

# **Original citation:**

Daykin, D. E., Daykin, J. W. and Paterson, Michael S. (1983) On log concavity for orderpreserving and order-non-reversing maps of partial orders. University of Warwick. Department of Computer Science. (Department of Computer Science Research Report). (Unpublished) CS-RR-051

## Permanent WRAP url:

http://wrap.warwick.ac.uk/60756

# Copyright and reuse:

The Warwick Research Archive Portal (WRAP) makes this work by researchers of the University of Warwick available open access under the following conditions. Copyright © and all moral rights to the version of the paper presented here belong to the individual author(s) and/or other copyright owners. To the extent reasonable and practicable the material made available in WRAP has been checked for eligibility before being made available.

Copies of full items can be used for personal research or study, educational, or not-forprofit purposes without prior permission or charge. Provided that the authors, title and full bibliographic details are credited, a hyperlink and/or URL is given for the original metadata page and the content is not changed in any way.

## A note on versions:

The version presented in WRAP is the published version or, version of record, and may be cited as it appears here.For more information, please contact the WRAP Team at: <u>publications@warwick.ac.uk</u>

# warwickpublicationswrap

highlight your research

http://wrap.warwick.ac.uk/

# The University of Warwick THEORY OF COMPUTATION REPORT NO.51

ON LOG CONCAVITY FOR ORDER-PRESERVING AND ORDER-NON-REVERSING MAPS OF PARTIAL ORDERS

ΒY

D.E. DAYKIN & J.W. DAYKIN & M.S. PATERSON

Department of Computer Science University of Warwick Coventry CV4-7AL England.

May 1983

# ON LOG CONCAVITY FOR ORDER-PRESERVING AND ORDER-NON-REVERSING MAPS

#### OF PARTIAL ORDERS

D.E. Daykin and J.W. Daykin and M.S. Paterson

- Abstract. Stanley used the Aleksandrov-Fenchel inequalities from the theory of mixed volumes to prove the following result. Let P be a partially ordered set with n elements, and let  $x \in P$ . If  $N_i^{\star}$  is the number of linear extensions  $\lambda : P \rightarrow \{1, 2, ..., n\}$  satisfying  $\lambda(x) = i$ , then the sequence  $N_1^{\star}, ..., N_n^{\star}$  is log concave (and therefore unimodal). Here the analogous results for both order-preserving and order-non-reversing maps are proved using an explicit injection. Further, if  $\nu_c$  is the number of order-preserving maps of P into a chain of length c, then  $\nu_c$  is shown to be log concave, and the corresponding result is established for order-non-reversing maps.
- 1. Introduction

Let P be a poset (= partially ordered set) with n elements and C a chain with c elements. We are interested in certain log concavity properties of order-related mappings from the elements of P into C. Definitions of 3 classes of such maps are as follows.

- \* Dept of Mathematics University of Reading Reading U.K.
- + Dept of Computer Science University of Warwick Coventry U.K.

- 1 -

A map  $\lambda$  : P + [n] = {1,2,...,n} is a <u>linear extension</u> of P if  $\lambda$  is 1-1 and, for all x, y  $\epsilon$  P, x < y implies  $\lambda(x) < \lambda(y)$ . For (P,C), a map  $\omega$  : P + C is <u>order-preserving</u> if, for all x, y  $\epsilon$  P, x < y implies  $\omega(x) < \omega(y)$ . Note that  $\omega$  need not be 1-1. (Some authors require |P| = |C|, but we do not need this restriction). For (P,C), a map  $\rho$  : P + C is <u>order-non-reversing</u> if, for all x, y  $\epsilon$  P, x < y imples  $\rho(x) \leq \rho(y)$ .

A sequence  $a_0, a_1, \ldots$  of non-negative real numbers is said to be <u>log concave</u> if  $a_{i-1} a_{i+1} \leq a_i^2$  for  $1 \leq i$ . In particular, a log concave sequence is <u>unimodal</u>, i.e. for some j we have  $a_0 \leq a_1 \leq \ldots \leq a_j$  and  $a_j \geq a_{j+1} \geq \ldots$ 

In 1980 Stanley [10] used the Aleksandrov-Fenchel inequalities (which guarantee the logarithmic concavity of coefficients arising from the volume of weighted sums of n-dimensional polytopes) to prove that certain sequences of combinatorial interest are log concave (surveys of mixed volumes appear in [3], [5]). One such result is:

Theorem 1 (Stanley). Let  $x_1 < \ldots < x_k$  be a fixed chain in P. If  $1 \le i_1 < \ldots < i_k \le n$ , then define  $N^*(i_1, \ldots, i_k)$  to be the number of linear extensions  $\lambda : P \rightarrow [n]$  such that  $\lambda(x_j) = i_j$  for  $1 \le j \le k$ . Suppose  $1 \le j \le k$  and  $i_{j-1} + 1 < i_j < i_{j+1} - 1$ , where we set  $i_0 = 0$ and  $i_{k+1} = n+1$ . Then  $N^*(i_1, \ldots, i_{j-1}, i_j - 1, i_{j+1}, \ldots, i_k) N^*(i_1, \ldots, i_{j-1}, i_j + 1, i_{j+1}, \ldots, i_k) \le N^*(i_1, \ldots, i_k)^2$ .

In particular, the case k=l yields  $N_{i-1}^* N_{i+1}^* \le N_i^{*2}$ , confirming a conjecture of Chung, Fishburn and Graham [4], which strengthened an unpublished conjecture of R. Rivest that the sequence  $N_1^*, \ldots, N_n^*$  is unimodal. Chung et al.established log concavity for the case that P is a union of two linear orders, i.e. chains. Graham [8] asked whether the analogue of Stanley's Theorem is true for order-preserving maps, and noted that the FKG inequality [6] can be used very naturally to prove the log concavity of various sequences of a combinatorial nature (e.g.see [9]). He suggests [7] that Stanley's result, and the analogue conjectured result for order-preserving maps, should have proofs based on the FKG inequality or the more general AD inequality [1], but these have as yet eluded discovery.

We present an injective proof for the corresponding result for order-preserving maps. The injection consists of constructing, for each pair of maps with  $\omega_1(x) = r$  and  $\omega_2(x) = r+s+t$ , a unique pair of maps with  $\omega_3(x) = r+s$  and  $\omega_4(x) = r+t$ . That is if two ordered pairs of the form  $(\omega_1, \omega_2)$  are distinct, then their two associated  $(\omega_3, \omega_4)$  pairs are distinct, thus ensuring the inquality. With minor changes the injection yields log concavity for order-non-reversing maps.

Log concave sequences can be proved (see [2]) to satisfy the more general inequality,

a a 
$$for natural numbers r, s, t \ge 0$$
.

Finally, if  $v_c$  is the number of order-preserving maps of P into a chain of length c, then  $v_c$  is shown to be log concave. The analogue is established for order-non-reversing maps.

#### 2. Order-Preserving Maps

<u>Theorem 2</u> Let C be a finite chain, and let  $x_1, \ldots, x_k$  be a fixed subset in P. Define  $N(i_1, \ldots, i_k)$  to be the number of order-preserving maps  $\omega : P \neq C$  such that  $\omega(x_j) = i_j$  for  $1 \leq j \leq k$ . Let r,s,t be natural numbers, then

$$\begin{split} & \mathrm{N}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{i}_{2},\ldots,\mathbf{i}_{k})\mathrm{N}(\mathbf{r}+\mathbf{s}+\mathbf{t},\mathbf{i}_{2},\ldots,\mathbf{i}_{k}) \leq \mathrm{N}(\mathbf{r}+\mathbf{s},\mathbf{i}_{2},\ldots,\mathbf{i}_{k})\mathrm{N}(\mathbf{r}+\mathbf{t},\mathbf{i}_{2},\ldots,\mathbf{i}_{k}) \,. \\ & \text{In particular, the case } \mathbf{k} = 1 \text{ and } \mathbf{s} = \mathbf{t} = 1 \text{ yields } \mathrm{N}_{\mathbf{r}} \mathrm{N}_{\mathbf{r}+2} \leq \mathrm{N}_{\mathbf{r}+1}^{2} \,. \end{split}$$

<u>Proof</u> Suppose that the L.H.S. of the inquality is not equal to 0, and that s,t > 0, for otherwise the result clearly holds. Since only the height in C, of  $x_1$  changes, for brevity denote  $x_1$  by x. We will first prove the result for k = 1 namely,  $N(r)N(r+s+t) \leq N(r+s)N(r+t)$ , and then show how it easily extends to k > 1.

Given any pair of order-preserving maps  $\omega_1, \omega_2$ : P + C with  $\omega_1(x) = r$  and  $\omega_2(x) = r+s+t$ , we will construct a unique pair of order-preserving maps  $\omega_3, \omega_4$ : P + C with  $\omega_3(x) = r+s$  and  $\omega_4(x) = r+t$ .

