



University for the Common Good

Metatarsophalangeal joint pain in psoriatic arthritis: a cross-sectional study

Turner, Deborah E.; Hyslop, Elaine; Barn, Ruth; McInnes, Iain B.; Steultjens, Martijn P.M.; Woodburn, James

Published in: Rheumatology

DOI: 10.1093/rheumatology/ket435

Publication date: 2014

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Link to publication in ResearchOnline

Citation for published version (Harvard): Turner, DE, Hyslop, E, Barn, R, McInnes, IB, Steultjens, MPM & Woodburn, J 2014, 'Metatarsophalangeal joint pain in psoriatic arthritis: a cross-sectional study', *Rheumatology*, vol. 53, no. 4, pp. 737-740. https://doi.org/10.1093/rheumatology/ket435

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please view our takedown policy at https://edshare.gcu.ac.uk/id/eprint/5179 for details of how to contact us.

RHEUMATOLOGY

Concise report

Metatarsophalangeal joint pain in psoriatic arthritis: a cross-sectional study

Deborah E. Turner¹, Elaine Hyslop^{1,2}, Ruth Barn¹, Iain B. McInnes³, Martijn P. M. Steultjens¹ and James Woodburn¹

Abstract

Objective. The aim of this study was to identify independent predictors of pain at the MTP joints in patients with PsA.

Methods. Thirty-four consecutive patients with PsA (mean age 45.3 years, 65% female, mean disease duration 9.9 years) and 22 control participants (mean age 37.9 years, 64% female) underwent clinical and US examination to determine the presence of pain, swelling, synovitis, erosions, effusions and submetatarsal bursae at the MTP joints. Mean barefoot peak plantar pressures were determined at each MTP joint. Levels of pain, US-determined pathology and peak pressures were compared between groups. Binary logistic regression was used to identify demographic, clinical examination-derived, US-derived and plantar pressure predictors of pain at the MTP joints in the PsA group.

Results. The presence of pain, deformity, synovitis, erosions (P < 0.001) and submetatarsal bursae and peak plantar pressure at MTP 3 (P < 0.05) were significantly higher in the PsA group. MTP joint pain in PsA was independently predicted by high BMI, female gender and the presence of joint subluxation, synovitis and erosion.

Conclusion. These results suggest local inflammatory and structural factors, together with systemic factors (gender, BMI), are predominantly responsible for painful MTP joints in PsA, with no clear role for plantar pressure characteristics.

Key words: psoriatic arthritis, metatarsophalangeal joints, pain, ultrasound, plantar pressure.

Introduction

Forefoot structural damage and pain are common in patients with PsA [1–3] and has been shown to be associated with clinically important levels of impairment and disability [4]. While the magnitude of foot impairments and related disability in PsA is comparable to that reported in RA, to date, relatively few studies have integrated biomechanical and inflammatory measures to determine the underlying dominant mechanisms operating in PsA.

Submitted 13 June 2013; revised version accepted 7 November 2013.

Correspondence to: Deborah E. Turner, Institute for Applied Health Research, School of Health and Life Sciences, Glasgow Caledonian University, Cowcaddens Road, Glasgow G4 0BA, UK. E-mail: debbie.turner@gcu.ac.uk

US-detected active disease (synovial hypertrophy, effusion) at the MTP joints is common in PsA and is detected with twice the frequency of clinical examination techniques, but is poorly correlated to pain [3]. There are likely some parallels between some of the structural pathology seen at the MTP joints in PsA and those in RA, namely synovial hypertrophy, joint subluxation and erosive changes. PsA, however, has a distinct bone morphology, not least of which is the propensity for new bone formation arising alongside erosion. It is recognized that the causes of pain at the MTP joint level are complex and may not be wholly related to inflammation [3] and that increased mechanical pressure over structurally damaged metatarsal heads may be important [5-6]. Indeed, in RA, MTP joint peak pressures are elevated and significant relationships between high forefoot pressures, structural damage, pain and foot-related disability have been demonstrated [6-8]. Therefore we hypothesize that the same mechanisms may be equally important in PsA-but this has not been investigated. Thus the aim

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/), which permits non-commercial re-use, Downloaded from https://dstacedeuice.com/cit/second/cit/sec

¹Institute for Applied Health Research, School of Health and Life Sciences, Glasgow Caledonian University, Glasgow, UK, ²School of Health Sciences, NUI Galway, Galway, Ireland and ³Institute of Immunology, Infection and Inflammation, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, UK.

of this study was to identify independent predictors of forefoot pain at the MTP joints in patients with PsA.

