

Imbedded N -High Subgroups of Abelian Groups*

by

William J. KEANE

(Received May 26, 1980)

1. Introduction

A subgroup H of an abelian group G is imbedded if its Z -adic topology coincides with the relative one inherited from G . The elementary properties of these subgroups are treated in [7] and [8]. In particular, if $l: Z^+ \rightarrow Z^+$ (Z^+ denotes the non-negative integers) then H is l -imbedded (written $H <_l G$) if $H \cap l(n)G \subset nH$, for $n \in Z^+$. This notion is clearly a generalization of purity. In this paper, we examine the extent of this generalization in several ways.

In §2, we determine those subgroups N of an abelian group for which all N -high subgroups are l -imbedded, and specify the smallest such l . This extends results of Pierce [9].

In §3, we answer the same question, removing the restriction of a particular imbedding function l .

In §4, we treat the question dually, and establish an interesting property of the neat hulls of a subgroup.

Finally, in §5, we restrict our attention to primary abelian groups, and show that it is possible for a non-purifiable subsocle to support an imbedded subgroup. In fact, we show that there exist groups which are not pure-complete, but in which every subsocle supports an imbedded subgroup. We hope to study these "imbedded-complete" groups in a future paper.

Throughout, "group" means reduced abelian group, and the notation is that of [2]. In particular, $h_p(x)$ denotes the p -height of x , and we omit the subscript if the context is clear. We use $h_G(x)$ to denote the height of x in the group G .

2. l -Centers and l -cores

Definition 2.1. Let N be a subgroup of G and $l: Z^+ \rightarrow Z^+$. N is an l -center in G if $H <_l G$ whenever H is N -high in G . If l is the pointwise smallest such function, N is called an l -core.

We note first that the concept of imbeddedness can be localized to a prime p by

* Some of the results in this paper appeared originally in the author's doctoral thesis, completed at the University of Notre Dame. The rest of the work was supported by a Boston College research grant.

considering the p -adic topology, and that H is imbedded if and only if it is p -imbedded for every prime p . In this case we write $H <_p G$ and note that there is then a function $l_p: Z^+ \rightarrow Z^+$ such that $H \cap p^{l_p(n)}G \subset p^n G$, for $n \in Z^+$. We can now similarly define the l_p -centers and l_p -cores of a group, and proceed to reduce to the local case.

Definition 2.2. A function $l: Z^+ \rightarrow Z^+$ is *multiplicative* if $l(mn) = l(m)l(n)$ whenever $(n, m) = 1$, and the prime divisors of $l(n)$ are among those of n , for all n .

Note that a multiplicative function l determines functions l_p by

$$l(p_1^{r_1} \cdots p_k^{r_k}) = p_1^{l_p(r_1)} \cdots p_k^{l_p(r_k)}$$

The proof of the following is similar to that of Prop. 1.2 of [8], but is included for completeness.

PROPOSITION 2.3. *Let G be a group, N a subgroup of G and $l: Z^+ \rightarrow Z^+$. If N is an l -core in G , then l is multiplicative.*

Proof. Suppose $(p, n) = 1$ and $l(n) = p^r t$, where $(p, t) = 1$. If $r > 0$, then $t < l(n)$, so there is an N -high subgroup H with $H \cap G \not\subset nH$. But if $x \in H \cap tG$, then

$$p^r x \in H \cap p^r tG = H \cap l(n)G \subset nH.$$

Thus if $a, b \in Z$ satisfy $an + bp^r = 1$, we have $x = anx + bp^r x \in nH$, so $H \cap tG \subset nH$. Thus $r = 0$, so $(l(n), p) = 1$.

Now if $(n, m) = 1$, we have $(l(n), l(m)) = 1$, so if H is N -high in G ,

$$\begin{aligned} H \cap l(n)l(m)G &= (H \cap l(n)G) \cap (H \cap l(m)G) \\ &\subset nH \cap mH \\ &= nmH. \end{aligned}$$

Thus by minimality of l , $l(nm) \leq l(n)l(m)$.