We may assume C is 1 < 2 < ... < c and write C+t for 1 + t < 2 + t < ... < c + t. Now the pair  $\omega_1, \omega_2$  may equally be regarded as an order-preserving map B into the direct product (C+t) × C = {( $\gamma, \gamma'$ ) :  $\gamma \in C+t, \gamma' \in C$ }, with the partial ordering:  $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) < (\delta_1, \delta_2)$  if both  $\gamma_1 < \delta_1$  in C+t and  $\gamma_2 < \delta_2$  in C. Thus B =  $B_1 \times B_2$  : P + (C+t) × C where, for p  $\epsilon$  P,  $B_1(p) = t + \omega_1(p)$  and  $B_2(p) = \omega_2(p)$ . In particular, we have B(x) = (r+t, r+s+t).

Now define the operation flip( j,k ) = (k,j). We will say p forces q for p,q  $\epsilon$  P if, either p < q and flip(B(p)) < B(q), i.e.  $B_2(p) \ge B_1(q)$  or  $B_1(p) \ge B_2(q)$ , or p > q and flip(B(p)) > B(q).

Also define  $D_B = \{p : p \in P, x (forces)^*p\}$ , where "(forces)<sup>\*</sup>" is the reflexive and transitive closure of "forces". That is,  $x \in D_B$ and the forcing procedure propagates from x to form the subset  $D_B$  of P. Since P is finite the propagation must halt (possibly with  $D_B = P$ ), and then we let  $\delta(B) : P \neq Z \times Z$  be defined by

$$\delta(B)(p) = flip(B(p)) \text{ if } p \in D_B$$
$$= B(p) \text{ if } p \notin D_B$$

Lemma 1  $\delta(B)$  is order-preserving.

Proof Immediate from the definitions.

<u>Leuma 2</u>  $B_1(d) < B_2(d)$  for  $d \in D_R$ .

<u>Proof</u> We have  $B_1(x) < B_2(x)$ , since s > 0. So it is sufficient to show that if  $B_1(d) < B_2(d)$  for some  $d \in D_B$ , then this relation holds for any p in  $D_B$  forced by d. Suppose first that d < p, and so  $B_1(p) \leq B_2(d) < B_2(p)$  or  $B_2(p) \leq B_1(d) < B_2(d) < B_2(p)$ . The latter is impossible and the former establishes the claim. The proof if d > p, is similar.  $\Box$ <u>Lemma 3</u>  $\delta(B)(p) \in (C+t) \times C$  for  $p \in P$ . <u>Proof</u> If  $p \notin D_B$  then it is clearly true. Now for  $p \in D_B$  we have

 $1 < 1+t \leq B_1(p) < B_2(p) \leq c < c+t$ . Hence flip(B(d))  $\epsilon$  (C+t) × C.

```
Lemma 4 \delta(\delta(B)) = B.
```

<u>Proof</u> It is sufficient to show that  $D_{\delta(B)} = D_B$ . Suppose  $d \in D_B$ , then flip( $\delta(B)(d)$ ) = B(d) by the definition of  $D_{\delta(B)}$ . Therefore d forces p with respect to  $\delta(B)$  if either d \leq \delta(B)(p), or d > p and B(d)  $\geq \delta(B)(p)$ .

If  $p \notin D_B$  then  $\delta(B)(p) = B(p)$  and so, since B is order-preserving, d does not force p.

If  $p \in D_B$  then  $\delta(B)(p) = flip(B(p))$  and in this case d forces p with respect to  $\delta(B)$  iff d forces p with respect to B. Hence  $D_{\delta(B)} = D_B$ .

Corollary δ is injective. □

Now  $\omega_3$  and  $\omega_4$  are given by  $\delta(B) = (t + \omega_3, \omega_4)$  concluding the case k = 1.

Finally, we show how the result extends to a subset  $\{x_1, \ldots, x_k\} \in P$  where k > 1. For  $x_i$  with  $2 \le i \le k$ , we have  $\omega_1(x_i) = \omega_2(x_i)$  and  $B_1(x_i) = t + \omega_1(x_i) > B_2(x_i) = \omega_2(x_i)$ . From Lemma 2 we deduce that  $x_i \notin D_B$  giving  $\delta(B)(x_i) = B(x_i)$  as required.  $\Box$ 

- 5 -

<u>Theorem 3</u> Let  $v_c$  be the total number of order-preserving maps  $\omega$  : P + C. Then  $v_1, v_2, \ldots$  is log concave. <u>Proof</u> Given P, let Q be the poset P  $\cup$  q where p < q,  $\neq$  p  $\epsilon$  P. Then note that  $v_i$  equals  $N_{i+1}$  for x = q in Q and 1  $\leq$  i. The result follows by log concavity of the sequence  $N_{i+1}, N_{i+2}, \ldots$ 

## 3. Order-non-reversing maps

We will employ a corresponding injection to show log concavity for order-non-reversing maps. Define  $N^{**}(i_1, \ldots, i_k)$  to be the number of order-non-reversing maps  $\rho$  :  $P \rightarrow C$  such that  $\rho(x_j) = i_j$  for  $1 \le j \le k$ .