Method

Local ethical approval was obtained from the West of Scotland Local Research Ethics Committee (reference 09/S0704/14) and NHS Greater Glasgow and Clyde Research and Development Committee (reference GN09RH181) for this study, which was conducted according to requirements of the Declaration of Helsinki. All study participants gave written informed consent. Patients with a confirmed diagnosis of PsA, based on the CIASsification criteria for Psoriatic Arthritis (CASPAR) [9], were consecutively recruited from rheumatology outpatient clinics at the Glasgow Royal Infirmary and control participants from healthy (i.e. asymptomatic) universitybased staff. In one data collection session, the feet of all participants were examined for MTP joint pain, tenderness and swelling, joint subluxation (i.e. dislocation or instability of the joint) and lesser toe bony deformity. Demographic data were collected, including disease duration, gender, age and BMI.

All participants underwent US examination using an Esaote Mylab 70 scanner (Esaote, Genoa, Italy) with multilinear 16–18 MHz probe. The sonographer was blinded to the clinical examination findings. All 10 MTP joints were scanned according to the protocol described by Szkudlarek *et al.* [10]. Grey scale synovitis, erosions and effusions were defined according to the OMERACT definition [11] and scored dichotomously. Submetatarsal bursae were defined according to Hooper *et al.* [12]. Power Doppler (PD) signal was assessed with a pulse repetition frequency of 750 Hz, low wall filter, gain adjusted until the background signal was removed and

minimal probe pressure. Barefoot mean peak plantar pressures were determined for each metatarsal head derived from five walking trials using an Emed X system (Novel GmbH, Munich, Germany) [13].

Mann-Whitney *U* and chi-square tests were used to compare the PsA and healthy control groups. To identify predictors of MTP joint pain, a binary logistic regression analysis was performed. The dependent variable was the presence or absence of pain in the joint upon clinical examination. Age, gender, other features found on clinical examination (swelling, deformation, subluxation) or US examination (synovitis, erosion, effusion, PD, bursae) and plantar pressure were included in the initial model as potential predictors. Through a process of backward stepwise elimination, the model was reduced to include only those predictors with a *P*-value below the removal threshold value (*P*-out) of 0.10. This regression analysis was performed at the individual joint level, i.e. K = 340 joints from N = 34 participants.

Results

Thirty-four PsA patients and 22 control participants were recruited. A total of 340 and 220 MTP joints were examined in the PsA and control groups, respectively. The demographics, clinical and US features and peak plantar foot pressures are presented in Table 1. In the PsA group, 129 joints were found to be painful, compared with no joints in the control group. Although subluxation and deformity of the joints were found in the control group, these were more prevalent in the PsA group. Effusion was the most prevalent US feature in both groups (46% PsA, 41% control). The prevalence of synovitis and erosion were both 14% in the PsA group, while these were absent in the control group. For these features, additional

TABLE 1 Demographics and clinical, US and biomechanical features

	PsA (<i>n</i> = 34)	Control (<i>n</i> = 22)	Test result	P-value
Age, mean (s.d.) (range), years	45.3 (13.0) (21–71)	37.9 (10.4) (22–60)	t=2.24, df=54	0.03
Female, n (%)	22 (64.7)	14 (63.6)	$\chi^2 = 0.01$, df = 1	0.94
BMI, mean (s.d.) (range)	25.1 (3.7) (18.8-32.9)	24.4 (2.7) (20.2–28.8)	t = 0.81, df = 54	0.42
Clinically painful MTP joints, n (%)	129 (32)	0 (0)	$\chi^2 = 109.2, df = 1$	< 0.001
Clinically swollen MTP joints, n (%)	10 (3)	0 (0)	Fisher's exact test	0.08
Clinically subluxed MTP joints, n (%)	173 (51)	40 (18)	$\chi^2 = 60.6$, df = 1	< 0.001
Clinically deformed MTP joints, n (%)	233 (69)	53 (24)	$\chi^2 = 105.6$, df = 1	< 0.001
US synovitis MTP joints, n (%)	47 (14)	0 (0)	$\chi^2 = 33.2, df = 1$	< 0.001
US erosion MTP joints, n (%)	46 (14)	0 (0)	$\chi^2 = 32.4$, df = 1	< 0.001
US effusion MTP joints, n (%)	158 (46)	91 (41)	$\chi^2 = 1.41$, df = 1	0.24
US PD-positive MTP joints, n (%)	6 (2)	0 (0)	Fisher's exact test	0.086
US MTP joint bursa, n (%)	92 (27)	42 (19)	$\chi^2 = 4.66$, df = 1	0.03
PP MTP joint 1, median (IQR)	263.1 (186.3-488)	318.3 (266.7-397.5)	U = 1253, z = -1.265	0.206
PP MTP joint 2, median (IQR)	420.5 (313.3-667.3)	364 (291–467.5)	U = 1139, z = -1.955	0.051
PP MTP joint 3, median (IQR)	396.5 (317.5-392)	330 (267-392)	<i>U</i> = 979.5, <i>z</i> = -2.921	0.003
PP MTP joint 4, median (IQR)	255 (202-299.5)	235 (198-301.7)	<i>U</i> = 1302, <i>z</i> = -0.969	0.333
PP MTP joint 5, median (IQR)	228.5 (130.4-315.8)	163 (118–341.7)	<i>U</i> = 1248, <i>z</i> = -1.295	0.195