On the other hand, since $(n, m) = 1$, and the prime divisors of $l(nm)$ are among those of nm , we can find integers r and s with $l(nm) = rs$ and $(n, s) = 1$. Choose $a, b \in Z$ such that $as + bn = 1$, and let H be N -high in G . Then if $x \in H \cap rG$, we have

$$sx \in H \cap rsG = H \cap l(nm)G \subset nmH \subset nH.$$

Then $x = asx + bnx \in nH$, so $l(n) \leq r$. Similarly, $l(m) \leq s$, so $l(n)l(m) \leq rs = l(nm)$.

PROPOSITION 2.4. *Let N be a subgroup of G , and l a multiplicative function on Z^+ determining functions l_p . Then N is an l -core if and only if it is an l_p -core for every prime p .*

Proof. If N is an l -core, it is clearly an l_p -center for every p . If N is an l_p -core and $\bar{l}_p(n) < l_p(n)$, then for all N -high H , $H \cap p^{\bar{l}_p(n)}G \subset p^n H$, where $p^{\bar{l}_p(n)} < p^{l_p(n)} = l(p^n)$, contradicting minimality of l .

Conversely, if $n = p_1^{r_1} \cdots p_k^{r_k}$, and H is N -high,

$$\begin{aligned} H \cap l(n)G &= H \cap (p_1^{l_p(r_1)} \cdots p_k^{l_p(r_k)})G \\ &= \bigcap_{i=1}^k (H \cap p_i^{l_p(r_i)}G) \end{aligned}$$

$$\subset \bigcap_{i=1}^k p_i^i H = nH.$$

Thus H is an l -center, so an \bar{l} -core, for $\bar{l} \leq l$. Then \bar{l} is multiplicative, determining \bar{l}_p . Of course, $\bar{l}_p \leq l_p$ and since N is an \bar{l}_p -center, $l_p \leq \bar{l}_p$. Thus $l_p = \bar{l}_p$, for all p , so $l = \bar{l}$.

We thus need only determine the l_p -cores of a group, and in this case, l_p is trivially nondecreasing. Further, Moore [7] has noted that the minimal p -imbedding function l_p of a subgroup H is stationary at n [i.e. $l_p(n) = l_p(n+1)$] only if $p^n H$ is p -divisible. We will therefore adopt Moore's convention and take all functions strictly increasing. We treat the primary case first.

LEMMA 2.5. *Let N be a subgroup of the p -group G , and l_p a strictly increasing function on Z^+ . Suppose there exist $x \in N$, $g \in G$, $m \in Z$, $m \geq 1$, such that*

- i) $O(g) > O(x)$
- ii) $\langle g \rangle \cap N = 0$
- iii) $h(g) = h(x) < m - 1 \leq l_p(m - 1) \leq l_p(m) - 1 \leq h(g + x)$.

Then N is not an l_p -center.

Proof. Similar to the Lemma in [9].

Since H is N -high if and only if H is $N[p]$ -high, we need only consider subsocles of G . Further, it is well known that if $N \subset P_\infty = \{x \in G[p] | h(x) = \infty\}$, then all N -high subgroups are pure, so we may disregard this case.

THEOREM 2.6. *Let G be a p -group and N a subsocle of G with $N \not\subset P_\infty$. Then N is an l_p -center for some $l_p: Z^+ \rightarrow Z^+$ if and only if $P_m = \{x \in G[p] | h(x) \geq m\} \subset N$, for some $m \in Z^+$.*

Proof. If $P_m \subset N$, define $l_p(i) = m + i$, for $i \in Z^+$. Then if H is N -high in G ,

$$(H \cap p^{l_p(i)} G)[p] \subset H \cap P_m \subset H \cap N = 0,$$

so $H <_{l_p} G$. Conversely, if $P_m \not\subset N$, for all m , and l_p is strictly increasing, there are elements $g_k \in G$, $k > 0$, with

- i) $O(g_k) = p^2$
- ii) $\langle g_k \rangle \cap N = 0$
- iii) $h(g_k) \geq k$.

