



UNIVERSIDAD CARLOS III DE MADRID

working  
papers

Working Paper 04-29  
Business Economics Series 10  
July 2004

Departamento de Economía de la Empresa  
Universidad Carlos III de Madrid  
Calle Madrid, 126  
28903 Getafe (Spain)  
Fax (34-91) 6249608

## Export Learning Process in Local Supplier Networks

José M<sup>a</sup>. Cubillo<sup>1</sup>  
Julio Cerviño<sup>2</sup>

### Abstract

---

The objective of this study is to analyze the influence of a multinational corporation on the productive network of the host territory and the proliferation of entrepreneurs. In particular, an attempt has been made to analyze the influence on the exporting activities of local SMEs, both suppliers and non-suppliers. The study has shown that strategic integrated suppliers show greater exporting tendencies than those which are not considered to be strategic suppliers for the MNC. Similarly, those companies whose founder and/or part of the executive team have worked previously in the MNC show greater levels of export activity, compared to those companies founded by local entrepreneurs.

---

**Keywords:** International entrepreneurship, Internationalization, SME, Multinational Corporations, Export, Networks.

<sup>1</sup> Dept. of Business Engineering - EUITT. Polytechnics University of Madrid. Ctra. de Valencia, km. 7 28031 Madrid – SPAIN. Tel.: (+34).629.47.77.61. E-mail: josemaria.cubillo@upm.es / josemariacubillo@wanadoo.es.

<sup>2</sup> Dept. of Business Economics. Universidad Carlos III de Madrid. C/ Madrid, 126, E – 28903 Getafe (Madrid) – SPAIN. Tel.: (+34).91.624.96.41 E-mail: jcervino@emp.uc3m.es.

## **Introduction**

The successful internationalization process of a company is achieved when a significant combination of decisive and specific resources are present (Kogut and Zander, 1993; Hitt et al., 1997). This makes internationalization possibly one of the most complex strategies a company will have to face (Aitken, Hanson and Harrison, 1997; Fernández and Nieto, 2002).

The availability of information on foreign markets and the ways to enter them make up one of the fundamental resources which can influence both the decision to initiate the exporting process as well as its subsequent success (Aitken, Hanson and Harrison, 1997; Eriksson et al., 1997).

Companies which enter international markets show a superior initial performance when compared with non-exporting companies (Álvarez and López, 2003). In this respect, small companies show little propensity for exporting and do not always have the skills and resources necessary to enter into successful exportation. Nevertheless, the Multinational Corporations (MNC) are in a far better position in this respect. The network of their subsidiaries as well as the knowledge gained through experience in foreign markets provides them with a strategic asset.

Some authors point out that an MNC can affect the exporting activities of the host territory (Barry and Bradley, 1997). One of the sources which generate positive externalities is the relationship between companies (Fujita et al., 1999). Thus, the productive or spatial interaction between an MNC and suppliers could facilitate the transmission of part of this strategic knowledge of foreign markets to its auxiliary companies.

The objective of this paper is to advance on the knowledge of the existence of positive externalities arising from the factories belonging to an MNC. In particular, it aims to provide an in-depth analysis of the mechanisms for the diffusion of information and knowledge related to the opening of new markets, among the local suppliers of an MNC.

This paper analyzes the existing relationship between the propensity for exporting of the local SMEs and the nature of the links which these companies maintain with the MNC. As well as aiming to prove whether local companies can learn to export from the MNCs, the study also aims to further understanding of the determining factors which intervene in said learning process.

Among the results obtained, it is shown that those companies which maintain close, direct links with the MNC and, likewise, play a strategic part in the production process, show a greater propensity for exportation. Another determining factor comes into play when the firm owner has worked in the past in the MNC factory. In both cases, the propensity for exportation is greater than that of local SMEs whose internationalization strategy is that of adopting an imitation of the foreign company.

The paper is divided into 4 sections. Section 1 includes the conceptual framework and the presentation of the hypotheses being studied. Section 2 shows the methodology and data which were utilized. Sections 3 and 4 present the results of the research and main conclusions.