PP: plantar pressure; IQR: interquartile range; *t*: Student's independent groups *t*-test result; df: degrees of freedom; χ^2 : chi-square test for independence; *U*: Mann-Whitney *U* test result with Monte Carlo exact significance; *z*: *z* score.

 TABLE 2 Binary logistic regression model of independent predictors for MTP joint pain in PsA

Predictor variable	OR (95% CI)	<i>P</i> -value
Female gender	2.07 (1.20, 3.58)	0.009
BMI	1.09 (1.02, 1.17)	0.013
Subluxation (clinical examination)	1.65 (1.01, 2.69)	0.047
US-detected synovitis	6.47 (2.99, 14.00)	< 0.001
US-detected erosion	2.38 (1.16, 4.86)	0.018

OR: odds ratio.

tests (results not shown) revealed that these group differences were consistent between joints, i.e. not linked to a specific joint location. Peak plantar pressure was different between groups for MTP 3 and showed a borderline significant difference for MTP 2, with the PsA group showing higher pressure.

The final binary logistic regression model identifying independent predictors of joint pain in the PsA group is presented in Table 2. Summary statistics for this model were -2 log likelihood = 394.373 and Nagelkerke R^2 = 0.204. Age, swelling and deformity on clinical examination, US-derived effusion, PD and bursa and plantar pressure were dropped from the model in the course of the backward elimination process, leaving female gender, higher BMI and the presence of subluxation, synovitis and erosion as significant predictors of MTP joint pain. Additional per-MTP analyses (data not shown) confirmed this overall model. Plantar pressure significantly predicted pain for MTP 4 only, while US-derived effusion significantly predicted pain in MTP 5.

Discussion

This study has identified that MTP joints that are subluxed and have US-proven synovitis or erosion in female PsA patients with higher BMI are most likely to be painful. No clear role for plantar pressure was found in explaining PsA-related MTP joint pain.

Anatomical site-specific models of disease burden and pain have been studied in other arthritic conditions. In RA, plantar pressure has been shown to be elevated at the MTP joints, and this has been associated with pain and disability [6-8]. In this PsA study, these results could not be replicated, with limited evidence for elevated plantar pressure and for plantar pressure predicting which joints are painful. Studies in OA have previously shown the value of combining measures of inflammation and function [17-18]. In contrast to the findings reported here, US features of synovitis did not correlate with MTP pain, but reduced joint function correlated with joint space narrowing and osteophytosis in OA [17]. Moreover, in hand OA, synovial pathology has been shown to be variably associated with some measures of pain and impaired function [18]. Comparing these findings with the present results, which are dominated by inflammatory factors, indicates that models of joint pain may be disease and joint specific. A different balance between inflammatory and mechanical factors may exist even in superficially similar arthritic disorders.

There are several potential limitations to this study. First, the sample size is relatively small. Second, no causal inferences can be made in this cross-sectional data set. Finally, the assessment of joint pain was done in a qualitative (absent/present) manner during clinical examination and did not take into account pain severity or frequency, nor the subjective nature of pain reporting.

In summary, this work supports an explanatory model of peripheral joint pain in PsA that includes local inflammatory and structural factors as well as systemic characteristics (gender, BMI).