Then taking $x \in N$ with $h(x) = t < \infty$, we have

$$h(x) = h(x - g_{l_p(t+2)}) < t + 1 \leq l_p(t + 1) \leq h(g_{l_p(t+2)}),$$

so N is not an l_p -center.

THEOREM 2.7. *Let G be a p -group, and suppose $P_{k+r} \subset N \subset P_k$, where k is the largest and r the smallest such integer. Then N is an l_p -core, where*

$$l_p(i) = \begin{cases} i, & i \leq k+1 \\ \max(i, k+r), & i = k+2 \\ l_p(i-1)+1, & i \geq k+3 \end{cases}$$

Proof. N is an l_p -core, for some l_p , and if H is N -high in G , H is p^{k+1} -pure. If $r \leq 2$, then N is a center of purity by [9], Thm. 1. If $r > 2$, and $l_p(k+2) < k+r$, then there is a $g \in G$ with

- i) $O(g) = p^2$
- ii) $\langle g \rangle \cap N = 0$
- iii) $h(g) \geq k+r-2 > k$.

Then taking $x \in N$ of height $k < \infty$, we have

$$h(x) = h(x-g) < k+1 \leq l_p(k+1) \leq l_p(k+2) - 1 \leq k+r < h(g),$$

so N is not an l_p -center. Hence $l_p(k+2) \geq k+r$, but

$$(H \cap p^{l_p(k+2)}G)[p] \subset H \cap P_{k+r} = 0,$$

so $l_p(k+2) \leq k+r$. The rest follows since l_p is strictly increasing.

For completeness, we note the extension to arbitrary groups.

THEOREM 2.8. *Let $l_p: \mathbb{Z}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^+$ be strictly increasing, and N a subgroup of G . Then N is an l_p -center in G if and only if*

- 1) $T(N)$ is an l_p -center in $T(G)$
- 2) Either G/N is torsion or $N[p] \subset p^oG$.

Proof. Similar to Thm. 2 of [9].

Finally, since condition 2 of 2.8 is independent of l_p , the theorem holds for l_p -cores as well.

3. p -Adic centers

In this section, we remove the restriction of a particular imbedding function l_p .

Definition 3.1. Let N be a subgroup of a group G . N is a \mathbb{Z} -adic (p -adic) center in G if all N -high subgroups of G are imbedded (p -imbedded).

Again, to characterize the \mathbb{Z} -adic centers of a group, we need only consider the local case. We begin with primary groups.

LEMMA 3.2 *Let G be a p -group and N a subsocle of G with $N \not\subset P_\infty$. If there is a subsocle H of G disjoint from N containing nonzero elements of arbitrarily large height, then N is not a p -adic center.*

Proof. Let $x \in N$ have finite height m . If $H \cap P_\infty = 0$, we can inductively choose $g_i \in H$ as follows:

- i) $h(g_1) > m+1$

ii) $h(g_i) > h(g_{i-1})$ and $g_1 \cdots g_i$ are independent.

Let $H' = \langle g_i | i > 0 \rangle$. We may decompose $G[p] = K \oplus N$, where K contains H' and a complementary summand to $P_{m+1} \cap N$ in P_{m+1} . For each i , choose x_i with $px_i = g_i$ and $h(x_i) = h(g_i) - 1$. Let $L = \langle x_i - x | i > 0 \rangle$ and $M' = \langle K, L \rangle$. Then $M' \cap N = 0$, and $L[p] = H' \subset K$, so we may take M N -high containing M' . Say $M <_{l_p} G$ and choose i so that $h(g_i) > l_p(m+2)$. If $h_M(g_i) \geq m+2$, take $y_i \in M$ with $p^{m+2}y_i = g_i = p(x_i - x)$. Then

$$g = p^{m+1}y_i - (x_i - x) \in M \cap G[p] = K.$$

Now $h(x_i) \geq m+1$, so $x_i = p^{m+1}z_i$, $z_i \in G$. Then

$$p^{m+1}(z_i - y_i) = x_i - (g + x_i - x) = x - g \in P_{m+1},$$

and hence $x \in P_{m+1}$, a contradiction. The case $0 \neq g \in H \cap P_\infty$ is similar.