## **Theoretical foundations**

A study of the available literature shows a scarcity of work on export spillovers (Sousa et al., 2000). In fact, some authors point out that the identification of these spillovers is due more to mere chance than to the existence of studies which have rigorously analyzed the role of the FDI as the driving force behind local exporting (Aitken et al., 1997).

Studies carried out up until now have found a positive relationship between a company's decision to export and the exporting activities of nearby companies (Lin, 2000). Similarly, a significant relationship has been detected between the concentration of exporting activity of foreign companies and the volume of exporting of local companies (Bedi and Cieslik, 2000). Likewise, there seems to be a proven correlation between the spatial concentration of companies and the probability that they are involved in exporting (Becchetti and Rossi, 2000).

The work of Aitken et al. (1997) demonstrates the existing correlation between the spatial proximity of the foreign plant and the increase in local companies' propensity to export. They also point out the reduction of market access costs as one of the principal externalities being generated. Sousa et al. (2000), in their study found, in the case of the United Kingdom, the existence of positive externalities of the multinational corporations both in the local companies' decision to export, as well as in their propensity to export.

Often, part of the necessary knowledge for the internationalization of companies comes from their links to customers and suppliers. The availability of accurate information on foreign markets lessens the perceived risk on the part of the suppliers (Henderson et al., 1995) and they decide to initiate the exporting process.

Lautanen (2000) finds that one of the principal stimuli for small business owners when it comes to exporting is the transmission of information gained through relationships with other business people. Thus, the proximity in the local community, the ease of communication and the relationships between foreign companies and local SMEs suppliers facilitate the transmission of information from one to the other (Henderson et al., 1995).

An MNC can therefore act as a “flagship” (Rugman and D’Cruz, 1997 and 2000), by becoming the leader in the exporting process of a local productive system. In this case, the spillovers will be high if, among other reasons, the MNC uses local suppliers for intermediate products and if the distance between the foreign plant and the rest of the local companies is not great (Soreide, 2001).

According to Sousa et al. (2000), an MNC can influence the exporting activity of local companies in three ways: generation of externalities of information related to exportation; by demonstration effects; and, lastly due to an increase in competition in the local market. Given the objectives of this paper, we will focus our attention on the first two.

The information on exporting can be transferred to local suppliers through the communication channels which are opened when a strategic relationship of cooperation between an MNC and a supplier is established.

The MNC may be interested in transferring this knowledge to its local suppliers (Smarzynska, 2002) since the absence of spillovers would cause significant disparities in productivity between foreign and local companies (Kathuria, 2000). For that reason, occasionally, it is the foreign company itself which motivates and provides incentives to

local business owners to adopt internationalization strategies both in production (diversification of clients) and in supply (diversification of suppliers), thus generating an improvement in the competitiveness of the value system.

Local companies can apply the knowledge acquired in this way to products, processes and foreign market conditions in order to carry out their own exportations (Aitken et al., 1997; Blomström and Kokko, 1998). Another way that local companies can learn about foreign markets is by observing the exporting activities of other companies who have successfully carried out the process of internationalization.

In this way, the exporting activities of the MNC can generate externalities which improve the exporting prospects for local companies (Rhee and Belot, 1990; Bedi and Cieslik, 2000; Lin, 2000; Bernard and Bradford, 2001), since the imitation strategy would use the foreign company as a point of reference. Thus, local companies would adopt the more advanced practices being used by the foreign company (Kathuria, 2000). The benefits obtained through this imitation would in themselves constitute a market access spillover (Blomström and Kokko, 1998).

With the above in mind, the set of hypotheses related to the influence that an MNC exerts on the exporting propensity of local suppliers as well as other local companies, can be established.

H1: The exporting tendency of the MNC suppliers will be greater than that of non-supplier companies.

This means that companies which work directly for the MNC will have access to greater amounts of information than those companies in the territory which opt for an imitation

strategy. Additionally, the information made available in this way will be more reliable and accurate.

