

## Securing sustainable funding for viral hepatitis elimination plans

Hatzakis, Angelos; Lazarus, Jeffrey V.; Cholongitas, Evangelos; Baptista-Leite, Ricardo; Boucher, Charles; Busoi, Cristian-Silviu; Deuffic-Burban, Sylvie; Chhatwal, Jagpreet; Esmat, Gamal; Hutchinson, Sharon; Malliori, Minerva-Melpomeni; Maticic, Mojca; Mozalevskis, Antons; Negro, Francesco; Papandreou, George A.; Papatheodoridis, George V.; Peck-Radosavljevic, Markus; Razavi, Homie; Reic, Tatjana; Schatz, Eberhard; Tozun, Nurdan; Younossi, Zobair; Manns, Michael P.

*Published in:*  
Liver International

*DOI:*  
[10.1111/liv.14282](https://doi.org/10.1111/liv.14282)

*Publication date:*  
2020

*Document Version*  
Author accepted manuscript

[Link to publication in ResearchOnline](#)

*Citation for published version (Harvard):*  
Hatzakis, A, Lazarus, JV, Cholongitas, E, Baptista-Leite, R, Boucher, C, Busoi, C-S, Deuffic-Burban, S, Chhatwal, J, Esmat, G, Hutchinson, S, Malliori, M-M, Maticic, M, Mozalevskis, A, Negro, F, Papandreou, GA, Papatheodoridis, GV, Peck-Radosavljevic, M, Razavi, H, Reic, T, Schatz, E, Tozun, N, Younossi, Z & Manns, MP 2020, 'Securing sustainable funding for viral hepatitis elimination plans', *Liver International*, vol. 40, no. 2, pp. 260-270. <https://doi.org/10.1111/liv.14282>

### General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

### Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please view our takedown policy at <https://edshare.gcu.ac.uk/id/eprint/5179> for details of how to contact us.

## Securing sustainable funding for viral hepatitis elimination plans

Angelos Hatzakis<sup>1</sup>, Jeffrey V. Lazarus<sup>2</sup>, Evangelos Cholongitas<sup>3</sup>, Ricardo Baptista-Leite<sup>4,5</sup>, Charles Boucher<sup>6</sup>, Cristian-Silviu Busoi<sup>7</sup>, Sylvie Deuffic-Burban<sup>8,9</sup>, Jagpreet Chhatwal<sup>10</sup>, Gamal Esmat<sup>11,12</sup>, Sharon Hutchinson<sup>13</sup>, Minerva -Melpomeni Malliori<sup>14</sup>, Mojca Maticic<sup>15,16</sup>, Antons Mozalevskis<sup>17</sup>, Francesco Negro<sup>18</sup>, George A. Papandreou<sup>19</sup>, George V. Papatheodoridis<sup>20</sup>, Markus Peck-Radosavljevic<sup>21</sup>, Homie Razavi<sup>22</sup>, Tatjana Reic<sup>23</sup>, Eberhard Schatz<sup>24</sup>, Nurdan Tozun<sup>25</sup>, Zobair Younossi<sup>26</sup>, Michael P. Manns<sup>27</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Epidemiology and Preventive Medicine, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens Medical School, Athens, Greece

<sup>2</sup> Barcelona Institute for Global Health (ISGlobal), Hospital Clínic, University of Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain

<sup>3</sup> First Department of Internal Medicine, Medical School of National & Kapodistrian University of Athens, Laiko General Hospital, Athens, Greece

<sup>4</sup> Institute of Health Sciences – Católica University of Portugal

<sup>5</sup> Faculty of Health, Medicine and Life Sciences – Maastricht University

<sup>6</sup> Department of Virology, Erasmus Medical Centre, University Medical Centre Rotterdam, Rotterdam, Netherlands

<sup>7</sup> European Parliament

<sup>8</sup> Université Paris Diderot, Sorbonne Paris Cité, Paris, France

<sup>9</sup> Université Lille, Inserm, – Lille Inflammation Research International Center, Lille, France

<sup>10</sup> Institute for Technology Assessment, Massachusetts General Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA United States

<sup>11</sup> Endemic Medicine and HepatoGastroenterology Department, Faculty of Medicine. Cairo University, Cairo, Egypt.

<sup>12</sup> Badr University in Cairo, Cairo, Egypt

<sup>13</sup> School of Health and Life Sciences, Glasgow Caledonian University, UK; Health Protection Scotland, Glasgow, UK

<sup>14</sup> National and Kapodistrian University of Athens-Eginition Hospital

<sup>15</sup> Clinic for Infectious Diseases and Febrile Illnesses, University Medical Centre Ljubljana, Ljubljana, Slovenia

<sup>16</sup> Faculty of Medicine, University of Ljubljana, Ljubljana, Slovenia

<sup>17</sup> WHO Regional Office for Europe

1  
2  
3 <sup>18</sup> Divisions of Gastroenterology and hepatology and of Clinical pathology, University  
4 Hospitals, Geneva, Switzerland  
5

6 <sup>19</sup> Socialist International, London, United Kingdom  
7

8 <sup>20</sup> Department of Gastroenterology, Medical School of National and Kapodistrian University  
9 of Athens, Greece  
10

11 <sup>21</sup> Department of Internal Medicine & Gastroenterology (IMuG) Hepatology, Endocrinology,  
12 Rheumatology and Nephrology with Centralized Emergency Department (ZAE), Klagenfurt,  
13 Austria  
14

15 <sup>22</sup> Center for Disease Analysis Foundation, Lafayette, CO, USA  
16

17 <sup>23</sup> European Liver Patients' Association (ELPA), Brussels  
18

19 <sup>24</sup> Correlation European Harm Reduction Network, Amsterdam, The Netherlands  
20

21 <sup>25</sup> Acibadem Mehmet Ali Aydinlar University School of Medicine, Istanbul/Turkey  
22

23 <sup>26</sup> Department of Medicine, Inova Fairfax Medical Campus, Falls Church, Virginia, USA  
24

25 <sup>27</sup> Department of Gastroenterology, Hepatology and Endocrinology, Hannover Medical  
26 School (MHH), Hannover, Germany.  
27

28 **Corresponding author:** Angelos Hatzakis, MD, Department of Hygiene, Epidemiology and  
29 Medical Statistics, Medical School, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, 22 Mikras  
30 Asias Str., Athens 11527, Greece. Email: [ahatzak@med.uoa.gr](mailto:ahatzak@med.uoa.gr). Telephone: +30-210-7474058  
31 Fax: +30-210-7474058  
32  
33

