

Journal Pre-proof



Efficacy of carbohydrate supplementation compared to bolus insulin dose reduction around exercise in adults with type 1 diabetes: a retrospective controlled analysis

Max L. Eckstein, Olivia McCarthy, Norbert J. Tripolt, Alexander Müller, Philipp Birnbaumer, Peter N. Pferschy, Peter Hofmann, Richard M. Bracken, Harald Sourij, Othmar Moser

PII: S1499-2671(20)30076-9

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcjd.2020.03.003>

Reference: JCJD 1270

To appear in: *Canadian journal of Diabetics*

Received Date: 9 November 2019

Revised Date: 10 March 2020

Accepted Date: 10 March 2020

Please cite this article as: Eckstein ML, McCarthy O, Tripolt NJ, Müller A, Birnbaumer P, Pferschy PN, Hofmann P, Bracken RM, Sourij H, Moser O, Efficacy of carbohydrate supplementation compared to bolus insulin dose reduction around exercise in adults with type 1 diabetes: a retrospective controlled analysis, *Canadian Journal of Diabetes* (2020), doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcjd.2020.03.003>.

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2020 Canadian Diabetes Association.

1 **Efficacy of carbohydrate supplementation compared to bolus insulin dose**
2 **reduction around exercise in adults with type 1 diabetes: a retrospective**
3 **controlled analysis**

4 Max L Eckstein ¹, Olivia McCarthy ², Norbert J Tripolt ¹, Alexander Müller ³, Philipp Birnbaumer ³,
5 Peter N Pferschy ¹, Peter Hofmann ³, Richard M Bracken ², Harald Sourij ¹, Othmar Moser ¹

6 ¹ Cardiovascular Diabetology Research Group, Division of Endocrinology and Diabetology, Medical
7 University of Graz, Graz, AT

8 ² Applied Sport, Technology, Exercise and Medicine Research Centre (A-STEM), Swansea University,
9 Swansea, UK

10 ³ Exercise Physiology, Training and Training Therapy Research Group, Institute of Sports Science,
11 University of Graz, Graz, Austria

12

13 Corresponding author: Othmar Moser

14 E-Mail: othmar.moser@medunigraz.at

15 Telephone: +43 316 380 72091

16 Word count, abstract: 208

17 Word count, main text: 2171

18

19 Funding Sources:

20 These two clinical trials were supported by Novo Nordisk A/S (DRKS00013509) and an unrestricted
21 grant from Novo Nordisk Austria (DRKS00013477).

22

23 Conflict of interest disclosure

24 M.L.E. has received a KESS2/European Social Fund scholarship and travel grants from Novo Nordisk
25 A/S and Sanofi-Aventis, research grants from Sanofi-Aventis and Dexcom. R.M.B. reports having
26 received honoraria, travel and educational grant support from Boehringer-Ingelheim, Eli Lilly and
27 Company, Novo Nordisk and Sanofi- Aventis. H.S. has received honoraria, travel support or
28 unrestricted research grants by Amgen, Astra Zeneca, Boehringer-Ingelheim, Eli Lilly, MSD, Novo
29 Nordisk and Sanofi-Aventis. O.M. has received lecture fees from Medtronic, travel grants from Novo
30 Nordisk A/S, Novo Nordisk AT, Novo Nordisk UK, Medtronic AT, Sanofi-Aventis, research grants from
31 Sêr Cymru II COFUND fellowship/European Union, Novo Nordisk A/S, Dexcom, Sanofi-Aventis and
32 Novo Nordisk AT as well as material funding from Abbott Diabetes Care.

33 The remaining authors have no relevant conflict of interest to disclose.

34

35

36

37 Abstract:

38 Introduction

39 Individuals with type 1 diabetes try to manage the risk of exercise-induced hypoglycemia by means of
40 pre-exercise/pre-meal bolus insulin dose reductions and/or consuming additional carbohydrates
41 during exercise. Both strategies have proven to be effective in offsetting the occurrence against
42 hypoglycemia, it is unclear as to which one is more beneficial. Consequently, the aim of this study
43 was to assess the efficacy of carbohydrate supplementation in comparison to bolus insulin dose
44 reduction to prevent hypoglycemia during moderate-intensity exercise in individuals with type 1
45 diabetes.

