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## Synopsis

Reactions of cis- $\left[\mathrm{PtCl}_{2} \mathrm{~L}_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{PPh}_{3}, \mathrm{PMe}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right.$ or $\left.\mathrm{L}_{2}=\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right]$ with endo-8camphanylphosphonic acid $\left(\mathrm{CamPO}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ and $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ gave platinum(II) phosphonate complexes $\left[\mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{PCam}\right) \mathrm{L}_{2}\right]$, which were studied in detail by NMR spectroscopy. The structure of $\left[\mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{PCam}\right)\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right] \cdot 2 \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ shows that the bulky camphanyl group is directed into a pocket formed by the Pt and the two $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ ligands, because of the preference of the $\mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ group to have a staggered conformation. This observation is supported by theoretical calculations. The Xray structure of camPO $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ was also determined and shows hydrogen-bonded hexamers assembled to form a structure comprising hydrophilic channels surrounded by a sheath of hydrophobic camphanyl groups.
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#### Abstract

The reactions of cis- $\left[\mathrm{PtCl}_{2} \mathrm{~L}_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{PPh}_{3}, \mathrm{PMe}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right.$ or $\mathrm{L}_{2}=\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{\left.\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{PPh}_{2}(\mathrm{dppe})\right] \text { with endo- }}$ 8-camphanylphosphonic acid $\left(\mathrm{CamPO}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ and $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in refluxing dichloromethane gave platinum(II) phosphonate complexes $\left[\mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{PCam}\right) \mathrm{L}_{2}\right]$. The X-ray crystal structure of $\left[\mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{PCam}\right)\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right] \cdot 2 \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ shows that the bulky camphanyl group, rather than being directed away from the platinum, is instead directed into a pocket formed by the Pt and the two $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ ligands. This allows the $\mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ group to have a preferred staggered conformation. The complexes were studied in detail by NMR spectroscopy, which demonstrates nonfluxional behaviour for the sterically bulky $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ and dppe derivatives, which contain inequivalent phosphine ligands in their ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectra. These findings are backed up by theoretical calculations on the $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ and PPhMe 2 derivatives, which show respectively high and low energy barriers to rotation of the camphanyl group in the $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{PPhMe}_{2}$ complexes. The X-ray crystal structure of $\mathrm{CamPO}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ is also reported, and consists of hydrogen-bonded hexameric aggregates, which assemble to form a columnar structure containing hydrophilic phosphonic acid channels surrounded by a sheath of bulky, hydrophobic camphanyl groups.
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## Introduction

Previously we reported the synthesis of endo-8-camphanylphosphonic acid $\left[\operatorname{CamP}(\mathrm{O})(\mathrm{OH})_{2}\right] 1$ by the oxidation of the corresponding phosphinic acid $[\mathrm{CamPH}(\mathrm{O})(\mathrm{OH})] .[1,2]$ Such organophosphorus acids are of potential interest for their coordination chemistry, with the camphanyl group providing a readily-incorporated, bulky, chiral, and hydrophobic group. However, since the discovery of $\operatorname{CamP}(\mathrm{O})(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$, relatively few studies have utilised this phosphonic acid. The methyl ester $\operatorname{CamP}(\mathrm{O})(\mathrm{OMe})_{2}$, formed by methylation of $\operatorname{CamP}(\mathrm{O})(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$, forms a complex with uranyl nitrate, $\mathrm{UO}_{2}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{CamP}(\mathrm{O})(\mathrm{OMe})_{2}\right)_{2}$. [2] $\mathrm{CamP}(\mathrm{O})(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ has also been utilised in the synthesis of a variety of iron(III) phosphonate clusters. For example, reaction of $\operatorname{CamP}(\mathrm{O})(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ with the oxo-bridged iron-carboxylate aggregate $\left[\mathrm{Fe}_{3}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{O}\right)\left({ }^{( } \mathrm{BuCO}_{2}\right)_{6}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{3}\right] \mathrm{Cl}$ and pyridine (py) yielded the tetranuclear iron-phosphonate aggregate $\left[\mathrm{Fe}_{4}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{O}\right)\left({ }^{( } \mathrm{BuCO}_{2}\right)_{4}\left(\mathrm{CamPO}_{3}\right)_{3}(\mathrm{py})_{4}\right][3]$ while the same reaction in the absence of pyridine yielded nonanuclear $\left[\mathrm{Fe}_{9} \mathrm{O}_{4}\left({ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{BuCO}_{2}\right)_{13}\left(\mathrm{CamPO}_{3}\right)_{3}\right]$.[4] An even larger dodecanuclear aggregate $\left[\mathrm{Fe}_{12}\left(\mu_{2}-\mathrm{O}\right)_{4}\left(\mu_{3}-\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\mathrm{O})_{4}\left(\mathrm{PhCO}_{2}\right)_{14}\right)\left(\mathrm{CamPO}_{3} \mathrm{H}\right)_{6}\right]$ was prepared by reaction of $\left[\mathrm{Fe}_{3}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{O}\right)\left(\mathrm{PhCO}_{2}\right)_{6}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{3}\right] \mathrm{Cl}$ with $\operatorname{CamP}(\mathrm{O})(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ in the presence of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$.[5] In these compounds, the bulkyl camphanyl group contributes to a substantial hydrocarbon sheath around the inorganic core of the aggregate. This structural motif was also seen in the polymeric calcium salt of the corresponding phosphinic acid, $\operatorname{CamPH}(\mathrm{O})(\mathrm{OH})$, which has a columnar structure comprising a hydrophilic, inorganic core surrounded by a sheath of camphanyl groups.[6]