34  
35  
36 **Word count: 3900**

37 **Figures: 6. Tables: 0**  
38  
39

#### 40 41 **Abbreviations** 42

43 HepBCPPA: Hepatitis B and C Public Policy Association; EU: European Union; HCV: hepatitis C  
44 virus; C-EHRN: Correlation European Harm Reduction Network; ELPA: European Liver  
45 Patients' Association; EASL: European Association for the Study of the Liver; WHO: World  
46 Health Organization; DAAs: direct acting antivirals; MSM: men who have sex with men;  
47 PWID: people who inject drugs; HCC: hepatocellular carcinoma; EEA: European Economic  
48 Area; MEP: Member of the European Parliament; PROs: patient-reported outcomes; WP:  
49 work productivity.  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

**Authors' conflict of interest declaration**

- 1) Angelos Hatzakis has received research grants from AbbVie, Gilead and MSD, unrestricted grants from AbbVie, BMS, Gilead, MSD and Novartis, has served as an advisor/lecturer for BMS, Gilead, MSD, AbbVie and is Co-Chair of the Hepatitis B and C Public Policy Association supported by AbbVie, BMS, Gilead, MSD"
- 2) Jeffrey V. Lazarus has received grants from AbbVie, Gilead Sciences and MSD outside of the submitted work.
- 3) Evangelos Cholongitas has served as a speaker, a consultant and an advisory board member for Abbvie, Astellas, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Gilead, Merck Sharp & Dohme and Novartis, and he has received research funding from Gilead.
- 4) Ricardo Baptista-Leite has nothing to declare.
- 5) Charles Boucher has received research grants ViiV, Merck, Gilead; Speaker fee Gilead, ViiV; Research grant Gilead sciences and co-owner Virology Education
- 6) Cristian-Silviu Busoi has nothing to declare
- 7) Sylvie Deuffic-Burban has received grants from ARHEL (2015-2016) and MSD (2017); has served on advisory boards for Abbvie (2015), BMS (2015), Gilead (2015) and MSD (2016-2017); and reports lecture fees for Abbvie (2018), BMS (2015) and Gilead (2017), outside the submitted work.
- 8) Jagpreet Chhatwal has received research grants and personal fee from Gilead and Merck outside the submitted work.
- 9) Gamal Esmat has nothing to declare
- 10) Sharon Hutchinson has received honoraria for presenting at a conference sponsored by Gilead.
- 11) Minerva -Melpomeni Malliori has nothing to declare
- 12) Mojca Maticic has nothing to declare.
- 13) Antons Mozalevskis has nothing to declare
- 14) Francesco Negro has received research grant funding from Gilead, and is Advisor/Consultant for Gilead, Merck and AbbVie.
- 15) George A. Papandreou has nothing to declare.
- 16) George V. Papatheodoridis has served as advisor/lecturer for Abbvie, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Dicerna, Gilead, GlaxoSmithKline, Ipsen, Janssen, Merck Sharp & Dohme, Novartis, Roche, Spring Bank; research grants Abbvie, Bristol- Myers Squibb, Gilead, Janssen, Roche.
- 17) Markus Peck-Radosavljevic has received grants from Gilead and MSD, honoraria for consulting/lectures from AbbVie, Boehringer Ingelheim, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Gilead, Janssen, and MSD.
- 18) Homie Razavi has received grants from CDC Foundation, John Martin Foundation, ASTHO, Zeshan Foundation, and other Private donors. In addition, his organization has received funding from Gilead Sciences, AbbVie, Intercept Pharma, and Vaccine Impact

1  
2  
3 Modeling Consortium. He is the managing director of Center for Disease Analysis and Center  
4 for Disease Analysis Foundation.  
5

6 19) Tatjana Reic has nothing to declare  
7

8 20) Eberhard Schatz as C-EHRN receives funding for HCV related work by GILEAD Science  
9 and Abbvie.  
10

11 21) Nurdan Tozun has nothing to declare  
12

13 22) Zobair Younossi: consulting fees or funds for research support from Allergan, Bristol-  
14 Myers Squibb, Gilead Sciences, Intercept, Novartis, Novo Nordisk, and Shionogi  
15

16 23) Michael P. Manns has been principal investigator and he has received  
17 grants/advisor/lecturer/travel support from Abbvie, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Gilead, Janssen,  
18 Merck Sharp & Dohme.  
19  
20

21  
22 **Financial support for the manuscript: NONE**  
23  
24  
25

#### 26 **Acknowledgement**

27  
28 David Tordrup, WHO Collaborating Centre for Pharmaceutical Policy and Regulation  
29 University of Utrecht, Netherlands  
30

31 The authors would like to thank Ann Fox for her valuable contribution towards the success  
32 of the conference. The financial support of Gilead Sciences, Abbvie and Merck Sharp &  
33 Dohme is gratefully acknowledged  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

## Abstract

The majority of people infected with chronic hepatitis C virus (HCV) in the European Union (EU) remain undiagnosed and untreated. During recent years, immigration to EU has further increased HCV prevalence. It has been estimated that, out of the 4.2 million adults affected by HCV infection in the 31 EU/ European Economic Area (EEA) countries, as many as 580,000 are migrants. Additionally, HCV is highly prevalent and under addressed in Eastern Europe. In 2013, the introduction of highly effective treatments for HCV with direct acting antivirals created an unprecedented opportunity to cure almost all patients, reduce HCV transmission and eliminate the disease. However, in many settings, HCV elimination poses a serious challenge for countries' health spending. On 6 June 2018, the Hepatitis B and C Public Policy Association held the 2nd EU HCV Policy summit. It was emphasized that key stakeholders should work collaboratively since only a few countries in the EU are on track to achieve HCV elimination by 2030. In particular, more effort is needed for universal screening. The micro-elimination approach in specific populations is less complex and less costly than country-wide elimination programmes and is an important first step in many settings. Preliminary data suggest that implementation of the World Health Organization (WHO) Global Health Sector Strategy on Viral Hepatitis can be cost saving. However, innovative financing mechanisms are needed to raise funds upfront for scaling-up screening, treatment and harm reduction interventions that can lead to HCV elimination by 2030, the stated goal of the WHO.

**Key words:** Prevention, burden, care, elimination, health policy, high-risk populations, surveillance, treatment, viral hepatitis.