It is also supposed that those companies which form a strategic part within the production process of the MNC will be exposed to a greater amount of information and of better quality than other companies specializing in processes or products not considered by the MNC to be strategic. This can be attributed to the fact that the cooperative relationship maintained with strategic suppliers establishes a more fluid and dynamic channel of communication than that with non-strategic suppliers, with whom the relationship will be more hierarchical and the suppliers taking on a more passive role. This leads us to a new hypothesis.

H2: The strategic suppliers' propensity to export will be greater than that of non-strategic suppliers.

The transmission of information is also produced through the hiring of workers and executives trained and prepared in the subsidiary (Gorg and Strobl, 2002), or when these same employees form their own companies.

According to Katz (1987), local business owners in Latin America frequently begin their professional careers in subsidiaries of multinational corporations, where they are trained and acquire the necessary skills. Bonaccorsi (1992) proves that, in the case of Italy, many of the companies established in the 1980's were created by entrepreneurs who had worked in factories belonging to multinational corporations and had frequently received help from the company that had employed them previously.

Gorg and Strobl (2002) also show that companies created by former employees of multinational corporations show greater productivity than those of other local

companies, which means that these workers are incorporating knowledge acquired in their previous employment to their new companies. In this case, the transference would be helped by the rotation of labor and/or with the adoption of downsizing strategies that imply a reduction of the workforce.

Thus, it is expected that those companies founded by former workers and/or executives of the MNC count on a greater amount of strategic skills than those companies founded by entrepreneurs in the territory. This is due to the fact that former executives/workers incorporate the training received from the MNC into the new company, and consequently, have and apply executive skills which are superior to those being applied by other entrepreneurs in the territory. Because of this, we offer a third hypothesis.

H3: Local companies founded by former workers of the MNC will show a greater propensity to export than those companies founded by local entrepreneurs with no links to the MNC.

## **Methodology**

### ***Characteristics of the sample***

The information found in this study originates from a larger study whose objective is to study the influence of a multinational corporation on the productive network of the host territory. Specifically, it attempts to analyse the nature and intensity of the impact and to detect which are the acting mechanisms and the determining factors in the process.



With this goal in mind, a local productive system (LPS) was selected from Martos (Jaén), which is located in the region of Andalucía in the south of Spain. This LPS, involved in the production of lighting systems for automobiles (main and auxiliary headlamps and rear lighting), is made up of small and medium sized companies and led by a single multinational corporation which contracts out to the local industry. This analysis includes both suppliers to the Multinational as well as non-suppliers.

The research was begun in November, 2000 in the form of a questionnaire. The initial version was based on an existing bibliography. This first questionnaire was subjected to pre-testing during the months of January and February, 2001. This involved sending it to a small number of companies in the LPS, both suppliers and non-suppliers to the MNC. The result of these interviews was a significant improvement of the survey.

In April, 2001, the final questionnaire was sent to all auxiliary companies which make up the LPS (25), using this as a sample of the population. The questionnaire was to be answered by the highest ranking executive in the company.

The response rate was 84%. The sample utilized for the statistical analysis included around 95% of the industrial employment generated by the auxiliary companies and approximately 98% of the total turnover of this type of company. Non-production companies were not included in the analysis. Table one show the principal characteristics of the sample.

### ***Variables analyzed***

In order to test the hypotheses a set of variables related to the nature of the links binding the auxiliary companies with the MNC was used. Thus, the independent variables used are those related to the level in the subcontracting chain which the

auxiliary company occupies, the strategic importance, or lack thereof, in the production process for the foreign company and the relationship of the background of the firm owner or part of the firm's board of directors with the MNC. For the independent variable, the propensity to export was used, calculated with the quotient obtained by dividing the export volume by volume of turnover. The scale of variables obtained was a Cronbach Alpha of 0.7813.

## **Results**

First, we analyze the influence of the function carried out by the company within the LPS on its exporting activity. To do this, we use a contingency table with the production segments, distinguishing the local companies by their activity as "exporters" or "non-exporters".

