

# THE UNIVERSITY OF WARWICK

**Original citation:**

Hertenstein, Elisabeth C., Tang, Nicole K. Y., Berstein, Celia, Nissen, Christoph, Underwood, M. (Martin) M. D. and Sandhu, Harbinder. (2015) Sleep in patients with dystonia : a systematic review on the state of research and perspectives. Sleep Medicine Reviews . ISSN 1087-0792

**Permanent WRAP url:**

<http://wrap.warwick.ac.uk/67479>

**Copyright and reuse:**

The Warwick Research Archive Portal (WRAP) makes this work by researchers of the University of Warwick available open access under the following conditions. Copyright © and all moral rights to the version of the paper presented here belong to the individual author(s) and/or other copyright owners. To the extent reasonable and practicable the material made available in WRAP has been checked for eligibility before being made available.

Copies of full items can be used for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge. Provided that the authors, title and full bibliographic details are credited, a hyperlink and/or URL is given for the original metadata page and the content is not changed in any way.

**Publisher's statement:**

© 2015, Elsevier. Licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>

**A note on versions:**

The version presented here may differ from the published version or, version of record, if you wish to cite this item you are advised to consult the publisher's version. Please see the 'permanent WRAP url' above for details on accessing the published version and note that access may require a subscription.

For more information, please contact the WRAP Team at: [publications@warwick.ac.uk](mailto:publications@warwick.ac.uk)

warwick**publications**wrap  
  
highlight your research

<http://wrap.warwick.ac.uk>

1           **Sleep in patients with dystonia: a systematic review on the state of**  
2                                   **research and perspectives**

3  
4           Elisabeth Hertenstein<sup>1</sup>, Nicole K. Y. Tang<sup>2</sup>, Celia Bernstein<sup>3</sup>, Christoph Nissen<sup>1</sup>, Martin  
5                                   Underwood<sup>3</sup>, and Harbinder Sandhu<sup>3</sup>

6           <sup>1</sup> Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, University of Freiburg Medical Center,  
7           Germany

8           <sup>2</sup> Department of Psychology, University of Warwick, Coventry, UK

9           <sup>3</sup> Clinical Trials Unit, Warwick Medical School, University of Warwick, Coventry, UK

10  
11  
12           **Address for correspondence:**

13           Elisabeth Hertenstein

14           University of Freiburg Medical Center

15           Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy

16           Hauptstraße 5, 79104 Freiburg, Germany

17           phone: +49 761 270 69810

18           Email: [elisabeth.hertenstein@uniklinik-freiburg.de](mailto:elisabeth.hertenstein@uniklinik-freiburg.de)

19  
20           **Keywords:** sleep; dystonia; polysomnography; self-report; pathophysiology

21  
22           **Acknowledgements:** CN has received speaker honoraria from Servier. The other authors  
23           report no conflicts of interest. The work has been funded by the Dystonia Society, United  
24           Kingdom.

## 1 **Summary**

2 Patients with primary dystonia, the third most prevalent movement disorder, suffer from a  
3 markedly reduced quality of life. This might, at least in part, be mediated by non-motor  
4 symptoms, including sleep disturbances. Characterising and treating sleep disturbances might  
5 provide new inroads to improve relevant patient-centred outcomes. This review evaluates the  
6 state of research on sleep in patients with dystonia and outlines an agenda for future research.  
7 A literature search was performed in July 2014 using PubMed, Medline via Ovid, PsycInfo,  
8 PsycArticles via Proquest and Embase via Ovid. Search results were screened for eligibility  
9 by two independent raters. Peer-reviewed publications reporting on sleep in patients with  
10 primary dystonia were included. Of 1,445 studies identified through the search strategy, 18  
11 met the inclusion criteria. In total, the included studies reported on 708 patients diagnosed  
12 with focal dystonia (cervical dystonia or blepharospasm), torsion dystonia, and dopa-  
13 responsive dystonia. The results indicate that at least half of the patients with focal cranial  
14 dystonia suffer from sleep disturbances, but excessive daytime sleepiness is uncommon.  
15 Sleep disturbance is associated with depressive symptoms. The frequency and duration of  
16 dystonic movements is markedly reduced during sleep. Reduced sleep quality appears to  
17 persist after treatment with botulinum toxin that successfully reduces motor symptoms. The  
18 findings are limited by a high clinical and methodological heterogeneity. Future research is  
19 needed to i) further characterize subjective and PSG sleep in patients with different types of  
20 dystonia, ii) determine the aetiology of sleep disturbances (e.g., abnormal brain function  
21 associated with dystonia, side effects of medication, psychological reasons), and iii) test  
22 whether targeted sleep interventions improve sleep and quality of life in patients with primary  
23 dystonia.

24

## 1 **Glossary**

2 blepharospasm: form of focal cranial dystonia, involuntary muscle contractions of the eyelid

3 cervical dystonia: also called spasmodic torticollis, form of focal cranial dystonia involving  
4 the neck, causing an often painful involuntary turning of the head

5 cranial dystonia: different forms of focal dystonia localized in the head area

6 dopa-responsive dystonia: also known as hereditary progressive dystonia with marked diurnal  
7 fluctuation or Segawa syndrome, very rare form of generalized dystonia beginning in  
8 childhood or adolescence

9 dystonia: neurological movement disorder characterized by involuntary muscle contractions  
10 causing twisting and repetitive movements or abnormal postures

11 focal dystonia: dystonia symptoms are limited to one body are such as the neck in cervical  
12 dystonia

13 generalized dystonia: dystonia symptoms mostly begin in one limb and gradually spread to  
14 other limbs and the trunk

15 Meige's syndrome: includes symptoms of dystonia in several different facial muscles such as  
16 the eyes, jaw, tongue and mouth

17 torsion dystonia: very rare and severe form of dystonia typically beginning in childhood or  
18 adolescence

19

## 20 **Abbreviations**

21 BDI, Beck Depression Inventory; BSP, blepharospasm; CD, cervical dystonia; CDIP-58,  
22 Cervical Dystonia Impact Profile; EEG, electroencephalogram; EMG, electromyogram; ESS,  
23 Epworth Sleepiness Scale; FMDRS, Burke–Fahn–Marsden rating scale of dystonia severity;  
24 L-dopa, JRS, Jankovic Rating Scale for severity of blepharospasm; HAM-A, Hamilton  
25 Rating Scale for Anxiety; levodopa; NMSQuest, nonmotor symptom questionnaire; n.a., not  
26 applicable; NOA, number of awakenings; n.r., not reported; PSG, polysomnography; PSQI,  
27 Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index; REM sleep, rapid eye movement sleep; RLS, restless legs  
28 syndrome; SE, sleep efficiency; SOL, sleep onset latency; SSS, Stanford Sleepiness Scale;  
29 TST, total sleep time; TWSTRS, Toronto Western Spasmodic Torticollis Rating Scale;  
30 UDRS, Unified Dystonia Rating Scale; WASO, wake time after sleep onset; WHOQOL,  
31 World Health Organization Quality of Life Questionnaire; ↑ indicates significant increase; ↓  
32 indicates significant decrease.

33

34

## 1 **Introduction**

2 Primary dystonia is a neurological movement disorder characterised by involuntary muscle  
3 contractions causing twisting and repetitive movements or abnormal postures.<sup>1</sup> In contrast to  
4 secondary dystonia that has a known causation, such as head injury or a side effect of  
5 medication (tardive dystonia), the pathophysiological mechanisms of most forms of primary  
6 dystonia are unknown and the diagnosis is based on clinical observations.<sup>2</sup> Primary dystonia  
7 is considered the third most prevalent movement disorder after essential tremor and  
8 Parkinson's disease. Its exact prevalence is unclear due to a high variability in prevalence  
9 estimates between different studies. A recent review found that the prevalence estimates for  
10 patients with primary dystonia seeking medical attention were between 24 and 50 per million  
11 for early onset dystonia and between 136 to 430 per million for late onset dystonia.<sup>3</sup> Focal  
12 forms of primary dystonia are limited to a specific part of the body, such as the eyelids in  
13 blepharospasm or the neck in cervical dystonia (spasmodic torticollis), and typically begin in  
14 adulthood. Generalised forms of dystonia, in contrast, are often early-onset and involve  
15 several limbs and the trunk.

16  
17 Various domains of quality of life, such as physical and social functioning and vitality, are  
18 impaired in patients with dystonia,<sup>4,5</sup> which is, at least in part, attributable to the often  
19 disabling, disfiguring and stigmatising nature of this disorder. Botulinum toxin injection into  
20 the affected muscles is considered the first line treatment for cervical dystonia.<sup>6</sup> This  
21 treatment is well tolerated and of longstanding efficacy for the improvement of abnormal  
22 movement.<sup>6,7</sup> A limitation is that immune resistance may occur in some patients as a side  
23 effect of repeated injections.<sup>8</sup> Pallidal deep brain stimulation is a newer treatment option for  
24 patients with medically refractive dystonia.<sup>9,10</sup> Benefits of deep brain stimulation include  
25 substantial improvements of dystonia symptoms, disability and quality of life in previously

1 treatment resistant patients <sup>11</sup>. A recent study found that treatment effects were maintained  
2 three and five years after surgery <sup>12</sup>. On the other hand, deep brain stimulation bears a risk of  
3 serious side effects. In a clinical trial of 40 patients with primary generalised or segmental  
4 dystonia, <sup>12</sup> 49 new adverse events occurred between six months and five years after the brain  
5 stimulation treatment, 21 of which were rated serious and were almost exclusively device  
6 related. One participant attempted suicide shortly after the six-month visit during a depressive  
7 episode. As for non-serious adverse events, the most commonly reported side effect were  
8 dysarthria and transient worsening of dystonia.