## Introduction

On 6 June 2018, the Hepatitis B and C Public Policy Association (HepBCPPA)\* held the 2<sup>nd</sup> European Union (EU) hepatitis C virus (HCV) Policy summit entitled “Securing sustainable funding for hepatitis elimination plans” in Brussels, Belgium. This high-level conference represented the next major step towards the elimination of hepatitis C in Europe. The main stakeholders in the field of hepatitis C were gathered together: clinicians, patient associations, representatives of key institutions and regional bodies from across Europe to present the case for a European elimination strategy for hepatitis C in the presence of EU and national policymakers<sup>1,2</sup>.

During the Summit, the case was made for the need to ensure that the cost of HCV elimination (i.e. surveillance, monitoring, awareness, screening prevention and treatment programs) is cost-saving<sup>1,2</sup>. The European institutions and stakeholders represented were the European Parliament Friends of the Liver MEP group, the European Liver Patients’ Association (ELPA), the European Association for the Study of the Liver (EASL), the Correlation European Harm Reduction Network (C-EHRN) & European Civil Society Forum, the Centre for Disease Analysis Foundation, and the World Health Organization (WHO). In addition, several members from national parliaments attended the summit. In total, 90 delegates attended the event with 29 different nationalities present.

*\*Footnote: HepBCPPA is a non-profit organization that brings together a wide range of thought-leaders and stakeholders to reflect on recent advances and challenges in understanding, measuring, preventing, diagnosing and treating hepatitis B and C and to develop policy responses that can effectively and measurably address these challenges. Over the past 9 years, HepBCPPA has organised three high-level meetings and two EU HCV Policy summits in cooperation with the EU Presidency of Belgium, the EU Presidency of Cyprus, the EU Presidency of Greece and the European Commission and has initiated and agreed with its partner associations on five Calls to Action addressed to the European Commission and the EU Member States.*

## Overview and Background of HCV epidemiology

### *Global burden of HCV: need for action to overcome barriers*

Hepatitis C remains a global health problem and it continues to have a large human, social and economic impact<sup>3</sup>. According to the most recent WHO global hepatitis report, 71 million people are chronically infected by hepatitis C, and of these, 80% are undiagnosed, mostly because they are asymptomatic and 93% remain untreated<sup>4</sup>. Even in countries with high levels of hepatitis C awareness, less than 40% of the infected patients have been diagnosed and an even lower proportion received antiviral therapy. Globally, more than 1,095 people die from HCV every day and 400,000 people die every year from HCV-related liver diseases even though HCV is curable with the availability of direct acting antivirals (DAAs)<sup>5,6</sup>.

Although HCV continues to spread as a largely “silent pandemic,” its elimination is made possible through the availability of new, safe and effective treatments for HCV with direct acting antivirals (DAAs) and the implementation of prevention practices. However, in the majority of countries, HCV elimination represents a serious challenge for countries’ health budgets as they are faced, for the first time in history, with a fast-acting curative high-cost treatment for a widespread, chronic viral disease that offers little risk of resistance or relapse. In addition to treatment costs, the cost of scaling up testing to find the non-identified infected individuals and implementing effective models of care for diverse populations represents additional challenges. On the other hand, the European economic crisis has impacted public health spending more than on any other public life sector with shrinking budgets. On 28 May 2016, WHO published the Global Health Sector Strategy on viral hepatitis, which was endorsed by all member states at the World Health Assembly and called for the elimination of viral hepatitis as a public health threat by 2030 and set the targets at two time points (2020 and 2030) as shown in **Figure 1**<sup>7</sup>.

However, several barriers and gaps in the cascade of care should be addressed and overcome and solutions need to be found and subsequently funded. All stakeholders and decision makers, such as policy-makers, healthcare providers, insurance providers and the industry, should work together and collaborate in order to achieve the necessary scale-up for hepatitis C elimination. This aim is very ambitious and there is an urgent need to improve the services needed to reach and better understand the specific characteristics (culture, language etc) of each subgroup, e.g. migrants, men who have sex with men (MSM) and people who inject drugs (PWID).



### *European burden of HCV*

It is estimated that 3.0 million people are live with infected with chronic hepatitis C virus in the EU, and of these, 68% are undiagnosed and less than 6% have been treated. In addition, despite the availability of DAAs, 16,000 patients were diagnosed with hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) and 22,500 deaths related to chronic hepatitis C were recorded in 2017, i.e. every 25 minutes, an HCV-infected person died of liver complications in the EU in 2017<sup>8,9</sup>.

Hepatitis C represents a major burden in the EU. The cost of inaction is great – 136,000 additional deaths, 90,000 additional HCC cases, and 71,000 decompensated cirrhosis cases are estimated between 2017 and 2030. Even EU countries with a high treatment rate will not be able to sustain the treatment without universal screening and political-will is the main predictor of whether a country will achieve the 2030 elimination targets.

Although the epidemiology of hepatitis C in Europe seems well characterizedThe understanding of HCV epidemiology in Europe is advancing, during recent years, although migration has become a challenge. In the 31 EU/ European Economic Area (EEA) countries, it has been estimated that, out of the 4.2 million adults affected by HCV infection, as many as 580,000 are migrants, i.e. 14% of the total HCV burden<sup>10</sup>. Thus, migrants contribute significantly to the EU/EEA HCV burden, since 79% of them originate from endemic countries<sup>10</sup>. Another challenge is represented by the situation in Eastern Europe, where a hepatitis C epidemic is exploding, driven by injecting drug use. Here, the outlook is worrisome, due to a general lack of awareness, the absence of surveillance systems, the limited national strategies, little or no funding for hepatitis programs, and often obsolete management programmes<sup>11</sup>.

### *Elimination of HCV in Europe: need for collaboration*

Key organizations and associations should collaborate for hepatitis C elimination in Europe by 2030. In this context, the EASL has organized several projects, such as HEPAHEALTH, aiming to better describe the incidence, prevalence and mortality of viral hepatitis across Europe<sup>12</sup>. On the other hand, Friends of the Liver Member of the European Parliament (MEP) Group work to put hepatitis C as an urgent health priority at European and national levels promoting policy action and educational programs to increase screening, diagnosis and eliminate stigmatization<sup>13</sup>.