46 Methods

47 This was a retrospective controlled analysis of two independent clinical trials. All participants
48 performed a continuous moderate-intensity cycle ergometer exercise session for ~45 minutes. Two
49 different therapy management groups and a control group were compared: Group (A) supplemented
50 15 – 30 g carbohydrates at a glycemic threshold of 7.0 mmol/L during exercise, group (B) reduced
51 their individual bolus insulin dose by 50% with their last meal prior to exercise and group (C)
52 remained as a control.

53 Results

54 No hypoglycemic events occurred in group A, which differed to each four events recorded in groups
55 B ($p = 0.02$) and C ($p = 0.02$).

56 Conclusion

57 Carbohydrate supplementation was superior to bolus insulin reductions in the prevention of
58 hypoglycemia during exercise in people with type 1 diabetes.

59

60 Keywords: Physical Exercise, Type 1 Diabetes, Insulin Therapy, Carbohydrates

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69 Introduction

70 Physical exercise has become an important option in the adjuvant therapy and management of type
71 1 diabetes (T1D) [1]. Due to the specific nature of its pathology, individuals are reliant on exogenous
72 insulin either via multiple daily insulin injections (MDI) or continuous subcutaneous insulin infusion
73 (CSII) [2]. In combination with intermittently scanned or continuous glucose monitoring measuring
74 glucose, the management of T1D has advanced over the last decades. The induced improved
75 glycemic management induce several benefits such as increased quality of life [3,4] and reduction of
76 diabetes-specific comorbidities [5,6]. Nevertheless, the management of glycaemia around exercise is
77 an intricately complex task, especially when considering the guidelines to achieve a blood glucose
78 (BG) between 7.0 mmol/L to 10.0 mmol/L commencing exercise [7–9]. For most individuals with T1D
79 the goal is the absolute avoidance of hypoglycemia around exercise. However, especially during
80 physical exercise, the fear of hypoglycaemia is still understandable since hypoglycaemia can severely
81 impact autonomic health which remains a major hurdle to regularly perform physical exercise safely
82 [10,11].

83 Studies have introduced concepts to reduce the risk of exercise-induced hypoglycemia. Strategies
84 include reductions to bolus or basal insulin dose prior to exercise [12–15] and/or threshold-based
85 carbohydrate (CHO) supplementation during exercise for adults [16] and adolescents with T1D [17].
86 It is unclear which of these approaches are most efficacious in avoiding hypoglycemia and reducing
87 glycemic disturbances during exercise in individuals with T1D. The aim of this analysis was to assess
88 the efficacy of CHO supplementation versus bolus insulin dose reductions to prevent hypoglycemia
89 during exercise in individuals with T1D.

