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#### Abstract

A cut ideal of a graph was introduced by Sturmfels and Sullivant. In this paper, we give a necessary and sufficient condition for toric rings associated to the cut ideal to be strongly Koszul.


## Introduction

Let $G$ be a finite simple graph on the vertex set $V(G)=[n]=\{1, \ldots, n\}$ with the edge set $E(G)$. For two subsets $A$ and $B$ of $[n]$ such that $A \cap B=\emptyset$ and $A \cup B=[n]$, the $(0,1)$-vector $\delta_{A \mid B}(G) \in \mathbb{Z}^{|E(G)|}$ is defined as

$$
\delta_{A \mid B}(G)_{i j}= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if }|A \cap\{i, j\}|=1 \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

where $i j$ is an edge of $G$. Let

$$
X_{G}=\left\{\binom{\delta_{A_{1} \mid B_{1}}(G)}{1}, \ldots,\binom{\delta_{A_{N} \mid B_{N}}(G)}{1}\right\} \subset \mathbb{Z}^{|E(G)|+1} \quad\left(N=2^{n-1}\right)
$$

As necessary, we consider $X_{G}$ as the collection of vectors or as the matrix. Let $K$ be a field and

$$
\begin{aligned}
K[q] & =K\left[q_{A_{1} \mid B_{1}}, \ldots, q_{A_{N} \mid B_{N}}\right] \\
K[s, T] & =K\left[s, t_{i j} \mid i j \in E(G)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

be two polynomial rings over $K$. Then the ring homomorphism is defined as follows:

$$
\pi_{G}: K[q] \rightarrow K[s, T], \quad q_{A_{l} \mid B_{l}} \mapsto s \cdot \prod_{\substack{\left|A_{l} \cap\{i, j\}\right|=1 \\ i j \in E(G)}} t_{i j}
$$

for $1 \leq l \leq N$. The cut ideal $I_{G}$ of $G$ is the kernel of $\pi_{G}$ and the toric ring $R_{G}$ of $X_{G}$ is the image of $\pi_{G}$. We put $u_{A \mid B}=\pi_{G}\left(q_{A \mid B}\right)$.

In [9], Sturmfels and Sullivant introduced a cut ideal and posed the problem of relating properties of cut ideals to the class of graphs.

[^0]Let $R$ be a semigroup ring and $I$ be the defining ideal of $R$. We say that $R$ is compressed if the initial ideal of $I$ is squarefree with respect to any reverse lexicographic order. For the toric ring $R_{G}$ and the cut ideal $I_{G}$, the following results are known:

Theorem 0.1 ([9]). The toric ring $R_{G}$ is compressed if and only if $G$ has no $K_{5}$ minor and every induced cycle in $G$ has length 3 or 4 .

ThEOREM 0.2 ([1]). The cut ideal $I_{G}$ is generated by quadratic binomials if and only if $G$ has no $K_{4}$-minor.

Nagel and Petrović showed that the cut ideal $I_{G}$ associated with ring graphs has a quadratic Gröbner basis [4]. However we do not know generally when the cut ideal $I_{G}$ has a quadratic Gröbner basis and when $R_{G}$ is Koszul except for trivial cases.

On the other hand, the notion of strongly Koszul algebras was introduced by Herzog, Hibi and Restuccia [2]. A strongly Koszul algebra is a stronger notion of Koszulness. In general, it is known that, for a semigroup ring $R$,

The defining ideal of $R$ has a quadratic Gröbner basis, or $R$ is strongly Koszul

$\quad$| $\Downarrow$ |
| :---: |
| $R$ |
| is Koszul |
| $\Downarrow$ |

The defining ideal of $R$ is generated by quadratic binomials.
We do not know whether the defining ideal of a strongly Koszul semigroup ring has a quadratic Gröbner basis. In [7], Restuccia and Rinaldo gave a sufficient condition for toric rings to be strongly Koszul. In [3], Matsuda and Ohsugi proved that any squarefree strongly Koszul toric ring is compressed.

In this paper, we give a sufficient condition for cut ideals to have a quadratic Gröbner basis and we characterize the class of graphs such that $R_{G}$ is strongly Koszul.

The outline of this paper is as follows. In Section 1, we show that the set of graphs such that $R_{G}$ is strongly Koszul is closed under contracting edges, induced subgraphs and 0 -sums. In Section 2, we compute a Gröbner basis for the cut ideal without ( $K_{4}, C_{5}$ )-minor. In Section 3, by using results of Section 1 and Section 2, we prove that the toric ring $R_{G}$ is strongly Koszul if and only if $G$ has no ( $K_{4}, C_{5}$ )-minor.

## 1. Clique sums and strongly Koszul algebras

In this paper, we introduce the equivalent condition as the definition of the strongly Koszul algebra.

Let $R$ be a semigroup ring generated by $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}$. We say that a semigroup ring $R$ is strongly Koszul if the ideals $\left(u_{i}\right) \cap\left(u_{j}\right)$ are generated in degree 2 for all $i \neq j$ [2, Proposition 1.4].