Correlation – European Harm Reduction Network trains community members and harm reduction workers and informs policy maker to increase access to testing and care<sup>14</sup>. Finally, ELPA has taken action and launched the Hep-CORE Study – which monitors the implementation of hepatitis B and C policy recommendations in Europe

1  
2  
3 in an attempt to shed light on national policies on viral hepatitis <sup>15</sup>. In addition, ELPA,  
4 in collaboration with the INTEGRATE European Joint Action, launched a pilot  
5 campaign “Spring European Hepatitis-HIV Testing Week 2018” aiming to promote  
6 wide-scale testing for hepatitis and HIV and to identify barriers that participants  
7 experience when implementing integrated testing in different settings across  
8 Europe<sup>16,17</sup>.  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13

### 14 **Burden of disease and pathways of elimination**

15  
16 *What is the state of play of HCV elimination in the EU?*

17  
18 Currently, few countries in Europe (France, Georgia, Iceland, Italy, Netherlands,  
19 Spain, Switzerland and United Kingdom) are on track for HCV elimination by 2030 <sup>6, 8</sup>.  
20 Fortunately, the actual number of treated patients with hepatitis C has increased  
21 over the last few years since DAAs have become available. At this rate, the EU only  
22 needs to diagnose 160,000 (from today’s 90,000) and treat 180,000 (from 160,000  
23 today) annually to reach the 2030 WHO elimination target (**Figure 2**)<sup>6, 8</sup>. However,  
24 the number of people that need to be screened (each year) will have to significantly  
25 increase in order to find the remaining undiagnosed individuals: from 5 million  
26 people currently screened to 40 million people in 2028 (**Figure 2**). In addition, in  
27 many European countries the initial increase in the treated patients after the  
28 implementation of DAAs was followed – or are expected to be followed - by a  
29 decline of treated patients (**Figure 3**)<sup>6, 8</sup>. In order to achieve the 2030 elimination  
30 targets seven requirements are needed: 1) financing of the national elimination  
31 program, 2) implementation of harm reduction programs, 3) expand capacity  
32 beyond specialists, 4) remove treatment restrictions, 5) implement monitoring &  
33 evaluation, 6) implement awareness and national screening programs, 7) implement  
34 national linkage to care program.  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44

45 *Eliminating hepatitis C: the impact of the micro-elimination approach* <sup>18</sup>

46  
47 The WHO targets for HCV elimination are challenging, costly and complex. However,  
48 the continuum of viral hepatitis services and the retention cascade need  
49 simplification similar to those of HIV infection. In addition, it should be recognized  
50 that elimination of hepatitis C is still a daunting task for health systems. However, an  
51 idea is to break down this cost by subgroup or population, by year, or region, for  
52 example. In fact, formidable obstacles to reaching HCV elimination can best be  
53 overcome through a micro-elimination approach, which entails pursuing elimination  
54 goals in specific populations. Micro-elimination is less daunting, less complex, and  
55 less costly than full-scale, country-level initiatives to eliminate HCV, and it can build  
56 momentum by producing small victories that inspire more ambitious efforts. The  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 micro-elimination approach encourages stakeholders who are most knowledgeable  
4 about specific populations to engage with each other and also promotes the uptake  
5 of new models of care <sup>18</sup>.  
6  
7

8 A successful micro-elimination approach should meet the following four criteria,  
9 although these criteria may need to be adapted to different epidemiologic situations  
10 and geographic settings: a) there should be a plan in order to tailor health resources  
11 and services with the aim of overcoming known barriers and achieving high levels of  
12 HCV diagnosis and treatment rates in one or more clearly defined populations of  
13 interest within a specified timeframe, which can be faster than in the general  
14 population, b) the plan sets forth achievable annual targets, basing these on  
15 mathematical modeling when relevant to determine the levels of diagnosis and  
16 treatment required to progress to the plan's ultimate elimination targets, c) the plan  
17 is developed and implemented through a multi-stakeholder process, with essential  
18 participants including government officials, health service providers, civil society and  
19 community representatives and d) progress and outcomes need to be monitored  
20 and publicly reported using indicators selected at the outset of the process <sup>19</sup>.  
21  
22

23 HCV micro-elimination can be feasible in certain populations in the short-to-  
24 medium- term such as patients with decompensated cirrhosis, veterans/military  
25 personnel, patients with haemophilia, transplant patients, HIV/HCV co-infected  
26 patients and sub-groups of PWID and prisoners. However, candidate populations can  
27 be expected to vary greatly in different countries and subnational areas <sup>20</sup>. Some of  
28 these populations are more challenging than others, but HCV elimination can be  
29 facilitated by employing a people-centered health system approach with all key  
30 stakeholders engaged, including policy-makers, academics, healthcare providers, civil  
31 society and industry.  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41

#### 42 *Progress report towards elimination by 2030 globally and in Europe* <sup>21</sup>

43

44 The WHO Global Health Sector Strategy on viral hepatitis, 2016–2021 includes three  
45 organizing frameworks: universal health coverage (UHC), continuum of health  
46 services, and the public health approach <sup>21</sup>. To reinforce the commitment of the  
47 global goal, members of the EU have endorsed the first European action plan in  
48 September 2016 <sup>21</sup>. Based on this plan, several regional essential targets should be  
49 reached by 2020 regarding prevention, and particularly, testing and treatment. It is  
50 encouraging that the number of European countries with national plans increased  
51 from 13 in 2013 to 22 in 2017 <sup>21</sup>. However, several challenges remain especially in  
52 low and middle-income countries, since there is a lack of global donors and  
53 commitment in many countries, data is patchy and monitoring systems are nascent,  
54 most people living with hepatitis are still undiagnosed, treatment access is limited by  
55 regulatory barriers and high prices, particularly for newer antiviral drugs.  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4  
5 *Cost of HCV elimination: Direct medical cost, patients reported outcomes and*  
6 *productivity loss*  
7

8  
9 Several studies have shown that patient-reported outcomes (PROs) and work  
10 productivity (WP), both important components of comprehensive outcomes  
11 assessment, are impaired in HCV infected patients<sup>22</sup>. PROs are important surrogate  
12 markers of patient experience, and their impairment, especially in the domains of  
13 physical functioning and mental health, is the result of the virus replicating itself.  
14 Regarding WP, HCV appears to affect absenteeism from work leading to a substantial  
15 economic burden to employers<sup>23</sup>. As a result, although in 2011 the total direct cost  
16 of HCV-related liver disease had been estimated at USD 6.5 billion in the USA  
17 (projected to peak at 9.1 billion in 2014), the indirect economic burden of WP loss in  
18 the USA has been estimated to be approximately USD 7.1 billion per year and EUR  
19 2.6 billion per year in 5 major European countries (France, Germany, Italy, Spain and  
20 United Kingdom)<sup>22,24</sup>. Importantly, PROs and WP are improved during treatment  
21 with antiviral therapy with DAAs, and this improvement is sustainable and durable  
22 after successful antiviral therapy with DAAs leading to clinical, PRO and economic  
23 gains<sup>25</sup>.  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32