90

91 Methods

92 This retrospective analysis consisted of two clinical trials investigating insulin dose reduction
93 strategies for moderate-intensity exercise [13,16]. Data from 18 adults with T1D on a stable basal
94 insulin degludec- (Tresiba®, Novo Nordisk A/S, DEN) and bolus insulin aspart-therapy (Novo Nordisk
95 A/S, DEN) were included. All procedures in this trial were performed according to Good Clinical
96 Practice and the Declaration of Helsinki. Participants were divided into three groups, two of which
97 were tested in a crossover design with a minimum washout period of one week between each
98 exercise session (groups B and C). The remaining Group A supplemented 15 – 30 g of fluid CHO (72%
99 glucose and 28% fructose) or glucose gel (67% glucose and 33% fructose) at a glycemic threshold of
100 7.0 mmol/L during exercise with no pre-exercise bolus insulin reduction. Blood and interstitial
101 glucose was measured every 7 minutes during the exercise test. If a participant's BG was close to
102 reaching the threshold of 7.0 mmol/L (within 1.0 mmol/L), then measurements were repeated at 3-
103 minute intervals. Group A consumed their last carbohydrate-rich meal at least 2 hours prior to the
104 moderate-intensity exercise session with their regular individual CHO to bolus insulin dose ratio
105 which consisted of 1 g CHO per kg bodyweight [16]. Group B reduced their regular bolus insulin dose
106 by 50% one hour prior to the start of exercise with their last meal (1 g CHO per kg bodyweight).
107 Group C remained as a control group and did not perform a bolus insulin reduction prior to exercise
108 nor did supplement additional CHO during the moderate-intensity exercise session [13]. However,
109 they have also consumed their last meal 1 h prior to exercise (1 g CHO per kg bodyweight) with a
110 regular bolus insulin dose. Prior to each exercise session, all participants in each group were
111 introduced to the exercise procedure. Group A conducted a 3-minute passive warm-up, a 3-minute
112 active warm-up at 20W, followed by 49 minutes at the individual target workload, a 3-minute active
113 cool-down at 20W and 3 minutes of passive cool-down. Group B and C had very similar exercise

114 procedures: a 3-minute passive warm-up, a 3-minute active warm-up at 20W, followed by 42
115 minutes at target workload and 3 minutes of passive cool-down.

116 The maximum potential test duration for group A was 49 minutes, whereas group B and C had a
117 maximum potential test duration of 42 minutes. The test duration at moderate-intensity for each
118 group were due to the set-up of the previous trials according to the predetermined main outcome
119 [13,16]. Tests were terminated prematurely in all groups if participants reached hypoglycemia (BG
120 concentration ≤ 3.9 mmol/L).

121 At the beginning of each clinical trial, participants performed a cardio-pulmonary exercise test until
122 volitional exhaustion to determine the individualized intensity for the moderate-intensity exercise
123 sessions. The intensity was defined as the power (W) at the midpoint between the individual first
124 (LTP1) and second (LTP2) lactate turn points [18]. During the moderate-intensity exercise sessions,
125 capillary BG samples from fingertip were taken every 6 – 7 minutes for safety reasons. Decisions for
126 treatment were made according to capillary BG measurements measured via the glucometer which is
127 integrated in the reader of the FreeStyle Libre 1 in all groups. Capillary BG samples from the earlobe
128 (Biosen C-Line system EKF Diagnostic, GER) were taken as a confirmatory glucose measurement.. In
129 addition, all participants wore an intermittently scanned glucose monitoring (isCGM) (Freestyle® 1,
130 Abbott Diabetes Care Inc, USA) system, which provided interstitial based glycemic responses during
131 the exercise sessions.

132 Numbers of hypoglycemic episodes were counted. Furthermore, data were stratified for the time
133 spent in glycemic ranges based on BG during exercise defined as: hypoglycemia (≤ 3.9 mmol/L),
134 euglycemia ($> 3.9 - 10$ mmol/L) and hyperglycemia (> 10 mmol/L). Data were tested for normal
135 distribution via Shapiro-Wilk test and then compared via student's t-test, one-way ANOVA or Kruskal-
136 Wallis test with $p \leq 0.05$. If applicable, Tukey's multiple comparison test was applied (post-hoc). Data
137 are expressed as means (SD) or median [interquartile range] if applicable. All statistical procedures
138 were conducted via Prism version 7 (GraphPad, San Jose, USA).

139

140 Results

141 All participants were on multiple daily injection therapy. Participant's characteristics for group A
142 were: $n = 9$, 5 males, age 32.1 ± 9 years, diabetes duration 19 ± 11 years, HbA1c 55 ± 7 mmol/mol
143 ($7.2 \pm 0.6\%$) and BMI 25.5 ± 3.8 kg/m². Group B and C included the same participants, who
144 performed the study related exercise sessions in a cross-over design: $n = 9$, 6 males, age 32.8 ± 10
145 years, diabetes duration 14 ± 9 years, HbA1c 56 ± 15 mmol/mol ($7.3 \pm 1.4\%$) and BMI 25.9 ± 3.1
146 kg/m². Inclusion of participants was conducted following matching for age ($p = 0.88$), diabetes
147 duration ($p = 0.46$), HbA1c ($p = 0.94$) and BMI ($p = 0.79$).