Proposition 1.1 ([2, Proposition 2.3]). Let $R$ and $P$ be semigroup rings over same field, and $Q$ be the tensor product or the Segre product of $R$ and $P$. Then $Q$ is strongly Koszul if and only if both $R$ and $P$ are strongly Koszul.

Recall that a graph $H$ is a minor of a graph $G$ if $H$ can be obtained by deleting and contracting edges of $G$. We say that a subgraph $H$ is an induced subgraph of a graph $G$ if $H$ contains all the edges $i j \in E(G)$ with $i, j \in V(H)$.

Proposition 1.2. Let $G$ be a finite simple connected graph. Assume that $R_{G}$ is strongly Koszul. Then
(1) If $H_{1}$ is an induced subgraph of $G$, then $R_{H_{1}}$ is strongly Koszul.
(2) If $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ is obtained by contracting an edge of $G$, then $R_{H_{2}}$ is strongly Koszul.

Proof. By [5] and [9], $R_{H_{1}}$ and $R_{H_{2}}$ are combinatorial pure subrings of $R_{G}$. Therefore, by [6, Corollary 1.6], $R_{H_{1}}$ and $R_{H_{2}}$ are strongly Koszul.

Let $G_{1}=\left(V_{1}, E_{1}\right)$ and $G_{2}=\left(V_{2}, E_{2}\right)$ be simple graphs such that $V_{1} \cap V_{2}$ is a clique of both graphs. The new graph $G=G_{1} \# G_{2}$ with the vertex set $V_{1} \cup V_{2}$ and the edge set $E_{1} \cup E_{2}$ is called the clique sum of $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ along $V_{1} \cap V_{2}$. If the cardinality of $V_{1} \cap V_{2}$ is $k+1$, then this operation is called a $k$-sum of the graphs. It is clear that if $R_{G_{1} \# G_{2}}$ is strongly Koszul, then both $R_{G_{1}}$ and $R_{G_{2}}$ are strongly Koszul because $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ are induced subgraphs of $G_{1} \# G_{2}$.

Proposition 1.3. The set of graphs $G$ such that $R_{G}$ is strongly Koszul is closed under the 0 -sum.

Proof. Let $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ be finite simple connected graphs and assume that $R_{G_{1}}$ and $R_{G_{2}}$ are strongly Koszul. Then the toric ring $R_{G_{1} \# G_{2}}$, where $G_{1} \# G_{2}$ is the 0 -sum of $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$, is the usual Segre product of $R_{G_{1}}$ and $R_{G_{2}}$. Thus it follows by Proposition 1.1.

However the set of graphs $G$ such that $R_{G}$ is strongly Kosuzl is not always closed under the 1-sum.

Let $K_{n}$ denote the complete graph on $n$ vertices, $C_{n}$ denote the cycle of length $n$ and $K_{l_{1}, \ldots, l_{m}}$ denote the complete $m$-partite graph on the vertex set $V_{1} \cup \cdots \cup V_{m}$, where $\left|V_{i}\right|=l_{i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $V_{i} \cap V_{j}=\emptyset$ for $i \neq j$.

EXAMPLE 1.4. Let $G_{1}=C_{3} \# C_{3}\left(=K_{4} \backslash e\right), G_{2}=C_{4} \# C_{3}$ and $G_{3}=\left(K_{4} \backslash e\right) \# C_{3}$ be graphs shown in Figures 1-3. All of $R_{C_{3}}, R_{C_{4}}$ and $R_{G_{1}}$ are strongly Koszul because $R_{C_{3}}$ is isomorphic to the polynomial ring and $I_{C_{4}}$ and $I_{G_{1}}$ have quadratic Gröbner bases with respect to any reverse lexicographic order, respectively (see [7, 9]). However neither $R_{G_{2}}$ nor $R_{G_{3}}$ is strongly Koszul since $\left(u_{\emptyset \mid[5]}\right) \cap\left(u_{\{1,3,4\} \mid\{2,5\}}\right)$ is not generated in degree 2 .

## 2. A Gröbner basis for the cut ideal

In this section, we compute a Gröbner basis of $I_{G}$ such that $G$ has no ( $K_{4}, C_{5}$ )-minor.
LEMMA 2.1. Let $G$ be a simple 2-connected graph on the vertex set $V(G)$. Then $G$ has no ( $K_{4}, C_{5}$ )-minor if and only if $G$ is $K_{3}, K_{2, n-2}$ or $K_{1,1, n-2}$ for $n \geq 4$.