In this case, with an acceptable level of significance of less than 0.05, we can confirm the existence of a certain relationship between the function of the production process in the specialization of the local company and the possibility that that company is an exporting company. The data is presented in Table 3.

Here, 66.7% of the companies connected with plastics injection are exporters, compared to the 40% of companies involved in construction, repairs and maintenance of molds.

The injector companies are the most advanced suppliers in the LPS; they are involved in the design, development and production of essential components of final products in the LPS and they cooperate from the design phase in each component, thus, they

show a higher technological and administrative level. At the same time, they are also larger, both in number of employees as well as in volume of turnover.

If indeed both segments are direct suppliers to the MNC, the domestic role in the LPS shows different strategic levels with respect to the MNC. Thus the injector companies maintain close links of permanent cooperation with the foreign plant and they find themselves in the midst of a process of continuous improvement in technology and quality, while those companies involved in the construction of molds are relegated to a more passive role.

Among the non-exporting companies however, those dedicated to assembly and pre-assembly as well as embossing, should be noted. In both cases, 100% of the companies have no foreign exporting activity. They deal with non-strategic functions. In the first case, it is work involving a great deal of unskilled labor, and in the second, it is a mature technology on the decline. In both cases, the competitive advantage comes from cost competition.

A factorial analysis was carried out on the principal components, in order to fit the available information to the scale being used. The analysis showed a Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin sampling adjustment of 0.556, higher than the 0.5 needed to validate, and with a level of significance equal to 0.001. The variables utilized were grouped by two factors which have an accumulated percentage of total explained variance of 79.959. The results are shown in Table 4.

Factor number two groups the related variables according to the direct supplier relationship existing between the local company and the MNC, and the previous professional tie between the founder of the company and the foreign plant. In other words, both variables constitute a direct channel of information transfer from the MNC

to the local company. In the first case, the information transfer is carried out on a continuous, daily basis, and the second is found in the skills acquired by the founder during his time with the MNC.

Next, a cluster analysis was used to detect whether there are different groups of companies in terms of the nature of their links with the MNC (suppliers or not, strategic supplier or not, or if the founder or part of the board of directors worked previously for the MNC). The results are shown in Table 5.

Cluster number two obtained the highest score for the five variables. The companies belonging to this cluster show the greatest level of exportation in the LPS and are characterized by being direct suppliers of the MNC. They are considered to be strategic suppliers by the foreign plant and additionally, their founder, as well as part of the board of directors, worked for the MNC in the past.

Cluster number three shows those companies which are direct suppliers of the MNC, but are not, however, considered by the foreign plant to be strategic suppliers, and therefore, are not integrated into the daily operations of the company and do not participate in the design and development of products.

Companies belonging to this cluster show a lower level of propensity to export than that of the integrated suppliers. Nevertheless, the founders of these companies did work for the MNC, though members of the board of directors did not.

In this case, the capacity for transmission of information from the MNC to the local company is limited. The amount of available information is reduced and possibly the quality of the information is also affected, since the channel is not developed as a result of daily cooperation. The founder does, however, incorporate the training and skills

acquired during his time working for the MNC into the local company. This is reflected in the greater propensity for exporting than that of the companies in cluster number one. This cluster is denoted as *Suppliers Not-Integrated with the MNC*.

Finally, cluster number one is made up of those companies in the LPS which are not direct suppliers of the MNC. This means that they do not supply the MNC directly, but they do provide products for the direct suppliers or those who provide products for them. They are also characterized by the fact that neither their founders nor members of their boards have worked previously for the MNC.

These are companies started by local entrepreneurs who saw market opportunities open up with the presence of the MNC and decided to establish their company using an imitation strategy. This means they imitated those workers and executives who decided to leave the MNC in order to set up their own company.

The same thing happened with the management of the company. These entrepreneurs do not have the first hand information from the MNC. There is no channel of direct communication with the directors of the MNC and besides, their directors do not incorporate the superior skills and knowledge acquired into the MNC. These companies show the least propensity for exporting in the whole LPS under study. Their international expansion strategy, when it exists, is based on the observation of the strategies implemented by the MNC and by those companies which are its direct suppliers.

