 / 2$, i.e.

$$
\begin{gathered}
H_{2 n}(x)=L_{n}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(x^{2}\right) \\
H_{2 n+1}(x)=x L_{n}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(x^{2}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

In a recent work [1], the symmetrized linear functionals associated with semiclassical linear functionals are studied. A semiclassical linear functional U satisfies a distributional Pearson equation $D(\phi U)=\tau U$ where $\phi$ and $\tau$ are polynomials with $\operatorname{deg}(\tau) \geq 1$. They constitute an extension of classical linear functionals (Hermite, Laguerre, Jacobi, and Bessel) and they have been extensively analyzed during the last two decades (see [4], [6]).

The aim of our contribution is to analyze the symmetrization process for a kind of inner products which have received some attention very recently, the so-called Sobolev inner products. Consider two positive definite linear functionals $U_{0}$ and $U_{1}$ in the linear space $\mathbb{P}$ of the polynomials with real coefficients. We introduce a bilinear functional $<\cdot, \cdot>$ in $\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
<p, q>=U_{0}(p q)+U_{1}\left(p^{\prime} q^{\prime}\right) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $p, q \in \mathbb{P}$.
Using the Gram-Schmidt method for the canonical basis $\left(x^{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $\mathbb{P}$, we obtain a sequence $\left(Q_{n}\right)$ of monic polynomials with $\operatorname{deg}\left(Q_{n}\right)=n$ which are orthogonal with respect to the inner product (1.2).

Unfortunately, these polynomials do not satisfy recurrence relations as those associated with a linear functional. Nevertheless, under some assumptions for the linear functionals $U_{0}$ and $U_{1}$ it is possible to deduce some higher order recurrence relations ( see [5]) for the polynomials $Q_{n}$.

The starting point of our contribution is to assume that $U_{0}$ and $U_{1}$ are symmetric positive definite linear functionals. Then, $Q_{2 n}(x)=P_{n}\left(x^{2}\right)$ as well as $Q_{2 n+1}(x)=x R_{n}\left(x^{2}\right)$. In section 3 we deduce the integral representation for the inner products such that $\left(P_{n}\right)$ and $\left(R_{n}\right)$ are, respectively, the corresponding sequences of monic orthogonal polynomials. Thus, non-diagonal Sobolev inner products appear in a natural way.

In section 4 we assume that $U=U_{0}=U_{1}$ and $U$ is a semiclassical linear functional. Then, algebraic relations between $\left(P_{n}\right)$ and $\left(R_{n}\right)$ are deduced as well as higher order recurrence relations for $\left(P_{n}\right)$ and $\left(R_{n}\right)$. Finally, as an example, we show the application of our results and techniques for the so-called Freud-Sobolev orthogonal polynomials [2].

## 2 Semiclassical Orthogonal Polynomials. Symmetrization and class.

Consider a quasi-definite linear functional U in the linear space $\mathbb{P}$ of polynomials with real coefficients and let $\left\{P_{n}\right\}$ be the sequence of monic polynomials orthogonal with respect to U.

U is said to be a semiclassical linear functional if

$$
\begin{equation*}
D(\phi U)=\tau U \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\phi$ and $\tau$ are polynomials with $\operatorname{deg}(\phi)=t \geq 0$ and $\operatorname{deg}(\tau)=p \geq 1$.
Theorem 2.1 [1] The following statements are equivalent:

1. $U$ is a semiclassical linear functional.
2. The Stieltjes function $S_{U}(z)=-\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mu_{n}}{z^{n+1}}$ with $\mu_{n}=U\left(x^{n}\right)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(z) S_{U}^{\prime}(z)=C(z) S_{U}(z)+D(z) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
C(z)=-\phi^{\prime}(z)+\tau(z)  \tag{2.3}\\
D(z)=-\left(U \theta_{0} \phi\right)^{\prime}(z)+\left(U \theta_{0} \tau\right)(z) \tag{2.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(U \theta_{0} p\right)(c)=<U, \theta_{c} p>, \quad\left(U \theta_{0} p\right)^{\prime}(c)=<U, \theta_{c}^{2} p> \\
\theta_{c} p=\frac{p(z)-p(c)}{z-c} .
\end{gathered}
$$

The condition of being semiclassical can also be characterized in terms of a weight function.

Proposition 2.2 [4] Let $U$ be a semiclassical linear functional with integral representation

$$
U(p)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} p \omega(x) d x
$$

where $\omega$ is a continuosly differentiable function in an interval $[a, b]$ satisfying some extra boundary conditions and such that $D(\phi U)=\tau U$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\phi \omega)^{\prime}=\tau \omega \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\omega$ is said to be a semiclassical weight function.
Remark 2.3 Observe that (2.1) holds for an infinite family of pairs of polynomials $(\phi, \tau)$. In particular, if $\left(\phi_{1}, \tau_{1}\right)$ satisfies (2.1), $\left(\pi \phi_{1}, \pi \tau_{1}+\pi^{\prime} \phi_{1}\right)$ with $\pi$ any polynomial, will also satisfy (2.1).

Definition 2.1 [1] Let $(\phi, \tau)$ be the pair of polynomials with minimum degree that satisfy (2.1). Then, the class of $U$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
s=\max \{\operatorname{deg}(\phi)-2, \operatorname{deg}(\tau)-1\} . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is possible to characterize those pairs of polynomials $(\phi, \tau)$ that define the class of a semiclassical functional.

Proposition 2.4 [1] Let $C$ and $D$ be the polynomials defined in (2.3) and (2.4). Then, $(\phi, \tau)$ is the pair of polynomials of minimum degree that satisfy (2.1) if and only if $(\phi, C, D)$ are coprime.

Theorem 2.5 [1] Let $\Psi$ be a semiclassical linear functional of class s such that $D(\phi \Psi)=\tau \Psi$ and let $U$ be its symmetrized. Then, $U$ is also semiclassical of class $\tilde{s}$ and

1. $\tilde{s}=2 s$ if $\phi(0)=0,[\phi(z)=z E(z)]$ and $2 C(0)+E(0)=0$, $[2 C(z)+E(z)=z G(z)]$.
Furthermore, $D(\tilde{\phi} U)=\tilde{\tau} U$ and

$$
\begin{gather*}
\tilde{\phi}(z)=E\left(z^{2}\right)  \tag{2.7}\\
\tilde{\tau}(z)=z\left[G\left(z^{2}\right)+2 E^{\prime}\left(z^{2}\right)\right] . \tag{2.8}
\end{gather*}
$$

2. $\tilde{s}=2 s+1$ if $\phi(0)=0,[\phi(z)=z E(z)]$ and $2 C(0)+E(0) \neq 0$.

Moreover

$$
\begin{gather*}
\tilde{\phi}(z)=z E\left(z^{2}\right)  \tag{2.9}\\
\tilde{\tau}(z)=2\left[E\left(z^{2}\right)+z^{2} E^{\prime}\left(z^{2}\right)+C\left(z^{2}\right)\right] \tag{2.10}
\end{gather*}
$$

3. $\tilde{s}=2 s+3$ if $\phi(0) \neq 0$ and

$$
\begin{gather*}
\tilde{\phi}(z)=z \phi\left(z^{2}\right)  \tag{2.11}\\
\tilde{\tau}(z)=2\left[\phi\left(z^{2}\right)+z^{2} \phi^{\prime}\left(z^{2}\right)+z^{2} C\left(z^{2}\right)\right] . \tag{2.12}
\end{gather*}
$$

Proposition 2.6 Let $\boldsymbol{U}$ be a symmetric and semiclassical linear functional of class $\tilde{s}$ such that:

$$
D(\tilde{\phi} \mathbf{U})=\tilde{\tau} \mathbf{U}
$$

If $\tilde{s}=2 k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\tilde{\phi}$ is an even polynomial. If $\tilde{s}=2 k+1$, then $\tilde{\phi}$ is an odd polynomial.