Proof. Since $G$ is 2-connected, $G$ contains a cycle. Let $C$ be the longest cycle in $G$. It follows that $|V(C)| \leq 4$ because $G$ has no $C_{5}$-minor. If $|V(C)|=3$, then $G=K_{3}$ since $G$ is 2-connected. Suppose that $|V(C)|=4$. If $|V(G)|=|V(C)|$, then $G$ is either $K_{2,2}$


Figure 1. $C_{3} \# C_{3}$


Figure 2. $C_{4} \# C_{3}$


Figure 3. $\left(K_{4} \backslash e\right) \# C_{3}$
or $K_{1,1,2}$. Next, we assume that $|V(G)|>|V(C)|=4$. Consider $v \in V(G) \backslash V(C)$. Let $P$ and $Q$ be two paths each with one end in $v$ and another end in $V(C)$, disjoint except for their common end in $v$ and having no internal vertices in $C$. Such paths exist since $G$ is 2-connected. If $|V(P)|>2$, or $|V(Q)|>2$, or the ends of $P$ and $Q$ in $C$ are consecutive in $C$, then $P \cup Q$ together with a subpath of $C$ form a cycle of length longer than $C$. Hence every vertex $v \notin V(C)$ has exactly two neighbors in $V(C)$, which are not consecutive. Moreover, if some two vertices $v_{1}, v_{2} \in V(G) \backslash V(C)$ are adjacent to different pairs of vertices in $C$, then a cycle of length six is induced in $G$ by $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}\right\} \cup V(C)$. Therefore there exist $u_{1}, u_{2} \in V(C)$, which are both adjacent to all vertices in $V(G) \backslash\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}\right\}$. If two vertices in $V(G) \backslash\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}\right\}$ are adjacent, then together with $\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}\right\}$ and any other vertex they induce a cycle in $G$ of length five. Therefore $G$ is either $K_{2, n-2}$ or $K_{1,1, n-2}$. It is easy to see that all of $K_{3}, K_{2, n-2}$ and $K_{1,1, n-2}$ have no ( $K_{4}, C_{5}$ )-minor.

It is already known that the cut ideal $I_{K_{1, n-2}}$ for $n \geq 4$ has a quadratic Gröbner basis since $K_{1, n-2}$ is 0 -sums of $K_{2}$ and $I_{K_{2}}=\langle 0\rangle$ [9, Theorem 2.1]. In this paper, to prove Theorem 2.3, we compute the reduced Gröbner basis of $I_{K_{1, n-2}}$. Let $<$ be a reverse lexicographic order on $K[q]$ which satisfies $q_{A \mid B}<q_{C \mid D}$ with $\min \{|A|,|B|\}<\min \{|C|,|D|\}$.

Lemma 2.2. Let $G=K_{1, n-2}$ be the complete bipartite graph on the vertex set $V_{1} \cup V_{2}$, where $V_{1}=\{1\}$ and $V_{2}=\{3, \ldots, n\}$ for $n \geq 4$. Then the reduced Gröbner basis of $I_{G}$ with respect to $<$ consists of

$$
q_{A \mid B} q_{C \mid D}-q_{A \cap C \mid B \cup D} q_{A \cup C \mid B \cap D}(1 \in A \cap C, A \not \subset C, C \not \subset A)
$$

The initial monomial of each binomial is the first monomial.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be the set of all binomials above. It is easy to see that $\mathcal{G} \subset I_{G}$. Let $\operatorname{in}(\mathcal{G})=\left\langle\mathrm{in}_{<}(g) \mid g \in \mathcal{G}\right\rangle$. Let $u$ and $v$ be monomials that do not belong to in $(\mathcal{G})$ :

$$
u=\prod_{l=1}^{m}\left(q_{\{1\} \cup A_{l} \mid B_{l}}\right)^{p_{l}}, \quad v=\prod_{l=1}^{m^{\prime}}\left(q_{\{1\} \cup C_{l} \mid D_{l}}\right)^{p_{l}^{\prime}},
$$

where $0<p_{l}, p_{l}^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Z}$ for any $l$. Since neither $u$ nor $v$ is divided by $q_{A \mid B} q_{C \mid D}$, it follows that

$$
A_{1} \subset A_{2} \subset \cdots \subset A_{m}, \quad C_{1} \subset C_{2} \subset \cdots \subset C_{m^{\prime}}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{l} & =A_{l-1} \cup\left\{b_{1}^{l-1}, \ldots, b_{\beta_{l-1}}^{l-1}\right\}, B_{k}=\bigcup_{i=k}^{m}\left\{b_{1}^{i}, \ldots, b_{\beta_{i}}^{i}\right\} \\
C_{l} & =C_{l-1} \cup\left\{d_{1}^{l-1}, \ldots, d_{\delta_{l-1}}^{l-1}\right\}, D_{k}=\bigcup_{i=k}^{m^{\prime}}\left\{d_{1}^{i}, \ldots, d_{\delta_{i}}^{i}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $k \geq 1$ and $l \geq 2$, where $A_{1}=V_{2} \backslash B_{1}, C_{1}=V_{2} \backslash D_{1}$. We suppose that $\pi_{G}(u)=\pi_{G}(v)$ :

$$
\pi_{G}(u)=s^{p} \prod_{l=1}^{m}\left(t_{1 b_{1}^{l}} \cdots t_{1 b_{\beta_{l}}^{l}}\right)^{\sum_{k=1}^{l} p_{k}}, \quad \pi_{G}(v)=s^{p^{\prime}} \prod_{l=1}^{m^{\prime}}\left(t_{1 d_{1}^{l}} \cdots t_{1 d_{\delta_{l}}^{l}}\right)^{\sum_{k=1}^{l} p_{k}^{\prime}} .
$$

