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# The LABOUR Market 

## Overview of the labour market

The Office for National Statistics (ONS) has recently introduced a new presentation of labour market statistics, which the Commentary will adopt from now on. Presentation of labour market data is now more systematically organised by topic rather than data source. In particular, data from the Labour Force Survey are now fully integrated into reported labour market statistics.

This change in part reflects the decision to emphasise the more complete measure of unemployment provided by LFS (in line with the International Labour Organisation (ILO) definition) rather than the traditional claimant count. The LFS definition of unemployment has the distinct advantage over the claimant count that it is much less sensitive to changes in the regulations governing eligibility to unemployment benefits, of which there have been many in recent years, and conforms to a widely accepted international definition of unemployment (ILO) so that cross-country comparisons should be more meaningful. However, being based on a household survey (not a census), it is subject to sampling error (though the extent of this can be quantified and expressed in terms of a range of estimates).

Table 1 provides the new summary presentation of recent Scottish labour market variables. The LFS estimate of the level of employment in the third quarter of 1998 was 2,305 thousand, 17 thousand down on the same period of 1997. However, note that the sampling variability in each case is plus or minus $1.5 \%$. (This implies that we can be $95 \%$ confident that the most recent estimate of employment lies within plus or minus $1.5 \%$ of 2,305 thousand.) The LFS measure of total employment is more complete than the traditional Workforce Jobs series, though it does have other limitations beyond simple
sampling variability. However, it is a useful indicator of trends so the moderate reduction reported for the last year is worrying. (We continue to report the Workforce Jobs data below, which are based on employer surveys, because these are thought to provide a more accurate account of the industrial distribution of employment.)

The ILO rate of unemployment in Scotland was $7.3 \%$ in the fourth quarter of 1998 . The comparable figure a year earlier was $6.9 \%$. The traditional claimant-count-based measure of unemployment for the fourth quarter was $5.5 \%$. The difference between the two reflects the distinction between those who are actively seeking work, but are jobless, and those who are eligible for unemployment benefit payments. To get to the ILO definition from numbers of claimants the numbers of those eligible for benefit but not actively seeking work have to be subtracted. However, those ineligible for benefits but actively seeking work have to be added, and in recent years at least, this adjustment has been the greater.

In the fourth (third) quarter of 1998 there were $2,487(2,488)$ thousand economically active people in Scotland, reflecting the sum of those who are in employment or who were actively seeking work during this period (representing $77.4 \%$ of those of working age).

## Employment

The most recent employee jobs data are for September 1998 and these are reported in Tables 2 and 3. Overall, it appears as if total employee jobs rose by around 47,000 (2.3\%) in the year to September 1998. Full-time male employees in employment are estimated to have risen by $30,000(3.4 \%)$, and estimates of full-time female employment rose by 9,000 (1.6\%). Part-time male employment estimates rose by $2,000(1.9 \%)$ and part-time female employment rose by 4,000 or $0.8 \%$.

Over the year to September 1998 employment in service industries rose by 36,000 ( $1.8 \%$ ). Employment losses were recorded in Hotels, etc., Manufacturing, Mining \& Quarrying and Agriculture etc. Growth in estimated employment was greatest in Construction (19,000 or $17.2 \%$ ).

## Vacancies: stocks and flows

Over the year to January 1998 Job Centre unfilled vacancies at job centres in Scotland fluctuated between 30.2 and 32.4 thousands on
a seasonally adjusted basis (Table 4). Vacancies rose by 0.4 thousand in the year to January 1999 (1.3\%), on a seasonally adjusted basis. There were still large gross flows, however, which were of a similar order of magnitude to the outstanding stock of vacancies in each month. For example, in January 1999 outflows were 25.5 thousand. The short average duration of vacancies is a sign that employers on average do not find it difficult to fill posts because of a continuing slackness in the labour market (although employers may still find it difficult to recruit specific skills in particular locations).