In this paper we report the synthesis and characterisation of some platinum(II) phosphine complexes of $\operatorname{CamP}(\mathrm{O})(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$. To date, relatively few platinum(II) complexes containing bidentate chelating phosphonate ligands have been reported. A series of platinum(II)-phosphine complexes $\left[\mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{PR}\right) \mathrm{L}_{2}\right]$ derived from $\mathrm{PhP}(\mathrm{O})(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ and
$\mathrm{MeP}(\mathrm{O})(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ were prepared by reaction of cis-[ $\left.\mathrm{PtCl}_{2} \mathrm{~L}_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{L}=\right.$ e.g. $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}, \mathrm{PMePh}_{2}$ or $\mathrm{L}_{2}=$ $\left.\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{PPh}_{2}(\mathrm{n}=2,3,4)\right]$ with the phosphonic acid in refluxing dichloromethane in the presence of silver(I) oxide as a base and halide-abstracting reagent.[7] The same methodology has been extended to analogous ferrocenyl-phosphonate complexes $\left[\mathrm{Pt}\left\{\mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{Fc}\right\}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]\left(\mathrm{Fc}=\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) ; \mathrm{n}=0,1,2\right)$ and $\mathrm{Fe}\left\{\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{PO}_{3} \mathrm{Pt}^{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right\}_{2}$ [8] and to the fluorinated derivatives $\left[\mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}\right)\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{~F}_{9}\right.$ or $\left.\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{13}\right)$ and $\left[\mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{PC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{R}\right)\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]\left(\mathrm{R}=p-\mathrm{F}, p-\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right.$ or $\left.p-n-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{13}\right) \cdot[9]$ More widely, platinum(II) complexes with phosphonate ligands have attracted some interest for their biological activity, $[10,11]$ though there are very few studies in contrast to related complexes containing phosphate ligands, because of the significance of the latter to the interactions of platinumbased anticancer drugs with DNA.[12,13,14,15]

## Results and discussion

## X-Ray structure of 8-camphanylphosphonic acid, $\operatorname{CamP}(\mathrm{O})(\mathrm{OH})_{2} 1$

The camphanylphosphonic acid $\operatorname{CamP}(\mathrm{O})(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ used in this study was prepared by oxidation of the corresponding phosphinic acid $\operatorname{CamPH}(\mathrm{O})(\mathrm{OH})$, itself prepared in high yield by the radical-catalysed addition of hypophosphorous acid to camphene.[2] During attempts to prepare a strontium salt of $\operatorname{CamP}(\mathrm{O})(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ by reaction of strontium nitrate with $\operatorname{CamP}(\mathrm{O})(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ and urea in methanol/water, colourless hexagonal crystals of $\operatorname{CamP}(\mathrm{O})(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ were obtained. In light of the interest in metal-phosphonate derivatives of this compound, the X-ray structure of $\operatorname{CamP}(\mathrm{O})(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ was determined to investigate how the bulky hydrophobic camphanyl groups might influence the hydrogen bond-directed structure. The molecular structure together with the atom numbering scheme are shown in Figure 1, and selected bond lengths and angles are given in Table 1. The $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ bond distance in $\mathbf{1}[1.762(8) \AA$ is similar,
but slightly shorter, than other methylene-phosphonic acids reported in the literature, such as $\mathrm{FcCH}_{2} \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{O})(\mathrm{OH})_{2}\left[1.805(1) \AA\right.$ ], $[16](\mathrm{HO})_{2} \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{O})(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ [1.7858(5) $\left.\AA\right],[17]$ and $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{SiCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{O})(\mathrm{OH})_{2}[1.787(2) \AA] .[18]$ The $\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{O}$ double bond length of $\mathbf{1}[1.511(5) \AA$ is comparable to those in the same phosphonic acids, which are $1.513(1), 1.5016(4)$, and 1.505(1) Å respectively.

The structure of $\mathbf{1}$ is comprised of hydrogen-bonded hexamers, packed about the three-fold axis. Three molecules are linked in a ring by $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{H}(03) \cdots \mathrm{O}(2)$ interactions between adjacent molecules, then these trimers are linked together by six $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{H}(01) \cdots \mathrm{O}(2)$ interactions to form the hexameric unit which contains a central cavity formed by the $\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{O}$ and P-OH groups, surrounded by the camphanyl groups, Figure 2. The $\operatorname{CamP}(\mathrm{O})(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ hexamers then stack upon each other to give a discontinuous hydrophilic central core surrounded by the bulky hydrophobic camphanyl groups, as shown in Figure 3.

Structural studies on other phosphonic acids with organic substituents of modest steric bulk, such as $\mathrm{FcCH}_{2} \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{O})(\mathrm{OH})_{2},[16] \quad \mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{SiCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{O})(\mathrm{OH})_{2},[18] \quad p$ $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{2}$, [19] $o-\mathrm{FC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{2}$, [20] and $2,4,6-{ }^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{Pr}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ [21] indicate that a layered structure (containing $\mathrm{RPO}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{2} \cdots \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{PR}$ ) is a common structural feature. However, for phosphonic acids containing bulky groups, a small number of cage structures have been reported. Thus, ${ }^{t} \mathrm{BuPO}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{2}$, when crystallised from $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, has (like CamPO $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ 1) a hexameric cage structure,[22] while $4-{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}-2,6-\mathrm{Mes}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ (Mes $=$ 2,4,6-trimethylphenyl) crystallises as a monohydrate in the form of a hydrogen-bonded tetramer.[23] In these structures of bulky phosphonic acids, and also in the structure of the calcium salt of $\operatorname{CamPH}(\mathrm{O})(\mathrm{OH}),[6]$ there is a hydrophilic core surrounded by a hydrophobic organic sheath, which maximises separation of the hydrophilic inorganic part from the hydrophobic organic part. This suggests that further studies into the chemistry of metal-
phosphonate derivatives of camphene-derived organophosphorus acids are worthy of investigation for the possibility of generating interesting structures.