Here we set $p=\sum_{l=1}^{m} p_{l}$ and $p^{\prime}=\sum_{l=1}^{m^{\prime}} p_{l}^{\prime}$. Assume that $A_{1} \neq C_{1}$. Then there exists $a \in A_{1}$ such that $a \notin C_{1}$. Hence, for some $l_{1} \in\left[m^{\prime}\right], a \in\left\{d_{1}^{l_{1}}, \ldots, d_{\delta_{l_{1}}}^{l_{1}}\right\}$. However, for any $l \in[m], a \notin\left\{b_{1}^{l}, \ldots, b_{\beta_{l}}^{l}\right\}$. This contradicts that $\pi_{G}(u)=\pi_{G}(v)$. Thus $A_{1}=C_{1}$ and $p_{1}=p_{1}^{\prime}$. By performing this operation repeatedly, it follows that $A_{l}=C_{l}, B_{l}=D_{l}$ and $p_{l}=p_{l}^{\prime}$ for any $l$. Since $u=v, \mathcal{G}$ is a Gröbner basis of $I_{G}$. It is trivial that $\mathcal{G}$ is reduced.

Theorem 2.3. Let $G=K_{2, n-2}$ be the complete bipartite graph on the vertex set $V_{1} \cup V_{2}$, where $V_{1}=\{1,2\}$ and $V_{2}=\{3, \ldots, n\}$ for $n \geq 4$. Then a Gröbner basis of $I_{G}$ consists of
(i) $q_{A \mid B} q_{E \mid F}-q_{\emptyset \mid[n]} q_{\{1,2\} \mid\{3, \ldots, n\}} \quad(1 \in A, 2 \in B)$,
(ii) $q_{A \mid B} q_{C \mid D}-q_{A \cap C \mid B \cup D} q_{A \cup C \mid B \cap D}(1 \in A \cap C, 2 \in B \cap D, A \not \subset C, C \not \subset A)$,
(iii) $q_{A \mid B} q_{C \mid D}-q_{A \cap C \mid B \cup D} q_{A \cup C \mid B \cap D} \quad(1,2 \in A \cap C, A \not \subset C, C \not \subset A)$,
where $E=(B \cup\{1\}) \backslash\{2\}$ and $F=(A \cup\{2\}) \backslash\{1\}$. The initial monomial of each binomials is the first binomial.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be the set of all binomials above. It is easy to see that $\mathcal{G} \subset I_{G}$. Let $u$ and $v$ be monomials which do not belong to in $(\mathcal{G})$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
u & =\prod_{l=1}^{m_{1}}\left(q_{\{1\} \cup A_{l} \mid\{2\} \cup B_{l}}\right)^{p_{l}} \prod_{l=1}^{m_{2}}\left(q_{\{1,2\} \cup C_{l} \mid D_{l}}\right)^{r_{l}}, \\
v & =\prod_{l=1}^{m_{1}^{\prime}}\left(q_{\{1\} \cup A_{l}^{\prime} \mid\{2\} \cup B_{l}^{\prime}} p^{p_{l}^{\prime}} \prod_{l=1}^{m_{2}^{\prime}}\left(q_{\{1,2\} \cup C_{l}^{\prime} \mid D_{l}^{\prime}}\right)^{r_{l}^{\prime}},\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

where $0<p_{l}, r_{l}, p_{l}^{\prime}, r_{l}^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Z}$ for any $l$. Since neither $u$ nor $v$ is divided by initial monomials of (ii) and (iii), it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{1} \subset \cdots \subset A_{m_{1}}, \quad C_{1} \subset \cdots \subset C_{m_{2}} \\
& A_{1}^{\prime} \subset \cdots \subset A_{m_{1}^{\prime}}^{\prime}, \quad C_{1}^{\prime} \subset \cdots \subset C_{m_{2}^{\prime}}^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

Suppose that $\pi_{G}(u)=\pi_{G}(v)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi_{G}(u) & =\prod_{l=1}^{m_{1}}\left(u_{\{1\} \cup A_{l} \mid\{2\} \cup B_{l}}\right)^{p_{l}} \prod_{l=1}^{m_{2}}\left(u_{\{1,2\} \cup C_{l} \mid D_{l}}\right)^{r_{l}}, \\
\pi_{G}(v) & =\prod_{l=1}^{m_{1}^{\prime}}\left(u_{\{1\} \cup A_{l}^{\prime}\left\{\{2\} \cup B_{l}^{\prime}\right.}{ }^{p_{l}^{\prime}} \prod_{l=1}^{m_{2}^{\prime}}\left(u_{\{1,2\} \cup C_{l}^{\prime} \mid D_{l}^{\prime}}\right)^{r_{l}^{\prime}} .\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $Y$ be the matrix consisting of the first $n-2$ rows of $X_{K_{1, n-2}}$. Then $X_{G}$ is the following matrix:

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
Y & Y \\
Y & \mathbf{1}_{n-2,2^{n-2}}-Y \\
\mathbf{1} & \mathbf{1}
\end{array}\right),
$$

where $\mathbf{1}_{n-2,2^{n-2}}$ is the $(n-2) \times 2^{n-2}$ matrix such that each entry is all ones. Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\binom{Y}{Y} & =\left(\delta_{P_{1} \mid Q_{1}}\left(K_{2, n-2}\right) \cdots \delta_{P_{2^{n-2}} \mid Q_{2^{n-2}}}\left(K_{2, n-2}\right)\right) \\
\binom{Y}{\mathbf{1}_{n-2,2^{n-2}}-Y} & =\left(\delta_{R_{1} \mid S_{1}}\left(K_{2, n-2}\right) \cdots \delta_{R_{2^{n-2}} \mid S_{2^{n-2}}}\left(K_{2, n-2}\right)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $1,2 \in P_{l}, 1 \in R_{l}$ and $2 \in S_{l}$ for $1 \leq l \leq 2^{n-2}$. By elementary row operations of $X_{G}$, we have

$$
X_{G}^{\prime}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
2 Y-\mathbf{1}_{n-2,2^{n-2}} & O \\
O & 2 Y-\mathbf{1}_{n-2,2^{n-2}} \\
\mathbf{1} & \mathbf{1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Each column vector of $2 Y-\mathbf{1}_{n-2,2^{n-2}}$ is the form ${ }^{t}\left(\varepsilon_{1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{n-2}\right)$, where $\varepsilon_{i} \in\{1,-1\}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-2$. Let $I_{X_{G}^{\prime}}$ denote the toric ideal of $X_{G}^{\prime}$ (see [8]). Then $u-v \in I_{G}$ if and only if $u-v \in I_{X_{G}^{\prime}}$. Let $\mathbf{a}_{P \mid Q}$ denote the column vector of $2 Y-\mathbf{1}_{n-2,2^{n-2}}$ in $X_{G}^{\prime}$ corresponding to the column vector $\delta_{P \mid Q}(G)$ of $X_{G}$. Then

$$
\sum_{l=1}^{m_{1}} p_{l}\left(\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{0} \\
\mathbf{a}_{\{1\} \cup A_{l} \mid\{2\} \cup B_{l}} \\
1
\end{array}\right)+\sum_{l=1}^{m_{2}} r_{l}\left(\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{a}_{\{1,2\} \cup C_{l} \mid D_{l}} \\
\mathbf{0} \\
1
\end{array}\right)=\sum_{l=1}^{m_{1}^{\prime}} p_{l}^{\prime}\left(\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{0} \\
\mathbf{a}_{\{1\} \cup A_{l}^{\prime} \mid\{2\} \cup B_{l}^{\prime}} \\
1
\end{array}\right)+\sum_{l=1}^{m_{2}^{\prime}} r_{l}^{\prime}\binom{\mathbf{a}_{\{1,2\} \cup C_{l}^{\prime} \mid D_{l}^{\prime}}}{1} .
$$

In particular,

$$
\sum_{l=1}^{m_{1}} p_{l} \mathbf{a}_{\{1\} \cup A_{l} \mid\{2\} \cup B_{l}}=\sum_{l=1}^{m_{1}^{\prime}} p_{l}^{\prime} \mathbf{a}_{\{1\} \cup A_{l}^{\prime} \mid\{2\} \cup B_{l}^{\prime}}, \quad \sum_{l=1}^{m_{2}} r_{l} \mathbf{a}_{\{1,2\} \cup C_{l} \mid D_{l}}=\sum_{l=1}^{m_{2}^{\prime}} r_{l}^{\prime} \mathbf{a}_{\{1,2\} \cup C_{l}^{\prime} \mid D_{l}^{\prime}}
$$

hold. Let $p=\sum_{l=1}^{m_{1}} p_{l}, r=\sum_{l=1}^{m_{2}} r_{l}, p^{\prime}=\sum_{l=1}^{m_{1}^{\prime}} p_{l}^{\prime}$ and $r^{\prime}=\sum_{l=1}^{m_{2}^{\prime}} r_{l}^{\prime}$. Since neither $u$ nor $v$ is divided by initial monomials of (i), it follows that either $A_{1} \neq \emptyset$ or $A_{m_{1}} \neq[n] \backslash\{1,2\}$ (resp. $A_{1}^{\prime} \neq \emptyset$ or $\left.A_{m_{2}^{\prime}}^{\prime} \neq[n] \backslash\{1,2\}\right)$. If $A_{1} \neq \emptyset$, then there exists $i \in[n] \backslash\{1,2\}$ such that $i \in A_{l}$ for any $l \in\left[m_{1}\right]$. If $A_{m_{1}} \neq[n] \backslash\{1,2\}$, that is, $B_{m_{1}} \neq \emptyset$, then there exists $i \in[n] \backslash\{1,2\}$ such that $i \in B_{m_{1}}$, and $i \notin A_{l}$ for any $l \in\left[m_{1}\right]$. Thus either $p$ or $-p$ appears in the entry of $\sum_{l=1}^{m_{1}} p_{l} \mathbf{a}_{\{1\} \cup A_{l} \mid\{2\} \cup B_{l}}$. Similarly, either $p^{\prime}$ or $-p^{\prime}$ appears in the entry of $\sum_{l=1}^{m_{1}^{\prime}} p_{l}^{\prime} \mathbf{a}_{\{1\} \cup A_{l}^{\prime} \mid\{2\} \cup B_{l}^{\prime}}$. Therefore $p=p^{\prime}$. Hence