9  
10 Current treatment of dystonia tends to focus on the improvement of motor symptoms. The  
11 importance of non-motor symptoms including abnormal sensory phenomena, psychiatric  
12 symptoms, cognitive deficits, pain, and sleep disturbances is increasingly recognised;  
13 although their aetiology and treatment options are insufficiently characterised. <sup>13,14</sup> Non-motor  
14 symptoms have a large impact on the quality of life <sup>15,16</sup> and seem not to remit after botulinum  
15 toxin treatment and deep brain stimulation. <sup>14</sup> This underlines that targeted clinical  
16 assessment is necessary and treatments of non-motor syndromes need to be developed.  
17 Importantly, patients with dystonia consider sleep to be a prime determinant of their quality  
18 of life. There is a stronger link of quality of life with sleep than motor symptom severity in  
19 patients living with dystonia. <sup>5</sup> These findings fit within the broader context that sleep  
20 disorders are increasingly recognised as a frequent comorbidity of neurological disorders, <sup>17</sup>  
21 with potential implications for early diagnosis, pathomechanistic insights, and treatment.

22  
23 The aim of this review is to summarise the state of research, critically evaluate the  
24 methodological quality of existing studies, and highlight areas requiring future investigation.  
25 More specifically, we sought to identify research reporting on polysomnographically

1 determined sleep, self-reported sleep and variables associated with sleep such as side effects  
2 of medication and depressive symptoms in patients living with primary dystonia.

3

#### 4 **Methods**

##### 5 *Search strategy*

6 A literature search was performed in July 2014 using PubMed, Medline via Ovid, PsycInfo,  
7 PsycArticles via Proquest and Embase via Ovid. No restriction of publication date was  
8 applied. To capture all relevant studies on aspects of sleep in different forms of dystonia, the  
9 following comprehensive set of terms was used for a search in all fields:

10 ‘insom\*’ OR ‘sleep\*’ OR ‘wake\*’ OR ‘nap\*’ OR ‘polysomnogr\*’ OR ‘actigr\*’ OR  
11 ‘fatigue\*’ OR ‘nightmare\*’ OR ‘hypersom\*’ OR ‘apnea\*’ OR ‘circadian\*’ OR ‘(restless  
12 leg\*)’ OR ‘shiftwork\*’ OR ‘bruxism\*’ OR ‘drowsiness\*’ OR ‘parasom\*\*’, linked to  
13 ‘dystonia\*’ OR ‘torticollis\*’ OR ‘(spasmodic dysphonia\*)’ OR ‘(musician\* cramp)’ OR  
14 ‘(writer\* cramp)’ OR ‘blepharospasm\*’ OR ‘(Meige\* syndrome)’.

15 The reference lists of identified papers were screened for further relevant studies.

16

##### 17 *Selection Criteria*

18 We first screened titles and abstracts for eligibility, which was confirmed by reading the full  
19 paper. This was done by two raters (EH and CB) who worked independently. Discrepancies  
20 between the two raters were resolved by discussion with a third author (NT). Studies were  
21 included if they reported on patients with primary dystonia and included a quantitative or  
22 qualitative psychological or physiological outcome measure of sleep. Studies were excluded  
23 if they were not published original articles (i.e. secondary analyses, reviews, guidelines,  
24 statements, meeting summaries, comments or unpublished abstracts), were investigating  
25 animals, were reporting on tardive dystonia or dystonia in patients with another primary

1 disorder, or were published in languages other than English, German, French, Spanish, Italian  
2 or Chinese. When potentially relevant unpublished abstracts were identified, the authors were  
3 contacted and asked about a published article on the respective study.

4

#### 5 *Data extraction*

6 Data extracted from the included studies were socio-demographical and clinical description  
7 of the sample, diagnosis, study design, measures, statistical methods as well as results  
8 concerning apparative measures of sleep (e.g., polysomnography), subjective measures of  
9 sleep (e.g., questionnaires) and variables associated with sleep (e.g., symptom severity,  
10 depressive symptoms). Data extraction for 20% of the included studies (n=4) was performed  
11 by two authors working independently. Data extraction for the other studies was performed  
12 by the first author. We present overall characteristics of the included studies and report  
13 findings grouped by dystonia subtype.

14

#### 15 *Assessment of risk of bias*

16 For each included study, the risk of bias originating from six different aspects of  
17 methodology was assessed. The six aspects were the diagnostic process, sample size, nature  
18 of control group, sleep measures, medication and statistics. The criteria for the ratings of low,  
19 moderate, high or unclear risk of bias are pictured in table 1. The rating scheme has been  
20 modified from the methodology checklist by the Agency for Healthcare Research and  
21 Quality,<sup>18</sup> which has been recommended for cross-sectional studies in a recent systematic  
22 review.<sup>19</sup> Following the recommendation of the Cochrane Collaboration,<sup>20</sup> which advises  
23 against the use of summary scores, we use a descriptive approach. Risk of bias was assessed  
24 by two independent raters. Conflicts were resolved by discussion.

25



1 - Please insert table 1 here -

2

### 3 **Results**

#### 4 *Search Results*

5 The process of study selection is illustrated in Figure 1. After the automatic removal of  
6 duplicates, we identified 1,445 records. After screening of titles and abstracts, 63 articles  
7 were retained for fulltext assessment. Following examination of fulltexts, 18 articles were  
8 included into the review. Included studies were published between 1976 and 2014. In total,  
9 the included studies reported on 708 participants.

10

11

12

- Please insert Figure 1 here -

13

14

15

16

#### 17 *Socio-demographic and clinical characteristics of the samples*

18 The main findings of the 18 studies, grouped by subtype of dystonia, are summarised in  
19 Tables 2–5. Samples of patients with focal dystonia were older than those with generalised  
20 dystonia. All but one study reported an equal gender distribution or included more females.  
21 Age at onset of generalised dystonia was typically in childhood or adolescence, whereby the  
22 onset of focal dystonia was in adulthood. Three studies (Silvestri et al. 1990,<sup>34</sup> Sforza et al.  
23 1991,<sup>21</sup> Lobbezoo et al. 1996<sup>22</sup>) reported on drug-free participants. Two reports referred to  
24 published criteria for the diagnosis of dystonia: Fish et al.<sup>23</sup> made diagnoses based on Fahn's  
25 recommendations.<sup>24</sup> Avanzino et al.<sup>25</sup> used Albanese's diagnostic criteria.<sup>26</sup> None used the  
26 ICD-10 criteria. Eight studies did not report on the diagnostic process at all. The other studies

1 stated that diagnoses were made by experienced movement specialists or neurologists, but did  
2 not define any diagnostic criteria.

3

#### 4 *Sleep measures*

5 Twelve studies included polysomnography (PSG) and seven included self-report measures of  
6 sleep. Only Brüggemann et al., 2014<sup>27</sup> reported both PSG and self-reports. All authors using  
7 PSG reported that scoring was performed visually according to the recommendations by  
8 Rechtschaffen and Kales.<sup>28</sup> Only Fish et al., 1990<sup>23</sup> reported on the use of an automated EEG  
9 analysis. Brüggemann et al.<sup>22</sup> and Gadoth et al.<sup>31</sup> performed one night of PSG. In all other  
10 PSG studies, the duration was two or three nights including one adaptation night. Of the  
11 seven studies reporting on self-ratings of sleep quality, five used validated sleep  
12 questionnaires such as the Pittsburgh sleep quality index (PSQI)<sup>29</sup> and the Epworth sleepiness  
13 scale (ESS).<sup>30</sup> The other two were the study by Klingelhoefer et al.,<sup>31</sup> who used the  
14 NMSQuest (non-motor symptom questionnaire), a non-motor symptom scale validated for  
15 Parkinson's disease<sup>32</sup>, and Miller et al.,<sup>33</sup> who used the sleep items of the Beck depression  
16 inventory.<sup>34</sup>

17

#### 18 *Study designs*

19 Fifteen papers reported cross-sectional case descriptions and cross-sectional group  
20 comparisons. Two studies investigated the effects of L-dopa on PSG determined sleep.<sup>35,36</sup>  
21 One study evaluated self-reported sleep quality before and after botulinum toxin injections.<sup>37</sup>  
22 Fifteen studies included some form of control group, thirteen included healthy controls.  
23 However, matching for age or age and sex was performed in only eight studies. Three of the  
24 included studies by the same research group reported on the same sample of patients with  
25 torsion dystonia.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27

To obtain a statistical power of 80% and a probability level of 5% for a t-test, a sample size of approximately 20 participants per group is needed to detect a large group difference (Cohen's  $d = 0.8$ ); approximately 50 participants per group are needed to detect a moderate difference (Cohen's  $d = 0.5$ ), and approximately 310 participants per group are needed to detect a small difference (Cohen's  $d = 0.2$ ). Five studies, all on focal dystonia, were sufficiently powered to detect a moderate effect,<sup>25,31,37-39</sup> none was sufficiently powered to detect a small effect. Nine of the controlled studies included less than 20 participants per group, thus were clearly underpowered.