On occasions, no strategy for international expansion exists because, as seen above, there are production segments such as assembly and pre-assembly, which are labor intensive and require geographical proximity to direct suppliers. This could also influence the segment of construction and repair of molds, owing to the size of the

products themselves as well as to the work of adjustments and repairs. Nevertheless, this last production segment shows a greater propensity for exporting than the previous one, and one for which geographical proximity is desirable but not essential. This cluster can be called *Companies with no direct or prior relationship with the MNC*.

In order to prove a possibly limiting effect on the international strategy of these companies as a result of the need for geographical proximity of these suppliers to their customers, we used a T-test for independent samples to be able to compare the means of clusters 1 and 3. In this respect, for the Levene test the results obtained for F were equal to 9.689, with a level of significance of 0.026. Thus, we can affirm that there are significant differences between both groups with respect to the need for geographical proximity of their customers.

In order to contrast the influence of the company founder having worked or not for the MNC, we used a contingency analysis. The data appear in Table 6.

For this case, with significance levels of less than 0.05, the contingency tables show that a greater influence on the exporting propensity is found in local companies where some board members previously worked for the MNC than in the prior labor relationship of the founder with the MNC. Therefore, this factor is important in so far as the founder of the small company is a former executive in the MNC, not a manual laborer. In this way, the skills acquired during the time spent at the MNC can be transferred to the new company.

## **Conclusions**

In this study, we analyze the influence that the type of relationship a local company has with an MNC, within an LPS, on the propensity of that company to export.

We have validated the formulated hypotheses which proposed that the type of relationship that a local company maintains with the MNC is a determining factor for the generation of positive externalities of information associated with foreign markets.

The results obtained show that those companies which maintain close, direct links with the MNC, and which are also involved in part of the strategic production process, show a greater propensity for exporting. Similarly, it has been shown that the past working relationship of the founder of the local company with the MNC is a determining factor in the level of propensity for exportation in the companies under study, where a channel of transmission of important information can be perceived. In both cases the propensity for exportation is greater than in those local companies which base the internationalization strategy on adopting strategies of imitation of foreign firms.

In this respect, the different intensity in the relationship of the local companies with the MNC, will entail a different volume of information transfer, and therefore, a different level of propensity for exportation. Thus, an integrated supplier will have access to a greater volume of information than a non-integrated supplier, which will in turn, have a greater volume of information available than those companies which lack links with the MNC.

## Bibliography

Aitken, B., Hanson, G. and Harrison, A.E. (1997), 'Spillovers, foreign investment and export behavior', *Journal of International Economics*, Vol. 43, N° 1-2, pp. 103-132.

Aitken, B., and Harrison, A.E. (1999), 'Do domestic firms benefit from direct foreign investment? Evidence from Venezuela', *American Economic Review*, Vol. 89, N° 3, pp. 605-618.

Álvarez, R. and López, R. (2003), 'An Empirical reassessment of the relationship between exports and firm performance: The case of Chile', Paper presented at the Midwest International Economics Group 2003 Fall Meeting. Indiana University.

Barry, F. and Bradley, J. (1997), 'FDI and trade: The Irish host-country experience', *The Economic Journal*, Vol. 107, n° 3, pp. 347-366.

Becchetti, L. and Rossi, S. (2000), 'The positive effect of industrial district on the export performance of Italian firms', *Review of Industrial Organisation*, Vol. 16, N° 1, pp. 53-68.

Bedi, A.S. and Cieslik, A. (2000), 'Foreign direct investment and host country regional export performance: Evidence from Poland', Paper presented for the MID-West International Economics Meetings FALL 2000. University of Minnesota.

Behrman, J. and Wallender, H. (1976), *Transfer of manufacturing technology within multinational enterprises*, Cambridge, Mass, Ballinger.