THEOREM 3.3 *Let G be a p -group, and N a subsocle of G with $N \not\subseteq P_\infty$. The following are equivalent:*

- (1) N is a p -adic center in G
- (2) If $H \cap N = 0$ then $\bar{H}[p] \subset H \oplus N$, where \bar{H} is the closure of H in the p -adic topology
- (3) $P_\infty \subset N$ and $(P_k + N)/N$ is finite for some k
- (4) All N -high subgroups are of bounded height.

Proof. (1 \Rightarrow 2): Let $H \cap N = 0$ and suppose $0 \neq x \in \bar{H}[p] \sim (H \oplus N)$. Then $\langle H, x \rangle \cap N = 0$, since if $ax + h = y \neq 0$, $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, $h \in H$, $y \in N$, then $(a, p) = 1$, so $x \in H \oplus N$. However, we can find $h_i \in H$, for all i , so that $x + h_i \in p^i G$, so $\langle x, H \rangle[p]$ contains elements of arbitrarily large height, and N is not a p -adic center.

(2 \Rightarrow 3): If $x \in P_x \sim N$, $x \in \bar{0}[p]$, but $x \notin 0 + N$. Also, if $(P_k + N)/N$ is infinite for all k , we can find elements $g_i \in P_i$ which are independent mod N . Let $H = \langle g_i - g_j | i > j \rangle$. Then $g_1 \in \bar{H}[p]$ and since we can decompose $G[p] = H \oplus \langle g_1 \rangle \oplus N \oplus K$, $g_1 \notin H \oplus N$.

(3 \Rightarrow 4): Let H be N -high of unbounded height. Since $H[p] \cap P_\infty = 0$, we can inductively choose $g_i \in H[p]$ such that $h(g_i) > i$ and $g_1 \cdots g_i$ are independent. Since $H \cap N = 0$, they are independent mod N , so in fact $(P_k + N)/N$ is infinite for all k .

(4 \Rightarrow 1): If H is of bounded height, $H \cap p^k G = 0$, for some k , so $H <_{l_p} G$, where $l_p(i) = i + k$.

COROLLARY 3.4. *Let G be a p -group, and $N \subset G[p]$ a p -adic center in G with $N \not\subseteq P_\infty$. Then N is an l_p -center for some l_p if and only if N is closed in $G[p]$.*

Proof. It is well known that

$$(G[p]/N) \cap p^\omega(G/N) = \bigcap_{k > 0} (P_k + N)/N.$$

If N is an l_p -center, $P_m \subset N$, for some m , so

$$\bigcap_{k > 0} (P_k + N)/N \subset (P_m + N)/N = 0,$$

and N is closed. Conversely, if $(P_m + N)/N$ is finite, so is $(P_j + N)/N$ for $j > m$. Thus if

$$\bigcap_{k>0} (P_k + N)/N = 0, \text{ then } (P_r + N)/N = 0,$$

for some r , and $P_r \subset N$.

As before, we now extend our characterization to arbitrary groups.

THEOREM 3.5. *Let N be a subgroup of G . Then N is a p -adic center in G if and only if*

- 1) $T(N)$ is a p -adic center in $T(G)$
- 2) Either $N[p] \subset p^0G$ or
 - i) $\langle \bar{H}, N \rangle / \langle H, N \rangle$ is torsion whenever $H \cap N = 0$
 - ii) G/N has finite torsion-free rank.