#### *Risk of bias assessment*

The results of the risk of bias assessment are pictured in Figure 2. Together, the main issues limiting the validity and generalisability of the results are the failure to use validated diagnostic criteria, small heterogeneous samples, a lack of matching for age and sex, a paucity of studies measuring both self-reported and PSG determined sleep, a lack of studies on unmedicated patients, and insufficient reporting of the statistical approach.

- Please insert Figure 2 here -

#### *Main results*

We present the main results by dystonia subtype, beginning with the most prevalent (focal cranial dystonia, Table 2), followed by generalised torsion dystonia (Table 3), dopa-responsive dystonia (Table 4) and mixed dystonias (Table 5).

1

2 *Focal cranial dystonia, cervical dystonia and blepharospasm*

3 Cranial dystonia refers to different forms of focal dystonia localised in the head area.

4 Cervical dystonia (also called spasmodic torticollis) is a focal dystonia involving the neck,

5 causing an often painful involuntary turning of the head. Patients with blepharospasm,

6 another form of focal dystonia, suffer from involuntary muscle contractions of the eyelid.

7 Meige's syndrome includes symptoms of dystonia in several different facial muscles such as

8 the eyes, jaw, tongue and mouth. In contrast to dopa responsive dystonia and generalised

9 torsion dystonia, cranial dystonia mostly begins in adulthood and is associated with a lesser

10 degree of disability.

11

12 We found eight studies of patients with focal cranial dystonia (see Table 2): Silvestri et al.

13 (N=8), Sforza et al. (N=10), Lobbezoo et al. (N=9 with dystonia, N=9 controls), Trotti et al.

14 (N=43 with dystonia, N=49 healthy controls, N= 19 controls with other focal movement

15 disorders), Avanzino et al. (N=52 with blepharospasm, N=46 with cervical dystonia, N=56

16 healthy controls), Paus et al. (N=110 with blepharospasm, N=111 with cervical dystonia, N=

17 93 healthy controls), Eichenseer et al. (N=54 with dystonia N=55 healthy controls), and

18 Klingelhofer et al. (N=102).

19 Only three small studies (Silvestri, Sforza, and Lobbezoo) used PSG in focal cranial dystonia.

20 Their results are inconclusive concerning PSG determined sleep continuity and architecture.

21 Sforza et al.<sup>21</sup> found a disturbed sleep continuity and abnormal sleep architecture in patients22 with blepharospasm and oromandibular dystonia. Lobbezoo et al.<sup>22</sup> found no group

23 differences between patients with cervical dystonia and healthy controls. These differences

24 may be attributable to different subtypes of focal cranial dystonia. All three studies using

25 PSG in focal cranial dystonia found a marked decrease of frequency and duration, but not a

1 total disappearance of dystonic movement during sleep, most prominently in deeper NREM  
2 sleep stages and REM sleep<sup>21,22,40</sup>. Silvestri et al. reported only on movement during sleep,  
3 not on sleep continuity and architecture.

4 The remaining five larger studies investigated self-reported sleep<sup>25,31,37-39</sup>. Three studies  
5 found reduced mean scores on the PSQI and an increased number of subjects with PSQI  
6 scores in the clinical range compared to healthy controls<sup>25,37,39</sup>. The percentage of patients  
7 with impaired sleep quality according to the PSQI varied between over 40% in the study by  
8 Paus et al.<sup>39</sup> and over 70% in the study by Avanzino et al.<sup>25</sup> It is difficult to tell where this  
9 considerable discrepancy stems from as both studies investigated outpatients with cervical  
10 dystonia or blepharospasm and used the same criterion for impaired sleep quality (PSQI score  
11 > 5). The BDI scores were only marginally higher in Avanzino's sample.<sup>25</sup> A normal daytime  
12 sleepiness according to the ESS was found in four studies<sup>25,37-39</sup>. Patients in all questionnaire  
13 studies were medicated, so that it cannot be ruled out that the impaired sleep quality occurred  
14 as a side effect of medication. Two studies suggest that sleep quality impairment is associated  
15 with depressive symptoms, but not with the severity of dystonia<sup>25,39</sup>. Interestingly, Paus et al.  
16 found that impaired sleep quality was associated with symptoms of restless legs syndrome  
17 (RLS)<sup>39</sup>. The percentage of patients with RLS was elevated in patients with dystonia  
18 compared to healthy controls (20% of patients with blepharospasm, 18% of patients with  
19 cervical dystonia, 2% of controls). Importantly, Eichenseer et al.<sup>37</sup> found that sleep quality  
20 was not improved after botulinum toxin treatment despite a significant improvement of motor  
21 functioning.

22 In summary, prevalence estimates for sleep disturbances are between 40 and 70% in patients  
23 with focal cranial dystonia. The level of daytime sleepiness seems comparable to healthy  
24 controls. Interestingly, impaired sleep quality was more prominently associated with  
25 depressive symptoms than with the severity of motor symptoms. During PSG-measured

1 sleep, a marked decrease of the frequency and duration of dystonic movements was found.  
2 Sleep quality was not improved after botulinum toxin treatment despite a significant  
3 improvement of motor functioning.

4 - Please insert Table 2 here -

#### 5 *Generalised torsion dystonia*

6 Generalised torsion dystonia is a rare and severe form of dystonia with a presumed significant  
7 genetic component,<sup>41</sup> typically beginning in childhood or adolescence. In contrast to dopa  
8 responsive dystonia, however, patients with torsion dystonia do not markedly respond to L-  
9 dopa.

10

11 We found six studies on generalised torsion dystonia (Table 3): Wein and Golubev (N=12  
12 with generalised dystonia, N=15 with focal torsion dystonia, N= 10 healthy controls), Jankel  
13 et al. 1984 (N=9 with dystonia, N=9 healthy controls), Jankel et al. 1984a (N=1), Fish et al.  
14 1990 (N=14 with dystonia, N=10 healthy controls, N=39 controls with other neurological  
15 disorders), Fish et al. 1991 (N=12 with dystonia, N=12 controls), and Fish et al. 1991a (N=14  
16 with dystonia, N=10 healthy controls). The three studies by Fish all included the same group  
17 of participants with generalised torsion dystonia.

18

19 Disturbed PSG determined sleep continuity in patients with torsion dystonia was found by  
20 both Wein & Golubev<sup>42</sup> and Jankel et al.<sup>43</sup> They found an increased sleep onset latency and  
21 number of awakenings and a reduced total sleep time and sleep efficiency. However, due to  
22 the methodology used in these studies, it cannot be concluded that the disturbed sleep  
23 continuity was directly caused by dystonia. Wein & Golubev<sup>42</sup> did not report the medication  
24 status of the patients and matching for age and sex with the control subjects was not  
25 performed. Jankel et al.<sup>43</sup> investigated a small sample of medicated patients. Thus, it is

1 possible that the observed group differences were due to age and sex differences and sleep  
2 continuity might have been disturbed by medication.

3

4 Abnormal sleep spindle activity was found by Wein & Golubev<sup>42</sup>, Jankel et al. (1984)<sup>43</sup> and  
5 Jankel et al. (1984a)<sup>44</sup>, but was not replicated by Fish et al. 1990.<sup>23</sup> The former found an  
6 increased number of unusually large sleep spindles (amplitudes larger than 80 respectively  
7 150 microvolts) in their patients with severe generalised torsion dystonia. Jankel et al.<sup>43</sup>  
8 report that three of their four patients presenting with this abnormality were on diazepam;  
9 Wein & Golubev<sup>42</sup> did not report on the medication of their sample. It is plausible that the  
10 sleep spindles with abnormally large amplitude were an effect of medication, most likely  
11 benzodiazepines, which are known to produce a typical ‘benzodiazepine signature’ with  
12 excessive sleep spindles.<sup>45</sup>

13 A reduced duration of REM sleep compared to healthy controls was found by Wein and  
14 Golubev<sup>42</sup> and Jankel et al.<sup>43</sup> Since Wein and Golubev did not report the medication of their  
15 patients, the mechanisms underpinning REM suppression remain speculative. In the study by  
16 Jankel et al. (1984), REM suppression might be attributable to medication, e.g. diazepam  
17 which was taken by half of the patients in their sample.

18

19 Concerning sleep-related movement, there are no definite, replicated findings. One study by  
20 Fish et al. 1991<sup>46</sup> found that abnormal movements were rare during sleep and that abnormal  
21 movements associated with awakening or lightening of sleep almost always occurred after the  
22 stage change. This suggests that sleep continuity disturbance is not caused by dystonic  
23 movement. Patients with Parkinson’s disease often present with REM sleep behavior  
24 disorder<sup>47</sup>, which has been identified as a risk factor for the de novo onset of  
25 synucleinopathies<sup>48</sup>. Fish et al. 1991<sup>49</sup> found that REM atonia was well maintained in patients

1 with torsion dystonia. There was no study on self-reported sleep in patients with generalised  
2 torsion dystonia.

3

4 In summary, the present findings support the hypothesis that patients with torsion dystonia  
5 suffer from a disturbed sleep continuity which occurs despite a marked decrease of abnormal  
6 movement during sleep. This hypothesis needs to be tested in future research.