Bernard, A. B. and Bradford, J. (2001), 'Why some firm export', National Bureau of Economic Research (NBER), Working Paper N° 8349, Cambridge.

Blomström, M. and Kokko, A. (1998), 'Multinational corporations and externalities', *Journal of Economic Surveys*, Vol. 12.

Bonaccorsi, A. (1992), 'On the relationship between firm size and export intensity', *Journal of International Business Studies*, Vol. 23, N° 4, pp. 605-635.

Caves, R. (1974), 'Multinational firms, competition and productivity in host country industries', *Economica*, N° 41, May, pp. 176-193.

Eriksson, K. et al. (1997), 'Experiential knowledge and cost in the internationalization process'. *Journal of International Business Studies*, Vol. 28, N° 2, pp. 337-360.

Feinberg, S.E. and Majumdar, S.K. (2001), 'Technology Externalities from foreign direct investment in the Indian pharmaceutical industry', *Journal of International Business Studies*, Vol. 32, N° 3, pp. 421-437.

Fernández, Z. and Nieto, M.J. (2002), '*International involvement of SMEs: the impact of ownership*', Working Paper #02-58(21), Business Economics Series, Universidad Carlos III de Madrid.

Fujita, M., Krugman, P. and Venables, A. (1999), *The Spatial Economy: Cities, Regions and International Trade*, The MIT Press, Cambridge.



Gorg, H. and Strobl, E. (2002), 'Externalidades from foreign firms through worker mobility: An empirical investigation', *Research Paper Series Globalisation, Productivity and Technology*, Research Paper 2002/13, Leverhulme Centre for Research on Globalisation and Economic Policy, University of Nottingham.

Henderson, V., Kuncoro, A. and Turner, M. (1995), 'Industrial development in cities', *The Journal of Political Economy*, Vol. 103, N° 5, pp. 1067-1091.

Hitt, M. A., Hoskisson, R. E. and Kim, H. (1997), 'International diversification effects on innovation and firm performance in product-diversified firms', *Academy of Management Journal*, Vol. 40, N° 4, pp. 767-798.

Kathuria, V. (2000), 'Productivity externalidades from technology transfer to Indian manufacturing firms', *Journal of International Development*, N° 12, pp. 343-369.

Katz, J. M. (1987), *Technology creation in Latin America manufacturing industries*, Ed. St. Martin's Press, New York.

Kogut, B. and Zander, U. (1993), 'Knowledge of the firm and the evolutionary theory of the multinational corporation', *Journal of International Business Studies*, Vol. 24, N°4, pp. 625-645.

Lautanen, T. (2000), 'Modelling small firm's decision to export: Evidence from manufacturing firms in Finland', *Small Business Economics*, Vol. 14, N° 2, pp. 107-124.

Lin, J.C (2000), '*Inter-Firm externalidades and export growth: Evidence from the Taiwanese electronics industry*', Paper presented for the Graduate Student Conference in Economics, Yale University.

Rhee, Y. W. and Belot, T. (1990), '*Export catalysts in low-income countries*', World Bank Discussion Papers, N° 72.

Rugman, A. M. and D'cruz, J. R. (1997), 'The theory of the flagship firm', *European Management Review*, Vol. 15, N° 4, pp. 403-411.

Rugman, A. M. and D'cruz, J. R. (2000), *Multinationals as flagship firms: regional business networks*, Oxford University Press, Oxford.

Smarzynska, B.K. (2002), 'Does foreign direct investment increase the productivity of domestic firms? In search of externalities through backward linkages', *World Bank Policy Research Working Paper 2923*, The World Bank.

Soreide, T. (2001), 'FDI and industrialisation. Why technology transfer and new industrial structures may accelerate economic development', Working Paper 2001:3, Development Studies and Human Rights, Chr. Michelsen Institute, Norway.

Sousa, N., Greenaway, D. and Wakelin, K. (2000), 'Multinationals and Export Externalities', *Research Paper 2000/14*, Centre for Research on Globalisation and Labour Markets, School of Economics, University of Nottingham.