## Synthesis of platinum(II)-phosphine complexes derived from 1

The general synthetic route to the 8 -camphanylphosphonic acid-derived $\mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{II})$ complexes 2, $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{4}$ involves the reaction of an excess of $\operatorname{silver(I)~oxide~with~equimolar~}$ quantities of the platinum dichloride complexes cis- $\left[\mathrm{PtCl}_{2} \mathrm{~L}_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{PPh}_{3}, \mathrm{PPhMe}_{2} ; \mathrm{L}_{2}=\right.$ dppe (1,2-bis(diphenylphosphino)ethane)] and phosphonic acid 1. The reaction proceeds in dichloromethane at reflux, with complete reaction (as determined by ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR) typically occurring within a 36 h period. Upon completion, the unreacted silver oxide and silver chloride (a byproduct of the reaction) are simply removed by filtration.

Platinacycles 2 and 4 are readily purified by recrystallisation from chloroform/petroleum spirit while the purification of platinacycle $\mathbf{3}$ was achieved by washing the crude product with acetone. Synthesised in moderate yield, the crystalline platinacycles $\mathbf{2}$, $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{4}$ are stable both in air and in organic solvents such as dichloromethane and methanol.

The attempted synthesis of the analogous 1,5-cyclo-octadiene (cod) complex $\left[\mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{PCam}\right)(\operatorname{cod})\right]$ was unsuccessful; the reaction of equimolar amounts of $\mathbf{1}$ and $\left[\mathrm{PtCl}_{2}(\mathrm{cod})\right]$ with excess $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in refluxing dichloromethane afforded a large number of products from ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectroscopy, and no further investigations were carried out.

## NMR spectroscopic characterisation

The ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR data of the platinum-phosphonate complexes $\mathbf{2}$ and $\mathbf{4}$, Table 2, are more complicated than the analogous methyl- and phenyl-phosphonate complexes $\left[\mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{PR}\right) \mathrm{L}_{2}\right]\left(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me}\right.$ or $\mathrm{Ph} ; \mathrm{L}=\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ or $\left.\mathrm{L}_{2}=\mathrm{dppe}\right)$ that have been previously reported.[7]

In the methyl- and phenyl-phosphonates the phosphine phosphorus atoms are equivalent, giving a single peak with ${ }^{1}$ JPtP coupling. In contrast, the donor phosphorus atoms $\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{x}}\right.$ and $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{y}}$, refer Table 2) of the camphanyl analogues $\mathbf{2}$ and $\mathbf{4}$ are inequivalent, coupling to each other to produce two doublets in the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectra. These doublets are further spilt by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{JPtP}$ and ${ }^{3} \mathrm{JPP}$ coupling. The inequivalence of the phosphine phosphorus atoms of $\mathbf{2}$ and $\mathbf{4}$ is also reflected in the difference in the one-bond $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{x}}$ and $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{y}}$ coupling constants which, for example, are 3732 and 3843 Hz for the $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ complex 2. The inequivalence of the phosphine ligand environments of $\mathbf{2}$ and $\mathbf{4}$ is proposed to arise from the steric restriction produced by replacement of a less bulky methyl or phenyl group by the sterically bulky camphanyl group. This steric restriction prevents the free rotation of the camphanyl group, which results in the phosphine ligands becoming inequivalent. This is supported by NOE studies of complex $\mathbf{2}$, as well as theoretical studies (vide infra).

In contrast, the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of the $\mathrm{PPhMe}_{2}$ complex $\mathbf{3}$ shows a singlet for the phosphine ligands (with ${ }^{3}$ JPP coupling to the phosphonate phosphorus) indicating that even though the bulkyl camphanyl group is present, the donor phosphine environments are equivalent. In this case, it is proposed that the decreased steric bulk of the $\mathrm{PPhMe}_{2}$ ligand permits free rotation to occur, making the phosphine ligands equivalent. Both a bulky group on the phosphonate ligand (camphanyl) and bulky phosphine ligands are required to produce the steric interactions that result in inequivalence of the phosphine donors.

The magnitudes of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{PtP}}$ coupling constants, which lie between 3654 and 3843 Hz , are comparable with those of the methyl- and phenyl-phosphonate analogues,[7] and are consistent with phosphine ligands trans to low trans-influence oxygen donor groups.[24] Thus, $\left[\mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{PMe}\right)\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ has ${ }^{1} \mathrm{JPtP} 3848 \mathrm{~Hz}$, and the phenyl-phosphonate analogue $\left[\mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{PPh}\right)\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ has ${ }^{1} \mathrm{JPtP} 3877 \mathrm{~Hz}$.

The phosphonate phosphorus $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{z}}$ appears as a distinctive triplet-like pattern between $\delta$ 53.2 (3) and 58.4 (4). It is worth noting that the expected multiplicity for $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{z}}$, a doublet of doublet pattern, was not observed. The simplification of this doublet of doublet pattern to an observed triplet-like pattern occurs as the result of the $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{z}}-\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{x}}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{z}}-\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{y}}$ couplings being approximately equal. $\left[\mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{PPh}\right)\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ is reported to have a ${ }^{2} \mathrm{JPtP}$ coupling constant of 27 $\mathrm{Hz} ;[7]$ this is not consistent with other ${ }^{2}$ JPtP coupling constants in this type of complex and is therefore assumed to be an error.

One- and two-dimensional NMR studies were carried out to fully assign the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra of complex 2, discussed below. The camphanyl regions of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectra of $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{4}$ were similar to those of $\mathbf{2}$, and were assigned by comparison. Tables 3 and 4 summarise the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectra of the platinum complexes, and Scheme 1 gives the atom labelling scheme.