## Proof

1. Suppose that $\mathbf{U}$ is the symmetrized of a linear functional $\mathbf{L}$ of class $s$. Moreover, assume that $\tilde{s}$ is even. Then, from Theorem 2.5 we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{s}=2 s, \quad \tilde{s}=2 s+1 \quad \text { or } \quad \tilde{s}=2 s+3 \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to prove that, if $\tilde{s}=2 k$, then, necessarily $s=k$. Then, $\mathbf{L}$ is of class $k$ and $D(\phi \mathbf{L})=\tau \mathbf{L}$ for certain polynomials $\phi, \tau$, and from (2.7)

$$
\tilde{\phi}(x)=E\left(x^{2}\right)
$$

i.e., $\tilde{\phi}$ is an even polynomial.
2. Suppose now that $\tilde{s}$ is odd, namely, $\tilde{s}=2 k+1$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, because of (2.13) it may happen that $s=k$ or $s=k-1$ and $\mathbf{L}$ can be of class $k$ or $k-1$.

- If $s=k$, from (2.9) it holds that

$$
\tilde{\phi}(x)=x E\left(x^{2}\right)
$$

Hence, $\tilde{\phi}$ is an odd polynomial.

- If $s=k-1$, then from (2.11)

$$
\tilde{\phi}(x)=x \phi\left(x^{2}\right)
$$

and $\tilde{\phi}$ is an odd polynomial.
Proposition 2.7 Let $\mathbf{U}$ be a symmetric, semiclassical linear functional of class $\tilde{s}$. Assume $\mathbf{U}$ is the symmetrized of the semiclassical linear functional $\mathbf{L}$ of class s. If $D(\tilde{\phi} U)=\tilde{\tau} U$, where $\tilde{\phi}$ and $\tilde{\tau}$ are polynomials, then

1. For $\tilde{s}$ even, $\tilde{\tau}$ is an odd polynomial.
2. For $\tilde{s}$ odd, $\tilde{\tau}$ is an even polynomial.

## Proof

1. If $\tilde{s}$ is even, namely, $\tilde{s}=2 k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then $s=k$ (see proposition 2.6). Moreover, for (2.8)

$$
\tilde{\tau}(x)=x\left[G\left(x^{2}\right)+2 E^{\prime}\left(x^{2}\right)\right]
$$

for certain polynomials $G(x)$ and $E(x)$. Thus $\tilde{\tau}$ is an odd polynomial.
2. If $\tilde{s}$ is odd, namely, $\tilde{s}=2 k+1$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then one of the following statements holds

- $s=k$ and $\tilde{\tau}(x)=2\left[E\left(x^{2}\right)+x^{2} E^{\prime}\left(x^{2}\right)+C\left(x^{2}\right)\right]$ for certain polynomials $E(x)$ and $C(x)$. As a consequence, $\tilde{\tau}$ is an even polynomial.
- $s=k-1$ and $\tilde{\tau}(x)=2\left[\phi\left(x^{2}\right)+x^{2} \phi^{\prime}\left(x^{2}\right)+x^{2} C\left(x^{2}\right)\right]$ for certain polynomials $\phi(x), C(x)$. Thus $\tilde{\tau}$ is an even polynomial.


## 3 Symmetric Sobolev Inner products.

Consider two positive Borel measures $\mu_{0}, \mu_{1}$ supported on the real line such that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}} x^{n} d \mu_{i}<\infty \quad i=0,1, \quad n \in \mathbb{N} .
$$

Consider an inner product in the linear space $\mathbb{P}$ of polynomials with real coefficients

$$
\begin{equation*}
<p, q>_{s}=\int_{\mathbb{R}} p q d \mu_{0}+\int_{\mathbb{R}} p^{\prime} q^{\prime} d \mu_{1} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

This product is said to be a Sobolev inner product.
Furthermore, assume that $\mu_{0}$ and $\mu_{1}$ are supported on a subset of the real line which is symmetric with respect to the origin as well as the corresponding sequences of moments

$$
c_{n}^{(i)}=\int_{\mathbb{R}} x^{n} d \mu_{i}, \quad i=0,1,
$$

satisfy $c_{2 n+1}^{(i)}=0, \quad i=0,1, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}$.
Under these conditions, if we denote $\left\{Q_{n}\right\}$ the corresponding sequence of monic polynomials orthogonal with respect to (3.1), then

$$
Q_{2 n}(x)=P_{n}\left(x^{2}\right), \quad Q_{2 n+1}(x)=x R_{n}\left(x^{2}\right)
$$

for certain sequences of monic polynomials $\left\{P_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{R_{n}\right\}$.
We are interested in the study of the orthogonality properties of the sequences $\left\{P_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{R_{n}\right\}$, respectively.

First, observe that for $n \neq m$

$$
\begin{gathered}
0=<Q_{2 n}, Q_{2 m}>_{s}=\int_{\mathbb{R}} P_{n}\left(x^{2}\right) P_{m}\left(x^{2}\right) d \mu_{0}+\int_{\mathbb{R}} 4 x^{2} P_{n}^{\prime}\left(x^{2}\right) P_{m}^{\prime}\left(x^{2}\right) d \mu_{1}= \\
=\int_{0}^{\infty} P_{n}(x) P_{m}(x) d \hat{\mu}_{0}+\int_{0}^{\infty} P_{n}^{\prime}(x) P_{m}^{\prime}(x) d \hat{\mu}_{1}
\end{gathered}
$$

where

$$
d \hat{\mu}_{0}=x^{-\frac{1}{2}} d \mu_{0}\left(x^{\frac{1}{2}}\right), \quad d \hat{\mu}_{1}=4 x^{\frac{1}{2}} d \mu_{1}\left(x^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) .
$$

On the other hand,

$$
0 \neq<Q_{2 n}, Q_{2 n}>=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{+}} P_{n}^{2}(x) d \hat{\mu}_{0}+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{+}}\left[P_{n}^{\prime}(x)\right]^{2} d \hat{\mu}_{1} .
$$

