

On $p^{\omega+n}$ -projective abelian p -groups

by

K. BENABDALLAH, J. IRWIN and J. LAZARUK

(Received June 7, 1976)

Recently, a great deal of attention has been given to totally projective and p^α -projective primary groups. The first authors together with M. Rafiq have studied $p^{\omega+1}$ -projective p -groups in [2]. In this article we establish a few characterizations and properties of $p^{\omega+n}$ -projective groups. We also show that every p -group contains subgroups of the form $\text{Tor}(A, P)$ where P is the Prüfer group and A is a subgroup of the given group. All groups considered are abelian primary groups.

Let α be an ordinal number. A subgroup H of G is said to be p^α -pure in G if the short exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow H \rightarrow G \rightarrow G/H \rightarrow 0,$$

corresponds to an element of $p^\alpha \text{Ext}(G/H, H)$. A p -group G is said to be p^α -projective if $p^\alpha \text{Ext}(G, X) = 0$ for all group X . In this article we restrict ourselves to the case $\alpha = \omega + n$ where ω is the first infinite ordinal and n is a natural number.

The symbol \bigoplus_c denotes direct sums of cyclic groups. Most of the notation is the same as in [4].

I. Purity and $p^{\omega+n}$ -projectivity.

In this section, we consider various properties involving pure subgroups. The following result makes it easier to handle $p^{\omega+n}$ -purity.

LEMMA 1.1 ([5]). *A subgroup C of E is $p^{\omega+n}$ -pure in E if and only if there exists a subgroup M in E such that:*

$$M \cap C = 0, (M+C)/C = (E/C)[p^n], \text{ and } (M+C)/M$$

is pure in E/M .

We apply this immediately to obtain a simple method of producing $p^{\omega+n}$ -pure subgroups.

LEMMA 1.2. *Let H be a pure subgroup of G . Then $H/H[p^n]$ is $p^{\omega+n}$ -pure in $G/H[p^n]$.*

Proof. In Lemma 1.1, let $E = G/H[p^n]$, $C = H/H[p^n]$ and $M = G[p^n]/H[p^n]$. It is a routine matter to see that the conditions there

are satisfied.

LEMMA 1.3 ([5]). *Let C be a $p^{\alpha+1}$ -pure subgroup of E . If E is p^α -projective then C is a summand of E , and thus C and E/C are p^α -projective.*

We establish next a generalization of a result in [1].

THEOREM 1.4. *Let K be a pure subgroup of G , such that $G/K[p^m]$ is $p^{\omega+n}$ -projective for some $n, 0 \leq n < m$ then G/K is $p^{\omega+n}$ -projective. Further, if K is $p^{\omega+n}$ -pure in G then it is a summand of G and G is $p^{\omega+n}$ -projective.*

Proof. By Lemma 1.2, $K/K[p^m]$ is $p^{\omega+m}$ -pure in $G/K[p^m]$ and since $n < m$ it is also $p^{\omega+n+1}$ -pure. But $G/K[p^m]$ is $p^{\omega+n}$ -projective and an immediate application of Lemma 1.3 and the isomorphism theorems yield that G/K is $p^{\omega+n}$ -projective. If K is also $p^{\omega+n}$ -pure then K is a summand of G . Now $K/K[p^m] \simeq p^m K$ is a subgroup of a $p^{\omega+n}$ -projective group and therefore it is $p^{\omega+n}$ -projective and K also is $p^{\omega+n}$ -projective (see remarks after Lemma 2.2). Since $G \simeq K \oplus G/K$ we see that G is $p^{\omega+n}$ -projective.

THEOREM 1.5. *Let K be a pure subgroup of G such that G/K is $p^{\omega+n}$ -projective. Then G/H is isomorphic to G/K for every pure subgroup H of G satisfying $H[p^{n+1}] = K[p^{n+1}]$.*

Proof. Let $B = K[p^n]$. By Lemma 1.2 the sequence $0 \rightarrow K/B \rightarrow G/B \rightarrow G/K \rightarrow 0$ is $p^{\omega+n}$ -pure exact and since G/K is $p^{\omega+n}$ -projective it must be split exact and K/B is a summand of G/B . Now $H[p^{n+1}] = K[p^{n+1}]$ implies that $H[p^n] = B$ and $(H/B)[p] = H[p^{n+1}]/B = (K/B)[p]$. A well known result shows that H/B is also a summand of G/B . In fact if $G/B = K/B \oplus R/B$ then $G/B = H/B \oplus R/B$ and $G/H \simeq G/K$.