$$
\prod_{l=1}^{m_{1}}\left(u_{\{1\} \cup A_{l} \mid\{2\} \cup B_{l}}\right)^{p_{l}}=\prod_{l=1}^{m_{1}^{\prime}}\left(u_{\{1\} \cup A_{l}^{\prime} \mid\{2\} \cup B_{l}^{\prime}}{ }^{p_{l}^{\prime}}, \quad \prod_{l=1}^{m_{2}}\left(u_{\{1,2\} \cup C_{l} \mid D_{l}}\right)^{r_{l}}=\prod_{l=1}^{m_{2}^{\prime}}\left(u_{\{1,2\} \cup C_{l}^{\prime} \mid D_{l}^{\prime}}\right)^{r_{l}^{\prime}}\right.
$$

hold. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \prod_{l=1}^{m_{1}}\left(q_{\{1\} \cup A_{l} \mid\{2\} \cup B_{l}}\right)^{p_{l}}-\prod_{l=1}^{m_{1}^{\prime}}\left(q_{\{1\} \cup A_{l}^{\prime} \mid\{2\} \cup B_{l}^{\prime}}\right)^{p_{l}^{\prime}} \in I_{Z_{1}}, \\
& \prod_{l=1}^{m_{2}}\left(q_{\{1,2\} \cup C_{l} \mid D_{l}}\right)^{r_{l}}-\prod_{l=1}^{m_{2}^{\prime}}\left(q_{\{1,2\} \cup C_{l}^{\prime} \mid D_{l}^{\prime}}\right)^{r_{l}^{\prime}} \in I_{Z_{2}},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $Z_{1}$ (resp. $Z_{2}$ ) is the matrix consisting of the first (resp. last) $2^{n-2}$ columns of $X_{G}^{\prime}$. Here $I_{Z_{1}}$ and $I_{Z_{2}}$ are toric ideals of $Z_{1}$ and $Z_{2}$. By elementary row operations of $Z_{1}$ (resp. $Z_{2}$ ), we have

$$
\prod_{l=1}^{m_{1}}\left(q_{\left.\{1] \cup A_{l} \mid B_{l}\right)^{p_{l}}}-\prod_{l=1}^{m_{1}^{\prime}}\left(q_{\left.\{1\} \cup A_{l}^{\prime} \mid B_{l}^{\prime}\right)^{p_{l}^{\prime}}}, \quad \prod_{l=1}^{m_{2}}\left(q_{\{1\} \cup C_{l} \mid D_{l}}\right)^{r_{l}}-\prod_{l=1}^{m_{2}^{\prime}}\left(q_{\{1\} \cup C_{l}^{\prime} \mid D_{l}^{\prime}}\right)^{r_{l}^{\prime}} \in I_{K_{1, n-2}}\right.\right.
$$

By Lemma 2.2, $u=v$ holds. Therefore $\mathcal{G}$ is a Gröbner basis of $I_{G}$.
Corollary 2.4. If $G$ has no ( $K_{4}, C_{5}$ )-minor, then $I_{G}$ has a quadratic Gröbner basis.

Proof. If $G$ is not 2-connected, then there exist 2-connected components $G_{1}, \ldots, G_{s}$ of $G$ such that $G$ is 0 -sums of $G_{1}, \ldots, G_{s}$. By [9] and Lemma 2.1, it is enough to show that, $I_{K_{2}}, I_{K_{3}}, I_{K_{2, n-2}}$ and $I_{K_{1,1, n-2}}$ have a quadratic Gröbner basis. It is trivial that $I_{K_{2}}$ and $I_{K_{3}}$ have a quadratic Gröbner basis because $I_{K_{2}}=\langle 0\rangle$ and $I_{K_{3}}=\langle 0\rangle$. Since $K_{1,1, n-2}$ is obtained by 1 -sums of $C_{3}, I_{K_{1,1, n-2}}$ has a quadratic Gröbner basis. Therefore, by Theorem 2.3, $I_{G}$ has a quadratic Gröbner basis.

## 3. Strongly Koszul toric rings of cut ideals

In this section, we characterize the class of graphs whose toric rings associated to cut ideals are strongly Koszul.