This means that $\left\{P_{n}\right\}$ is a sequence of monic polynomials orthogonal with respect to the Sobolev inner product

$$
\begin{equation*}
<p, q>_{1}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{+}} p q d \hat{\mu}_{0}+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{+}} p^{\prime} q^{\prime} d \hat{\mu}_{1} . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, if $n \neq m$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
0=<Q_{2 n+1}, Q_{2 m+1}>= \\
=\int_{\mathbb{R}} x^{2} R_{n}\left(x^{2}\right) R_{m}\left(x^{2}\right) d \mu_{0}+\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left[R_{n}\left(x^{2}\right)+2 x^{2} R_{n}^{\prime}\left(x^{2}\right)\right]\left[R_{m}\left(x^{2}\right)+2 x^{2} R_{m}^{\prime}\left(x^{2}\right)\right] d \mu_{1}= \\
=\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
R_{n}\left(x^{2}\right) & R_{n}^{\prime}\left(x^{2}\right)
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{cc}
x^{2} d \mu_{0}+d \mu_{1} & 2 x^{2} d \mu_{1} \\
2 x^{2} d \mu_{1} & 4 x^{4} d \mu_{1}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
R_{m}\left(x^{2}\right) \\
R_{m}^{\prime}\left(x^{2}\right)
\end{array}\right]= \\
=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{+}}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
R_{n}(x) & R_{n}^{\prime}(x)
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{cc}
x d \hat{\mu}_{0}+\frac{d \hat{\mu}_{1}}{4 x} & \frac{d \hat{\mu}_{1}}{2} \\
\frac{d \hat{\mu}_{1}}{2} & x d \hat{\mu}_{1}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
R_{m}(x) \\
R_{m}^{\prime}(x)
\end{array}\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

and

This means that $\left\{R_{n}\right\}$ is a sequence of monic polynomials orthogonal with respect to the non-diagonal Sobolev inner product

$$
<p, q>_{2}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{+}}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
p & p^{\prime}
\end{array}\right] d \Omega_{2}\left[\begin{array}{c}
q  \tag{3.3}\\
q^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $d \Omega_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}x d \hat{\mu}_{0}+\frac{d \hat{\mu}_{1}}{4 x} & \frac{d \hat{\mu}_{1}}{2} \\ \frac{d \hat{\mu}_{1}}{2} & x d \hat{\mu}_{1}\end{array}\right]$.
Observe that $d \Omega_{2}$ is a matrix of measures related to the diagonal matrix of measures

$$
d \Omega_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
d \hat{\mu}_{0} & 0 \\
0 & d \hat{\mu}_{1}
\end{array}\right]
$$

in the following way

$$
d \Omega_{2}=M d \Omega_{1} M^{t}
$$

with $M=\left[\begin{array}{cc}x^{\frac{1}{2}} & \frac{1}{2 x^{\frac{1}{2}}} \\ 0 & x^{\frac{1}{2}}\end{array}\right]=x^{\frac{1}{2}}\left[\begin{array}{cc}1 & \frac{1}{2 x} \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right]$
namely,

$$
d \Omega_{2}=N\left[\begin{array}{cc}
x d \hat{\mu}_{0} & 0 \\
0 & x d \hat{\mu}_{1}
\end{array}\right] N^{t}
$$

with $N=\left[\begin{array}{cc}1 & \frac{1}{2 x} \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right]$, or equivalently,

$$
d \Omega_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
x & \frac{1}{2} \\
0 & x
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{1}{x} d \hat{\mu}_{0} & 0 \\
0 & \frac{1}{x} d \hat{\mu}_{1}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{cc}
x & 0 \\
\frac{1}{2} & x
\end{array}\right]
$$

In the sequel, we will analyze the particular case when $d \mu_{0}$ and $d \mu_{1}$ are equal and absolutely continuous measures. Moreover

- We will specify the orthogonality measures related to the sequences $\left\{P_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{R_{n}\right\}$.
- We will look for explicit algebraic relations between $\left\{P_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{R_{n}\right\}$.
- We will determine a recurrence relation that such sequences satisfy.


## 4 Symmetric Sobolev inner products with equal and absolutely continuous measures

The study of Sobolev inner products with respect to a measure was considered by F.Marcellán, T.E.Pérez, M.A.Piñar, and A.Ronveaux in [5]. Moreover, they took in consideration a semiclassical, positive definite linear functional U (2.1) to define the Nth Sobolev inner product

$$
\begin{equation*}
<p, q>_{s}^{(N)}=U(p q)+\sum_{m=1}^{N} \lambda_{m} U\left(p^{(m)} q^{(m)}\right), \quad \forall p, q \in \mathbb{P} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denote by

$$
<p, q>=U(p q)
$$

the standard inner product associated with U .
Considering $\left\{P_{n}\right\}$ the monic orthogonal polynomial sequence associated with the linear functional U and denoting $\left\{Q_{n}\right\}$ the MOPS with respect to the Sobolev inner product (4.1), they proved the following result:
Proposition 4.1 For every nonnegative integer number $n \geq N s$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(x)^{N} P_{n}(x)=\sum_{i=n-t}^{n+N s} \alpha_{n, i} Q_{i}(x) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $s=\operatorname{deg}(\phi), \alpha_{n, n-t} \neq 0$ and $t=\operatorname{deg}\left(F^{(N)}\left(x^{n}\right)\right)-n .\left(\right.$ Here $F^{(N)}$ denotes a differential operator introduced in [5]).

We will consider the inner product

$$
\begin{equation*}
<p, q>_{s}=\int_{\mathbb{R}} p q \omega(x) d x+\int_{\mathbb{R}} p^{\prime} q^{\prime} \omega(x) d x \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\omega(x)$ is an even weight function supported on an interval of the real line symmetric with respect to the origin. In this case, the corresponding odd moments satisfy

$$
\mu_{2 n+1}=0, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}
$$

Furthermore, suppose that $\omega(x)$ is a semiclassical weight, i.e,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\phi \omega)^{\prime}=\tau \omega \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\phi, \tau$ are the polynomials of minimum degree that satisfy (4.4) with $\operatorname{deg}(\phi)=s^{\prime} \geq 0$ and $\operatorname{deg}(\tau)=t>0$.

Let $\left\{Q_{n}\right\}$ be the sequence of monic polynomials orthogonal with respect to the inner product (4.3). Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{2 n}(x)=P_{n}\left(x^{2}\right), \quad Q_{2 n+1}(x)=x R_{n}\left(x^{2}\right) \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for certain sequences of monic polynomials $\left\{P_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{R_{n}\right\}$.
Consider the standard inner product

$$
\begin{equation*}
<p, q>=\int_{\mathbb{R}} p q \omega(x) d x \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and let $\left\{T_{n}\right\}$ be the sequence of monic polynomials orthogonal with respect to (4.6). Then

## Proposition 4.2

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(x) T_{n}(x)=\sum_{j=n-s}^{n+s^{\prime}} \alpha_{n j} Q_{j}(x) \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\alpha_{n, n-s} \neq 0$, where $s=\max \left\{\tilde{s}, s^{\prime}\right\}$ and $\tilde{s}$ is the class of the semiclassical linear functional defined by $\omega$.

Proof Let consider the Fourier expansion of $\phi T_{n}$ in terms of $\left\{Q_{n}\right\}$

$$
\phi(x) T_{n}(x)=\sum_{j=0}^{n+s^{\prime}} \alpha_{n j} Q_{j}(x)
$$

Here $\alpha_{n j}=\frac{\left\langle\phi T_{n}, Q_{j}>s\right.}{\left\|Q_{j}\right\|_{s}^{2}}$. But

$$
<\phi T_{n}, Q_{j}>_{s}=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi T_{n} Q_{j} \omega(x) d x+\int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi^{\prime} T_{n} Q_{j}^{\prime} \omega(x) d x+\int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi T_{n}^{\prime} Q_{j}^{\prime} \omega(x) d x
$$

Applying integration by parts to the third integral we get

$$
=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi T_{n} Q_{j} \omega(x) d x+\int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi^{\prime} T_{n} Q_{j}^{\prime} \omega(x) d x-\int_{\mathbb{R}} T_{n}\left(\phi Q_{j}^{\prime} \omega\right)^{\prime} d x .
$$

Since $\omega$ is a semiclassical weight, we obtain

$$
=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi T_{n}\left(Q_{j}-Q_{j}^{\prime \prime}\right) \omega(x) d x-\int_{\mathbb{R}} T_{n} Q_{j}^{\prime}\left(\tau-\phi^{\prime}\right) \omega(x) d x
$$

The first integral will vanish if $j<n-s^{\prime}$, and the second one will vanish if $j<n-\tilde{s}$. Then $<\phi T_{n}, Q_{j}>_{s}=0$ if $j<n-\max \left\{s^{\prime}, \tilde{s}\right\}$.
Observe that $\phi(x)$ in (4.7) can be chosen in such a way that $\alpha_{n, n+s^{\prime}}=1$.