33 *Cost of screening*  
34

35 Many patients have advance clinical stage of liver disease with or without severe  
36 complications at the time of diagnosis. For example, in France, 12% of patients had  
37 cirrhosis and/or hepatocellular carcinoma at the time of referral at hepatology  
38 centres<sup>26</sup>.  
39

40  
41 HCV screening guidelines still target only people at high risk of infection, but this  
42 approach requires reconsideration in the light of treatment with DAAs. In addition,  
43 the later can be used as a means of prevention, particularly in high-risk populations,  
44 such as MSM and PWIDs. For example, DAA treatment can reverse the HCV epidemic  
45 over the next ten years among MSM in the United Kingdom, particularly for those  
46 with recent HCV diagnosis<sup>27</sup>. Similarly, in France and Scotland, treatment with DAAs  
47 may have a great impact reducing the prevalence of HCV infection and liver-related  
48 morbidity in PWIDs<sup>28,29</sup>.  
49  
50  
51  
52

53 Although there is great heterogeneity across European countries, the need to  
54 improve HCV screening is widespread (e.g. in France 100,000 people have chronic  
55 hepatitis C and half of them were undiagnosed by 2018). Interestingly, according to  
56 five different mathematical models regarding effectiveness, cost and cost-  
57 effectiveness in France, showing that universal (100%) screening coverage plus  
58 treatment initiation regardless of fibrosis stage (i.e. from fibrosis F0) can reduce the  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 prevalence of HCV by 94% after one year, compared to 40% reduction with 50%  
4 screening coverage plus treatment initiation regardless of fibrosis stage. The latter  
5 model can reduce the incidence of HCV cirrhosis by 30% (or by 73% with 100%  
6 screening coverage) after ten years. In addition, the model of universal (100%)  
7 screening coverage plus treatment initiation regardless of fibrosis stage seems to be  
8 cost-effective (31,100 €/QALY), in contrast with when treatment is given only to  
9 those with fibrosis  $\geq$ F2<sup>30,31</sup>. Based on these findings, a National Health Strategy was  
10 announced in France in 2018 recommending actions in order to strengthen: a) access  
11 to hepatitis C treatment for all; b) proximity to screening by rapid diagnostic  
12 orientation testing in a combined approach to HIV, HBV and HCV) prevention  
13 through innovative "go-to-go" actions to reach priority and bring audiences into the  
14 health system.  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22

### 23 *Is hepatitis C elimination cost-saving?*

24 While HCV treatment may be cost-saving, but still hepatitis C elimination program  
25 may not be cost-saving if the cost of diagnosing HCV cases is high. Globally,  
26 treatment with DAAs can reduce the burden of HCV-associated disease by 50%-70%  
27 between 2015 and 2050; liver-related deaths (from 767,000 without treatment to  
28 317,000); hepatocellular carcinoma (from 407,000 without treatment to 154,000);  
29 and liver transplants (from 63,000 without treatment to 31,000)<sup>32,33</sup>.  
30  
31  
32  
33

34 Data from the United Kingdom have shown that in an elimination scenario,  
35 compared to the current status quo, an initial investment is needed, but then a  
36 significant reduction in the cost is expected over the next few years (**Figure 4**). Thus,  
37 it is estimated that the total cost (natural history, diagnosis and treatment) over the  
38 next twenty years is about 11.7 billion dollars with current status quo, compared to  
39 10 billion dollars with elimination scenario (i.e. saving 1.7 billion dollars) (**Figure**  
40 **5**)[31]. Resources spent on HCV elimination provide good value for money and will  
41 result in cost-savings. Thus, HCV elimination is feasible and will save lives.  
42  
43  
44  
45

46 Interestingly, the WHO has developed an online cost-effectiveness tool, Hep C  
47 Calculator (<http://www.hepccalculator.org/>), for estimation of cost-effectiveness of  
48 hepatitis C treatment in 28 countries. The tool also estimates how long will it take for  
49 treatment to become cost-effective/saving based on country-specific data.  
50  
51  
52

### 53 **Innovative financing**

54 Elimination of HCV requires strong collaboration between stakeholders. The plan for  
55 HCV elimination should be achievable, sustainable and follow the WHO time frame  
56<sup>34</sup>. There is general consensus that one of the crucial factors to achieving this goal is  
57 adequate funding for the continuum of care to support the HCV elimination  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 initiative. Financing remains a major barrier and making the treatment affordable is  
4 still a major challenge for many European countries.  
5  
6  
7

### 8 *Innovative financing for HCV elimination* <sup>35</sup>

9  
10 New sources of funding will be required for countries to launch, accelerate and  
11 sustain public health responses to eliminate viral hepatitis. These resources will need  
12 to be substantial to meet elimination targets. It is true that for HCV elimination,  
13 investment is needed over a long period of time (e.g., providing antiviral treatment  
14 until 2030), but since HCV is a slow progressive disease, the return on investment  
15 will accrue after a long time. Through bond finance, not only can governments  
16 release money for public spending, but the maturity period can be adjusted to the  
17 timeframe in which the investment produces the desired social/economic benefit.  
18 One option could be commercial bonds, which are widely used in the public sector  
19 for funding infrastructure projects, while vaccine bonds were developed by the  
20 International Finance Facility for Immunization (IFFIm)<sup>36</sup>. Another option could be  
21 social impact bonds, i.e. a contract with the public sector in which a commitment is  
22 made to pay for improved social outcomes that result in public sector savings (e.g.,  
23 social bond for rehabilitation of incarcerated people and to reduce recidivism)<sup>35</sup>.  
24 Based on these experiences, it was suggested at the Summit that the establishment  
25 of an “HCV bond” could be an effective and realistic option to finance hepatitis C  
26 elimination providing a good return on investment (the more we treat, the more  
27 lives saved and more economic savings) **(Figure 6)**.  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36

37 As George Papandreou, President of the Socialist International, and former Prime  
38 Minister of Greece and keynote speaker at the 2nd EU HCV Policy summit  
39 mentioned, some European countries have already important experience in creating  
40 social bonds and similar financing tools. Other countries may prefer to explore  
41 opportunities through cooperation with the European Financial Institutions such as  
42 the European Investment Bank. Thus, the EU Commission could prepare the  
43 legislative actions, to convincingly motivate the European Investment Bank and  
44 other European financial institutions to take this ambitious yet totally realistic  
45 project on board <sup>37</sup>.  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51