7

8 - Please insert Table 3 here -

9

#### 10 *Dopa responsive dystonia*

11 Dopa Responsive Dystonia, also known as hereditary progressive dystonia with marked  
12 diurnal fluctuation or Segawa syndrome, is a rare form of generalised dystonia beginning in  
13 childhood or adolescence.<sup>50</sup> Dystonia symptoms mostly begin in one foot and gradually  
14 spread to other limbs. Patients without treatment are often severely impaired. The syndrome  
15 is characterised by a marked worsening of symptoms over the course of the day, an  
16 improvement after sleep and a considerable, sustained response to low doses of L-dopa. Dopa  
17 responsive dystonia is frequently, but not always caused by mutations of the guanosine  
18 triphosphate cyclohydrolase 1 gene (GCH1) leading to a reduction of dopamine synthesis.<sup>51</sup>

19 We found three studies on dopa responsive dystonia (Table 4): Segawa et al. (N=4), Gadoth  
20 et al. (N=3 patients, N=11 phenotypically healthy immediate relatives) and Brüggemann et al.  
21 (N=23 with dystonia, N=26 healthy controls). The results suggest that PSG determined and  
22 subjective sleep continuity are by and large normal in patients with dopa responsive dystonia.  
23 Brüggemann et al.<sup>27</sup> found an increased REM sleep latency. Segawa et al.<sup>35</sup> found that gross  
24 body movements involving the trunk and twitches were 'few', but occurred in all sleep stages  
25 including REM sleep, suggesting abnormal behavior during REM sleep. However, as the



1 study did not include healthy controls, it is difficult to judge to which extent the amount of  
2 movement was abnormal. Gadoth et al.<sup>36</sup> observed increased movement during REM sleep  
3 compared to phenotypically normal immediate relatives. Segawa<sup>35</sup> reported an increase of  
4 abnormal movement during sleep after L-dopa, which was not replicated by Gadoth et al.<sup>36</sup>  
5 Brüggemann et al.<sup>27</sup> investigated self-reported daytime sleepiness in a sample of 23 patients  
6 with dystonia and did not find any significant differences compared to healthy controls.

7  
8 In summary, the results suggest that PSG determined and self-reported sleep continuity are  
9 normal in dopa responsive dystonia. Preliminary evidence of abnormal movement during  
10 REM sleep has been found. However, the results must be classified as inconclusive because  
11 PSG was only performed in very small samples of medicated patients.

12  
13 - please insert Table 4 here -  
14

#### 15 *Mixed dystonias*

16 We found one study investigating mixed forms of dystonia (Table 5): Miller et al. (N=83  
17 with primary dystonia, N=354 with Parkinsons's disease, N=53 with essential tremor). Using  
18 the sleep-related items of the BDI as a measure of sleep disturbance, this study found that  
19 55% of the patients with dystonia reported insomnia and 76% reported fatigue. These results  
20 were comparable to patients with Parkinsons disease and essential tremor.

21

22

23

#### 24 **Summary**

1 This review found that in patients with focal cranial dystonia, the most prevalent form of  
2 primary dystonia, self-reported sleep quality is reduced compared to healthy controls.  
3 Prevalence estimates for disturbed sleep were between 40 and 70%, although the level of  
4 daytime sleepiness seems comparable to healthy subjects. Of particular note, impaired sleep  
5 quality was more prominently associated with depressive symptoms than with the severity of  
6 motor symptoms. A marked decrease of the frequency and duration, but not a total  
7 disappearance of abnormal movement during sleep was observed in these patients. Sleep  
8 quality was not improved after botulinum toxin treatment despite a significant improvement  
9 of motor functioning. In generalised torsion dystonia, the results imply that patients present  
10 with disturbed PSG determined sleep continuity. REM sleep atonia was well maintained in  
11 generalised torsion dystonia, but the results suggest that abnormal movement during REM  
12 sleep may be present in dopa responsive dystonia. Together, the literature provides  
13 preliminary support for the notion that disturbed sleep is highly prevalent in patients with  
14 primary dystonia. The pattern of reduced subjective sleep quality in the absence of daytime  
15 sleepiness resembles insomnia.<sup>52</sup> Our results are in line with the broader notion that insomnia  
16 symptoms are highly prevalent but underinvestigated and undertreated in patients with  
17 neurological disorders.<sup>17</sup> According to the current guidelines by the European Federation of  
18 Neurological Societies, botulinum toxin is the first line treatment for primary cranial and  
19 cervical dystonia and pallidal deep brain stimulation can be considered if pharmacological  
20 treatment fails<sup>53</sup>. Future research is necessary to evaluate more thoroughly whether these  
21 treatments are sufficiently effective to improve sleep. If not, the development and evaluation  
22 of specialized sleep treatments for dystonia is warranted.

23

24

25

## 1 **Potential mediators of sleep disturbance in dystonia**

2 While the results consistently indicate that patients with focal cranial dystonia suffer from  
3 reduced sleep quality as measured by validated self-report questionnaires, the mediators of  
4 sleep disturbance remain poorly understood. The reported disturbances of sleep continuity are  
5 unspecific and can be found in many other neurological disorders.<sup>17</sup>

6 A first hypothesis is that sleep disturbances stem from the same brain dysfunction that causes  
7 dystonia. Whereas the pathophysiology of dystonia is not yet fully understood, it can be  
8 speculated that the disorder stems from a malfunction of brain areas associated with  
9 movement, such as the basal ganglia. The dramatic response to L-dopa in dopa responsive  
10 dystonia points to an involvement of the dopaminergic system at least in some forms of  
11 dystonia. Further support for the hypothesis of an involvement of the dopaminergic system  
12 stems from tardive dystonia, which occurs as a side effect of antidopaminergic medication  
13 and clinically mimics primary dystonia.<sup>54</sup> Research also supports a potential role of dopamine  
14 in the maintenance of wakefulness,<sup>55</sup> suggesting that dystonia and sleep disturbance may be  
15 due to the same pathological mechanisms. This, however, remains a speculative hypothesis  
16 which is to be tested in future research.

17

18 A second hypothesis is that sleep disturbances occur as a side effect of medication. Patients  
19 with cervical dystonia in the presented studies were mostly treated with botulinum toxin,  
20 which is the first line treatment for focal cervical dystonia.<sup>6</sup> Botulinum toxin is not known to  
21 disturb sleep. A considerable percentage of patients, however, were treated with other  
22 medications. Eichenseer et al.<sup>37</sup> provide a detailed report of the medication in addition to  
23 botulinum toxin for their sample of 54 patients with cervical dystonia: 35% took  
24 benzodiazepines, 20% took antidepressants, 7% took baclofen and 4% took anticholinergics.  
25 Benzodiazepines and benzodiazepine receptor agonists are an established treatment for short-

1 term insomnia.<sup>56</sup> However, they are also known to suppress slow wave sleep<sup>57</sup> and have the  
2 potential to produce morning sleepiness, sedation and rebound insomnia after  
3 discontinuation,<sup>58</sup> and may therefore be responsible for a reduced sleep quality in some  
4 patients. Most antidepressants, including selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs), are  
5 known to suppress REM sleep.<sup>59</sup> SSRIs can disturb sleep continuity in some patients with  
6 dystonia.<sup>60</sup> Thus, it cannot be excluded that reduced sleep quality occurs as a side effect of  
7 medication in patients with dystonia.

8  
9 A third hypothesis is that sleep disturbance is caused by dystonic movement and associated  
10 pain. However, several findings speak against the assumption that impaired sleep quality is  
11 predominantly caused by abnormal movement. First, dystonia severity was not correlated  
12 with self-reported sleep in three studies.<sup>25,38,39</sup> Second, Eichenseer et al.<sup>37</sup> found that sleep  
13 quality was not improved after botulinum toxin treatment despite a significant improvement  
14 of motor symptoms. Third, several studies demonstrated that the frequency and duration of  
15 abnormal movement considerably decreases during sleep.<sup>21,22,40,46</sup> The latter is an interesting  
16 but so far poorly understood phenomenon which could potentially be informative about the  
17 pathophysiology and the development of new treatments. It could possibly be indicative of a  
18 normal functioning of descending motor inhibition during sleep – or a positive effect of sleep  
19 on the brain regions that produce abnormal movement. However, Lobbezoo et al.<sup>22</sup> found that  
20 abnormal movements were already markedly decreased after patients had adopted a supine  
21 position without the intention to fall asleep. This suggests that the decrease in abnormal  
22 movement may be associated with the supine position rather than sleep itself. As one study  
23 found that RLS was more prevalent in patients with dystonia than in controls, and that RLS  
24 was associated with disturbed sleep<sup>39</sup>, it is also possible that sleep disturbance is at least in  
25 part mediated by RLS. This needs to be further elucidated in future research.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

A fourth hypothesis is that psychological distress is a mediator of sleep disturbance. A recent study measuring health related quality of life in 70 patients with cervical dystonia found that 47% reported feeling depressed, annoyed or bitter, 33% felt lonely or isolated, and 73% reported feeling uneasy in public, probably due to visible symptoms of dystonia.<sup>61</sup> Two studies found that PSQI scores were positively correlated with BDI scores in patients with focal dystonia<sup>25,39</sup>.

In summary, while some evidence suggests that depressive symptoms are associated with sleep disturbance in patients with cervical dystonia, the exact pathophysiological pathways remain to be further elucidated.

### **Implications for treatment**

Our review puts the idea forward that sleep disturbances might, at least in part, mediate a decrease in quality of life in patients with primary dystonia. However, since quality of life has not been directly measured in the studies included in this review, the association between sleep disturbance and quality of life needs to be further elucidated in future research. Still, focussing on non-motor symptoms, such as sleep disturbances, might provide new inroads into treatment. In the first place, clarification of the nature of the sleep disturbances or sleep disorders associated with different subtypes of dystonia is needed. Future research is then needed to evaluate the efficacy of state-of-the-art treatment for the improvement of sleep disturbance and related symptoms. Targeted sleep interventions need to be developed for patients with dystonia if existing treatments are ineffective concerning sleep disturbance.