Rheumatology key messages

- MTP joint pain and other foot impairments are common in PsA.
- Synovitis, erosion and subluxation of the MTPs predict MTP pain in PsA.
- Plantar pressure is not linked to MTP pain in PsA.

Funding: Grant support was received from Arthritis Research UK (grant references 17832 and 18381) to D.T. and R.B.

Disclosure statement: The authors have declared no conflicts of interest.

References

- 1 Ghanem N, Uhl M, Pache G *et al*. MRI in psoriatic arthritis with hand and foot involvement. Rheumatol Int 2007;27: 387-93.
- 2 Erdem CZ, Tekin NS, Sarikaya S et al. MR imaging features of foot involvement in patients with psoriasis. Eur J Radiol 2008;67:521–5.
- 3 Delle Sedie A, Rient L, Fillipucci E et al. Ultrasound imaging for the rheumatologist. XXXII. Sonographic assessment of the foot in patients with psoriatic arthritis. Clin Exp Rheumatol 2011;29:217-22.
- 4 Hyslop E, McInnes IB, Woodburn J *et al*. Foot problems in psoriatic arthritis: high burden and low care provision. Ann Rheum Dis 2010;69:928.
- 5 Tuna H, Birtane M, Tastekin N *et al.* Pedobarography and its relation to radiologic erosion scores in rheumatoid arthritis. Rheumatol Int 2005;26:42–7.
- 6 van der Leeden M, Steltjens M, Dekker JHM et al. Forefoot joint damage, pain and disability in rheumatoid arthritis patients with foot complaints: the role of plantar pressure and gait characteristics. Rheumatology 2006;45:465-9.
- 7 Turner DE, Helliwell PS, Siegel KL *et al.* Biomechanics of the foot in rheumatoid arthritis: identifying abnormal function and the factors associated with localised disease 'impact'. Clin Biomech 2008;23:93–100.
- 8 Siddle HJ, Hodgson RJ, O'Connor P et al. Magnetic resonance arthrography of lesser metatarsophalangeal joints

in patients with rheumatoid arthritis: relationship to clinical, biomechanical and radiographic variables. J Rheumatol 2012;39:1786–91.

- 9 Taylor W, Gladman D, Helliwell P *et al*. Classification criteria for psoriatic arthritis: development of new criteria from a large international study. Arthritis Rheum 2006;54: 2665-2673.
- 10 Szkudlarek M, Narvestad E, Klarlund M *et al*. Ultrasonography of the metatarsophalangeal joints in rheumatoid arthritis: comparison with magnetic resonance imaging, conventional radiography, and clinical examination. Arthritis Rheum 2004;50:2103-12.
- 11 Wakefield RJ, Balint PV, Szkudlarek M et al. Musculoskeletal ultrasound including definitions for ultrasonographic pathology. J Rheumatol 2005;32: 2485-7.
- 12 Hooper L, Bowen CJ, Gates L *et al.* Prognostic indicators of foot-related disability in patients with rheumatoid arthritis: results of a prospective three-year study. Arthritis Care Res 2012;64:1116-24.
- 13 Turner DE, Helliwell PS, Emery P et al. The impact of rheumatoid arthritis on foot function in the early stages of

disease: a clinical case series. BMC Musculoskelet Disord 2006;21:102.

- 14 Healy PJ, Groves C, Chandramohan M et al. MRI changes in psoriatic dactylitis—extent of pathology, relationship to tenderness and correlation with clinical indices. Rheumatology 2008;47:92–5.
- 15 Wiell C, Szkudlarek M, Hasselquist M *et al.* Ultrasonography, magnetic resonance imaging, radiography, and clinical assessment of inflammatory and destructive changes in fingers and toes of patients with psoriatic arthritis. Arthritis Res Ther 2007;9:R119.
- 16 Koski JM. Ultrasound detection of plantar bursitis of the forefoot in patients with early rheumatoid arthritis. J Rheumatol 1998;25:229–30.
- 17 Keen HI, Redmond A, Wakefield RJ *et al*. An ultrasonographic study of metatarsophalangeal joint pain: synovitis, structural pathology and their relationship to symptoms and function. Ann Rheum Dis 2011;70:2140-3.
- 18 Keen HI, Wakefield RJ, Grainger AJ *et al.* An ultrasonographic study of osteoarthritis of the hand: synovitis and its relationship to structural pathology and symptoms. Arthritis Rheum 2008;59:1756-63.