### 4.1 Orthogonality Measures for $\left\{P_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{R_{n}\right\}$

Taking into account that $\left\{Q_{n}\right\}$ is orthogonal with respect to the Sobolev inner product (4.3), for $n \neq m$

$$
\begin{gathered}
0=<Q_{2 n}, Q_{2 m}>_{s}=\int_{\mathbb{R}} P_{n}\left(x^{2}\right) P_{m}\left(x^{2}\right) \omega(x) d x+\int_{\mathbb{R}} 4 x^{2} P_{n}^{\prime}\left(x^{2}\right) P_{m}^{\prime}\left(x^{2}\right) \omega(x) d x= \\
=\int_{0}^{\infty} P_{n}(t) P_{m}(t) t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \omega\left(t^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) d t+\int_{0}^{\infty} 4 P_{n}^{\prime}(t) P_{m}^{\prime}(t) t^{\frac{1}{2}} \omega\left(t^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) d t,
\end{gathered}
$$

then $\left\{P_{n}\right\}$ is orthogonal with respect to the diagonal Sobolev inner product with matrix of measures

$$
d \Omega_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 4 t
\end{array}\right] t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \omega\left(t^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) d t
$$

On the other hand, if $n \neq m$

$$
0=<Q_{2 n+1}, Q_{2 m+1}>_{s}=\int_{\mathbb{R}} x^{2} R_{n}\left(x^{2}\right) R_{m}\left(x^{2}\right) \omega(x) d x+
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
+\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left[R_{n}\left(x^{2}\right)+2 x^{2} R_{n}^{\prime}\left(x^{2}\right)\right]\left[R_{m}\left(x^{2}\right)+2 x^{2} R_{m}^{\prime}\left(x^{2}\right)\right] \omega(x) d x= \\
=\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(x^{2}+1\right) R_{n}\left(x^{2}\right) R_{m}\left(x^{2}\right) \omega(x) d x+2 \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left[R_{n}\left(x^{2}\right) R_{m}\left(x^{2}\right)\right]^{\prime} x^{2} \omega(x) d x+ \\
+4 \int_{\mathbb{R}} R_{n}^{\prime}\left(x^{2}\right) R_{m}^{\prime}\left(x^{2}\right) x^{4} \omega(x) d x .
\end{gathered}
$$

Changing the variable $t=x^{2}$

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\int_{0}^{\infty}(t+1) R_{n}(t) R_{m}(t) t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \omega\left(t^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) d t+ & 2 \int_{0}^{\infty}[
\end{array} R_{n}(t) R_{m}(t)\right]^{\prime} t^{\frac{1}{2}} \omega\left(t^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) d t+.
$$

Then $\left\{R_{n}\right\}$ is a sequence of monic polynomials orthogonal with respect to the Sobolev inner product with matrix of measures

$$
d \Omega_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1+t & 2 t \\
2 t & 4 t^{2}
\end{array}\right] t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \omega(t) d t
$$

The support of both measures, $d \Omega_{1}, d \Omega_{2}$ is contained in $\mathbb{R}^{+}$. Denote

$$
\begin{gathered}
\pi_{1}(t)=t\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
0 & 4
\end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right] \\
\pi_{2}(t)=t^{2}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
0 & 4
\end{array}\right]+t\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 2 \\
2 & 0
\end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right] .
\end{gathered}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
d \Omega_{1} & =\pi_{1}(t) t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \omega(t) d t \\
d \Omega_{2} & =\pi_{2}(t) t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \omega(t) d t
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking into account the calculations done in (4.8) and applying integration by parts to the second integral

$$
\begin{gathered}
0=\int_{0}^{\infty} t R_{n} R_{m} t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \omega\left(t^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) d t-\int_{0}^{\infty} R_{n} R_{m} \omega^{\prime}\left(t^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) d t+ \\
\quad+4 \int_{0}^{\infty} R_{n}^{\prime}(t) R_{m}^{\prime}(t) t^{\frac{3}{2}} \omega\left(t^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) d t
\end{gathered}
$$

If $\omega$ satisfy $\omega^{\prime}=\tau \omega$, (Freud weights), then

$$
\begin{gathered}
0=\int_{0}^{\infty}\left[t-\tau\left(t^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) t^{\frac{1}{2}}\right] R_{n} R_{m} t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \omega\left(t^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) d t+ \\
\quad+4 \int_{0}^{\infty} R_{n}^{\prime} R_{m}^{\prime} t^{\frac{3}{2}} \omega\left(t^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) d t
\end{gathered}
$$

In such a case, $\left\{R_{n}\right\}$ is orthogonal with respect to a diagonal Sobolev inner product with matrix of measures

$$
d \Omega_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
t-\tau\left(t^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) t^{\frac{1}{2}} & 0 \\
0 & 4 t^{2}
\end{array}\right] t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \omega\left(t^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) d t
$$

If $\omega^{\prime}=\tau \omega$, then the semiclassical functional defined by $\omega(t)$ is of even class. Thus, from Proposition 2.7, $\tau(x)$ is an odd polynomial and so $\tau\left(t^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) t^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is a polynomial in $t$.

### 4.2 Explicit Algebraic Relations between $\left\{P_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{R_{n}\right\}$

The sequence $\left\{T_{n}\right\}$, which is orthogonal with respect to the inner product(4.6), satisfies a three-term recurrence relation

$$
\begin{gather*}
x T_{n}(x)=T_{n+1}(x)+c_{n} T_{n-1}(x), \quad n \geq 1,  \tag{4.9}\\
T_{-1}(x) \equiv 0, \quad T_{0}(x) \equiv 1, \quad c_{n}>0 .
\end{gather*}
$$

Furthermore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{2 n}(x)=S_{n}\left(x^{2}\right), \quad T_{2 n+1}(x)=x S_{n}^{*}\left(x^{2}\right) \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a certain sequence of orthogonal polynomials $\left\{S_{n}\right\}$, where $\left\{S_{n}^{*}\right\}$ is the sequence of kernel polynomials $\left\{K_{n}(x ; 0)\right\}$ associated with the sequence $\left\{S_{n}\right\}[3]$.