## 1 **Limitations**

2 Our review has several limitations. Unpublished literature was not considered, which may  
3 have led to a publication bias, i.e. an overrepresentation of studies which found differences  
4 between dystonia patients and controls. The rationale for restricting the review to published  
5 literature was to include only research of adequate quality which had passed through a peer  
6 review process. The limitations of the included studies also limit the validity of the review.  
7 Few of the studies on sleep in patients with dystonia are of sufficient methodological quality  
8 (see Fig. 2). First, as different kinds of sleep disturbance represent a potential side effect of  
9 many medications, it is a significant limitation that only three studies reported on drug-free  
10 samples. Second, considering that the prevalence of insomnia is increased in older subjects  
11 and in women,<sup>62,63</sup> it is also an apparent failure that less than half of the studies included a  
12 group of healthy controls matched for age and sex. Third, only two studies defined dystonia  
13 based on published diagnostic criteria, complicating the comparability between different  
14 studies. Fourth, the small sample sizes especially in the PSG studies are a further limitation –  
15 they are, however, understandable in studies on dopa responsive dystonia and generalised  
16 torsion dystonia, as the prevalence of these disorders is low. Future studies should fulfil the  
17 following methodological standards: use a prospective controlled study design, include  
18 measures of subjective sleep, objective sleep and potential processes associated with sleep  
19 disturbance, investigate unmedicated patients, match patients and controls for age and sex,  
20 diagnose dystonia according to a published set of diagnostic criteria and describe the  
21 diagnostic process in sufficient detail, and use sufficiently large samples or increase the  
22 duration of the study to create greater testing power by generating more data points.

23

## 24 **Future Research Priorities**

1 This review demonstrates that there is a need for more high quality research on sleep in  
2 patients with dystonia. First, characteristics of self-reported and PSG determined sleep and  
3 sleep disturbance need to be assessed more rigorously in larger drug-free samples compared  
4 to age- and sex-matched healthy subjects. For example, it needs to be further investigated  
5 whether self-reported sleep disturbances in patients with focal cranial dystonia are reflected  
6 in PSG determined sleep continuity, architecture or microstructure. The preliminary findings  
7 indicating a marked decrease of abnormal movement during sleep in focal dystonia, abnormal  
8 movement during REM sleep in dopa responsive dystonia and well maintained REM atonia  
9 in generalised torsion dystonia need replication. Studies on self-reported sleep in generalised  
10 dystonia and sleep studies in patients with other forms of dystonia, such as writer's cramp,  
11 musician's cramp or spasmodic dysphonia need to be conducted.

12 Second, the hypotheses concerning the aetiology of sleep disturbances outlined in this review  
13 need to be tested. The role of abnormal brain functioning, abnormal movement, pain, and  
14 psychological distress as mediators of sleep disturbance needs further investigation. Daily  
15 process studies<sup>64</sup> would be suitable to tease apart the temporal associations between these  
16 constructs.

17 Third, sleep needs to be targeted in therapy. Preliminary evidence suggests that sleep is not  
18 sufficiently improved after botulinum toxin treatment, thus sleep quality should be included  
19 as an outcome in treatment studies. If the finding that sleep is not improved after state of the  
20 art treatment is replicated, standard sleep treatments such as cognitive behavioural therapy for  
21 insomnia need to be evaluated and, if necessary, adapted for patients with dystonia. It has  
22 been shown that cognitive behavioural therapy is effective in patients with insomnia and  
23 comorbid medical disorders,<sup>65,66</sup> thus it can be speculated that this treatment may also  
24 improve sleep in patients with dystonia. A first step, however, is to evaluate the efficacy of  
25 existing state of the art treatments such as botulinum toxin treatment for improving sleep.

1 Newer forms of behaviour therapy such as acceptance and commitment therapy are currently  
2 evaluated for the improvement of quality of life in patients with chronic sleep disturbance.<sup>67</sup>  
3 As this therapy is disorder unspecific and has a special focus on the improvement of quality  
4 of life, it may be tested as another psychological approach for the improvement of non-motor  
5 syndromes in patients with dystonia.

## 6 **Practice Points**

- 7 ■ Research suggests a prevalence of impaired sleep quality between 40 and 70% in patients  
8 with focal cranial dystonia. Impaired quality of life in patients with dystonia might, at  
9 least in part, be mediated by non-motor symptoms, including sleep disturbances. Current  
10 research indicates that patients with focal cranial dystonia do not suffer from excessive  
11 daytime sleepiness.
- 12 ■ Patients with dystonia should routinely be assessed for disturbed sleep.
- 13 ■ Brief, validated sleep questionnaires such as the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index can be  
14 used to screen for sleep disturbances.
- 15 ■ The occurrence of sleep disturbances as a side effect of medication should be carefully  
16 monitored.
- 17 ■ Preliminary research results suggest that botulinum toxin treatment is not effective to  
18 improve sleep in cervical dystonia.
- 19 ■ Short term treatment with benzodiazepines and cognitive behavioural therapy for  
20 insomnia are effective treatments for patients with insomnia comorbid with medical  
21 disorders, but have not yet been investigated in patients with dystonia. However, since  
22 patients with dystonia may already be on sedating medications for their dystonia, caution  
23 is necessary before adding hypnotic medication for insomnia.

## 24 **Research Agenda**

- 25 ■ Characteristics of sleep as measured with PSG and validated self-report instruments need  
26 to be assessed more rigorously in larger drug-free samples of patients with primary  
27 dystonia compared to age- and sex-matched healthy controls.
- 28 ■ Sleep in patients with previously uninvestigated forms of dystonia, such as focal hand  
29 dystonia and spasmodic dysphonia, should also be investigated.



- 1   ▪ Research into the aetiology of sleep disturbances in patients with dystonia is needed.  
2    More specifically, the role of abnormal brain functioning, abnormal movement, pain, and  
3    psychological distress as mediators of sleep disturbance needs further investigation.
- 4   ▪ Psychological processes potentially linked to insomnia in dystonia such as dysfunctional  
5    beliefs and attitudes about sleep, anxiety and preoccupation, sleep effort, and sleep  
6    hygiene practice need to be assessed.
- 7   ▪ Sleep quality should be included as an outcome in treatment studies.
- 8   ▪ Preliminary results suggest that botulinum toxin is ineffective for the improvement of  
9    sleep in cervical dystonia despite a significant improvement of dystonia symptoms, thus  
10   targeted sleep interventions should be developed and evaluated.

11

1 **References (\* indicates 10 most important key references)**

- 2 1. Albanese A, Bhatia K, Bressman SB, DeLong MR, Fahn S, Fung VSC *et al.*  
3 Phenomenology and classification of dystonia: a consensus update. *Mov Disord* 2013; **28**:  
4 863–873.
- 5 2. LeDoux MS. Dystonia: phenomenology. *Parkinsonism Relat Disord* 2012; **18 Suppl 1**:  
6 S162–164.
- 7 3. Defazio G. The epidemiology of primary dystonia: current evidence and perspectives.  
8 *Eur J Neurol* 2010; **17 Suppl 1**: 9–14.
- 9 4. Zetterberg L, Aquilonius S-M, Lindmark B. Impact of dystonia on quality of life and  
10 health in a Swedish population. *Acta Neurol Scand* 2009; **119**: 376–382.
- 11 5. \*Soeder A, Kluger BM, Okun MS, Garvan CW, Soeder T, Jacobson CE *et al.* Mood and  
12 energy determinants of quality of life in dystonia. *J Neurol* 2009; **256**: 996–1001.
- 13 6. Marsh WA, Monroe DM, Brin MF, Gallagher CJ. Systematic review and meta-analysis of  
14 the duration of clinical effect of onabotulinumtoxinA in cervical dystonia. *BMC Neurol*  
15 2014; **14**: 91.
- 16 7. Ramirez-Castaneda J, Jankovic J. Long-term efficacy, safety, and side effect profile of  
17 botulinum toxin in dystonia: A 20-year follow-up. *Toxicon* 2014; **90**: 344–348.
- 18 8. Chen S. Clinical uses of botulinum neurotoxins: current indications, limitations and future  
19 developments. *Toxins* 2012; **4**: 913–939.
- 20 9. Hu W, Stead M. Deep brain stimulation for dystonia. *Transl Neurodegener* 2014; **3**: 2.
- 21 10. Bjerknes S, Skogseid IM, Sæhle T, Dietrichs E, Toft M. Surgical site infections after deep  
22 brain stimulation surgery: frequency, characteristics and management in a 10-year period.  
23 *PLoS ONE* 2014; **9**: e105288.
- 24 11. Kupsch A, Benecke R, Müller J, Trottenberg T, Schneider G-H, Poewe W, *et al.* Pallidal  
25 deep-brain stimulation in primary generalized or segmental dystonia. *N Engl J Med*  
26 2006;**355**: 1978–90.
- 27 12. Volkmann J, Wolters A, Kupsch A, Müller J, Kühn AA, Schneider G-H *et al.* Pallidal  
28 deep brain stimulation in patients with primary generalised or segmental dystonia: 5-year  
29 follow-up of a randomised trial. *Lancet Neurol* 2012; **11**: 1029–1038.
- 30 13. \*Kuyper DJ, Parra V, Aerts S, Okun MS, Kluger BM. Nonmotor manifestations of  
31 dystonia: a systematic review. *Mov Disord* 2011; **26**: 1206–1217.
- 32 14. \*Stamelou M, Edwards MJ, Hallett M, Bhatia KP. The non-motor syndrome of primary  
33 dystonia: clinical and pathophysiological implications. *Brain* 2012; **135**: 1668–1681.
- 34 15. Ben-Shlomo Y, Camfield L, Warner T, ESDE collaborative group. What are the  
35 determinants of quality of life in people with cervical dystonia? *J Neurol Neurosurg*  
36 *Psychiatr* 2002; **72**: 608–614.