## ANNEX

**Table 1: Technical data**

<b>CHARACTERISTICS</b>	<b>SURVEY</b>
• Objective universe	• Auxiliary industrial companies
• Geographical domain	• Local productive system in Martos (Jaén-España)
• Temporal Domain	• Period 2000
• Unit of sample	• Companies
• Size of the sample	• 21
• Sampling error	• E = 0,075 (due to small size of universe) confidence 95,5%
• Date of fieldwork	• 1 April 2001 to 15 May 2001
• Subject of the questionnaire	• General Manager or Firm Owner

**Table 2: Characteristics of the sample**

<b>NUMBER OF EMPLOYEES</b>	<b>PERCENTAGE OF COMPANIES</b>
1-50	56.3
51-100	25.0
More than 100	18.8
Mean	57.38
Std. Dev.	54.84

  

<b>TOTAL BILLING (million euros)</b>	<b>PERCENTAGE OF COMPANIES</b>
0-1.50	50,0
1.51-3.00	27.8
More than 3.00	22.2
Mean	4,12
Std. Dev.	6,40

  

<b>INDUSTRIAL SEGMENT</b>	<b>PERCENTAGE OF COMPANIES</b>
Injectors	28.6
Molds and matrices	42.9
Final assembly and pre-assembly	9.5
Recycled plastics	4.8
Embossing	4.8
Others	9.5

**Table 3: Contingency table between the productive segment of local firms and the export activity**

		Exporting Activity (%)		Total
		Non-exporting	Exporting	
Segment of activity	Injection of plastics	33.3	66.7	100.0
	Construction of molds and matrices	60.0	40.0	100.0
	Final assembly, pre-assembly	100.0	0.0	100.0
	Embossing	100.0	0.0	100.0
	Other	50.0	50.0	100.0
$\chi^2$ Pearson	Value	Degree of freedom	Significance	
	4,825	5	0,043	

**Table 4: Factor Analysis**

Component	Direct supplier	Strategic supplier	Exporting propensity	Labor links with firm owner	Labor links with executives	Sum of squared saturations of rotation		
						Total	Percentage of the variance	Accumulated percentage
1	0.735	0.795	0.776	0.635	0.728	2.169	43.383	43.383
2	0.572	-0.154	-0.507	0.683	-0.465	1.829	36.576	79.959
Bartlet Test	$\chi^2$ 29.980		Degree of freedom 10		Significance 0.001		Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin 0.556	

**Table 5: Typology of companies according to the relation with the MNC**

Cluster	Direct supplier	Strategic supplier	Exporting propensity	Labor links with firm owner	Labor links with executives	% companies
1	0	0	0,00	0	0	26.70
2	1	1	1,17	1	1	40.00
3	1	0	0,00	1	0	33.33
Squared mean	0.825	0.983	2.450	1.250	0.800	
ANOVA						
Degree of freedom	2	2	2	2	2	
Significance	0.001	0.009	0.000	0.000	0.009	

**Table 6: Contingency table between the relationship of local firms with the MNC and the export activity**

		Exporting propensity (%)			Total
		0	0<x=10	10<x=20	
Relation with the MNC	Labor links with firm owner	50.0	40.0	10.0	100.0
	No labor links with firm owner	66.7	33.3	0.0	100.0
$\chi^2$ Pearson	Value	Degree of freedom	Significance		
	8,310	2	0,066		

		Exporting propensity (%)			Total
		0	0<x=10	10<x=20	
Relation with the MNC	Labor links with executives	0.0	75.0	25.0	100.0
	No labor links with executives	75.0	25.0	0.0	100.0
$\chi^2$ Pearson	Value	Degree of freedom	Significance		
	8,000	2	0.018		

		Exporting propensity (%)			Total
		0	0<x=10	10<x=20	
Relation with the MNC	Strategic supplier	16.7	66.7	16.7	100.0
	Non-strategic supplier	80.0	20.0	0.0	100.0
$\chi^2$ Pearson	Value	Degree of freedom	Significance		
	6,519	2	0.038		