The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of the camphanyl region of $\mathbf{2}$ comprised ten resonances, consisting of two methyl, three methine, four methylene and a quarternary resonance. The methylene signal ( $\delta 27.9$ ) which exhibited a large one-bond carbon to phosphorus coupling ( J 121.9 Hz ) is assigned to $\mathrm{C}_{8}$. The other three methylene signals ( $\delta 20.1,24.8$ and 37.1) belonging to $\mathrm{C}_{5}, \mathrm{C}_{6}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{7}$ respectively are assigned by comparison with those chemical shifts obtained for 8-camphanylphosphonic acid $\mathbf{1}$ ( $\delta 21.0,25.6$ and 37.8 respectively). The methine signal ( $\delta 49.0$ ), which does not couple to phosphorus, is assigned to $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ as ${ }^{4} \mathrm{JPC}$ coupling is not observed. The other two methine signals ( $\delta 46.1$ and 42.5) belonging to $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ respectively, exhibiting two and three bond P-C coupling (J 3.4 Hz and 3.3 Hz respectively), are assigned by comparison with those chemical shifts obtained for $\mathbf{1}$ ( $\delta 46.0$, d, J 3.9 and $\delta 43.5$, J 3.6 respectively). The assignment of quaternary carbon $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ ( $\delta 37.5$ ) which is coupled to phosphorus ( J 13.1 Hz ) is confirmed by its absence in the DEPT experiment.

Due to the complexity of the aryl region of $\mathbf{2}$ the signals ( $\delta 131.5-124.4$ ) belonging to the phenyl rings of the triphenylphosphines were not assigned.

In the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ correlated NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{2}$ the cross peaks relating to the camphanyl carbon signals identified all proton resonances relating to the camphanyl moiety. Identification of many of the resonances in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum was possible by examination of $\mathrm{H}_{4}$ crosspeaks in the COSY45 spectrum. Consequently, resonances belonging to $\mathrm{H}_{4}(\delta 2.58), \mathrm{H}_{3}(\delta 1.96), \mathrm{H}_{8^{\prime} / 8^{\prime \prime}}(\delta 1.60,1.54), \mathrm{H}_{7^{\prime \prime}}(\delta 1.68), \mathrm{H}_{5^{\prime \prime}}(\delta 1.26)$, and $\mathrm{H}_{7^{\prime}}(\delta 1.05)$ were readily identified. Remaining structural ambiguities were resolved by NOE difference spectroscopy. Particularly diagnostic are the NOE's observed from Me' to $\mathrm{H}_{7}$ " and to $\mathrm{H}_{3}$ [but not significantly from Me' to $\mathrm{H}_{8^{\prime /}}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ ] indicating that $\mathrm{H}_{7}$ " and $\mathrm{H}_{3}$ are on the same side of the molecule, confirming the endo disposition of the $-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{3}$ group. Other NOEs of significance are those of $\mathrm{H}_{4}$ to $\mathrm{H}_{5}$, (but not to $\mathrm{H}_{5}$ ), $\mathrm{H}_{7}$, to $\mathrm{H}_{6}$, (but not $\mathrm{H}_{6}$,) and $\mathrm{H}_{3}$ to $\mathrm{H}_{7}$ " (but not $\mathrm{H}_{7}$ ). The remainder of the NOE data, along with the COSY spectrum, gave the complete spectral assignment.

Interestingly, significant NOEs between $\mathrm{H}_{3}$ or $\mathrm{H}_{4}$ of the camphanyl and the orthophenyl protons ( $\delta$ 7.49-7.37) of the triphenylphospine ligand of $\mathbf{2}$ were observed. Although at first glance these NOEs seem unlikely, construction of a molecular model of $\mathbf{2}$ reveals the close proximity of the triphenylphosphine ligand protons to the camphanyl protons $\mathrm{H}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{4}$, and therefore the possibility of an enhancement. Further NOE studies of the three phenyl regions ( $\delta$ 7.49-7.37, 7.36-7.28 and 7.25-7.13 signals present in ratios of 2:1:2) indicate that the phenyl protons at $\delta 7.49$ to 7.37 are the ortho protons. That is, the irradiation of the meta protons ( $\delta 7.25$ to 7.13 ) results in an enhancement of both the ortho ( $\delta 7.49-7.37$ ) and para ( $\delta$ 7.36-7.28) proton resonances whereas irradiation of the ortho proton ( $\delta 7.49-7.37$ ) gives an enhanced meta ( $\delta 7.36-7.28$ ) proton signal along with an enhancement of the camphanyl $\mathrm{H}_{4}$ signal.

## X-Ray structure of $\left[\mathbf{P t}\left(\mathrm{O}_{3} \mathbf{P C a m}\right)\left(\mathbf{P P h}_{3}\right)_{2}\right] \mathbf{2} \cdot \mathbf{2} \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$

In order to determine if there are any structural features that might render the $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ groups of complex 2 inequivalent (vide supra), an X-ray structure determination was carried out. Crystals of the di-chloroform solvate were obtained from $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$-hexane. The structure of the complex is shown in Figure 4, with the atom numbering scheme. Selected bond lengths and angles are given in Table 5.