148 The number of hypoglycemic events was significantly higher in group B compared to group A ($p =$
149 0.02) as well as in group C compared to A ($p = 0.02$). There were no differences between groups B
150 and C (Table 1). BG levels prior to the start of exercise were similar between groups (A; 9.9 ± 2.2
151 mmol/L vs. B; 10.1 ± 2.4 mmol/L vs. C; 10.1 ± 3.5 mmol/L) ($p = 0.98$). The median BG concentration
152 during exercise was lower in group C (5.6 [$4.2 - 8.6$] mmol/L) compared to A (7.6 [$6.1 - 9.8$]) ($p =$
153 0.01) with no significant difference to group B (7.5 ± 2.9 mmol/L) ($p = 0.36$). Acute post-exercise BG
154 taken at rest directly after exercise was similar between all groups (A, 7.7 [$7.3 - 8.7$] mmol/L vs. B;
155 7.5 ± 2.0 mmol/L vs. C; 6.8 ± 5.9 mmol/L) ($p = 0.25$). The nadir glucose between group B (4.1 [$3.4 -$
156 6.2] mmol/L) and group C (3.4 [$3.2 - 4.5$] mmol/L) was not significantly different ($p = 0.25$).

157

158

159 **Table 1 – Efficacy of different glycemic management concepts during exercise in type 1 diabetes**

	A	B	C	p-value
Number of Events Hypoglycemia	0/9* [†]	4/9*	4/9 [†]	0.05
Time Hypoglycemia (min)	0 [†]	0 [0 – 9]	12 [0 – 12] [†]	0.01
Time Euglycemia (min)	49 [28 – 49]	30 [12 – 36]	23 ± 12.2	0.10
Time Hyperglycemia (min)	0 [0 – 21]	6 [0 – 9]	0 [0 – 6]	0.41
Time Hypoglycemia (%)	0 [†]	0 [0 – 27]	22 ± 18 [†]	0.01
Time Euglycemia (%)	100 [57 – 100]	71 [47 – 86]	62 ± 27	0.37
Time Hyperglycemia (%)	0 [0 – 43]	14 [0 – 21.5]	0 [0 – 14.5]	0.43
Participants consumed CHO (n)	7/9	0/9	0/9	
Time until reaching hypoglycemia (min)	-	33 ± 11.5	31.5 ± 9	0.83
CHO consumed per participant (g)	20.8 ± 6.7	-	-	
N Total CHO treatments	14	-	-	

160 Abbreviations: A Consumed carbohydrates during exercise at a predefined glucose threshold of 7.0 mmol/L; B:
 161 Reduced individual bolus insulin dose by 50% prior to exercise; C: No carbohydrates were consumed or bolus
 162 insulin reductions prior to exercise. min: Minutes; g: Gram; n: numbers; CHO: carbohydrates. p-value: Results
 163 of ANOVA. * indicates statistically significant difference between group A and B ($p \leq 0.05$). [†] indicates
 164 statistically significant difference between group A and C ($p \leq 0.05$) ^{†*} indicate statistical difference
 165 following post-hoc testing