<u>Theorem 4</u> The analogue of Theorem 2 holds for  $N^{*}(i_1, \ldots, i_k)$ .

<u>Proof</u> The proof follows a parallel course to that of Theorem 2, but
(C + t) × C now takes the usual <u>product</u> ordering, and p <u>forces</u> q
if p < q and B<sub>2</sub>(p) > B<sub>1</sub>(q) or B<sub>1</sub>(p) > B<sub>2</sub>(q) and similarly when p > q. □
Define  $v_c^{**}$  to be the total number of order-non-reversing
maps  $\rho$  : P + C.
<u>Theorem 5</u> The analogue of Theorem 3 holds for  $v_c^{**}$ .
<u>Proof</u> The proof follows that of Theorem 3, with  $v_i^{**}$  equal to  $N_i^{**}$  for x = q in Q and 1 ≤ i.

#### 4. Remarks

It appears unlikely that Stanley's Theorem for linear extensions quoted earlier can be proved using the kind of injection presented here. We may however easily strengthen his result to bring it into line with our Theorems 2 and 4, by removing the condition that the x's form a chain in P. Suppose  $\{x_1, \ldots, x_k\}$  is an arbitrary subset of P, then without loss of generality assume  $i_1 < \ldots < i_k$ . If we augment P with the new relations  $x_1 < \ldots < x_k$  then N<sup>\*</sup> is unchanged and Stanley's Theorem applies to the new partial order.

As |C| increases, the proportion of order-preserving maps with  $\omega(y) = \omega(z)$  for some  $y \neq z$  diminishes. We note that

$$v_{c} \sim v_{c}^{**}$$
 and  $N_{i} \sim N_{i}^{**}$  as  $c \rightarrow \infty$ .

We may define a real-valued function  $f_{P,x}$  on the unit interval by

$$f_{P,x}(u) = \lim_{c \to \infty} c N_{uc} / v_c = \lim_{c \to \infty} c N_{uc} / v_c^{**}.$$

 $f_{P,x}$  represents the probability distribution of the value of  $\omega(x)$  with respect to the uniform distribution of order-preserving maps over the convex region of a unit n-dimensional cube defined by P. For further details on these convex regions see [10].

An easy consequence of our theorems is

<u>Corollary</u>  $f_{P,x}$  is a log-concave (real-valued) function, i.e.  $log(f_{P,x})$  is concave.

# Acknowledgement

We thank Josie Lloyd for typing this paper.

- R. Ahlswede, D.E. Daykin, An inequality for the weights of two families of sets, their unions and intersections,
   Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verw. Gebeite 43 (1978),183-185.
- M. Aigner, Combinatorial Theory, Grundlehren Math. 234 (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1979).
- 3. H. Busemann, Convex Surfaces, Interscience, New York, 1958.
- F.R.K. Chung, P.C. Fishburn and R.L. Graham, On unimodality for linear extensions of partial orders, SIAM J. Algebraic and Discrete Methods 1 (1980), 405-410.
- 5. H.G. Eggleston, Convexity, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1958.
- C.M. Fortuin, J. Ginibre and P.N. Kasteleyn, Correlation inequalities on some partially ordered sets, Commun. Math. Phys. 22 (1971), 89-103.
- 7. R.L. Graham, Applications of the FKG inequality and its relatives, to appear.
- 8. \_\_\_\_\_, Linear extensions of partial orders and the FKG inequality, in Ordered Sets, I. Rival, ed., 213-236, D. Reidal, Dordrecht-Holland, 1982.
- P.D. Seymour, D.J.A. Welsh, Combinatorial applications of an inequality from statistical mechanics, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. 77 (1975), 485-495.
- R.P. Stanley, Two combinatorial applications of the Aleksandrov-Fenchel inequalities, J. Combinatorial Theory (Ser. A) 31 (1981), 56-65.

- 8 -