Proof. For sufficiency, suppose 1) holds. If $N[p] \subset p^0G$, then N is a center of purity (cf. [9]). Suppose then that 2i) and 2ii) hold, and take H N -high but not p -imbedded. Then we can find an integer k and elements $g_n \in (H \cap p^nG) \sim p^kH$, for $n > 0$. We may assume that $O(g_n) = \infty$. We claim that we can choose an infinite independent subset of $\{g_n | n > 0\}$. If not, let $\{g_{n_1} \cdots g_{n_m}\}$ be maximally independent and let

$$K = \bigoplus_{i=1}^m \langle g_{n_i} \rangle \subset H.$$

If $K \not\leq_p G$, we can find an integer r and elements

$$h_j \in K' = \bigoplus_{i=2}^m \langle g_{n_i} \rangle$$

with $rg_{n_1} + h_j \in p^jG$, so $rg_{n_1} \in \bar{K}'$, violating 2i). Suppose then that $K <_{l_p} G$. If $t > l_p(k)$ and $(m, p) = 1$, then $mg_t \notin K$, since then

$$g_t \in K \cap p^{l_p(k)}G \subset p^kH.$$

Now for each j with $l_p(j+1) > \max(l_p(k), n_m)$, we have a smallest $k_{j+1} > 0$ such that for some m_{j+1} with $(m_{j+1}, p) = 1$,

$$m_{j+1}p^{k_{j+1}}g_{l_p(j+1)} \in K \cap p^{l_p(j+1)}G \subset p^{j+1}K.$$

Then there exist $y_j \in K$ such that

$$0 \neq m_{j+1}p^{k_{j+1}-1}g_{l_p(j+1)} - p^jy_j \in H[p] \cap p^jG,$$

so that $T(N)$ is not a p -adic center in $T(G)$.

Conversely, if N is a p -adic center and H is $T(N)$ -high in $T(G)$, we can extend H to an N -high subgroup H' of G , and then $H = T(H')$, so $H <_p H' <_p G$. Now let $x \in N[p]$ have finite p -height. If G/N has infinite torsion-free rank let $\{g_i | i > 0\}$ be an infinite independent set with $O(g_i) = \infty$ satisfying

$$\left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} \langle g_i \rangle \right) \cap N = 0.$$

Then $\{x + p^i g_i\}$ satisfies the same conditions. Let

$$K = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} \langle x + p^i g_i \rangle$$

and extend K to an N -high subgroup H with $H <_{l_p} G$. Then for each i , $p^{l_p(i)+1} g_{l_p(i)} \in H$, so $p^{l_p(i)+1} g_{l_p(i)} = p^i h_i$, $h_i \in H$.

Then

$$z_i = x + p^{l_p(i)} g_{l_p(i)} - p^{i-1} h_i \in H[p],$$

with

$$y_i = z_{i+1} - z_i \in H[p] \cap p^{i-1} G,$$

Note that infinitely many y_i are nonzero, since if $y_i = 0$ for $i > i_0$, then

$$0 \neq p^{l_p(i_0+1)} g_{l_p(i_0+1)} - p^{i_0} h_{i_0+1} \in G[p] \sim N$$

has infinite p -height, contradicting 3.2. Again, $T(N)$ is not a p -adic center in $T(G)$.

Finally, suppose $\langle \bar{H}, N \rangle / \langle H, N \rangle$ is not torsion for some H disjoint from N , and say $O(g + \langle H, N \rangle) = \infty$. Then we can find $h_j \in H$ for $j > 0$ with $g + h_j \in p^j G$. Let $K = \langle g + x \rangle \oplus H$, and take L N -high containing K , so that $L <_{l_p} G$. Now for each j there is $g_j \in L$ with

$$y_j = g + x + h_{l_p(j+1)} - p^j g_j \in H[p].$$

Then $y_j \neq 0$ for $j > h_p(x)$ and $\{y_j | j > h_p(x)\}$ is infinite, since otherwise we could find $y = y_{j_s}$, for arbitrarily large s , and then

$$0 \neq y - x \in (G[p] \sim N) \cap p^\omega G,$$

violating 3.2. Thus we may assume $\{y_j\}$ is independent, and then $\langle y_j - x | j > h_p(x) \rangle \cap N = 0$, again contradicting 3.2 and concluding the proof.