Taking into account (4.7) for $n=2 m$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(x) T_{2 m}(x)=Q_{2 m+s^{\prime}}(x)+\alpha_{2 m, 2 m+s^{\prime}-1} Q_{2 m+s^{\prime}-1}(x)+\ldots+\alpha_{2 m, 2 m-s} Q_{2 m-s}(x) \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Suppose that $\tilde{s}$ is even, then, $s^{\prime}$ and $s$ are even, i.e., $s=2 k$ and $s^{\prime}=2 k^{\prime}$ with $k, k^{\prime} \in \mathbb{N}$. In this case, because of Proposition $2.6, \phi$ is an even polynomial. Taking into account this result,(4.11) may be simplified

$$
\phi(x) T_{2 m}(x)=Q_{2 m+2 k^{\prime}}(x)+\alpha_{2 m, 2 m+2 k^{\prime}-2} Q_{2 m+2 k^{\prime}-2}(x)+\ldots
$$

$$
\cdots++\alpha_{2 m, 2 m-2 k} Q_{2 m-2 k}(x)
$$

From (4.5) and (4.10)we get

$$
\phi(x) S_{m}\left(x^{2}\right)=P_{m+k^{\prime}}\left(x^{2}\right)+\sum_{j=m-k}^{m+k^{\prime}-1} \alpha_{2 m, 2 j} P_{j}\left(x^{2}\right) .
$$

Since $\phi$ is an even polynomial, $\phi(x)=\tilde{\phi}\left(x^{2}\right)$ for a certain polynomial $\tilde{\phi}$ and then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\phi}(x) S_{m}(x)=P_{m+k^{\prime}}(x)+\sum_{j=m-k}^{m+k^{\prime}-1} \alpha_{2 m, 2 j} P_{j}(x) . \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $n=2 m+1$ (4.7) becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi(x) T_{2 m+1}(x)= & Q_{2 m+2 k^{\prime}+1}(x)+\alpha_{2 m+1,2 m+2 k^{\prime}-1} Q_{2 m+2 k^{\prime}-1}(x)+\ldots \\
& \cdots+\alpha_{2 m+1,2 m+1-2 k} Q_{2 m-2 k+1}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

Because of (4.5) and (4.10)

$$
\phi(x) x S_{m}^{*}\left(x^{2}\right)=x R_{m+k^{\prime}}\left(x^{2}\right)+\sum_{j=m-k}^{m+k^{\prime}-1} \alpha_{2 m+1,2 j+1} x R_{j}\left(x^{2}\right) .
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\phi}(x) S_{m}^{*}(x)=R_{m+k^{\prime}}(x)+\sum_{j=m-k}^{m+k^{\prime}-1} \alpha_{2 m+1,2 j+1} R_{j}(x) . \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking into account the recurrence relation (4.9) for $n=2 m$, it holds

$$
x T_{2 m}(x)=T_{2 m+1}(x)+c_{2 m} T_{2 m-1}(x), \quad m \geq 1
$$

Because of (4.10)

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{m}(x)=S_{m}^{*}(x)+c_{2 m} S_{m-1}^{*}(x) \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying both hand sides of (4.14) by $\tilde{\phi}$ and applying (4.12) and (4.13),

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{m+k^{\prime}}(x)+\sum_{j=m-k}^{m+k^{\prime}-1} \alpha_{2 m, 2 j} P_{j}(x)=R_{m+k^{\prime}}(x)+\left[\alpha_{2 m+1,2 m+2 k^{\prime}-1}+c_{2 m}\right] R_{m+k^{\prime}-1}(x)+ \\
& +\sum_{j=m-k}^{m+k^{\prime}-2}\left[\alpha_{2 m+1,2 j+1}+c_{2 m} \alpha_{2 m-1,2 j+1}\right] R_{j}(x)+\ldots \\
& \cdots+c_{2 m} \alpha_{2 m-1,2 m-2 k-1} R_{m-k-1}(x) \tag{4.15}
\end{align*}
$$

- Assume now that $\tilde{s}$ is odd. Let $k, k^{\prime} \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $s=2 k+1$ and $s^{\prime}=2 k^{\prime}+1$. Furthermore, $\phi$ is an odd polynomial from Proposition 2.7 and, as a consequence, (4.11) may be simplified to get

$$
\begin{gathered}
\phi(x) T_{2 m}(x)=Q_{2 m+2 k^{\prime}+1}(x)+\alpha_{2 m, 2 m+2 k^{\prime}-1} Q_{2 m+2 k^{\prime}-1}(x)+\ldots \\
\cdots+\alpha_{2 m, 2 m-2 k-1} Q_{2 m-2 k-1}(x)
\end{gathered}
$$

Taking into account (4.5) and (4.10)

$$
\phi(x) S_{m}\left(x^{2}\right)=x R_{m+k^{\prime}}\left(x^{2}\right)+\sum_{j=m-k-1}^{m+k^{\prime}-1} \alpha_{2 m, 2 j+1} x R_{j}\left(x^{2}\right) .
$$

Since $\phi$ is an odd polynomial, $\phi(x)=x \hat{\phi}\left(x^{2}\right)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\phi}(x) S_{m}(x)=R_{m+k^{\prime}}(x)+\sum_{j=m-k-1}^{m+k^{\prime}-1} \alpha_{2 m, 2 j+1} R_{j}(x) . \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Writing (4.7) for $n=2 m+1$,
$\phi(x) T_{2 m+1}(x)=Q_{2 m+2 k^{\prime}+2}(x)+\alpha_{2 m+1,2 m+2 k^{\prime}} Q_{2 m+2 k^{\prime}}(x)+\cdots+\alpha_{2 m, 2 m-2 k} Q_{2 m-2 k}(x)$.
Because of (4.5) and (4.10)

$$
\phi(x) x S_{n}^{*}\left(x^{2}\right)=P_{m+k^{\prime}+1}\left(x^{2}\right)+\sum_{j=m-k}^{m+k^{\prime}} \alpha_{2 m+1,2 j} P_{j}\left(x^{2}\right) .
$$

Then we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
x \hat{\phi}(x) S_{n}^{*}(x)=P_{m+k^{\prime}+1}(x)+\sum_{j=m-k}^{m+k^{\prime}} \alpha_{2 m+1,2 j} P_{j}(x) . \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, from

$$
x T_{2 n+1}(x)=T_{2 n+2}(x)+c_{2 n+1} T_{2 n}(x), n \geq 0
$$

we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
x S_{n}^{*}(x)=S_{n+1}(x)+c_{2 n+1} S_{n}(x) . \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying both sides by $\hat{\phi}$ and applying (4.16) and (4.17),

$$
\begin{gather*}
P_{m+k^{\prime}+1}(x)+\sum_{j=m-k}^{m+k^{\prime}} \alpha_{2 m+1,2 j} P_{j}(x)=R_{m+k^{\prime}+1}(x)+\left[\alpha_{2 m+2,2 m+2 k^{\prime}+1}+\right. \\
\left.c_{2 m+1}\right] R_{m+k^{\prime}}(x)++\sum_{j=m-k}^{m+k^{\prime}-1}\left[\alpha_{2 m+2,2 j+1}+c_{2 m+1} \alpha_{2 m, 2 j+1}\right] R_{j}(x)+\ldots \\
\cdots+\alpha_{2 m, 2 m-2 k-1} c_{2 m+1} R_{m-k-1}(x) . \tag{4.19}
\end{gather*}
$$

### 4.3 Recurrence Relations

If the linear functional associated with the weight function $\omega(x)$ is of class $\tilde{s}$ and $\tilde{s}$ is even, (4.15) holds as well as (4.18). Multiplying both hand sides of (4.18) by $\tilde{\phi}$ and considering (4.13) and (4.14) we get

$$
\begin{gather*}
x\left[R_{m+k^{\prime}}(x)+\sum_{i=m-k}^{m+k^{\prime}-1} \alpha_{2 m+1,2 i+1} R_{i}(x)\right]=\left[P_{m+k^{\prime}+1}(x)+\sum_{i=m-k+1}^{m+k^{\prime}} \alpha_{2 m+2,2 i} P_{i}(x)\right]+ \\
\cdots+c_{2 m+1}\left[P_{m+k^{\prime}}(x)+\sum_{i=m-k}^{m+k^{\prime}-1} \alpha_{2 m, 2 i} P_{i}(x)\right] . \tag{4.20}
\end{gather*}
$$

