



Hamer, K. and Busin, V. (2020) Harnessing technology to control lameness in sheep. *Veterinary Record*, 186(12), pp. 382-383. (doi: [10.1136/vr.m927](https://doi.org/10.1136/vr.m927)).

This is the author's final accepted version.

There may be differences between this version and the published version. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite from it.

<http://eprints.gla.ac.uk/213201/>

Deposited on: 01 April 2020

Enlighten – Research publications by members of the University of Glasgow
<http://eprints.gla.ac.uk>

1 Harnessing technology for lameness control in sheep

2 Kim Hamer, Valentina Busin

3 University of Glasgow, School of Veterinary Medicine, Garscube Estate, Glasgow, Scotland, G61 1QH

4

5 Lameness is a significant production limiting health issue, thought to account for £24 to £84 million
6 worth of lost income per year in the UK sheep industry [1]. It is also considered to substantially
7 impair animal welfare in affected animals [2,3]. In 2004, the estimated incidence of lameness in the
8 UK national flock was approximately 10% [4], 90% of which were attributable to footrot (FR). In the
9 2011 Opinion on Lameness in Sheep, the Farm Animal Welfare Council set targets to reduce
10 lameness in sheep to 5% by 2016 and to 2% by 2021 [5]. Subsequently recommended on-farm
11 control measures for FR were revised [6], based on expanding knowledge of the aetiology and
12 epidemiology of FR in sheep, with *Dichelobacter Nodosus* now recognised as the initiating agent of
13 disease [7]. Among the solutions advocated to address this widespread problem, the identification
14 and management of environmental risk factors was deemed of high importance for the control of
15 lameness in flocks.

16 On page ... of this week's issue of the Veterinary Record, Vittis and Kaler have presented a study in
17 which data was collected by farmers using their smartphone and an online app and correlated with
18 data from the Meteorological Office and the British Geological Survey. In this study increasing soil
19 selenium concentrations appear to provide a protective effect and complements findings of trials
20 carried out in the USA, where FR recovery rates appeared to increase with selenium
21 supplementation [8,9]. This factor is particularly relevant, as globally, large areas of agricultural land
22 have suboptimal and potentially decreasing levels of selenium in soil, and high rainfall can impact on
23 pasture selenium content [10]. The reduction in lameness on farms with 'mudstone, siltstone and
24 sandstone' however contrasts with previous data showing that clay (mudstone equivalent) soils
25 favour the survival of *D. nodosus* [11]. Also, this is a more difficult risk factor to address within farms,
26 as soil improvement or adjustment is possible but limited within the constraints of the geological

1 setting. They conclude that further investigation is needed to determine what degree of soil
2 improvements and what specific materials would have a significant and positive effect on reducing
3 lameness levels.

4 Other environmental factors investigated by Vittis and Kaler include temperature and precipitation,
5 showing that rising temperature increased the risk of lameness, while precipitation did not show a
6 statistically significant impact on lameness. For temperature, this is in agreement with Smith et al.,
7 2014, but not for precipitation where there was an association demonstrated [12]. Furthermore, *D.*
8 *nodosus* survival has been shown to be prolonged at 5°C compared with either 15°C [13] or 25°C
9 [11]. Therefore the impact of climate on lameness and FR appears not as straightforward as one
10 could assume. Nevertheless, the geographical location of the farms in Vittis and Kaler's study must
11 be taken into account when interpreting this data, as the majority of the farms were located in
12 Wales and central England, areas renowned for high humidity and temperate climate.

13 As well as environmental factors, some management factors were also assessed. Grass length has
14 been proposed for many years as a potential risk factor for lameness in sheep. Vittis and Kaler [14],
15 as well as Angel et al., 2018 before them, [15] have provided evidence of an association between
16 longer grass length (over 10cm) and increased lameness. Their data also suggests that larger flock
17 size has lower levels of lameness, which they attribute to potentially better management strategies
18 and density dependent factors. Finally, lambs had lower level of lameness when compared to sheep
19 over 1 year of age.

20 At the same time, the study by Vittis and Kaler has also shown the potential for on-farm application
21 of technology and data recording to provide practical solutions to animal diseases. There is
22 increasing emphasis on the application of precision livestock farming (PLF), where continuous,
23 machine-based monitoring of health parameters could maximise animal welfare and productivity
24 [16]. The central core to achieving such ambitious aspirations, is to combine the latest technology
25 with the power of data gathering and analysis. The innovative approach used in the study reported

1 on page, combines data already available from the British Geological Survey on soil types and
2 composition and from the Meteorological Office on climate (precipitation and temperature) with
3 grass length measurements using a sward stick and epidemiological data, collected by farmers via a
4 purposely built smartphone app.

5 Smartphones are currently owned by 3.5billion people around the world (almost half of the world's
6 population) [17] and their power is apparently greater than the computer which landed the first
7 rocket on the Moon [18]. Traditional farm monitoring (pen and paper) is highly prone to human
8 error and extremely time-consuming, while the combination of electronic ear tags for accurate
9 animal identification and the speed offered by app technology for immediate recording and sharing
10 of data, have significantly changed our perception and the application of on-farm data gathering and
11 subsequent analysis.