Finally, we give an example when the somewhat unwieldy condition 2i) is necessary. In the p -adics J_p , for proper choice of p , we have $\sqrt{2} \in \langle \bar{1} \rangle$, so we can choose $g_i \in J_p$ and $a_i \in \langle 1 \rangle$ with $\sqrt{2} + a_i = p^i g_i$. Then $K = \langle 1, \sqrt{2}, g_i | i > 0 \rangle$ has rank 2. If we take $G = N \oplus K$, when $N \simeq Z_p$, then N is not a p -adic center.

4. Imbedded neat hulls

As Megibben [5] has noted in the case of purity, the results of the previous two sections could be obtained by viewing the question dually, in terms of neat hulls. Recall that a subgroup H of G is neat if $H \cap pG = pH$, for every prime p , and that every subgroup is contained in a minimal neat subgroup, called a neat hull.

Definition 4.1. A subgroup H of a group G is an l_p -kernel if all the neat hulls of H are l_p -imbedded in G .

In this section we show that in the more general setting of imbeddedness, an

interesting phenomenon occurs: there is no need to define the “ p -adic kernels” of a group.

THEOREM 4.2. *If all the neat hulls of a subgroup H of G are p -imbedded, then H is an l_p -kernel, for some function l_p .*

The theorem is immediate from the following two lemmas.

LEMMA 4.3. *If $H <_{l_p} G$, then H is an l_p -kernel in G .*

Proof. If $H[p]$ is dense in $G[p]$, then by [5], Thm 3, every neat hull of H is p -pure. We may thus take the largest integer n with $G[p]/H[p] \subset p^n(G/H[p])$. Let K be a neat hull of H , and take $g \in K \cap p^{l_p(m)}G$, with $m > n + 1$. Then $ag \in H$, for some $a \in Z$ with $(a, p) = 1$, since otherwise, there is a smallest integer k with $0 \neq p^kbg \in H$, $(b, p) = 1$, since H is essential in K . Then

$$p^kbg \in H \cap p^{l_p(m)}G \subset p^mH,$$

and

$$p^{k-1}bg + z \in H, \quad \text{for some } z \in G[p].$$

But then $p^{k-1}bg \in K$, so $z \in K \cap G[p] = H[p]$, and $p^{k-1}bg \in H$, contradicting minimality of k . Thus $h_K(g) = h_K(ag) \geq h_H(ag) \geq m$, since $H <_{l_p} G$. Therefore $K <_{l_p} G$.

LEMMA 4.4. *Let H be a subgroup of G , and suppose $H[p]$ is not dense in $G[p]$. Then if every neat hull of H is p -imbedded, so is H .*

Proof. Let $x \in G[p]$ have finite p -height $n \pmod{H[p]}$. If $H \not<_p G$, we can find an integer i such that for $k > 0$, there is $g_k \in G$ with $p^k g_k \in H \sim p^{i+1}H$.

Case I: Suppose that for all k , $p^{k-1}g_k + x_k \in H$, where $x_k \in G[p]$ and $x_k + H[p] \notin p^t(G/H[p])$, for some t . If K is a neat hull of H and $K <_{l_p} G$, take $k_0 > l_p(t+1)$. Then $p^{t+1}y = p^{k_0}g_{k_0}$, for some $y \in K$. But then

$$p^t y - p^{k_0-1}g_{k_0} \in K[p] = H[p],$$

so

$$p^{k_0-1}g_{k_0} + x_{k_0} + h \in p^t G, \quad \text{for some } h \in H[p].$$

Then $x_{k_0} + h \in p^t G$, since $k_0 > t$, a contradiction.

Case II: Suppose $p^k g_k \in H \sim p^i H$, for all k . Then $H/p^i H$ is of unbounded height in $G/p^i H$.