### 52 *Financing of viral hepatitis elimination in low and middle-Income Countries* <sup>38</sup>

53  
54 Although hepatitis elimination has a positive return on investment– the main  
55 problem is the need for upfront investment. An alternative approach could be based  
56 on patients who are willing to pay for healthcare expenses (for example in many of  
57 the lower middle-income countries, a high proportion of patients of all treatments  
58 are currently in the private market) providing that the costs are kept below the  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 catastrophic healthcare expenditure level, while some portion of the population will  
4 not be able to pay for their healthcare. This model can be supported by suppliers  
5 who are willing to provide price concessions if large volumes are guaranteed, as well  
6 as banks and donors who are willing to provide catalytic financing if they have  
7 assurances that can get their investment back at the end of the program <sup>38</sup>.  
8  
9

10  
11 The new model would foresee the use of loans instead of donations to support the  
12 hepatitis elimination programs. Thus, although the old model based on donations  
13 (donations from the few pay for the needs of the many, including for those who  
14 could afford some payment), in the proposed new model, patients fund their  
15 treatment (small loan from investor, plus funds from many patients, pay for  
16 diagnostics and treatment for all, plus repayment to investor).  
17  
18  
19

20 Nevertheless, since the cost of treatment is an important issue, a holistic approach is  
21 needed, including: a) pooled procurement of licensed generic DAAs and quality-  
22 assured diagnostic tests at volume discount; b) negotiations for waiving of import  
23 duties, taxes and pharmacy markups, to keep prices affordable; and c) enforcement  
24 of negotiations with the pharmaceutical industry and producers of diagnostic tools  
25 using digital voucher technology, eliminating cash transactions and opportunistic  
26 pricing.  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32

### 33 **Challenges and opportunities**

34 The economic crisis has led to cuts in funding for harm reduction in many countries.  
35 Thus, there is a need to move towards a single-visit hepatitis C diagnosis, instead of  
36 the five-visits of the interferon era, in order to decrease the time of diagnosis (from  
37 4-6 weeks or more to no delay) and the rates of lost follow up. The new treatment  
38 options give this opportunity, since hospital attendance requirements are evidenced  
39 barriers particularly for marginalized populations. In this direction, more efforts are  
40 needed to increase screening in risk groups and build capacity for treatment as well  
41 as to raise awareness of viral hepatitis amongst the general population and general  
42 practitioners, and to improve diagnosis of HCV in primary care settings providing  
43 sustainable, affordable, universal access to the tools for elimination. Political  
44 leadership may play an important role in delivering the message of hepatitis C  
45 elimination at the political level by keeping hepatitis C high on the political and  
46 media agendas <sup>39</sup>. It is important to build on support of the European Parliament and  
47 to influence the agenda of the next European Commission and the governments of  
48 EU Council Presidencies during the transition in 2019-2020 aimed to improve  
49 diagnosis and access to treatment amongst defined key groups, such as PWID <sup>16</sup>.  
50 The Correlation European Harm Reduction Network, focused on hepatitis C since  
51 2014, provides models to improve access and quality of health and social services for  
52 marginalised groups and more than 220 partners in all European countries  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 participate <sup>39</sup>. Finally, an organized network of Parliamentarians is needed, in order  
4 to increase their involvement in international - multilateral workgroups and decision  
5 processes aiming to reboot the political awareness and action towards elimination of  
6 hepatitis C <sup>40</sup>.  
7  
8  
9

## 10 **Conclusions**

11  
12 The Call to Action of the 2<sup>nd</sup> EU HCV Policy Summit recommends that countries have  
13 a comprehensive, costed hepatitis C elimination strategy in place to determine their  
14 country-level disease and economic burden of HCV by measuring direct and indirect  
15 socio-economic costs to improve the response towards HCV elimination and to  
16 develop country and population-specific models, to estimate lifetime costs, quality-  
17 adjusted life expectancy, and incremental cost-effectiveness ratios of different  
18 screening and treatment strategies in comparison with no action. These are  
19 necessary to hepatitis elimination programs as hepatitis investment cases.  
20  
21  
22  
23

24 Innovative financing tools include social impact bonds, with the aim to raise upfront  
25 funds for launching new social services and financing prevention services, including  
26 harm reduction, contributing to HCV elimination in a sustainable way.  
27  
28

29 The European Union should recognize the need to engage in HCV elimination by  
30 establishing a clear political roadmap and call for European financial institutions to  
31 raise public and/or private funding and use elimination programs as development  
32 and health systems strengthening tools, encourage and engage all the stakeholders,  
33 including the European Union, to collaborate in the development of innovative  
34 financing tools.  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



**REFERENCES**

1. Manns MP. Presentation at 2nd European Union (EU) HCV Policy summit 2018.
2. Hatzakis A. Presentation at 2nd European Union (EU) HCV Policy summit 2018.
3. Cooke GS, Andrieux-Meyer I, Applegate TL, et al. Accelerating the elimination of viral hepatitis: a Lancet Gastroenterology & Hepatology Commission. *Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2019 Feb;4(2):135-184
4. WHO Global hepatitis report, 2017. Available at: <http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/255017/1/WHO-HIV-2017.06-eng.pdf>.
5. Maticic M. Presentation at 2nd European Union (EU) HCV Policy summit 2018.
6. Polaris Observatory. Accessed at: <http://www.polarisobservatory.com/>
7. WHO GHSS. [http://apps.who.int/gb/ebwha/pdf\\_files/WHA69/A69\\_32-en.pdf?ua=](http://apps.who.int/gb/ebwha/pdf_files/WHA69/A69_32-en.pdf?ua=)
8. Razavi H. HCV Elimination in Europe: Burden Pathways and Cost. Presentation at 2nd European Union (EU) HCV Policy summit 2018.
9. Polaris Observatory (<http://cdafound.org/polaris/>), accessed June 5, 2018
10. Falla AM, Ahmad AA, Duffell E, Noori T, Veldhuijzen IK. Estimating the scale of chronic hepatitis C virus infection in the EU/EEA: a focus on migrants from anti-HCV endemic countries. *BMC Infect Dis*. 2018 Jan 16;18(1):42
11. Maistat L, Kravchenko N, Reddy A. Hepatitis C in Eastern Europe and Central Asia: a survey of epidemiology, treatment access and civil society activity in eleven countries. *Hepatol Med Policy*. 2017 Jun 13;2:9
12. Pimpin L, Cortez-Pinto H, Negro F, et al. Burden of liver disease in Europe: Epidemiology and analysis of risk factors to identify prevention policies. *J Hepatol*. 2018 Sep;69(3):718-735
13. Buşoi SC. Presentation at 2nd European Union (EU) HCV Policy summit 2018.
14. Kalamitsis G, Matsioulas K, Schatz E. Hepatitis C Testing in the Community, C-EHRN, 2018.
15. Lazarus JV, Stumo SR, Harris M, et al. Hep-CORE: a cross-sectional study of the viral hepatitis policy environment reported by patient groups in 25 European countries in 2016 and 2017. *J Int AIDS Soc*. 2018 Apr;21 Suppl 2:e25052.
16. Reic T. Presentation at 2nd European Union (EU) HCV Policy summit 2018.
17. <http://www.euro.who.int/en/media-centre/events/events/2018/11/european-testing-week-2018>
18. Lazarus JV. Presentation at 2nd European Union (EU) HCV Policy summit 2018.