166

167 Discussion

168 Since adjustments of bolus insulin dose or basal rate need to be performed 60 to 90 min prior to the
 169 start of exercise, pre-exercise bolus insulin dose reductions might obstruct spontaneous exercise
 170 [8,19,20]. Furthermore, our data indicate that this therapy strategy may still lead to hypoglycemia
 171 during exercise. Our results demonstrated that CHO supplementation during moderate-intensity
 172 exercise was superior to bolus insulin dose reductions to prevent hypoglycemia. Therefore, glycemic
 173 threshold-based CHO supplementation might be a more prudent approach for reducing the risk of
 174 exercise-induced dysglycemia in individuals with T1D. We also found that the time spent in
 175 euglycemia (%) during exercise tended to be higher in the CHO group compared to the other groups
 176 ($p = 0.37$). Indeed, the relative time in euglycemia for the CHO group was 100% [57-100] in
 177 comparison to 71% [47-86] in the group that performed a bolus insulin dose reduction and to 62 ±
 178 27% in the control group that further supports the glycemic threshold-based CHO supplementation
 179 approach for future studies. Hypoglycemia in group B and C occurred almost at the same time ($p =$
 180 0.83) which is surprising, since a reduction of bolus insulin dose prior to exercise did not show
 181 superior effects compared to a regular bolus insulin dose as shown previously [14]. Especially for
 182 people with T1D starting to engage in physical exercise, shorter exercise duration (~30 min) might
 183 lower the risk of hypoglycemia as seen in the latter stage of an exercise session. However, after
 184 becoming more experienced to physical exercise and its therapy adaptations, longer-duration
 185 physical exercise (~60 min) can be recommended.

186 Moser et al. have shown that threshold-based CHO supplementation may lead to ~80% time in target
 187 range during moderate-intensity exercise on five consecutive days [16]. Since these results show the
 188 summary of multiple exercise sessions, these are probably more transferable for daily life (90
 189 sessions). This study only showed the effects of basal-insulin dose reductions and not the effects of

190 bolus insulin reductions, which are easier to incorporate if unplanned exercise is conducted.
191 However, in this aforementioned study with 90 exercise sessions, no hypoglycemic event occurred,
192 which further supports our findings and encourages the use of threshold-based CHO
193 supplementation during moderate-intensity exercise.

194 These findings support people with T1D to exercise spontaneously at a moderate-intensity without
195 additional planning and changes to their insulin therapy. This can ease every-day life for people with
196 T1D and lower the hurdle to perform exercise safely and more regularly. Another advantage of this
197 approach is that hyperglycemia, induced via bolus insulin reductions in preparation to exercise would
198 become a thing of the past. Although the results for time in range, (7.0 – 10.0 mmol/L) (TIR) were not
199 statistically different between groups, a TIR of 100% in the CHO group represent a valuable approach
200 that may lead to a more stable glycemic control in physically active people with T1D.

201 A limitation of this study is its small sample size from two independent trials. Groups were well
202 matched and the exercise volume was similar between both trials and therefore remain comparable
203 [13,16]. These findings cannot be necessarily transferred to all types of exercise; hence studies with
204 larger sample sizes are needed to investigate different types of exercise. It should be mentioned that
205 bolus insulin dose reductions must not necessarily lead to hypoglycemia, yet people with T1D should
206 be vigilant during exercise. Corrections/adaptations of individual bolus insulin dosing should be
207 performed dependent on the individual's response to exercise and also in response to planned type,
208 duration and intensity of exercise [8]. Vigorous intensity exercise or resistance exercise prior to
209 moderate-intensity exercise may also prevent hypoglycemia, yet demand more effort from the
210 individual planning to conduct aerobic exercise [21]. It must also be mentioned that increased
211 carbohydrate intake during moderate-intensity exercise may reduce its beneficial effects in regard to
212 weight management. However, research by Pinsker et al. have shown that carbohydrate
213 consumption still remains a necessity since it is the most commonly used option around exercise in
214 individuals with T1D, which can also be combined with the application of an individualized algorithm
215 by Francescato et al. to avoid glycemic imbalances induced by exercise [22,23].

216 In conclusion, our findings bear potential for the implementation of glycemic-based threshold CHO
217 supplementation during moderate-intensity exercise in people with T1D. These data provide
218 compelling evidence for long-term studies to investigate changes in glycemic control assessed by
219 means of TIR in individuals with T1D.

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235 Author Contributions

236 MLE and OM wrote the manuscript. OMC, RMB, NJT, PNP, AM, PB and PH reviewed, edited and
237 approved the final version of the manuscript. HS and OM provided critical edits to the manuscript.
238 MLE and OM performed the data analysis.