II. Some characterizations of $p^{\omega+n}$ -projective p -groups

We recall first two important results:

LEMMA 2.1 ([5]). *A group E is $p^{\omega+n}$ -projective if and only if there exists a subgroup $B \subset E[p^n]$ such that $E/B = \bigoplus_c$.*

LEMMA 2.2 ([2]). *Let G be a group. There exists an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow G \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$, where $M = \bigoplus_c$ and $p^n K = 0$ if and only if there exists an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow S \rightarrow F \rightarrow G \rightarrow 0$ where $p^n S = 0$ and $F = \bigoplus_c$.*

These two results have numerous consequences. In particular we see that every subgroup of a $p^{\omega+n}$ -projective group is likewise $p^{\omega+n}$ -projective and a group G is $p^{\omega+n}$ -projective if $p^m G$ is $p^{\omega+n}$ -projective for some natural number m .

The following results parallel those obtained in [2] for $p^{\omega+1}$ -projective p -groups.

THEOREM 2.3. *Let $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow F \rightarrow G \rightarrow 0$ be a pure exact sequence where $F = \bigoplus_c$. Then, G is $p^{\omega+n}$ -projective if and only if it is isomorphic to a summand of $F/K[P^n]$.*

Proof. If G is $p^{\omega+n}$ -projective, let $B = K[p^n]$ then, by Lemma 1.2 the sequence $0 \rightarrow K/B \rightarrow F/B \rightarrow G \rightarrow 0$ is $p^{\omega+n}$ -pure and therefore K/B is a summand of F/B . Conversely if G is isomorphic to a summand of F/B , it is $p^{\omega+n}$ -projective since by Lemma 2.2 F/B is $p^{\omega+n}$ -projective.

THEOREM 2.4. *Let G be a group and $n \geq 1$. G is $p^{\omega+n+1}$ -projective if and only if there exists a $p^{\omega+n}$ -projective group H , such that $G \simeq H/S$ where $S \subset H[p]$.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.2, if G is $p^{\omega+n+1}$ -projective then $G \simeq F/B$ where $F = \bigoplus_c$ and $p^{n+1}B = 0$. Let $H = F/pB$ and $S = B/pB$ then $p^n(pB) = 0$ so H is $p^{\omega+n}$ -projective and $pS = 0$. Conversely if $G \simeq H/S$ where $S \subset H[p]$ and H is $p^{\omega+n}$ -projective then by Lemma 2.2 $H \simeq F/K$ where $F = \bigoplus_c$ and $p^nK = 0$ let $S \simeq M/K$ where $M \subset F$ then $p^{n+1}M = 0$ and $F/M \simeq G$ and therefore G is $p^{\omega+n+1}$ -projective.

COROLLARY 2.5. *If G is $p^{\omega+n}$ -projective and $S \subset G[p^m]$ then G/S is $p^{\omega+n+m}$ -projective.*

THEOREM 2.6. *Let G be a group and $n \geq 1$. Then G is $p^{\omega+n+1}$ -projective if and only if there is a subgroup S of $G[p]$ such that G/S is $p^{\omega+n}$ -projective.*

Proof. If G is $p^{\omega+n+1}$ -projective then there exists $A \subset G[p^{n+1}]$ such that $G/A = \bigoplus_c$. Let $S = A[p]$ then G/S is $p^{\omega+n}$ -projective by Lemma 2.1. Conversely if $S \subset G[p]$ and G/S is $p^{\omega+n}$ -projective then there exists $K/S \subset G/S$ such that $(G/S)/(K/S) = \bigoplus_c$ and $p^n(K/S) = 0$. Therefore $G/K = \bigoplus_c$, $p^{n+1}K = 0$ and the result follows from Lemma 2.1.

III. An imbedding theorem.

It is well known that not every group is contained in a group of the form $\text{Tor}(A, B)$ where A and B are reduced. However we show that every unbounded group G contains a subgroup of the form $\text{Tor}(A, P)$ where P is the reduced Prüfer group and A is a subgroup of G . Furthermore if $G \neq \bigoplus_c$ then A can be chosen so that $\text{Tor}(A, P) \neq \bigoplus_c$. But first we recall a result in [2].

LEMMA 3.1 ([2]). *Let G be a group such that $G \neq \bigoplus_c$ and $G^1 = 0$. Then G contains a subgroup B such that $B = H \oplus K$ where $H \neq \bigoplus_c$, $K = \bigoplus_c$ and $\text{fin } r(K) = |H|$.*

We need also

LEMMA 3.2 ([6]). *If $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ is a p^α -pure exact sequence with $\alpha \geq w$ and E is any group then*

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Tor}(A, E) \rightarrow \text{Tor}(B, E) \rightarrow \text{Tor}(C, E) \rightarrow 0$$

is a p^α -pure exact sequence.