Subcase 1: Suppose that $0 \neq g + p^i H \in p^\omega(G/p^i H)$, for $g \in H$. If $g + p^i h \in p^\omega G$, for $h \in H$, let $py = g + p^i h$, where $h_G(y) \geq n + 1$, and let $K = \langle H, y + x \rangle$. Then H is essential in K , so a neat hull L of K is also one of H . Then $L <_p G$, since if $p^{n+2}z = g + p^i h$, $z \in K$, then $p^{n+1}z - (y + x) \in H[p]$ and $x + w \in p^{n+1}G$, for some $w \in H[p]$.

If however, no representative of $g + p^i H$ is in $p^\omega G$, we may assume $h_G(g) \geq n + 2$,

and take $y \in G$ with $py = g$ and $h_G(y) \geq n + 1$. Let $K = \langle H, y + x \rangle$, and let L be a neat hull of H containing K . We can find elements $g_s \in H$ with $h_G(g + pg_s) \geq s$, for $s > 0$. If $h_G(g_s + g_s') \geq n + 1$, for some $g_s' \in H[p]$ and all $s > h_G(g)$, then

$$h_G(g_s + g_s' + x + y + h) \leq n$$

for all $h \in H[p]$. But then the sequence $\{g + pg_s\}$ in L satisfies Case I, so $L \not\prec_p G$. If however $h_G(g_{s_0} + g) \leq n$, for some $s_0 > h_G(g)$ and all $h \in H[p]$, then we note that $h_G(pg_{s_0}) = h_G(g)$. Set $z_1 = pg_{s_0}$ and choose another representative g_2 of $g + pH$ with $h_G(g_2) > h_G(g)$. Repeating the above argument, we obtain a sequence $\{z_i\}$ in H satisfying Case I.

Subcase 2: Suppose $(H/pH) \cap p^\omega(G/pH) = 0$. Choose a sequence of elements $\{g_s\}_{s \geq n+2}$ in H such that $h_G(g_s) \geq s$ and $\{g_s + pH\}_{s \geq n+2}$ is independent in G/pH . Let $y_s \in G$ satisfy $py_s = g_s$ and $h_G(y_s) = h_G(g_s) - 1$. Let $K = \langle H, y_s + x \mid s \geq n + 2 \rangle$, and L a neat hull of K . Again by Case I, $K \not\prec_p G$.

Case III: Finally, suppose that $py_k = p^k g_k$, for all k , and that for every t , there is an integer k_t with $h_G(y_{k_t}) > t$. We may assume $h(y_k) \geq k$. Then $y_k \in p^i H$, for all k . If $\{y_k\}$ does not satisfy either Case I or Case II, we may continue the process, and find a sequence $\{y_{k, i-1}\}$ in H with $h_G(y_{k, i-1}) \geq k$ and $y_{k, i-1} \notin p^{i-1} H$, for all k . We finally arrive at a sequence $\{y_{k, 1}\}$ with $y_{k, 1} \notin pH$ and $h_G(y_{k, 1}) \geq k$, which is just Case I.

5. Imbedded-complete groups

In this section, we restrict our attention to primary groups which are separable, i.e. $p^\omega G = 0$. We are concerned with those subsocles which support imbedded subgroups.

Definition 5.1. A subgroup H of a p -group G is *regularly imbedded* if there is an integer k such that $H \cap p^{k+n} G \subset p^n (H \cap p^k G)$, for $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.

THEOREM 5.2. *Let G be a separable p -group, and S a subsocle of G . The following are equivalent:*

- 1) S supports a regularly imbedded subgroup of G
- 2) S is an l_p -center in a pure subgroup of G , for some function l_p .
- 3) S supports a pure subgroup of G .

Proof. (1 \Rightarrow 2): Let $H[p] = S$, where H is regularly imbedded in G . Then by Thm. 5.4 of [8], there is a pure subgroup P of G with $p^k P \subset H \subset P$, for some k . Clearly, $(p^k P)[p] \subset S$.