The complex crystallises as the di-chloroform solvate, both of which form H -bonds to the $\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{O}$ oxygen atom. The camphanyl group showed some fluxionality with elongated ellipsoids [especially $\mathrm{C}(9)$ and $\mathrm{C}(10)$ ], as shown in Figure 4 . The platinum centre is slightly distorted from a square-planar geometry, with an angle of $9.0^{\circ}$ between the $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{P}(3)$ and $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ planes. A similar angle of $9.1^{\circ}$ was observed in $\left[\mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{Fc}_{\mathrm{C}}\right)\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ [8] (CSD refcode ODASUH), but the other six crystallographically characterised examples of platinum(II) phosphonates are more regular, for example $\left[\mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{PPh}\right)\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ (CSD refcode SOYMIC), where the corresponding angle is only $2.2^{\circ}$.[7] Other bond parameters for $\mathbf{2}$ are ordinary, and remarkably constant for all 8 known examples of structurally characterised platinum(II) phosphonates, with P-O 1.56-1.58 $\AA, \mathrm{P}=\mathrm{O}$ 1.475-1.496 $\AA$, O-P-O 100-102 ${ }^{\circ}$, and O-Pt-O 71-72 ${ }^{\circ}$.

It is noteworthy that the bulky camphanyl group is directed into a 'pocket' formed by the two triphenylphosphine ligands and the platinum atom. Rotation about the $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ bond would orient the camphanyl group away from the platinum, but at the expense of eclipsing the $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bonds with the $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}$ bonds, and the camphanyl $\mathrm{PCH}_{2}-\mathrm{C}$ bond with the $\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{O}$ bond, which would be unfavourable. Instead, the complex adopts the observed staggered conformation. Because of the asymmetric nature of the camphanyl group, the two $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ ligands are inequivalent; restricted fluxionality of the system maintains this inequivalence in solution, as observed in the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of the complex. It is worth noting that
$\left[\mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{Fc}\right)\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right][8]$ has a similar arrangement of the $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Fc}$ group, which also points into the $\mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}$ pocket, but because of a plane of symmetry in this system, the $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ groups remain equivalent.

## Theoretical studies

In order to quantitatively rationalise the different NMR spectroscopic behaviour of the $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{PPhMe}_{2}$ complexes theoretical calculations were carried out. Figure 5 shows the density functional theory potential energy curves for rotation of the camphanyl group in complexes 2 and 3. Both compounds exhibit three distinct maxima and three distinct minima corresponding to the hydrogen atoms of the $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ group being either eclipsed or staggered relative to the adjacent $\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}$ groups. We find that the energetic barrier for rotation of the camphanyl group in $\mathbf{3}$ is low, with the three maxima just $9-13 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ higher than the global minimum. Additional steric interactions due to the bulky $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ ligands in 2 greatly increase the energetic barrier for rotation of the camphanyl group, to $55 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$. This much higher energetic barrier will greatly reduce the rate at which the camphanyl group rotates in $\mathbf{2}$ compared to $\mathbf{3}$ and thus supports the NMR and X-ray crystallographic observations.

## Experimental

Camphanylphosphonic acid $\mathbf{1}$ was prepared as described previously.[2] Silver(I) oxide was used as supplied from BDH. The complexes cis- $\left[\mathrm{PtCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$, cis- $\left[\mathrm{PtCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPhMe}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]$ and $\left[\mathrm{PtCl}_{2}(\mathrm{dppe})\right]$ were prepared by reaction of $\left[\mathrm{PtCl}_{2}(\operatorname{cod})\right][25](\operatorname{cod}=1,5$-cyclo-octadiene $)$ with the stoichiometric amount of the phosphine in dichloromethane, followed by precipitation of the product with petroleum spirits (b.p. $40-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ).[26,27]
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}(300.13 \mathrm{MHz})$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ (75.47 MHz) NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AC300P spectrometer in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ (unless otherwise specified), with chemical shifts relative to $\mathrm{CD}(\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{Cl}_{3}\left(\delta{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} 7.26\right.$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} 77.06$ ). Scheme 1 shows the atom numbering scheme used in NMR assignments. NOE difference spectra were measured on a solution that had not been degassed and were acquired with an irradiation time of 3 s , typically achieving 50 to $60 \%$ saturation of the irradiated multiplet. Each multiplet was recorded in cycles of 16 scans for long term averaging. COSY45 NMR spectra were acquired with 1 K increments in the F 2 dimension, 256 increments zero filled to 512 increments in the F1 dimension and 32 scans per increment. After transformation the data matrix was symmetrised. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ correlation spectra were acquired with 1 K increments in F 2 and 128 increments zero filled to 1 K increments in F1 with 32 or 128 scans per increment. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectra were recorded on a JEOL FX90Q spectrometer ( 36.23 MHz ) in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ solution. Chemical shifts are externally referenced to $85 \% \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(\delta 0.0)$ and a glass capillary used to provide a lock signal.

Elemental microanalyses were carried out by the Campbell Microanalytical Laboratory, University of Otago, Dunedin, NZ. Melting points were recorded on a Reichert hot-stage apparatus and are uncorrected. ESI mass spectra were recorded in methanol solution on a Bruker MicrOTOF instrument that was calibrated using a solution of sodium formate; a capillary exit voltage of 120 V was used).

## Synthesis of $\left[\mathbf{P t}\left(\mathbf{O}_{3} \mathbf{P C a m}\right)\left(\mathbf{P P h}_{3}\right)_{2}\right] 2$

8-Camphanylphosphonic acid $\mathbf{1}(0.06 \mathrm{~g}, 0.27 \mathrm{mmol})$, cis-[ $\left.\mathrm{PtCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right](0.21 \mathrm{~g}, 0.27 \mathrm{mmol})$ and an excess of silver(I) oxide ( $0.17 \mathrm{~g}, 0.73 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added in succession to dichloromethane ( 30 mL ). After refluxing and stirring for 36 h the insoluble silver salts were removed by filtration and the yellow solution evaporated to dryness under reduced pressure. Recrystallisation of the yellow oil from chloroform/petroleum spirits gave $\mathbf{2}$ as a colourless
needle-like crystalline solid that was dried under vacuum ( $0.15 \mathrm{~g}, 60 \%$ ), m.p. $224-225{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Found: C 58.18; H 5.12\%. $\mathrm{C}_{46} \mathrm{H}_{47} \mathrm{PtP}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ requires C 59.04; H 5.06\%. ESI MS (positive ion); $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} m / z 936.2933$ (calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{46} \mathrm{H}_{48} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{P}_{3} \mathrm{Pt} m / z 936.2463 ;[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} m / z 958.2741$ (calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{46} \mathrm{H}_{47} \mathrm{NaO}_{3} \mathrm{P}_{3} \mathrm{Pt} m / z$ 958.2741).