Proposition 3.1. Let $G_{1}=K_{1,1, n-2}$ and $G_{2}=K_{2, n-2}$ for $n \geq 4$. Then $R_{G_{1}}$ and $R_{G_{2}}$ are strongly Koszul.

Proof. By elementary row operations of $X_{G_{1}}$, we have

$$
X_{G_{1}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} \\
Y & Y \\
Y & \mathbf{1}_{n-2,2^{n-2}}-Y \\
\mathbf{1} & \mathbf{1}
\end{array}\right) \rightarrow\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} \\
Y & Y \\
Y & -Y \\
\mathbf{1} & \mathbf{1}
\end{array}\right) \rightarrow\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} \\
Y & Y \\
Y & O \\
\mathbf{1} & \mathbf{1}
\end{array}\right) \rightarrow\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} \\
O & Y \\
Y & O \\
\mathbf{1} & \mathbf{0}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Hence $R_{G_{1}} \cong R_{K_{1, n-2}} \otimes_{K} R_{K_{1, n-2}}$. Since $R_{K_{1, n-2}}$ is Segre products of $R_{K_{2}}, R_{G_{1}}$ is strongly Koszul. Next, by the symmetry of $X_{G^{\prime}}$ in the proof of Theorem 2.3, it is enough to consider the following two cases:
(1) $\left(u_{\emptyset \mid[n]}\right) \cap\left(u_{\{1\} \mid\{2, \ldots, n\}}\right)$,
(2) $\left(u_{\emptyset \mid[n]}\right) \cap\left(u_{\{1,2\} \cup A \mid B}\right)$.

Since $q_{\varnothing \mid[n]}$ is the smallest variable and $q_{\{1| |\{2, \ldots, n\}}$ is the second smallest variable with respect to the reverse lexicographic order $<$, by [3] and Theorem 2.3, $\left(u_{\emptyset \mid[n]}\right) \cap\left(u_{\{1\} \mid\{2, \ldots, n\}}\right)$ is generated in degree 2. Assume that $\left(u_{\emptyset \mid[n]}\right) \cap\left(u_{\{1,2\} \cup A \mid B}\right)$ is not generated in degree 2 . Then there exists a monomial $u_{E_{1} \mid F_{1}} \cdots u_{E_{s} \mid F_{s}}$ belonging to a minimal generating set of $\left(u_{\emptyset \mid[n]}\right) \cap\left(u_{\{1,2\} \cup A \mid B}\right)$ such that $s \geq 3$. Since $u_{E_{1} \mid F_{1}} \cdots u_{E_{s} \mid F_{s}}$ is in $\left(u_{\emptyset \mid[n]}\right) \cap\left(u_{\{1,2\} \cup A \mid B}\right)$, it follows that
$q_{\{1,2\} \cup A \mid B} \prod_{l=1}^{\alpha} q_{\{1,2\} \cup A_{l} \mid B_{l}} \prod_{l=1}^{\beta} q_{\{1\} \cup C_{l} \mid\{2\} \cup D_{l}}-q_{\emptyset \mid[n]} \prod_{l=1}^{\gamma} q_{\{1,2\} \cup P_{l} \mid Q_{l}} \prod_{l=1}^{\delta} q_{\{1\} \cup R_{l} \mid\{2\} \cup S_{l}} \in I_{G_{2}}$.
If one of the monomials appearing in the above binomial is divided by initial monomials of (i) in Theorem 2.3, then $u_{E_{1} \mid F_{1}} \cdots u_{E_{s} \mid F_{s}}$ is divided by $u_{\emptyset \mid[n]} u_{\{1,2\} \mid\{3, \ldots, n\}}$. This contradicts that $u_{E_{1} \mid F_{1}} \cdots u_{E_{s} \mid F_{s}}$ belongs to a minimal generating set of $\left(u_{\emptyset \mid[n]}\right) \cap\left(u_{\{1,2\} \cup A \mid B}\right)$ since, for any $u_{A \mid B}$ and $u_{C \mid D}$ with $u_{A \mid B} \neq u_{C \mid D}, u_{\emptyset \mid[n]} u_{\{1,2\} \mid\{3, \ldots, n\}}$ belongs to a minimal generating set of $\left(u_{A \mid B}\right) \cap\left(u_{C \mid D}\right)$. If one of $\prod_{l=1}^{\beta} q_{\{1\} \cup C_{l} \mid\{2\} \cup D_{l}}$ and $\prod_{l=1}^{\delta} q_{\{1\} \cup R_{l} \mid\{2\} \cup S_{l}}$ is divided by initial monomials of (ii) in Theorem 2.3, the monomial is reduced to the monomial which is not divided by initial monomials of (ii) with respect to $\mathcal{G}$, where $\mathcal{G}$ is a Gröbner basis of $I_{G_{2}}$. Thus we may assume that

$$
C_{1} \subset \cdots \subset C_{\beta}, \quad R_{1} \subset \cdots \subset R_{\delta} .
$$