19. Lazarus JV, Safreed-Harmon K, Thursz MR, et al. The Micro-Elimination Approach to Eliminating Hepatitis C: Strategic and Operational Considerations. *Semin Liver Dis.* 2018 Aug;38(3):181-192.
20. Lazarus JV, Wiktor SZ, Colombo M, Thursz M. Micro-elimination – a path to global elimination of hepatitis C. *Journal of Hepatology*, 2017 Oct;67(4):665-666.
21. Antons Mozalevskis. Presentation at 2nd European Union (EU) HCV Policy summit 2018.
22. Younossi ZM. Presentation at 2nd European Union (EU) HCV Policy summit 2018.
23. Younossi ZM, Binerdinc A, Henry L. Hepatitis C infection: A multi-faceted systemic disease with clinical, patient reported and economic consequences. *J Hepatol.* 2016 Oct;65(1 Suppl):S109-S119
24. Razavi H, Elkhoury AC, Elbasha E, et al. Chronic hepatitis C virus (HCV) disease burden and cost in the United States. *Hepatology.* 2013 Jun;57(6):2164-70.
25. Younossi Z, Papatheodoridis G, Cacoub P, et al. The comprehensive outcomes of hepatitis C virus infection: A multi-faceted chronic disease. *J Viral Hepat.* 2018 Nov;25 Suppl 3:6-14
26. Brouard C, Le Strat Y, Larsen C, Jauffret-Roustide M, Lot F, Pillonel J. The undiagnosed chronically-infected HCV population in France. Implications for expanded testing recommendations in 2014. *PLoS One.* 2015 May 1;10(5):e0126920.
27. Martin NK, Thornton A, Hickman M, et al. Can Hepatitis C Virus (HCV) Direct-Acting Antiviral Treatment as Prevention Reverse the HCV Epidemic Among Men Who Have Sex With Men in the United Kingdom? *Epidemiological and Modeling Insights.* *Clin Infect Dis.* 2016 May 1;62(9):1072-1080.
28. Cousien A, Tran VC, Deuffic-Burban S, Jauffret-Roustide M, Dhersin JS, Yazdanpanah Y. Hepatitis C treatment as prevention of viral transmission and liver-related morbidity in persons who inject drugs. *Hepatology.* 2016 Apr;63(4):1090-101.
29. Innes H, Goldberg D, Dillon J, Hutchinson SJ. Strategies for the treatment of Hepatitis C in an era of interferon-free therapies: what public health outcomes do we value most? *Gut.* 2015 Nov;64(11):1800-9
30. Deuffic-Burban S, Huneau A, Verleene A, et al. Assessing the cost-effectiveness of hepatitis C screening strategies in France. *J Hepatol.* 2018 Oct;69(4):785-792.
31. Deuffic-Burban. Presentation at 2nd European Union (EU) HCV Policy summit 2018.
32. Chhatwal J. Is hepatitis elimination cost saving? Presentation at 2nd European Union (EU) HCV Policy summit 2018.

- 1  
2  
3 33. Chhatwal J, Wang X, Ayer T, et al. Hepatitis C Disease Burden in the United States  
4 in the era of oral direct-acting antivirals. *Hepatology*. 2016 Nov;64(5):1442-145.  
5  
6  
7 34. Peck-Radosavljevic M. Presentation at 2nd European Union (EU) HCV Policy  
8 summit 2018.  
9  
10 35. Chhatwal J. Innovative financing of HCV Elimination. Presentation at 2nd  
11 European Union (EU) HCV Policy summit 2018.  
12  
13 36. Crocker-Buque T, Mounier-Jack S. The International Finance Facility for  
14 Immunisation: stakeholders' perspectives. *Bull World Health Organ*. 2016 Sep  
15 1;94(9):687-693.  
16  
17  
18 37. Papandreou GA. Presentation at 2nd European Union (EU) HCV Policy summit  
19 2018.  
20  
21  
22 38. Razavi H. Financing solutions for hepatitis elimination in low and middle income  
23 countries. Presentation at 2nd European Union (EU) HCV Policy summit 2018.  
24  
25  
26 39. Schatz E. Presentation at 2nd European Union (EU) HCV Policy summit 2018.  
27  
28 40. Baptista-Leite R. Presentation at 2nd European Union (EU) HCV Policy summit  
29 2018.  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

## FIGURE LEGENDS

**Figure 1.** The WHO targets for HCV elimination at two time points (2020 and 2030).

**Figure 2.** The number of people in the European Union (EU) that need to be screened, diagnosed and treated annually to reach the 2030 WHO elimination targets. The EU needs to diagnose 160,000 & treat 180,000 annually to reach the 2030 WHO elimination targets. The number of people that need to be screened (each year) will have to increase to find the remaining undiagnosed individuals.

**Figure 3.** In many European countries the initial increase in the treated patients after the implementation of Direct acting antivirals (DAAs) was followed by a decline of treated patients indicating that it is difficult to continue to treat the same number of patients.

**Figure 4.** Cost of HCV Elimination versus Status Quo in the United Kingdom over the next twenty years. In the elimination scenario an initial investment is needed, but then a significant reduction in the cost is expected.

**Figure 5.** Total cost of hepatitis C Elimination versus Status Quo in the United Kingdom. With elimination scenario, saving 1.7 billion dollars is estimated.

**Figure 6.** An “HCV bond” could be an effective to finance hepatitis C elimination since after few years the marginal cost is negative resulting in cost saving.

## Appendix 1

Call to Action to “Secure sustainable funding for viral hepatitis C elimination plans”

The hepatitis C virus (HCV) is a global public health threat with significant morbidity and mortality. Due to its asymptomatic nature, it is also a silent epidemic with an estimated 71 million infected globally, but only 20% diagnosed.