Substituting (4.15) in (4.20) in a convenient way

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x\left[R_{m+k^{\prime}}(x)+\sum_{i=m-k}^{m+k^{\prime}-1} \alpha_{2 m+1,2 i+1} R_{i}(x)\right]=R_{m+k^{\prime}+1}(x)+\sum_{i=m-k+1}^{m+k^{\prime}} \alpha_{2 m+3,2 i+1} R_{i}(x)+ \\
& +c_{2 m+2}\left[R_{m+k^{\prime}}(x)+\sum_{i=m-k}^{m+k^{\prime}-1} \alpha_{2 m+1,2 i+1} R_{i}(x)\right]+c_{2 m+1}\left[R_{m+k^{\prime}}(x)+\sum_{i=m-k}^{m+k^{\prime}-1} \alpha_{2 m+1,2 i+1} R_{i}(x)+\right. \\
& \left.\quad+c_{2 m}\left(R_{m+k^{\prime}-1}(x)+\sum_{i=m-k-1}^{m+k^{\prime}-2} \alpha_{2 m-1,2 i+1} R_{i}(x)\right)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we get the following $\left(k+k^{\prime}+2\right)$-term recurrence relation for $\left\{R_{n}\right\}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& R_{m+k^{\prime}+1}(x)=\left(x-\alpha_{2 m+3,2 m+2 k^{\prime}+1}-c_{2 m+2}-c_{2 m+1}\right) R_{m+k^{\prime}}(x)+ \\
& +\left[x \alpha_{2 m+1,2 m+2 k^{\prime}-1}-\alpha_{2 m+3,2 m+2 k^{\prime}-1}-\left(c_{2 m+2}+c_{2 m+1}\right) \alpha_{2 m+1,2 m+k^{\prime}-1}-\right. \\
& \left.-c_{2 m+1} c_{2 m}\right] R_{m+k^{\prime}-1}(x)++\sum_{m-k+1}^{m+k^{\prime}-2}\left[x \alpha_{2 m+1,2 i+1}-\alpha_{2 m+3,2 i+1}-\right. \\
& \left.-\left(c_{2 m+2}+c_{2 m+1}\right) \alpha_{2 m+1,2 i+1}-c_{2 m+1} c_{2 m} \alpha_{2 m-1,2 i+1}\right] R_{i}(x)+\left[x \alpha_{2 m+1,2 m-2 k+1}-\right. \\
& \left.\quad-\left(c_{2 m+2}+c_{2 m+1}\right) \alpha_{2 m+1,2 m-2 k+1}-c_{2 m+1} c_{2 m} \alpha_{2 m-1,2 m-2 k-1}\right] R_{m-k}(x)+ \\
& \quad+c_{2 m} c_{2 m+1} \alpha_{2 m-1,2 m-2 k-1} R_{m-k-1}(x) . \tag{4.21}
\end{align*}
$$

Multiplying both sides of (4.15) by $x$ and replacing (4.20) in (4.15)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x\left[P_{m+k^{\prime}}(x)+\sum_{i=m-k}^{m+k^{\prime}-1} \alpha_{2 m, 2 i} P_{i}(x)\right]=P_{m+k^{\prime}+1}(x)+\sum_{i=m-k+1}^{m+k^{\prime}} \alpha_{2 m+2,2 i} P_{i}(x)+ \\
& +c_{2 m+1}\left[P_{m+k^{\prime}}(x)+\sum_{i=m-k}^{m+k^{\prime}-1} \alpha_{2 m, 2 i} P_{i}(x)\right]+c_{2 m}\left[P_{m+k^{\prime}}(x)+\sum_{i=m-k}^{m+k^{\prime}-1} \alpha_{2 m, 2 i} P_{i}(x)+\right. \\
& \left.+c_{2 m-1}\left(P_{m+k^{\prime}-1}(x)+\sum_{i=m-k-1}^{m+k^{\prime}-2} \alpha_{2 m-2,2 i} P_{i}(x)\right)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus a $\left(k+k^{\prime}+2\right)$-term recurrence relation for $\left\{P_{n}\right\}$ follows.

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{m+k^{\prime}+1}(x)=\left[x-\alpha_{2 m, 2 m+2 k^{\prime}}-c_{2 m+1}-c_{2 m}\right] P_{m+k^{\prime}}(x)+ \\
& +\left[x \alpha_{2 m, 2 m+2 k^{\prime}-2}-\alpha_{2 m+2,2 m+2 k^{\prime}-2}-\left(c_{2 m-1}+c_{2 m}\right) \alpha_{2 m, 2 m+2 k^{\prime}-2}-c_{2 m} c_{2 m-1}\right] P_{m+k^{\prime}-1}(x)+ \\
& +\sum_{i=m-k+1}^{m-k^{\prime}-2}\left[x \alpha_{2 m, 2 i}-\alpha_{2 m+2,2 i}-\left(c_{2 m+1}+c_{2 m}\right) \alpha_{2 m, 2 i}-c_{2 m} c_{2 m-1} \alpha_{2 m-2,2 i}\right] P_{i}(x)+ \\
& +\left[x \alpha_{2 m, 2 m-2 k}-c_{2 m+1} \alpha_{2 m, 2 m-2 k}-c_{2 m} \alpha_{2 m, 2 m-2 k}-c_{2 m} c_{2 m-1} \alpha_{2 m-2,2 m-2 k}\right] P_{m-k}(x)+ \\
& c_{2 m} c_{2 m-1} \alpha_{2 m-2,2 m-2 k-2} P_{m-k-1}(x) . \tag{4.22}
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, it has also been proved that if $\tilde{s}$ is odd and $s=2 k+1$, $s^{\prime}=2 k^{\prime}+1$, then (4.19) holds. Let also remember (4.14). Multiplying both hand sides by $\hat{\phi}$ and substituting (4.16) and (4.17) there, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
x\left[R_{m+k^{\prime}}(x)+\right. & \left.\sum_{j=m-k-1}^{m+k^{\prime}-1} \alpha_{2 m, 2 j+1} R_{j}(x)\right]=P_{m+k^{\prime}+1}(x)+\sum_{j=m-k}^{m+k^{\prime}} \alpha_{2 m+1,2 j} P_{j}(x)+ \\
& +c_{2 m}\left[P_{m+k^{\prime}}(x)+\sum_{j=m-k-1}^{m+k^{\prime}-1} \alpha_{2 m-1,2 j} P_{j}(x)\right] \tag{4.23}
\end{align*}
$$