Similar to what did in the proof of Theorem 2.3, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{\{1,2\} \cup A \mid B} \prod_{l=1}^{\alpha} u_{\{1,2\} \cup A_{l} \mid B_{l}} & =u_{\emptyset \mid[n]} \prod_{l=1}^{\gamma} u_{\{1,2\} \cup P_{l} \mid Q_{l}}, \\
\prod_{l=1}^{\beta} u_{\{1\} \cup C_{l} \mid\{2\} \cup D_{l}} & =\prod_{l=1}^{\delta} u_{\{1\} \cup R_{l} \mid\{2\} \cup S_{l}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that $\alpha=\gamma, \beta=\delta, C_{l}=R_{l}, D_{l}=S_{l}$ for any $l$, and

$$
q_{\{1\} \cup A \mid B} \prod_{l=1}^{\alpha} q_{\{1\} \cup A_{l} \mid B_{l}}-q_{\varnothing \mid[n \backslash \backslash\{2\}} \prod_{l=1}^{\alpha} q_{\{1\} \cup P_{l} \mid Q_{l}} \in I_{K_{1, n-2}} .
$$

Hence the ideal $\left(u_{\{1\} \cup A \mid B}\right) \cap\left(u_{\emptyset \mid[n] \backslash\{2\}}\right)$ of $R_{K_{1, n-2}}$ is not generated in degree 2 . However this contradicts that $R_{K_{1, n-2}}$ is strongly Koszul. Therefore $R_{G_{2}}$ is strongly Koszul.

Lemma 3.2. Let $G$ be a finite simple 2 -connected graph with no $K_{4}$-minor. If $G$ has $C_{5}$-minor, then by only contracting edges of $G$, we obtain one of $C_{5}$, the 1 -sum of $C_{4}$ and $C_{3}$, and the 1-sum of $K_{4} \backslash e$ and $C_{3}$.

Proof. Let $G$ be a graph with $C_{5}$-minor and $C$ be a longest cycle in $G$. It follows that $|V(C)| \geq 5$. Then, by contracting edges of $G$, we obtain a graph $G^{\prime}$ of five vertices such that $C_{5}$ is a subgraph of $G^{\prime}$. Assume that $G^{\prime} \neq C_{5}$. Then there exist $u, v \in V\left(C_{5}\right)$ with $u v \notin E\left(C_{5}\right)$ such that $u v \in E\left(G^{\prime}\right)$. Since $G$ has no $K_{4}$-minor, there do not exist $\alpha, \beta \in V\left(C_{5}\right) \backslash\{u, v\}$ such that $\alpha \beta \in E\left(G^{\prime}\right) \backslash E\left(C_{5}\right)$. Therefore we obtain one of the 1 -sum of $C_{4}$ and $C_{3}$, and the 1 -sum of $K_{4} \backslash e$ and $C_{3}$.

Theorem 3.3. Let $G$ be a finite simple connected graph. Then $R_{G}$ is strongly Koszul if and only if $G$ has no ( $K_{4}, C_{5}$ )-minor.

Proof. Let $G$ be a graph with no ( $K_{4}, C_{5}$ )-minor. If $G$ is not 2 -connected, then there exist 2 -connected components $G_{1}, \ldots, G_{s}$ of $G$ such that $G$ is 0 -sums of $G_{1}, \ldots, G_{s}$. By Lemma 2.1, it is enough to show that $R_{K_{2}}, R_{K_{3}}, R_{K_{2, n-2}}$ and $R_{K_{1,1, n-2}}$ are strongly Koszul. It is clear that $R_{K_{2}}$ and $R_{K_{3}}$ are strongly Koszul. By Proposition 3.1, $R_{K_{2, n-2}}$ and $R_{K_{1,1, n-2}}$ are strongly Koszul. Next, we suppose that $G$ has $K_{4}$-minor. Then the cut ideal $I_{G}$ is not generated by quadratic binomials [1]. In particular, $R_{G}$ is not strongly Koszul. Assume that $G$ has no $K_{4}$-minor. If $G$ has $C_{5}$-minor, then, by Lemma 3.2, we obtain one of $C_{5}, C_{4} \# C_{3}$ and ( $\left.K_{4} \backslash e\right) \# C_{3}$ by contracting edges of $G$. By Example 1.4, neither $R_{C_{4} \# C_{3}}$ nor $R_{\left(K_{4} \backslash e\right) \# C_{3}}$ is strongly Koszul. By [9, Theorem 1.3], since $R_{C_{5}}$ is not compressed, $R_{C_{5}}$ is not strongly Koszul [3, Theorem 2.1]. Therefore, by Proposition 1.2, $R_{G}$ is not strongly Koszul.
By using above results, we have
Corollary 3.4. The set of graphs $G$ such that $R_{G}$ is strongly Koszul is minor closed.

Corollary 3.5. If $R_{G}$ is strongly Koszul, then $I_{G}$ has a quadratic Gröbner basis.
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