In 2013, the introduction of new, safe and effective treatments for HCV created an opportunity to eliminate the disease. However, in some settings, this development represents a serious challenge for countries’ health spending as they are faced, for the first time in history, with a fast-acting curative treatment for a widespread chronic viral disease which offers little risk of resistance or relapse. In addition to treatment costs, the cost of scaling up testing to find the non-identified infected individuals and implementing effective models of care for diverse populations, represent an additional challenge.

Greater efforts are needed to ensure that all elements of cost of HCV elimination, which include surveillance, monitoring, awareness, screening, prevention and treatment programs, are affordable. Preliminary data suggest that the WHO HCV elimination strategy may be cost-saving for many countries. That is the savings related to preventing and treating HCV may exceed the cost of HCV elimination, providing good value for money given the high initial spending. Strategic Direction 4 of the WHO Global Health Sector Strategy on Viral Hepatitis 2016-2021 “Financing for sustainability” sets out financing recommendations for a sustainable hepatitis response, outlining actions to raise revenue to pay for viral hepatitis interventions and services, set-up financial risk protection, and improve efficiency in the use of health system resources.

On 17 February 2016, the launch of the HCV Elimination Manifesto “Our vision for a Hepatitis C-free Europe”, provided a starting point for action to make HCV and its elimination in Europe an explicit public health priority. With patients, civil society groups and other relevant stakeholders directly involved in developing and implementing HCV elimination strategies, now is the time to take the next step further and make the HCV elimination affordable.

We, the signatories of the Call to Action “Secure sustainable funding for hepatitis C elimination plans” are committed to HCV elimination in Europe.

In line with the 2015 United Nations’ General Assembly Resolution “Transforming our world: the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development”, in line with the Sustainable Goal 3 Good Health and Well-Being and its Target 3.3 to Fight Communicable Diseases, in line with the 2014 World Health Assembly’s Resolution 67.6 on hepatitis, in line with the 2016 HCV Elimination Manifesto, in line with the WHO Global Health Sector Strategy on Viral Hepatitis 2016-2021 “Towards ending

1  
2  
3 viral hepatitis”, and in order to encourage policy-makers to fulfil the Strategic  
4 Direction 4 of the WHO Global Health Sector Strategy on Viral Hepatitis 2016-2021,  
5 and make HCV elimination affordable, we are calling on the European decision  
6 makers to:  
7  
8

- 9  
10 1. Ensure that countries have a comprehensive, costed hepatitis C elimination  
11 strategy in place, including a comprehensive monitoring along with the cascade of  
12 care in line with the criteria developed by ECDC and WHO Europe;  
13
- 14 2. Determine their country-level disease and economic burden of HCV by measuring  
15 direct and indirect socio-economic cost to improve the response towards HCV  
16 elimination, paying attention to the close link between HCV and HIV in some  
17 populations;  
18
- 19 3. Develop country and population-specific models, as viral hepatitis investment  
20 cases, to estimate lifetime costs, quality-adjusted life expectancy, and incremental  
21 cost-effectiveness ratios of different screening and treatment strategies with  
22 comparison with no action;  
23
- 24 4. Allocate sufficient resources for training and research, developing robust models  
25 of care for tackling HCV, and urgently and effectively fulfil Strategic Directions 2, 4  
26 and 5 of the WHO Global Health Sector Strategy;  
27
- 28 5. Recognize the need for the European Union to engage in HCV elimination by  
29 establishing a clear political road map and call for European financial institutions to  
30 raise public or private funding and use elimination programs as a development tool;  
31
- 32 6. Encourage and engage all the stakeholders to collaborate in the development of  
33 innovative financing tools like social impact bonds and others, with the aim of  
34 launching new social services and financing prevention services, including harm  
35 reduction, contributing to HCV elimination in a sustainable way;  
36
- 37 7. Exchange and implement best practices on funding healthcare and HCV  
38 elimination, including via micro-elimination approaches, at the national, regional and  
39 local levels to meet the WHO elimination goals by 2030 and preferably much earlier.  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

## Appendix 2

Address to the 2nd EU HCV Summit “Securing sustainable funding for Hepatitis C Virus elimination plans” by George Papandreou, President of Socialist International and former prime minister of Greece.

I am honored to address the EU HCV Policy Summits organized by the Hepatitis B and C Public Policy Association, for a second time.

I understand that both hepatitis C virus infection and chronic hepatitis C are a public health hazard. Yet new safe and effective treatments can cure chronic hepatitis C. This represents an historical opportunity, not only to cure those infected but also to eliminate this disease globally.

The World Health Organization has affirmed this possibility by adopting the Global Health Sector Strategy to eliminate HCV by 2030. To succeed, we need both good diagnostic practices and delivery of treatment for those who are infected.

Still, the total cost of surveillance, awareness campaigns, screening, prevention and treatment remains too costly for many around the world. Not surprisingly, the economical burden is especially high for the EU countries.

What is however a crucial fact this Summit has highlighted -a fact that policy and decision makers need to seriously consider- is that the cost of elimination is lower than the cost of treating the long-term consequences of HCV, such as chronic hepatitis, cirrhosis and liver cancer.

So, investment towards HCV elimination not only provides cure from suffering but is also the best value for money.

We know of course that the up front investment will be high.

The message is that HCV elimination programs are highly cost-effective, cost-saving and life saving.

I had the opportunity in the previous Summit, to outline how European Financing Institutions could be induced to prepare financing tools and support national HCV elimination programs for the European countries.

I am glad to see that a major part of the analytical work has been finalized and the conclusions are presented here during today’s Summit.

So I would suggest that the next crucial step now, is for the EU Commission to prepare the legislative actions, to convincingly motivate the European Investment Bank and other European Financial Institutions to take this ambitious yet totally realistic project on board.

1  
2  
3 I can only conclude by congratulating you all, in this 2nd EU HCV Policy Summit on  
4 “Securing sustainable funding for Hepatitis C Virus elimination plans”.  
5  
6

7 I offer my wishes, and all my support for the best of success  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

For Peer Review



1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

For Peer Review

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60




FIGURE 1 1

Figure 1  
108x60mm (300 x 300 DPI)

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60




FIGURE 2

Figure 2  
108x60mm (300 x 300 DPI)

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60




FIGURE 3

Figure 3

108x60mm (300 x 300 DPI)

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60




FIGURE 4

Figure 4  
108x60mm (300 x 300 DPI)

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60





FIGURE 5

Figure 5  
108x60mm (300 x 300 DPI)

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



6 **FIGURE 6**

Figure 6  
108x60mm (300 x 300 DPI)