Replacing (4.19) in (4.23)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad x\left[R_{m+k^{\prime}}(x)+\sum_{j=m-k+1}^{m+k^{\prime}-1} \alpha_{2 m, 2 j+1} R_{j}(x)\right]=\left[R_{m+k^{\prime}+1}(x)+\sum_{j=m-k}^{m+k^{\prime}} \alpha_{2 m+2,2 j+1} R_{j}(x)\right]+ \\
& +c_{2 m+1}\left[R_{m+k^{\prime}}(x)+\sum_{j=m-k-k^{\prime}-1}^{m-1} \alpha_{2 m, 2 j+1} R_{j}(x)\right]+c_{2 m}\left[R_{m+k^{\prime}}(x)+\sum_{j=m-k-1}^{m+k^{\prime}-1} \alpha_{2 m, 2 j+1} R_{j}(x)\right. \\
& \left.+c_{2 m-1}\left(R_{m+k^{\prime}-1}(x)+\sum_{j=m-k-2}^{m-k^{\prime}-2} \alpha_{2 m-2,2 j+1} R_{j}(x)\right)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally

$$
\begin{gathered}
R_{m+k^{\prime}+1}(x)=\left[x-\alpha_{2 m+2,2 m+2 k^{\prime}+1}-c_{2 m+1}-c_{2 m}\right] R_{m+k^{\prime}}(x)+\left[x \alpha_{2 m, 2 m+2 k^{\prime}-1}-\right. \\
\left.-\alpha_{2 m+2,2 m+2 k^{\prime}-1}-\left(c_{2 m+1}+c_{2 m}\right) \alpha_{2 m, 2 m+2 k^{\prime}-1}-c_{2 m} c_{2 m-1}\right] R_{m+k^{\prime}-1}(x)+ \\
+\sum_{j=m-k}^{m+k^{\prime}-2}\left[x \alpha_{2 m, 2 j+1}-\alpha_{2 m+2,2 j+1}-\left(c_{2 m+1}+c_{2 m}\right) \alpha_{2 m, 2 j+1}-\right. \\
\left.\quad-c_{2 m} c_{2 m-1} \alpha_{2 m-2,2 j+1}\right] R_{j}(x)+\left[x \alpha_{2 m, 2 m-2 k-1}-\left(c_{2 m+1}+c_{2 m}\right) \alpha_{2 m 2 m-2 k-1}-\right. \\
\left.-c_{2 m} c_{2 m-1} \alpha_{2 m-2,2 m-2 k-1}\right] R_{m-k-1}(x)-c_{2 m} c_{2 m-1} \alpha_{2 m-2,2 m-2 k-3} R_{m-k-2}(x)(4.24)
\end{gathered}
$$

Multiplying both hand sides of (4.19) by $x$ and substituting (4.23) in the resulting expression, a $\left(k+k^{\prime}+3\right)$-term recurrence relation for $\left\{P_{n}\right\}$ is obtained.

$$
\begin{gather*}
P_{m+k^{\prime}+2}(x)=\left[x-\alpha_{2 m+1,2 m+2 k^{\prime}}-c_{2 m}-c_{2 m-1}\right] P_{m+k^{\prime}}(x)+\left[x \alpha_{2 m-1,2 m+2 k^{\prime}-2}\right. \\
\left.\quad-\alpha_{2 m+1,2 m+2 k^{\prime}-2}-\left(c_{2 m}+c_{2 m-1}\right) \alpha_{2 m-1,2 m+2 k^{\prime}-2}-c_{2 m-1} c_{2 m-2}\right] P_{m+k^{\prime}-1}(x)+ \\
+\sum_{j=m-k}^{m+k^{\prime}-2}\left[x \alpha_{2 m-1,2 j}-\alpha_{2 m+1,2 j}-\left(c_{2 m}+c_{2 m+1}\right) \alpha_{2 m-1,2 j}-\right. \\
\left.\quad-c_{2 m-1} c_{2 m-2} \alpha_{2 m-3,2 j}\right] P_{i}(x)+\left[x \alpha_{2 m-1,2 m-2 k-2}-\left(c_{2 m}+c_{2 m-1}\right) \alpha_{2 m-1,2 m-2 k-2}-\right. \\
\left.-c_{2 m-1} c_{2 m-2} \alpha_{2 m-3,2 m-2 k-2}\right] P_{m-k-1}(x)--c_{2 m-1} c_{2 m-2} \alpha_{2 m-3,2 m-2 k-4} P_{m-k-2}(x) . \tag{4.25}
\end{gather*}
$$

### 4.3.1 Recurrence Relation for $\left\{Q_{n}\right\}$

Let start from (4.7). Multiplying both hand sides of (4.7) by $x$ and using the three-term recurrence relation for $\left\{T_{n}\right\}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(x)\left(T_{n+1}(x)+c_{n} T_{n-1}(x)\right)=\sum_{j=n-s}^{n+s^{\prime}} x \alpha_{n j} Q_{j}(x), \tag{4.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

thus, the substitution of (4.7) in (4.26) yields a recurrence relation for $\left\{Q_{n}\right\}$.

$$
\begin{align*}
& Q_{n+s^{\prime}+1}(x)=\left[x-\alpha_{n+1, n+s^{\prime}}\right] Q_{n+s^{\prime}}(x)+ \\
& +\sum_{j=n-s+1}^{n+s^{\prime}-1}\left[x \alpha_{n j}-\alpha_{n+1, j}-c_{n} \alpha_{n-1, j}\right] Q_{j}(x)+ \\
& \quad+\left[x \alpha_{n, n-s}-c_{n} \alpha_{n-1, n-s}\right] Q_{n-s}(x)-c_{n} \alpha_{n-1, n-s-1} Q_{n-s-1}(x) . \tag{4.27}
\end{align*}
$$

### 4.4 Application: Freud-Sobolev Polynomials

A particular example of the Sobolev inner product given in (4.3) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
<p, q>_{s}=\int_{\mathbb{R}} p q e^{-x^{4}} d x+\int_{\mathbb{R}} p^{\prime} q^{\prime} e^{-x^{4}} d x \tag{4.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

This kind of inner product has been introduced in [2]. Let $\left\{Q_{n}\right\}$ be the sequence of monic polynomials orthogonal with respect to (4.28). These polynomials are called Freud-Sobolev Polynomials.

Obviously (4.28) is a symmetric inner product, hence $\left\{Q_{n}\right\}$ satisfy (4.5).

### 4.4.1 Orthogonality Measures associated with $\left\{P_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{R_{n}\right\}$ in the Freud-Sobolev Case.

Taking into account that $\left\{Q_{n}\right\}$ is orthogonal with respect to the inner product (4.28), for $n \neq m$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
0=<Q_{2 n}, Q_{2 m}>_{s}= \\
=\int_{0}^{\infty} P_{n} P_{m} t^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-t^{2}} d t+\int_{0}^{\infty} P_{n}^{\prime} P_{m}^{\prime} 4 t^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-t^{2}} d t .
\end{gathered}
$$

Thereupon, $\left\{P_{n}\right\}$ is orthogonal with respect to the diagonal Sobolev inner product given by the matrix of measures

$$
d \Omega_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0  \tag{4.29}\\
0 & 4 t
\end{array}\right] t^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-t^{2}} d t .
$$

On the other hand, for $n \neq m$

$$
0=<Q_{2 m+1}, Q_{2 n+1}>_{s}=
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\int_{0}^{\infty} R_{n} R_{m}\left(t+4 t^{2}\right) t^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-t^{2}} d t+4 \int_{0}^{\infty} R_{n}^{\prime} R_{m}^{\prime} t^{\frac{3}{2}} e^{-t^{2}} d t \tag{4.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, $\left\{R_{n}\right\}$ is a sequence of monic polynomials orthogonal with respect to the diagonal Sobolev inner product given by the matrix of measures

$$
d \Omega_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1+4 t & 0  \tag{4.31}\\
0 & 4 t
\end{array}\right] t^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-t^{2}} d t
$$

Moreover, the support of the measures $d \Omega_{1}$ and $d \Omega_{2}$ is $\mathbb{R}^{+}$. Denote

$$
\begin{gathered}
\pi_{1}(t)=t\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
0 & 4
\end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right], \\
\pi_{2}(t)=t^{2}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
4 & 0 \\
0 & 4
\end{array}\right]+t\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right] .
\end{gathered}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d \Omega_{1}=\pi_{1}(t) t^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-t^{2}} d t, \\
& d \Omega_{2}=\pi_{2}(t) t^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-t^{2}} d t .
\end{aligned}
$$