## Synthesis of $\left[\mathbf{P t}\left(\mathbf{O}_{3} \mathbf{P C a m}\right)\left(\mathbf{P P h M e}_{2}\right)_{2}\right] 3$

Complex 3 was prepared by the method for 2, starting from cis- $\left[\mathrm{PtCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPhMe}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]$. Purification of the crude reaction mixture was achieved by removing the solvent under vacuum and washing the resulting yellow solid with acetone ( 0.5 mL ) to remove impurities, to give a white crystalline solid of $\mathbf{3}$ (yield $67 \%$ ). M.p. $233-234{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Found C, 45.35 ; H, 5.97\%. $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{PtP}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ requires C, $45.40 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.72 \%$.

## Synthesis of $\left[\mathbf{P t}\left(\mathbf{O}_{3} \mathrm{PCam}\right)(\right.$ dppe $\left.)\right] 4$

Complex 4 was prepared by the method described for 2, starting from $\left[\mathrm{PtCl}_{2}(\mathrm{dppe})\right]$. Purification of $\mathbf{4}$ was achieved by the recrystallisation of the crude reaction mixture, a yellow oil, from chloroform/petroleum spirit at room temperature to give colourless needle-like crystals of $\mathbf{4}$ in $70 \%$ yield. M.p. $>140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (decomp.).

## Theoretical calculations

The geometries of complexes 2 and $\mathbf{3}$ were optimised using the B3LYP density functional method with the $6-311+\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p})$ basis set for the $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{O}$ and P atoms and the ccpVTZ basis set and effective core potential for the Pt atoms. The initial geometry for optimisation of 2 was taken from the corresponding X-ray crystal structure. The crystal structure of $\mathbf{3}$ has not yet been determined, hence the initial geometry was inferred using the orientation of the camphanyl group in 2 and the $\operatorname{Pt}\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)_{2}$ framework from other
comparable crystal structures of $\mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)_{2}$ complexes.[28,29,30] The potential energy curve for rotation of the camphanyl group in $\mathbf{2}$ and $\mathbf{3}$ (Figure 5) was calculated by displacing the O-P-C-C dihedral angle from $-180^{\circ}$ to $+180^{\circ}$ in $10^{\circ}$ increments with all other geometric parameters fixed at their optimised values. All density functional theory calculations were completed using Gaussian 09, Revision A.01.[31]

## X-ray crystal structure of 8-camphanylphosphonic acid 1

Cell parameters and intensity data were collected at 163 K on a Nicolet R3 four-circle diffractometer with monochromatic $\mathrm{Mo}-\mathrm{K}_{\alpha} \mathrm{X}$-rays. No absorption correction was deemed necessary because of the low $\mu$ value. The structure was solved by direct methods and refinement (on $F_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}$ ) included all non-hydrogen atoms anisotropic and with C-bonded hydrogen atoms in calculated positions. The H atom on $\mathrm{O}(1)$ was located and included with fixed coordinates, while that on $\mathrm{O}(3)$ was included in a calculated position on the $\mathrm{O}(3) \cdots \mathrm{O}(2)$, vector.

Crystal and refinement data: $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{P}, M 218.22$, trigonal, space group R-3, $a=b=$ 27.012(5), $c=8.041(4) \AA, \mathrm{U}=5081(3) \AA^{3} . \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{c}} 1.284 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$ for $\mathrm{Z}=18 . F(000) 2124$, $\mu(\mathrm{Mo}-$ $\left.\mathrm{K}_{\alpha}\right)=0.22 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$. Total data 1522 , unique $1193\left(\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }} 0.0945\right), \theta_{\max } 45^{\circ}, \mathrm{R}_{1} 0.0842(\mathrm{I}>2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})$ ), $\mathrm{wR}_{2} 0.1985$ (all data), GoF $0.916, \Delta \mathrm{e}+0.62 /-0.41 \mathrm{e}^{\AA^{-3}}$. The same space group has been reported previously for this compound,[4] though details of the structure have not been published.

## X-ray crystal structure of $\left[\mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{O}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{P C a m}\right)\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right] \mathbf{2 \cdot 2} \mathbf{C H C l}_{3}$

Crystals of the complex were obtained by crystallisation from a chloroform-hexane solution at room temperature. Cell parameters and intensity data were collected at 93(2) K on
a Bruker APEX II CCD diffractometer with monochromatic Mo-K $\mathrm{K}_{\alpha}$ X-rays. The structure was solved and processed normally (refinement by full-matrix least-squares on $F^{2}$ as a dichloroform solvate.

Crystal and refinement data: $\mathrm{C}_{48} \mathrm{H}_{49} \mathrm{Cl}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{P}_{3} \mathrm{Pt}, M 1174.57$, monoclinic, space group P $2(1) / \mathrm{n}, a=12.7670(2), b=18.2748(3), c=20.8727(5) \AA, \beta=92.183(1)^{\circ}, \mathrm{U}=4866.37(16)$ $\AA^{3} . \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{c}} 1.603 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$ for $\mathrm{Z}=4 . F(000) 2344, \mu\left(\mathrm{Mo}-\mathrm{K}_{\alpha}\right)=3.352 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$. Total data 62474 , unique 11614 ( $\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }} 0.0484$ ), $\mathrm{R}_{1} 0.0318$ ( $\mathrm{I}>2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})$ ), $\mathrm{wR}_{2} 0.0780$ (all data), GoF $1.025, \Delta \mathrm{e}$ +1.306 and $-1.281 \mathrm{e}^{-3}$.