- 1 16. Page D, Butler A, Jahanshahi M. Quality of life in focal, segmental, and generalized  
2 dystonia. *Mov Disord* 2007; **22**: 341–347.
- 3 17. Mayer G, Jennum P, Riemann D, Dauvilliers Y. Insomnia in central neurologic diseases –  
4 Occurrence and management. *Sleep Med Rev* 2011; **15**: 369–378.
- 5 18. Manchikanti L, Singh V, Smith HS, Hirsch JA. Evidence-based medicine, systematic  
6 reviews, and guidelines in interventional pain management: part 4: observational studies.  
7 *Pain Physician* 2009; **12**: 73–108.
- 8 19. Zeng X, Zhang Y, Kwong JSW, Zhang C, Li S, Sun F, et al. The methodological quality  
9 assessment tools for pre-clinical and clinical studies, systematic review and meta-  
10 analysis, and clinical practice guideline: a systematic review. *J Evid Based Med* 2015;  
11 **8**: 2–10.
- 12 20. Higgins JPT, Green S (eds): Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions  
13 Version 5.1.0 [updated March 2011]. The Cochrane Collaboration, 2011. Available from  
14 [www.cochrane-handbook.org](http://www.cochrane-handbook.org).
- 15 21. Sforza E, Montagna P, Defazio G, Lugaresi E. Sleep and cranial dystonia.  
16 *Electroencephalogr Clin Neurophysiol* 1991; **79**: 166–169.
- 17 22. \*Lobbezoo F, Thu TM, Rémillard G, Montplaisir JY, Lavigne GJ. Relationship between  
18 sleep, neck muscle activity, and pain in cervical dystonia. *Can J Neurol Sci* 1996; **23**:  
19 285–290.
- 20 23. \*Fish DR, Allen PJ, Sawyers D, Marsden CD. Sleep spindles in torsion dystonia. *Arch*  
21 *Neurol* 1990; **47**: 216–218.
- 22 24. Fahn S, Marsden CD, Calne DB, others. Classification and investigation of dystonia. *Mov*  
23 *Disord* 1987; **2**: 332–358.
- 24 25. \*Avanzino L, Martino D, Marchese R, Aniello MS, Minafra B, Superbo M *et al.* Quality  
25 of sleep in primary focal dystonia: a case-control study. *Eur J Neurol* 2010; **17**: 576–581.
- 26 26. Albanese A, Barnes MP, Bhatia KP, Fernandez-Alvarez E, Filippini G, Gasser T *et al.* A  
27 systematic review on the diagnosis and treatment of primary (idiopathic) dystonia and  
28 dystonia plus syndromes: report of an EFNS/MDS-ES Task Force. *Eur J Neurol* 2006;  
29 **13**: 433–444.
- 30 27. Brüggemann N, Stiller S, Tadic V, Kasten M, Münchau A, Graf J *et al.* Non-motor  
31 phenotype of dopa-responsive dystonia and quality of life assessment. *Parkinsonism*  
32 *Relat Disord* 2014; **20**: 428–431.
- 33 28. Rechtschaffen A, Kales A. *A manual of standardized terminology, scoring system for*  
34 *sleep stages of human subjects*. Washington, DC: U.S. Dept. of Health, Education and  
35 Welfare; 1968.
- 36 29. Buysse DJ, Reynolds CF, Monk TH, Berman SR. The Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index: A  
37 new instrument for psychiatric practice and research. *Psychiatry Research* 1989; **28**: 193–  
38 213.

- 1 30. Johns MW. A new method for measuring daytime sleepiness: the Epworth sleepiness  
2 scale. *Sleep* 1991; **14**: 540–545.
- 3 31. Klingelhofer L, Martino D, Martinez-Martin P, Sauerbier A, Rizos A, Jost W *et al.*  
4 Nonmotor symptoms and focal cervical dystonia: Observations from 102 patients. *Basal*  
5 *Ganglia* 2014; **4**: 117–120.
- 6 32. Rios Romenets S, Wolfson C, Galatas C, Pelletier A, Altman R, Wadup L *et al.*  
7 Validation of the non-motor symptoms questionnaire (NMS-Quest). *Parkinsonism Relat*  
8 *Disord* 2012; **18**: 54–58.
- 9 33. Miller KM, Okun MS, Fernandez HF, Jacobson CE, Rodriguez RL, Bowers D.  
10 Depression symptoms in movement disorders: comparing Parkinson’s disease, dystonia,  
11 and essential tremor. *Mov Disord* 2007; **22**: 666–672.
- 12 34. Beck AT, Steer R, Brown G. *Beck Depression Inventory, 2nd edn. Manual*. San Antonio:  
13 The Psychological Corporation; 1996.
- 14 35. Segawa M, Hosaka A, Miyagawa F, Nomura Y, Imai H. Hereditary progressive dystonia  
15 with marked diurnal fluctuation. *Adv Neurol* 1976; **14**.
- 16 36. Gadoth N, Costeff H, Harel S, Lavie P. Motor abnormalities during sleep in patients with  
17 childhood hereditary progressive dystonia, and their unaffected family members. *Sleep*  
18 1989; **12**: 233–238.
- 19 37. \*Eichenseer SR, Stebbins GT, Comella CL. Beyond a motor disorder: a prospective  
20 evaluation of sleep quality in cervical dystonia. *Parkinsonism Relat Disord* 2014; **20**:  
21 405–408.
- 22 38. \*Trotti LM, Esper CD, Feustel PJ, Bliwise DL, Factor SA. Excessive daytime sleepiness  
23 in cervical dystonia. *Parkinsonism Relat Disord* 2009; **15**: 784–786.
- 24 39. \*Paus S, Gross J, Moll-Müller M, Hentschel F, Spottke A, Wabbels B *et al.* Impaired  
25 sleep quality and restless legs syndrome in idiopathic focal dystonia: a controlled study. *J*  
26 *Neurol* 2011; **258**: 1835–1840.
- 27 40. Silvestri R, De Domenico P, Di Rosa AE, Bramanti P, Serra S, Di Perri R. The effect of  
28 nocturnal physiological sleep on various movement disorders. *Mov Disord* 1990; **5**: 8–14.
- 29 41. Brüggemann N, Stiller S, Tadic V, Kasten M, Münchau A, Graf J *et al.* Non-motor  
30 phenotype of dopa-responsive dystonia and quality of life assessment. *Parkinsonism*  
31 *Relat Disord* 2014; **20**: 428–431.
- 32 42. Wein A, Golubev V. Polygraphic analysis of sleep in dystonia musculorum deformans.  
33 *Waking Sleeping* 1979; **3**: 41–50.
- 34 43. Jankel WR, Niedermeyer E, Graf M, Kalsher M. Polysomnography of torsion dystonia.  
35 *Arch Neurol* 1984; **41**: 1081–1083.
- 36 44. Jankel WR, Niedermeyer E, Graf M, Kalsher MJ. Case report: polysomnographic effects  
37 of thalamotomy for torsion dystonia. *Neurosurgery* 1984; **14**: 495–8.

- 1 45. Feige B, Voderholzer U, Riemann D, Hohagen F, Berger M. Independent sleep EEG  
2 slow-wave and spindle band dynamics associated with 4 weeks of continuous application  
3 of short-half-life hypnotics in healthy subjects. *Clin Neurophysiol* 1999; **110**: 1965–1974.
- 4 46. \*Fish DR, Sawyers D, Allen PJ, Blackie JD, Lees AJ, Marsden CD. The effect of sleep  
5 on the dyskinctic movements of Parkinson’s disease, Gilles de la Tourette syndrome,  
6 Huntington’s disease, and torsion dystonia. *Arch Neurol* 1991; **48**: 210–214.
- 7 47. Chahine LM, Kauta SR, Daley JT, Cantor CR, Dahodwala N. Surface EMG activity  
8 during REM sleep in Parkinson’s disease correlates with disease severity. *Parkinsonism*  
9 *Relat Disord* 2014; **20**: 766–771.
- 10 48. Iranzo A, Stockner H, Serradell M, Seppi K, Valdeoriola F, Frauscher B *et al.* Five-year  
11 follow-up of substantia nigra echogenicity in idiopathic REM sleep behavior disorder.  
12 *Mov Disord* 2014; **29**: 1774–1780.
- 13 49. Fish DR, Sawyers D, Smith SJ, Allen PJ, Murray NM, Marsden CD. Motor inhibition  
14 from the brainstem is normal in torsion dystonia during REM sleep. *J Neurol Neurosurg*  
15 *Psychiatr* 1991; **54**: 140–144.
- 16 50. Segawa M. Dopa-responsive dystonia. *Handb Clin Neurol* 2011; **100**: 539–557.
- 17 51. Cai C, Shi W, Zeng Z, Zhang M, Ling C, Chen L *et al.* GTP cyclohydrolase I and  
18 tyrosine hydroxylase gene mutations in familial and sporadic dopa-responsive dystonia  
19 patients. *PLoS ONE* 2013; **8**: e65215.
- 20 52. Riemann D, Spiegelhalder K, Feige B, Voderholzer U, Berger M, Perlis M *et al.* The  
21 hyperarousal model of insomnia: a review of the concept and its evidence. *Sleep Med Rev*  
22 2010; **14**: 19–31.
- 23 53. Albanese A, Asmus F, Bhatia KP, Elia AE, Elibol B, Filippini G, *et al.* EFNS guidelines  
24 on diagnosis and treatment of primary dystonias. *Eur J Neurol* 2011; **18**: 5–18.
- 25 54. Mehta SH, Morgan JC, Sethi KD. Drug-induced Movement Disorders. *Neurol Clin* 2015;  
26 **33**: 153–174.
- 27 55. Dauvilliers Y, Tafti M, Landolt HP. Catechol-O-methyltransferase, dopamine, and sleep-  
28 wake regulation. *Sleep Med Rev* 2014. doi:10.1016/j.smrv.2014.10.006.
- 29 56. Buscemi N, Vandermeer B, Friesen C, Bialy L, Tubman M, Ospina M *et al.* The efficacy  
30 and safety of drug treatments for chronic insomnia in adults: a meta-analysis of RCTs. *J*  
31 *Gen Intern Med* 2007; **22**: 1335–1350.
- 32 57. Aeschbach D, Dijk DJ, Trachsel L, Brunner DP, Borbély AA. Dynamics of slow-wave  
33 activity and spindle frequency activity in the human sleep EEG: effect of midazolam and  
34 zopiclone. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 1994; **11**: 237–244.
- 35 58. Kales A, Kales JD. Sleep laboratory studies of hypnotic drugs: efficacy and withdrawal  
36 effects. *J Clin Psychopharmacol* 1983; **3**: 140–150.