Next we give an explicit relation between the sequences $\left\{P_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{R_{n}\right\}$. Consider the standard inner product

$$
\begin{equation*}
<p, q>=\int_{\mathbb{R}} p q e^{-x^{4}} d x \tag{4.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

and let $\left\{T_{n}\right\}$ be the sequence of monic polynomials orthogonal with respect to (4.32), namely, the sequence of the so-called Freud Polynomials. Then, it can be proved (see [2]) that

$$
\begin{array}{r}
T_{n}(x)=Q_{n}(x)+a_{n} Q_{n-2}(x), \quad n \geq 3,  \tag{4.33}\\
T_{0}(x)=Q_{0}(x), \quad T_{1}(x)=Q_{1}(x), \quad T_{2}(x)=Q_{2}(x),
\end{array}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
a_{n}=4 n \frac{\left\|T_{n+2}\right\|^{2}}{\left\|Q_{n}\right\|_{s}^{2}}, \quad n \geq 1, \\
a_{0}=a_{-1}=a_{-2}=0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Furthermore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{2 n}(x)=S_{n}\left(x^{2}\right), \quad T_{2 n+1}(x)=x S_{n}^{*}\left(x^{2}\right) \tag{4.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a certain sequence of orthogonal polynomials $\left\{S_{n}\right\}$ where $S_{n}^{*}$ denotes the $n t h$ kernel polynomial $K_{n}(x ; 0)$ normalized to be monic, associated with the sequence $\left\{S_{n}\right\}$.

Overwriting (4.33) for $n=2 m$ and for $n=2 m+1$, respectively,

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{m}(x)=P_{m}(x)+a_{2 m} P_{m-1}(x), \quad m \geq 2 \tag{4.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $S_{0}(x)=P_{0}(x), S_{1}(x)=P_{1}(x)$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{m}^{*}(x)=R_{m}(x)+a_{2 m+1} R_{m-1}(x), \quad m \geq 1 \tag{4.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $S_{0}^{*}(x)=R_{0}(x)$.
On the other hand, the sequence $\left\{T_{n}\right\}$ satisfies the three-term recurrence relation

$$
\begin{array}{r}
x T_{n}(x)=T_{n+1}(x)+c_{n} T_{n-1}(x), \quad n \geq 1,  \tag{4.37}\\
T_{0}(x)=1, \quad T_{1}(x)=x
\end{array}
$$

where

$$
n=4 c_{n}\left(c_{n+1}+c_{n}+c_{n-1}\right), \quad n \geq 1
$$

with initial conditions $c_{0}=0, c_{1}=\frac{\Gamma(3 / 4)}{\Gamma(1 / 4)}$.
For $n=2 m+1$, the expression (4.37) yields

$$
x S_{m}^{*}(x)=S_{m+1}(x)+c_{2 m+1} S_{m}(x)
$$

Taking into account (4.35) and (4.36), for $m \geq 2$ we get
$x\left[R_{m}(x)+a_{2 m+1} R_{m-1}(x)\right]=P_{m+1}(x)+\left(a_{2 m+2}+c_{2 m+1}\right) P_{m}(x)+a_{2 m} c_{2 m+1} P_{m-1}(x)$,
Repeating the above procedure for $n=2 m, m \geq 2$

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{m}(x)+a_{2 m} P_{m-1}(x)=R_{m}(x)+\left(a_{2 m+1}+c_{2 m}\right) R_{m-1}(x)+c_{2 m} a_{2 m-1} R_{m-2}(x), \tag{4.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 4.4.2 Recurrence Relations in Freud-Sobolev Case

Consider again (4.38) and (4.39). Substituting (4.39) in (4.38), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& x R_{m}(x)=R_{m+1}(x)+\left[a_{2 m+3}+c_{2 m+1}+c_{2 m+2}\right] R_{m}(x)+\left[c_{2 m} c_{2 m+1}\right. \\
& \left.\quad+a_{2 m+1}\left(c_{2 m+1}+c_{2 m+2}-x\right)\right] R_{m-1}(x)+a_{2 m-1} c_{2 m} c_{2 m+1} R_{m-2}(x) \tag{4.40}
\end{align*}
$$

for $m \geq 1$ with fixed initial conditions.
Multiplying (4.39) by $x$
$x\left[P_{m}(x)+a_{2 m} P_{m-1}(x)\right]=x\left[R_{m}(x)+a_{2 m+1} R_{m-1}(x)\right]+x c_{2 m}\left[R_{m-1}(x)+a_{2 m-1} R_{m-2}(x)\right]$.
Because of (4.38),

$$
\begin{gathered}
x P_{m}(x)=P_{m+1}(x)+\left(a_{2 m+2}+c_{2 m}+c_{2 m+1}\right) P_{m}(x)+\left[c_{2 m-1} c_{2 m}+a_{2 m}\left(c_{2 m}+\right.\right. \\
\left.\left.c_{2 m+1}-x\right)\right] P_{m-1}(x)+c_{2 m-1} c_{2 m} a_{2 m-2} P_{m-2}(x) .
\end{gathered}
$$

As a conclusion, the sequences $\left\{P_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{R_{n}\right\}$ satisfy the following recurrence relations

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{m+1}(x)=\left[x-\left(a_{2 m+2}+c_{2 m}+c_{2 m+1}\right)\right] P_{m}(x)+\left[a_{2 m}\left(x-c_{2 m}-c_{2 m+1}\right)-\right. \\
&\left.-c_{2 m-1} c_{2 m}\right] P_{m-1}(x)-c_{2 m-1} c_{2 m} a_{2 m-1} P_{m-2}(x),  \tag{4.41}\\
& R_{m+1}(x)=\left[x-\left(a_{2 m+3}+c_{2 m+1}+c_{2 m+2}\right)\right] R_{m}(x)+\left[a _ { 2 m + 1 } \left(x-c_{2 m+1}-\right.\right. \\
&\left.\left.\quad-c_{2 m+2}\right)-c_{2 m} c_{2 m+1}\right] R_{m-1}(x)-c_{2 m} c_{2 m+1} a_{2 m-2} R_{m-2}(x) . \tag{4.42}
\end{align*}
$$

We can summarize our main results

- We have identified $\left\{P_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{R_{n}\right\}$ as sequences of polynomials orthogonal with respect to a diagonal Sobolev inner product.
- We have proved two algebraic relations between $\left\{P_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{R_{n}\right\}$.
- We have proved that $\left\{P_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{R_{n}\right\}$ satisfy four-term recurrence relations.

Finally , observe that multiplying (4.33) by $x$ and applying the recurrence relation (4.37)

$$
T_{n+1}(x)+c_{n} T_{n-1}(x)=x Q_{n}(x)+a_{n} x Q_{n-2}(x) .
$$

Replacing (4.33) in the previous equation
$Q_{n+1}(x)+a_{n+1} Q_{n-1}(x)+c_{n}\left[Q_{n-1}(x)+a_{n-1} Q_{n-3}(x)\right]=x Q_{n}(x)+a_{n} x Q_{n-2}(x)$.

Then, $\left\{Q_{n}\right\}$ satisfy the following five-term recurrence relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{n+1}(x)=x Q_{n}(x)-\left(a_{n+1}+c_{n}\right) Q_{n-1}(x)+x a_{n} Q_{n-2}(x)-c_{n} a_{n-1} Q_{n-3}(x) \tag{4.43}
\end{equation*}
$$
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