## Supplementary data

CCDC 787904 (1) and 787903 (2) contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif.

## Acknowledgements

We thank the University of Waikato for financial support of this work, and Tania Groutso (University of Auckland) for the collection of the data set of 2. Robert Appleby is thanked for preparation of camphanylphosphonic acid.


1


2; $L=P P h_{3}$
3; $L=P P h M e{ }_{2}$
4; $\mathrm{L}_{2}=\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{PPh}_{2}$ (dppe)
(a)

(b)


Scheme 1 NMR atom labelling schemes (a) hydrogen atoms; (b) carbon atoms

Table 1 Bond lengths $(\AA)$ and selected bond angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for 8-camphanylphosphonic acid 1.
Estimated standard deviations are in parentheses.

| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $1.511(5)$ | $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $1.534(6)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $1.548(6)$ | $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $1.762(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $1.521(11)$ | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $1.521(12)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $1.555(11)$ | $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $1.532(10)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $1.576(11)$ | $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $1.520(12)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $1.522(12)$ | $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $1.541(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $1.529(12)$ | $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $1.547(12)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $1.538(12)$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $106.4(3)$ | $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $112.2(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $104.0(4)$ | $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $111.2(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $110.8(3)$ | $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $111.9(3)$ |

Table $2{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR data for the platinum-phosphonate complexes 2, $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{4}$, together with the atom labelling scheme


|  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{x}} \\ & \delta \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{y}} \\ & \delta \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Z}} \\ & \delta \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & { }^{1} \mathrm{JP}_{x}-\mathrm{Pt} \\ & \mathrm{~Hz} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & { }^{1} \mathrm{JP}_{\mathrm{y}}-\mathrm{Pt} \\ & \mathrm{~Hz} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & { }^{2} \mathrm{JP}_{x}-\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{y}} \\ & \mathrm{~Hz} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & { }^{2} \mathrm{JP}_{\mathrm{z}}-\mathrm{Pt} \\ & \mathrm{~Hz} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & { }^{3} \mathrm{JP}_{x} / \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{y}}-\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{z}} \\ & \mathrm{~Hz} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 5.4 \\ & \mathrm{dd} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.5 \\ & \mathrm{dd} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 55.3 \\ & \mathrm{t} \end{aligned}$ | 3732 | 3843 | 26 | 117 | 7 |
| 3 | $\begin{aligned} & -20.9 \\ & \text { d } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -20.9 \\ & \text { d } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 53.2 \\ & \mathrm{t} \end{aligned}$ | 3654 | 3654 | 0 | 100 | 4 |
| 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 30.5 \\ & \text { dd } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 31.0 \\ & \text { dd } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 58.4 \\ & \mathrm{t} \end{aligned}$ | 3730 | 3701 | 20 | 102 | 7 |

Table $3{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR data ${ }^{\text {qII }}$ for the platinum-phosphonate complexes $\mathbf{2 , 3}$ and $\mathbf{4}$, together with data for camphanylphosphonic acid $\mathbf{1}$

| Compound | $\mathrm{CamP}(\mathrm{O})(\mathrm{OH})_{2} \mathbf{1}^{\dagger}$ | $\left[\mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{PCam}\right)\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right] 2$ | $\left[\mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{PCam}\right)\left(\mathrm{PPhMe}_{2}\right)_{2}\right] 3$ | $\left[\mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{PCam}\right)(\right.$ dppe $\left.)\right] 4$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Solvent | $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ | $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ |
| $\mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 2.38 (br, s) | 2.58 (br, s) | 2.75 (br, s) | 2.49 (br, s) |
| $\mathrm{H}_{3}$ | 1.82 (m) | 1.96 (br, m) | 2.01 (br, m) | 1.84 (br, m) |
| $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ | 1.77 (br, s) | 1.69 (br, s) | 1.74 (br, s) | 1.56 (br, s) |
| $\mathrm{H}_{8} / 8$, | 1.72 (m*) | 1.60 (d, ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~J} 12.2$ ) | 1.61 (d, ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~J} 11.7$ ) | 1.66 (br, s*) |
|  | 1.65 (m) | 1.54 (br, m) | 1.59 (br, m) | 1.61 (br, m) |
| $\mathrm{H}_{7}$ " | 1.66 (m) | 1.68 (br, s*) | 1.67 (d, ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~J} 13.0$ ) | 1.35 (d, ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~J} 10.6$ ) |
| $\mathrm{H}_{6}$, | 1.60 (m) | 1.47 (s) | 1.56 (s) | 1.39 (s) |
| $\mathrm{H}_{6}$, | 1.32 (m) | 1.10 (s) | 1.21 (s) | 1.04 (s) |
| $\mathrm{H}_{7}$, | 1.20 (dt, $\left.{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J} 9.7,{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J} 1.7\right)$ | 1.05 (d, $\left.{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J} 9.8\right)$ | 1.15 (d, ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~J} 11.1$ ) | 0.84 (d, ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~J} 10.6$ ) |
| $\mathrm{H}_{5}$ " | 1.44 (m) | 1.26 (m) | 1.49 (br, m) | 1.28 (br, m) |
| $\mathrm{H}_{5}$, | 1.34 (m) | 0.83 (br, m) | 1.22 (br, m) | 0.87 (br, m) |
| Me, | 0.98 (s) | 0.95 (s) | 1.01 (s) | 0.84 (s) |
| Me" | 0.83 (s) | 0.71 (s) | 0.81 (s) | 0.70 (s) |
| Ph | - | 7.80-7.30 (m) | 7.37-7.28 (m) | 7.95-7.20 (m) |