- 1 59. Bridoux A, Laloux C, Derambure P, Bordet R, Monaca Charley C. The acute inhibition  
2 of rapid eye movement sleep by citalopram may impair spatial learning and passive  
3 avoidance in mice. *J Neural Transm* 2013; **120**: 383–389.
- 4 60. Wilson SJ, Nutt DJ. SSRIs and Sleep in Man. In: *Sleep and Sleep Disorders*. New York  
5 City: Springer US; 2006. pp 269–273.
- 6 61. Werle RW, Takeda SYM, Zonta MB, Guimarães ATB, Teive HAG. The physical, social  
7 and emotional aspects are the most affected in the quality of life of the patients with  
8 cervical dystonia. *Arq Neuropsiquiatr* 2014; **72**: 405–410.
- 9 62. Sivertsen B, Krokstad S, Øverland S, Mykletun A. The epidemiology of insomnia:  
10 associations with physical and mental health. The HUNT-2 study. *J Psychosom Res* 2009;  
11 **67**: 109–116.
- 12 63. Zhang B, Wing Y-K. Sex differences in insomnia: A meta-analysis. *Sleep* 2006; **29**: 85–  
13 93.
- 14 64. Tang NKY, Goodchild CE, Sanborn AN, Howard J, Salkovskis PM. Deciphering the  
15 temporal link between pain and sleep in a heterogeneous chronic pain patient sample: a  
16 multilevel daily process study. *Sleep* 2012; **35**: 675–687A.
- 17 65. Vitiello MV, McCurry SM, Shortreed SM, Balderson BH, Baker LD, Keefe FJ *et al.*  
18 Cognitive-behavioral treatment for comorbid insomnia and osteoarthritis pain in primary  
19 care: the lifestyles randomized controlled trial. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2013; **61**: 947–956.
- 20 66. Rybarczyk B, Stepanski E, Fogg L, Lopez M, Barry P, Davis A. A placebo-controlled test  
21 of cognitive-behavioral therapy for comorbid insomnia in older adults. *J Consult Clin*  
22 *Psych* 2005; **73**: 1164.
- 23 67. Hertenstein E, Thiel N, Lueking M, Kuelz AK, Schramm E, Spiegelhalder K *et al.*  
24 Quality of Life Improvements after Acceptance and Commitment Therapy (ACT) in  
25 Nonresponders to Cognitive Behavioral Therapy for Primary Insomnia (CBT-I).  
26 *Psychother Psychosom* 2014; **83**: 371-373.

27

28

29

### 30 **Figure Legends**

31 Figure 1. Process of study selection

32

33 Figure 2. Rating of risk of bias originating from six different aspects of methodology (see  
34 ordinate). The abscissa shows the total number of studies with low, moderate, high and  
35 unclear risk of bias. Ratings were made according to Table 1.

**Table 1. Scheme for the rating of risk of bias in included primary studies**

	Risk of bias rating			
	low	moderate	high	unclear
Diagnostic process	diagnosis according to established, published criteria	diagnostic process is described in sufficient detail, but no published criteria were used	authors mention who performed the diagnosis (e.g., experienced movement specialists) but do not describe the diagnostic process itself	diagnostic process not described
Sample size	≥ 100 per group (sufficient to detect an effect of Cohen's $d=0.35$ )	21-99 per group	< 20 per group (not sufficient to detect a sample size of Cohen's $d = 0.8$ )	sample size not mentioned
Control group	healthy controls matched for age and sex	unmatched healthy controls, matched only for age or only for sex, historical control group, controls with another disorder but no healthy controls	no control group	not mentioned whether control group was included
Sleep measure	valid sleep measures of subjective <i>and</i> objective sleep, e.g. PSG performed according to published recommendations and one validated questionnaire	one adequate sleep measure, e.g. PSG <i>or</i> validated questionnaire(s)	PSG not performed according to published recommendations (e.g., only one night of PSG), questionnaire insensitive or otherwise inappropriate or unvalidated	measures not sufficiently described
Medication	unmedicated sample	medicated sample, medication reported in detail	medicated sample, medication insufficiently described	medication not described
Statistics	analysis/statistical approach is adequate for design and sample size, conditions for use of statistical approach tested and described in sufficient detail	minor shortcomings leading to imprecision, but not invalidation of the results, e.g. conditions for use of statistical approach not tested or not described	major shortcomings, e.g. no significance testing, inappropriate statistical procedure	statistical approach not sufficiently described

**Table 2. Sleep in focal cranial dystonia (cervical dystonia and blepharospasm)**

Citation	Sample (mean age) (% female) (ON, onset resp. DU, duration of dystonia) medication	Measures	Sleep Results		
			PSG-determined	self-reported	variables associated with sleep disturbance
Silvestri et al. 1990 <sup>40</sup>	N=8 (64y) (63%f) (ON n.r.)  Meige's syndrome (N=6), BSP (N=1), tonic foot syndrome (N=1), drug-free	at least 2 nights of PSG	<b><u>abnormal movement</u></b> considerable decrease of frequency and duration, but not disappearance of abnormal movements in all sleep stages compared to wakefulness	n.r.	
Sforza et al. 1991 <sup>21</sup>	N=10 (52±13.7y) (50%f) (ON n.r.) blepharospasm and oromandibular dystonia, drug-free  comparison to published norm values matched for age and sex	three nights of PSG	<b><u>disturbed continuity</u></b> SE↓ WASO↑ NOA↑  <b><u>disturbed architecture</u></b> % REM↓ % stage N1 ↑  <b><u>abnormal movement</u></b> spasms significantly decreased with deeper sleep, without disappearance of abnormal movement, gradual re-occurrence later in the night	n.r.	sleep disturbance more marked in patients with more severe dystonia
Lobbezoo et al. 1996 <sup>22</sup>	N=9 (42±7.6y) (44%f) (DU 4.8±3.4y) cervical dystonia, drug-free  N=9 healthy controls matched for age and sex	two nights of PSG, additional EMG of sternocleidomastoid and upper trapezius muscle	<b><u>normal continuity</u></b> no group difference for SOL, WASO, SE, NOA  <b><u>normal architecture</u></b> no group difference for REM latency, % of sleep stages, number of stage shifts  <b><u>abnormal movement</u></b> significant decrease of abnormal muscle contractions until first stage N2	n.r.	