239

240

241 References

- 242 1. Moser O, Eckstein ML, West DJ, Goswami N, Sourij H, Hofmann P. Type 1 Diabetes and
243 Physical Exercise: Moving (forward) as an Adjuvant Therapy. *Curr Pharm Des.* 2020;26: 1–12.
244 doi:10.2174/138161282666200108113002
- 245 2. Atkinson MA, Eisenbarth GS, Michels AW. Type 1 diabetes. *Lancet.* Elsevier Ltd; 2014;383: 69–
246 82. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(13)60591-7
- 247 3. Stahl-Pehe A, Landwehr S, Lange KS, Bächle C, Castillo K, Yossa R, et al. Impact of quality of life
248 (QoL) on glycemic control (HbA1c) among adolescents and emerging adults with long-duration
249 type 1 diabetes: A prospective cohort-study. *Pediatr Diabetes.* Blackwell Publishing Ltd;
250 2017;18: 808–816. doi:10.1111/pedi.12487
- 251 4. Nielsen HB, Ovesen LL, Mortensen LH, Lau CJ, Joensen LE. Type 1 diabetes, quality of life,
252 occupational status and education level – A comparative population-based study. *Diabetes*
253 *Res Clin Pract.* Elsevier Ireland Ltd; 2016;121: 62–68. doi:10.1016/j.diabres.2016.08.021
- 254 5. Virk SA, Donaghue KC, Cho YH, Benitez-Aguirre P, Hing S, Pryke A, et al. Association Between
255 HbA_{1c} Variability and Risk of Microvascular Complications in Adolescents With Type 1
256 Diabetes. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2016;101: 3257–3263. doi:10.1210/jc.2015-3604
- 257 6. Reichard P, Pihl M, Rosenqvist U, Sule J. Subjects and methods Complications in IDDM are
258 caused by elevated blood glucose level: The Stockholm Diabetes Intervention Study (SDIS) at
259 10-year follow up. *Diabetologia.* 1996.
- 260 7. Bally L, Laimer M, Stettler C. Exercise-associated glucose metabolism in individuals with type 1
261 diabetes mellitus. *Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care.* 2015;18: 428–33.
262 doi:10.1097/MCO.000000000000185
- 263 8. Riddell MC, Gallen IW, Smart CE, Taplin CE, Adolfsson P, Lumb AN, et al. Exercise management
264 in type 1 diabetes: a consensus statement. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol.* 2017;8587: 1–14.
265 doi:10.1016/S2213-8587(17)30014-1
- 266 9. McCrimmon RJ, Sherwin RS. Hypoglycemia in type 1 diabetes. *Diabetes.* 2010. pp. 2333–2339.
267 doi:10.2337/db10-0103
- 268 10. Brazeau A-S, Strychar I, Rabasa-Lhoret R, Mirescu H. Barriers to Physical Activity Among
269 Patients With Type 1 Diabetes. *Diabetes Care.* 2008;31: 2108–2109. doi:10.2337/dc08-0720.
- 270 11. Christensen TF, Cichosz SL, Tarnow L, Randløv J, Kristensen LE, Struijk JJ, et al. Hypoglycaemia