${ }^{\text {II }}$ Refer Scheme 1 for atom numbering scheme; Coupling constants J in Hz ${ }^{\dagger}$ From reference 2; * Multiplicity not discernible

Table $4{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR data ${ }^{\text {II }}$ for the platinum-phosphonate complexes $\mathbf{2 , 3}$ and $\mathbf{4}$, together with data for camphanylphosphonic acid $\mathbf{1}$

| Compound | $\mathrm{CamP}(\mathrm{O})(\mathrm{OH})_{2} \mathbf{1}^{\dagger}$ | $\left[\mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{PCam}\right)\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right] 2$ | $\left[\mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{PCam}\right)\left(\mathrm{PPhMe}_{2}\right)_{2}\right] 3$ | $\left[\mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{PCam}\right)(\mathrm{dppe})\right] 4$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Solvent | $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ | $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ | 21.0 | 20.1 | 20.5 | 20.2 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{9}(\mathrm{Me"})$ | 22.3 | 22.0 | 22.2 | 22.1 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{6}$ | 25.6 | 24.8 | 24.8 | 24.7 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{8}$ | 25.2 ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{JPC} 138.3$ ) | 27.9 ( ${ }^{\text {JPC }} 121.9$ ) | 28.6 ( ${ }^{\text {JPC }} 122.0$ ) | 28.0 ( ${ }^{\text {JPC }} 121.3$ ) |
| $\mathrm{C}_{10}\left(\mathrm{Me}{ }^{\text {) }}\right.$ | 32.3 | 32.4 | 32.3 | 32.2 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{7}$ | 37.8 | 37.1 | 37.0 | 37.1 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ | 38.3 ( ${ }^{3} \mathrm{JPC}$ 14.1) | 37.5 ( ${ }^{3} \mathrm{JPC}$ 13.1) | 37.6 ( ${ }^{3} \mathrm{JPC}$ 13.0) | 37.5 ( ${ }^{3} \mathrm{JPC}$ 13.9) |
| $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ | 43.5 ( ${ }^{\text {JPPC }} 3.6$ ) | 42.5 ( ${ }^{\text {JPCC }} 3.3$ ) | 42.8 ( ${ }^{3} \mathrm{JPC} 3.2$ ) | 42.0 ( ${ }^{\text {JPPC 3.0) }}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ | 46.0 ( ${ }^{2} \mathrm{JPC} 3.9$ ) | $46.1\left({ }^{2} \mathrm{JPC} 3.4\right)$ | $46.4\left({ }^{2} \mathrm{JPC} 3.1\right)$ | $46.2\left({ }^{2} \mathrm{JPC} 3.2\right)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ | $\left.50.1{ }^{4} \mathrm{JPC} 3.9\right)$ | 49.0** | 49.0** | 48.8* |

${ }^{\text {II }}$ Refer Scheme 1 for atom numbering scheme. Excluding aromatic resonances between ca. $\delta 128$ and 132, and alkyl groups of PPhMe ${ }_{2}$ and dppe. Coupling constants J in Hz

[^0]Table 5 Selected bond lengths $(\AA)$ and selected bond angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for $\left[\operatorname{Pt}\left(\mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{PCam}\right)\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ $\mathbf{2} \cdot 2 \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$. Estimated standard deviations are in parentheses.

| $\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $2.084(2)$ | $\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $2.088(2)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{P}(3)$ | $2.2316(10)$ | $\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{P}(2)$ | $2.2423(12)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pt}(1) \cdots \mathrm{P}(1)$ | $2.6825(11)$ | $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $1.490(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $1.575(3)$ | $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $1.580(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $1.811(4)$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $71.58(9)$ | $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{P}(3)$ | $168.30(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{P}(3)$ | $98.80(7)$ | $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{P}(2)$ | $93.27(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{P}(2)$ | $163.52(7)$ | $\mathrm{P}(3)-\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{P}(2)$ | $96.96(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $115.20(14)$ | $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $114.27(14)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $101.32(13)$ | $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $108.39(17)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $107.70(16)$ | $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $109.62(16)$ |



Figure 1 Molecular structure of 8-camphanylphosphonic acid 1, showing the atom numbering scheme. Thermal ellipsoids are shown at the 50\% probability level.


Figure 2 The hydrogen-bonded hexameric core of 8-camphanylphosphonic acid 1, showing the presence of a central cavity and a hydrophobic camphanyl exterior


Figure 3 Space-filling representation showing the unit cell packing of the hexameric hydrogen-bonded assemblies of $\operatorname{CamP}(\mathrm{O})(\mathrm{OH})_{2} \mathbf{1}$, with hydrophilic channels running parallel to the crystallographic $c$ axis.


Figure 4 Molecular structure of $\left[\mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{PCam}\right)\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right] \mathbf{2} \cdot 2 \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$, showing the atom numbering scheme; thermal ellipsoids are at the $50 \%$ probability level, chloroform solvate molecules are not shown, and ipso carbon atoms of the $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ ligands are shown as small circles



Figure 5 B3LYP/6-311+G(d,p) potential energy curves for rotation of the camphanyl group about the O-P-C-C torsional angle in (a) $\left[\mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{PCam}\right)\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right] 2$ and (b) $\left[\mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{PCam}\right)\left(\mathrm{PPhMe}_{2}\right)_{2}\right] \mathbf{3}$
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