12 In conclusion, the insight of this work into the impact of environmental and management factors on
13 lameness in sheep allows us to expand the potential control strategies available to vets and farmers
14 to efficiently tackle lameness, and FR in particular, in flocks. Vittis and Kaler have set the scene for
15 further research to determine the extent to which these factors influence lameness levels on farms
16 and how that knowledge can be harnessed to produce real differences in lameness levels. This will
17 be particularly relevant in areas where the climate and soil type support the maintenance of
18 infectious causes of lameness in sheep flocks. They have also shown the potential benefit that
19 technology can bring to data collection and disease monitoring, an area unfortunately still lacking in
20 many sheep farms and a practical application (smartphone app) of such technologies.

21 WHAT YOU NEED TO KNOW

- 22 • Increased soil selenium levels appears to have a protective effect against lameness in sheep
- 23 • High pasture sward length (over 10cm) increases the incidence of lameness in sheep
- 24 • Climate impacts on lameness, although within the constraints of the UK environment the
25 true impact is not yet clear

- 1 • Sheep have increased risk of lameness over 1 year old
- 2 • Smartphone technology can be harnessed to improve data collection, disease monitoring
- 3 and decision making

4

5 References

- 6 1. Nieuwhof GJ, Bishop SC. Costs of the major endemic diseases of sheep in Great Britain and
7 the potential benefits of reduction in disease impact. *Anim Sci.* 2005;81(01):23–9.
- 8 2. Fitzpatrick J, Scott M, Nolan A. Assessment of pain and welfare in sheep. *Small Ruminant Res.*
9 2006;62(1–2 SPEC. ISS.):55–61.
- 10 3. Goddard P, Waterhouse T, Dwyer C, et al. The perception of the welfare of sheep in extensive
11 systems. *Small Ruminant Res.* 2006;62(3):215–25.
- 12 4. Kaler J, Green LE. Naming and recognition of six foot lesions of sheep using written and
13 pictorial information: A study of 809 English sheep farmers. *Prev Vet Med.* 2008;83(1):52–64.
- 14 5. FAWC. Opinion on Lameness in Sheep [*Internet*]. 2011 [cited 2020 Feb 13]. Available from:
15 [https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_d](https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/325039/FAWC_opinion_on_sheep_lameness.pdf)
16 [ata/file/325039/FAWC_opinion_on_sheep_lameness.pdf](https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/325039/FAWC_opinion_on_sheep_lameness.pdf)
- 17 6. Clements RH, Stoye SC. The “Five Point Plan”: a successful tool for reducing lameness in
18 sheep. *Vet Rec.* 2014;175(9):225.
- 19 7. Witcomb LA, Green LE, Kaler J, et al. A longitudinal study of the role of *Dichelobacter nodosus*
20 and *Fusobacterium necrophorum* load in initiation and severity of footrot in sheep. *Prev Vet*
21 *Med.* 2014;115(1–2):48–55.
- 22 8. Hall JA, Bailey DP, Thonstad KN, et al. Effect of Parenteral Selenium Administration to Sheep
23 on Prevalence and Recovery from Footrot. *J Vet Intern Med.* 2009;23(2):352–8

- 1 9. Hall JA, Vorachek WR, Stewart WC, et al. Selenium Supplementation Restores Innate and
2 Humoral Immune Responses in Footrot-Affected Sheep. Chakravortty D, editor. *PLoS One*.
3 2013;8(12):e82572.
- 4 10. Jones GD, Droz B, Greve P, et al. Selenium deficiency risk predicted to increase under future
5 climate change. *Proc Natl Acad Sci*. 2017;114(11):2848–53.
- 6 11. Muzafar M, Green LE, Calvo-Bado LA, et al. Survival of the ovine footrot pathogen
7 *Dichelobacter nodosus* in different soils. *Anaerobe*. 2016;38:81–7.
- 8 12. Smith EM, Green ODJ, Calvo-Bado LA, et al. Dynamics and impact of footrot and climate on
9 hoof horn length in 50 ewes from one farm over a period of 10 months. *Vet J*.
10 2014;201(3):295–301.
- 11 13. Cederlöf SE, Hansen T, Klaas IC, et al. An evaluation of the ability of *Dichelobacter nodosus* to
12 survive in soil. *Acta Vet Scand*. 2013;55(1):4.
- 13 14. Vittis Y, Kaler J. Environmental and field characteristics associated with lameness in sheep: A
14 study using a smartphone lameness app for data recording. *Vet Rec*. 2020;
- 15 15. Angell JW, Grove-White DH, Duncan JS. Sheep and farm level factors associated with footrot:
16 A longitudinal repeated cross-sectional study of sheep on six farms in the UK. *Vet Rec*.
17 2018;182(10):293–293.
- 18 16. Berckmans D. Precision livestock farming technologies for welfare management in intensive
19 livestock systems. *OIE Rev Sci Tech*. 2014;33(1):189–96.
- 20 17. Silver L. Smartphone Ownership Is Growing Rapidly Around the World, but Not Always
21 Equally [Internet]. *Pew Research Centre*. 2019 [cited 2020 Feb 12]. Available from:
22 [https://www.pewresearch.org/global/2019/02/05/smartphone-ownership-is-growing-](https://www.pewresearch.org/global/2019/02/05/smartphone-ownership-is-growing-rapidly-around-the-world-but-not-always-equally/)
23 [rapidly-around-the-world-but-not-always-equally/](https://www.pewresearch.org/global/2019/02/05/smartphone-ownership-is-growing-rapidly-around-the-world-but-not-always-equally/)

- 1 18. Quesada-González D, Merkoçi A. Mobile phone-based biosensing: An emerging “diagnostic
- 2 and communication” technology. *Biosens Bioelectron.* 2017;92:549–62.

3