			Normal activity levels throughout night from first stage N2.		
Trotti et al. 2009 <sup>38</sup>	N=43 (57.4y) (63%f) (ON n.r.) cervical dystonia, medicated with botox N=19 with other focal movement disorders  N=49 healthy controls matched for age and sex	ESS, TWSTRS	n.r.	<b>normal mean daytime sleepiness</b> ESS 7.23± 3.98, n.s. compared to both controls; % with excessive daytime sleepiness↑ (21% )	<b>anticholinergic medication</b> accounted for some but not all increase in excessive daytime sleepiness  age, sex, race, dystonia severity, other medication not associated with excessive daytime sleepiness
Avanzino et al. 2010 <sup>25</sup>	N=52 with BSP (67y) (75%f) (DU 6y) medicated with botox  N=46 with CD (60y) (67%f) (DU 10.5y) medicated  N=56 healthy controls matched for age and sex	PSQI, ESS, BDI, FMDRS	n.r.	<b>reduced sleep quality</b> % with reduced sleep quality↑ (75% in BSP, 72% in CD) mean PSQI score↑ (7.5 in BSP, 7 in CD)  <b>normal daytime sleepiness</b> normal % with excessive daytime sleepiness (7.7% in BSP, 8.7 in CD) normal mean ESS score	dystonia severity and duration uncorrelated with PSQI in BSP. In CD, no correlation with PSQI when adjusted for BDI.  <b>BDI</b> score accounted for poorer sleep quality only in CD
Paus et al. 2011 <sup>39</sup>	N=110 with BSP (66.2±11.2y) (68%f) (DU 10.7±8.9y) part of the sample medicated, mostly with botox  N=111 with CD (59.8±11.5y) (68%f) (DU 15.4±10.2y) medicated  N=93 healthy controls, no matching	PSQI, ESS, BDI, TWSTRS, JRS	n.r.	<b>reduced sleep quality</b> % with disturbed sleep quality↑ (BSP 46%, CD 44%) mean PSQI score↑ (BSP: 6.0±4.2, CD: 6.3±3.7)  <b>normal daytime sleepiness</b> normal % with excessive daytime sleepiness (BSP 7%, CD 5%)  % with RLS↑ (BSP 20%, CD 18%)	correlated with PSQI in BSP: <b>sex (higher in women), dystonia duration, RLS, BDI</b>  correlated with PSQI in CD: <b>RLS, bruxism, BDI.</b>  uncorrelated with PSQI in both groups: symptom severity, pain
Eichenseer et al. 2014 <sup>37</sup>	N=54 with CD (62±10.1y) (80%f)	PSQI, ESS, BDI,	n.r.	<b>reduced sleep quality</b> % with disturbed sleep	no improvement in PSQI or ESS after treatment with botox despite

	(DU 18.7±14.7y) medicated  N=55 healthy controls matched for age and sex	HAM-A, TWSTRS, CDIP- 58		quality↑ (65%) mean PSQI score↑ (baseline 7.5±4.2)  <b><u>normal daytime sleepiness</u></b> normal % with excessive daytime sleepiness normal mean ESS score (baseline 5.04±4.0)	improvement in dystonia severity
Klingelhoefer et al., 2014 <sup>31</sup>	N=102 with CD (59.19±1.21y) (68%f) (DU 10.99±7.10y) medicated with botox	clinical consultation, aided by supplementary use of questions from the NMSQuest, validated for use in Parkinson's disease  UDRS	n.r.	percentage of "yes" answers: 60% difficulties falling or staying asleep; 51% fatigue which limits daytime activities; 40% feeling not refreshed after sleep	weak but significant correlation between number of non-motor syptoms and motor severity assessed with UDRS (r=0.23)

"normal" and "abnormal" refer to comparisons with the respective control groups.

Table 3. Sleep in generalised torsion dystonia

Citation	Sample n (mean age) (% female) (ON onset resp. DU duration of dystonia) medication	Measures	Sleep Results			Comment
			PSG-determined	self-reported	correlates of sleep disturbance	
Wein & Golubev 1979 <sup>42</sup>	N=27 (31y) (% f n.r) (DU 6.5y), N=12 with generalized and N=15 with focal torsion dystonia, medication n.r.  N=10 healthy controls (matching n.r.)	three nights of PSG	<b><u>disturbed continuity</u></b> SOL↑ TST↓  <b><u>disturbed architecture</u></b> REM latency↑ REM duration↓  <b><u>disturbed microstructure</u></b> spindle amplitude↑, spindles with amplitudes 40-60, 60-80 and over 80 microvolts more frequent in generalized torsion dystonia than controls and focal dystonia  <b><u>abnormal movement</u></b> number of rapid eye movements and minor body movements↓	n.r.	sleep abnormality more pronounced in patients with generalized than focal torsion dystonia; sleep spindle abnormality only in generalized dystonia	statistical significance of group differences not clearly reported  Medication n.r. sleep spindle abnormality likely influenced by medication (benzodiazepine signature)
Jankel et al. 1984 <sup>43</sup>	N=9 (38y) (50%f) (DU 20y) medicated  N=9 healthy controls matched for age and sex	three nights of PSG	<b><u>disturbed continuity</u></b> SOL↑ NOA↑ SE↓  <b><u>disturbed architecture</u></b> REM duration↓  <b><u>disturbed microstructure</u></b> spindle amplitude↑, spindles with amplitudes > 150 microvolts were only observed in severely affected patients	n.r.	disturbed sleep continuity correlated with severity of dystonia; sleep spindle abnormality only in severely affected patients	sleep spindle abnormality in N=4, of which N=3 treated with diazepam
Jankel et al. 1984a <sup>44</sup>	N=1 (32y) (100%f), ON 29y) medicated, unilateral stereotactic thalamotomy of left	three nights of PSG	<b><u>disturbed continuity</u></b> baseline: TST↓ SE↓ SOL↑  <b><u>disturbed architecture</u></b>	n.r.	<b>improvement with surgery:</b> improved sleep continuity and architecture, marked decrease in sleep spindles	baseline medication status not clearly reported, sleep spindle abnormality may be due

	ventral lateral nucleus  N=1 healthy control matched for age and sex		% stage N2↑  <b><u>disturbed microstructure</u></b> spindle amplitude↑, spindles with amplitudes > 100 microvolts were observed in the patient			to benzodiazepine intake
Fish et al. 1990 <sup>23</sup>	N=14 (28.5y) (57%f) (ON 19y) medicated  N=10 healthy controls, no matching  N=39 patients with other neurological disorders	two nights of PSG (one adaptation night, one study night)	<b><u>normal microstructure</u></b> normal spindle number in all but 3 patients normal spindle amplitude in all but 1 patient (proportions of spindles above 50 and 100 microvolts were measured)	n.r.	All 3 patients with abnormal sleep spindles had severe dystonia and were on diazepam	no evidence of disease- specific spindle abnormality in torsion dystonia in this study
Fish et al. 1991 <sup>46</sup>	N=12 (see Fish et al. 1990), medicated  N=8 healthy controls, no matching	two nights of PSG, amendments to describe sleep stage transitions applied	<b><u>abnormal movement</u></b> dyskinesias most frequent during awakening, followed by lightening and stage N1. Very rare dyskinesias during SWS and REM sleep. 97% of dyskinesias associated with awakening/lightening occurred after stage change, not before.	n.r.		same sample as Fish et al. 1990
Fish et al. 1991a <sup>49</sup>	N=14 (see Fish et al. 1990) , medicated  N=10 healthy controls, no matching	two nights of PSG, submental EMG, video recordings, scalp magnetic stimulation of abductor digiti minimi	<b><u>normal REM atonia</u></b> well maintained atonia during REM sleep	n.r.		same sample as Fish et al. 1990

“normal” and “abnormal” refer to comparisons with the respective control groups.

**Table 4. Dopa responsive dystonia (Hereditary Progressive Dystonia with marked diurnal fluctuation, Segawa syndrome)**

Citation	Sample n (mean age) (% female) (ON onset resp. DU duration of dystonia) medication	Measures	Sleep Results			Comment
			PSG-determined	self-reported	correlates of sleep disturbance	
Segawa et al. 1976 <sup>35</sup>	N=4 (7.5y) (50%f) (ON 4.8), on L-dopa, PSG performed before and after L-dopa in two patients, under selective sleep deprivation in the other two	two nights of PSG	<b>abnormal movement</b> gross body movements and twitches were "few" but occurred during all sleep stages including REM. Number of twitches per hour REM increased in later sleep cycles.	n.r.	after L-dopa, an increased number of gross body movements, twitches, and rapid eye movements was observed.	No control group, thus difficult to judge whether amount of movement was abnormal
Gadoth et al. 1989 <sup>36</sup>	N=3 (15y) (100%f) (ON 5.3), two investigated before and after L-dopa, the third only under L-dopa  N= 11 phenotypically normal immediate relatives	one night of PSG in two patients, one night of PSG in one patient and in controls	<b>abnormal movement</b> number of gross body movements involving trunk during REM sleep↑  <b>normal continuity and architecture</b> SE ≥ 83%; SOL ≤ 15 min; normal stage distribution, no periodic leg movements	n.r.	no significant change in intensity or frequency of movements after L- dopa	results indicate abnormal movement during REM sleep in dopa responsive dystonia, which was not found in generalized torsion dystonia (table 2)
Brüggemann et al. 2014 <sup>27</sup>	N=23 (39.2±20.1y) (70%f) (DU 30±19.4y) PSG in N=6!, dopaminergic medication (lisuride and seligilin in one patient, l-dopa in the others)  N=26 healthy controls matched for age	one night of PSG, comparison with norm values (no PSG in controls)  PSQI, ESS, SSS, WHOQOL	<b>normal continuity</b> mean SOL and SE normal  <b>disturbed architecture</b> REM latency↑, sleep fragmentation caused by spontaneous arousals in 3/6 patients	<b>normal sleep quality</b> normal mean PSQI (10.0±6.2)  <b>normal daytime sleepiness</b> normal mean ESS (6.6±4.5), normal mean SSS (21.1±13.4)	sleep impairment associated with lower Quality of Life	PSQI score classified as normal because of n.s. compared to controls – however, clearly in excess of the common cutoff for disturbed sleep (>5)

“normal” and “abnormal” refer to comparisons with the respective control groups.

Table 5. Mixed Dystonias

Citation	Sample	Measures	Sleep Results	
			PSG-determined	self-reported
Miller et al. 2007 <sup>33</sup>	<p>N=83 (62.8±12.0y) (64%f) (DU 12.7±11.2y) different primary dystonias medicated</p> <p>N=354 with Parkinson's disease</p> <p>N=53 with essential tremor</p>	endorsement (=non zero) of BDI sleep items	n.r.	55.4% insomnia, 75.9% fatigability, n.s. compared to essential tremor and Parkinson's disease