(2 \Rightarrow 3): If P is pure in G and $(p^k P)[p] \subset S$, then all S -high subgroups of P are bounded. Thus by Thm. 1.2 of [1], S supports a pure subgroup of P , which is then pure in G .

(3 \Rightarrow 1): Trivial.

It is tempting at this point to conjecture that if S supports an imbedded

subgroup, it is a p -adic center in a pure subgroup, and is therefore purifiable. That this is not true is seen in the following example, due to Hill [3].

Let $\langle a_i \rangle$ be cyclic of order p^{2i} , and let

$$B_1 = \bigoplus_1 \langle a_i \rangle, \quad B_2 = \bigoplus_2 \langle a_i \rangle,$$

where 1 and 2 denote summation over the odd and even integers. Let

$$H_1 = T\left(\prod_1 \langle a_i \rangle\right), \quad H_2 = T\left(\prod_2 \langle a_i \rangle\right), \quad H = H_1 \oplus H_2,$$

$$K = B_1 \oplus H_2, \quad G_2 = T\left(\prod_2 \langle a_{i-1} + pa_i \rangle\right),$$

and $G = \langle G_2, B_2 \rangle$. Megibben [6] has shown that $H_2[p]$ does not support a pure subgroup of G . However, $G_2[p] = H_2[p]$, and clearly $G_2 <_{l_p} G$, where $l_p(i) = i + 1$.

Definition 5.3. A separable p -group is *imbedded-complete* if every subsocle supports an imbedded subgroup.

We conclude by showing that the class of imbedded-complete groups properly contains the pure-complete groups.

THEOREM 5.4. *There exist imbedded-complete p -groups which are not pure-complete.*

Proof. In the example above, let S be a subgroup of $G[p] = B_1[p] \oplus H_2[p]$. Then $S = (H_2[p] \cap S) \oplus T$. Now G_2 is torsion-complete, and hence pure-complete, so $H_2[p] \cap S$ supports a pure subgroup M of G_2 , which is then imbedded in G . Also, $(T+M)/M \subset (G/M)[p]$, and $(T+M)/M \simeq T$ is countable, so supports a pure subgroup of G/M , say M'/M . Then $M' < G$. But $M'[p] = S$, since if $x \in M'[p]$, then $x + M \in (M'/M)[p]$, so $x + M = t + M$, for some $t \in T$, and $x - t \in M[p] = H_2[p] \cap S$. Therefore $x = t + h$, some $h \in H_2[p] \cap S$ and $x \in S$.

Acknowledgment. The author wishes to express his gratitude to his thesis advisor, Dr. George Kolettis, for his help and encouragement.

Bibliography

- [1] BENABDALLAH, K. and WILSON, R.; Thick groups and essentially finitely indecomposable groups, *Can. J. Math.*, **XXX** (1978), 650–654.
- [2] FUCHS, L.; *Infinite Abelian Groups*, Vol. I, New York, Academic Press, 1970.
- [3] HILL, P.; Certain pure subgroups of primary groups, Report of the New Mexico State University Conference on Abelian Groups, 1963.
- [4] IRWIN, J. M. and WALKER, E. A.; On isotype subgroups of abelian groups, *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, **89** (1961), 451–460.
- [5] MEGIBBEN, C. K.; Kernels of purity in abelian groups, *Pub. Math. Deb.*, **II** (1964), 160–164.
- [6] ———; A note on a paper of Bernard Charles, *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, **91** (1963), 463–464.

- [7] MOORE, J. D.; On quasi-complete abelian p -groups, *Rocky Mtn. J. Math.*, **5** (1975), 601–609.
- [8] ——— and REID, J. D.; Imbedded subgroups of abelian groups, to appear.
- [9] PIERCE, R. S.; Centers of purity in abelian groups, *Pacific J. Math.*, **13** (1963), 215–219.

Boston College
Chestnut Hill, Mass.
U.S.A.