LEMMA 3.3. *Let $B = \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} Z(p^n)$ and P the Prüfer group, then B contains a copy of $\text{Tor}(B, P)$ and there exists a summand A of P such that P contains a copy of $\text{Tor}(A, P) \neq \bigoplus_e$.*

Proof. $\text{Tor}(B, P)$ is isomorphic to a countable direct sum K of copies of B and B contains a copy of K . By [3] (pb. 19 a, p. 143), we can write $P = A \oplus B'$ where $B' = \bigoplus_e$ is unbounded. Now, there exists a pure exact sequence $0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow P \rightarrow Z(p^\infty) \rightarrow 0$ where B is high in P . Let $S = B[p]$, then the sequence $0 \rightarrow B/S \rightarrow P/S \rightarrow Z(p^\infty) \rightarrow 0$ is by Lemma 1.2, p^{w+1} -pure exact. But $B/S \simeq B$ and $P/S \simeq P$ thus there exists a p^{w+1} -pure exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow P \rightarrow Z(p^\infty) \rightarrow 0.$$

By Lemma 3.2, $0 \rightarrow \text{Tor}(A, B) \rightarrow \text{Tor}(A, P) \rightarrow \text{Tor}(A, Z(p^\infty)) \rightarrow 0$ is P^{w+1} -pure exact, but $\text{Tor}(A, Z(p^\infty)) \simeq A$ which is p^{w+1} -projective since P is p^{w+1} -projective, therefore $\text{Tor}(A, P) \simeq A \oplus \text{Tor}(A, B)$. However $\text{Tor}(A, B)$ is a countable \bigoplus_e so that B' contains a copy of it and P contains a copy of $\text{Tor}(A, P)$ which is clearly not \bigoplus_e .

THEOREM 3.4. *Let G be an unbounded group. Then G contains a subgroup of the form $\text{Tor}(A, P)$ where P is the Prüfer reduced group and A is a subgroup of G . Moreover if $G \neq \bigoplus_e$, then A can be chosen so that $\text{Tor}(A, P) \neq \bigoplus_e$.*

Proof. We break the proof into three cases:

1) $G = \bigoplus_e$ 2) $G^1 \neq 0$ and 3) $G \neq \bigoplus_e$ and $G^1 = 0$.

Case 1 and 2 are easily settled by Lemma 3.3. Indeed the groups respectively in these cases contain a copy of B and a copy of P .

Case 3. If $G \neq \bigoplus_e$ and $G^1 = 0$ then by Lemma 3.1 there exists subgroups M and N of G such that $M \neq \bigoplus_e$ and $N = \bigoplus_e$, $\text{fin } r(N) = |M|$ and $M \cap N = 0$. Furthermore M can be chosen to be p^{w+1} -projective by Theorem 2.9 p. 205 in [2]. Thus using the p^{w+1} -pure exact sequence $0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow P \rightarrow Zp^\infty \rightarrow 0$ obtained in Lemma 3.3 we derive that $\text{Tor}(M, P) \simeq \text{Tor}(M, B) \oplus M$. Now $\text{Tor}(B, M)$ is \bigoplus_e , a copy of which can be found in N and thus a copy of $\text{Tor}(M, P)$ exists in $M \oplus N \subset G$, clearly $\text{Tor}(M, P) \neq \bigoplus_e$.

References

- [1] BENABDALLAH, K. and IRWIN, J. M.; Pure N -high subgroups, P -adic Topology and direct sums of cyclic groups, 1974, *Can. J. Math.* Vol. XXVI, pp. 322-327.
- [2] BENABDALLAH, K., IRWIN, J. and RAFIQ, M.; *On a core class for abelian p -groups*, *Symposia Mathematica*, Vol. XIII (1974), p. 195 á 206.
- [3] FUCHS, L.; *Abelian groups*, *Publ. House Hungar*, Acad. Sci., Budapest, 1958.
- [4] FUCHS, L.; *Infinite abelian groups*, Vols. I and II (1970), Academic Press.
- [5] NUNKE, R.; Purity and subfunctors of the identity, *Topics in Abelian Groups, Proc. Sympos.*, New Mexico State University (1962), pp. 121-171, Scott. Foresman and Co., Chicago, Illinois, 1963.
- [6] NUNKE, R.; On the structure of Tor, II, *Pacific J. Math.* **22** (1967), 453-464.

Wayne State University
Detroit, Mich. U.S.A.

Université de Montréal
Montréal, Qué, Canada