- 271 and QT interval prolongation in type 1 diabetes - Bridging the gap between clamp studies and
272 spontaneous episodes. *J Diabetes Complications*. 2014;28: 723–728.
273 doi:10.1016/j.jdiacomp.2014.03.007
- 274 12. Moser O, Tschakert G, Mueller A, Groeschl W, Hofmann P, Pieber T, et al. Short-Acting Insulin
275 Reduction Strategies for Continuous Cycle Ergometer Exercises in Patients with Type 1
276 Diabetes Mellitus. *Asian J Sport Med*. 2017;8: 1–10. doi:10.5812/asjasm.42160
- 277 13. Moser O, Eckstein ML, McCarthy O, Deere R, Pitt J, Williams DM, et al. Performance of the
278 Freestyle® Libre flash glucose monitoring (flash GM) system in people with type 1 diabetes: a
279 secondary outcome analysis of a randomised crossover trial. *Diabetes, Obes Metab*. 2019;
280 dom.13835. doi:10.1111/dom.13835
- 281 14. Rabasa-Lhoret R, Bourque J, Ducros F, Chiasson JL. Guidelines for premeal insulin dose
282 reduction for postprandial exercise of different intensities and durations in type 1 diabetic
283 subjects treated intensively with a basal-bolus insulin regimen (ultralente-lispro). *Diabetes*
284 *Care*. 2001;24: 625–630. doi:10.2337/diacare.24.4.625
- 285 15. Campbell MD, Walker M, Bracken RM, Turner D, Stevenson EJ, Gonzalez JT, et al. Insulin
286 therapy and dietary adjustments to normalize glycemia and prevent nocturnal hypoglycemia
287 after evening exercise in type 1 diabetes: a randomized controlled trial. *BMJ Open Diabetes*
288 *Res Care*. 2015;3: e000085–e000085. doi:10.1136/bmjdr-2015-000085
- 289 16. Moser O, Eckstein ML, Mueller A, Birnbaumer P, Aberer F, Koehler G, et al. Pre-Exercise Blood
290 Glucose Levels Determine the Amount of Orally Administered Carbohydrates during Physical
291 Exercise in Individuals with Type 1 Diabetes—A Randomized Cross-Over Trial. *Nutrients*.
292 2019;11: 1287. doi:10.3390/nu11061287
- 293 17. Riddell MC, Milliken J. Preventing exercise-induced hypoglycemia in type 1 diabetes using
294 real-time continuous glucose monitoring and a new carbohydrate intake algorithm: An
295 observational field study. *Diabetes Technol Ther*. 2011;13: 819–825.
296 doi:10.1089/dia.2011.0052
- 297 18. Hofmann P, Tschakert G. Special Needs to Prescribe Exercise Intensity for Scientific Studies.
298 *Cardiol Res Pract*. 2011;2011: 1–10. doi:10.4061/2011/209302
- 299 19. Franc S, Daoudi A, Pochat A, Petit MH, Randazzo C, Petit C, et al. Insulin-based strategies to
300 prevent hypoglycaemia during and after exercise in adult patients with type 1 diabetes on
301 pump therapy: The DIABRASPORT randomized study. *Diabetes, Obes Metab*. Blackwell
302 Publishing Ltd; 2015;17: 1150–1157. doi:10.1111/dom.12552
- 303 20. Zaharieva DP, McGaugh S, Pooni R, Vienneau T, Ly T, Riddell MC. Improved Open-Loop
304 Glucose Control With Basal Insulin Reduction 90 Minutes Before Aerobic Exercise in Patients
305 With Type 1 Diabetes on Continuous Subcutaneous Insulin Infusion. *Diabetes Care*. 2019;42:
306 824–831. doi:10.2337/dc18-2204
- 307 21. Yardley JE, Kenny GP, Perkins BA, Riddell MC, Malcolm J, Boulay P, et al. Effects of performing
308 resistance exercise before versus after aerobic exercise on glycemia in type 1 diabetes.
309 *Diabetes Care*. 2012;35: 669–675. doi:10.2337/dc11-1844
- 310 22. Pinsker JE, Kraus A, Gianferante D, Schoenberg BE, Singh SK, Ortiz H, et al. Techniques for
311 Exercise Preparation and Management in Adults with Type 1 Diabetes. *Can J Diabetes*. Elsevier
312 Inc.; 2016; 1–6. doi:10.1016/j.jcjd.2016.04.010
- 313 23. Francescato MP, Stel G, Stenner E, Geat M. Prolonged exercise in type 1 diabetes:
314 Performance of a customizable algorithm to estimate the carbohydrate supplements to
315 minimize glycemic imbalances. *PLoS One*. 2015;10: 1–14. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0125220