## Unemployment - claimant count: stocks and flows

Recent data on the seasonally adjusted unemployment stock, as measured by the claimant count, are presented in Table 5. The most recent data, of course, reflect the new rules governing eligibility to claim benefit which have been in place since 7 October 1996 when both unemployment benefit and unemployment-related income support were replaced by the Jobseeker's Allowance (JSA). Since this significantly reduces the period over which claimants are eligible for benefits, the effect is to reduce the number of registered unemployed, even in the absence of any change in underlying labour market conditions.

Over the year to January 1999 total unemployment fell by about 5.7 thousand, from 141.0 thousand or by $4.0 \%$. Female unemployment fell by 0.2 thousand over the year ( $0.6 \%$ ), while male unemployment fell by 5.5 thousand (5.0\%).

Table 5 also presents recent flows into and out of the unemployment stock. In January 1999 inflows were, at 28.0 thousand, a little less than January 1999. Outflows were, at 29.0 thousand, a little more than a year previously. If gross outflows were maintained at their January 1999 level unemployment stocks could turnover in just over 5 months.


Source: ONS
Notes: * Levels are for those aged 16 and over, rates are for those of working age (16-59/64) ** Levels and rates are for those aged 16 and over. Rate is as a proprotion of economically active - Levels and rates are for those of working age
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| TABLE 4 JOB CENTRE VACANCIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Vacancies notified |  | Vacancies unfilled |  | Vacancy outflow |  | Of which: Vacancies filled by Jobcentres |  |
|  | Level | Change on month | Level | Change on month | Level | Change on month | Level | Change on month |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| 1997 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| April | 27.6 | -0.4 | 29.6 | 0.2 | 27.1 | -0.5 | 23.5 | -0.1 |
| May | 26.5 | -1.1 | 29.3 | -0.3 | 26.9 | -0.2 | 21.3 | -2.2 |
| June | 26.1 | -0.4 | 30.8 | 1.5 | 24.8 | -2.1 | 19.6 | -1.7 |
| July | 26.5 | 0.4 | 31.9 | 1.1 | 25.4 | 0.6 | 18.5 | -1.1 |
| August | 25.8 | -0.7 | 33.3 | 1.4 | 24.1 | -1.3 | 16.9 | -1.6 |
| September | 27.8 | 2.0 | 34.1 | 0.8 | 26.3 | 2.2 | 17.6 | 0.7 |
| October | 26.2 | -1.6 | 35.3 | 1.2 | 25.6 | -0.7 | 15.9 | -1.7 |
| November | 25.1 | -1.1 | 33.5 | -1.8 | 26.2 | 0.6 | 15.0 | -0.9 |
| December | 24.3 | -0.8 | 32.5 | -1.0 | 26.2 | 0.0 | 15.5 | 0.5 |
| 1998 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January | 23.4 | -0.9 | 31.2 | -1.3 | 24.9 | -1.3 | 15.7 | 0.2 |
| February | 24.6 | 1.2 | 31.5 | 0.3 | 24.6 | -0.3 | 14.9 | -0.8 |
| March | 25.1 | 0.5 | 32.4 | 0.9 | 24.2 | -0.4 | 15.3 | 0.4 |
| April | 23.1 | -2.0 | 31.9 | -0.5 | 23.6 | -0.6 | 14.5 | -0.8 |
| May | 22.9 | -0.2 | 31.4 | -0.5 | 23.4 | -0.2 | 14.3 | -0.1 |
| June | 24.2 | 1.3 | 30.8 | -0.6 | 24.9 | 1.5 | 14.6 | 0.3 |
| July | 24.2 | 0.0 | 30.2 | -0.6 | 24.9 | 0.0 | 14.7 | 0.1 |
| August | 24.0 | -0.2 | 30.3 | 0.1 | 24.0 | -0.9 | 14.3 | -0.4 |
| September | 24.6 | 0.6 | 30.2 | -0.1 | 24.4 | 0.4 | 15.1 | 0.8 |
| October | 24.8 | 0.2 | 30.6 | 0.4 | 24.9 | 0.5 | 15.9 | 0.8 |
| November | 24.2 | -0.6 | 30.4 | -0.2 | 24.1 | -0.8 | 14.9 | -1.0 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { December (r) } \\ & 1999 \end{aligned}$ | 25.0 | 0.8 | 31.0 | 0.6 | 24.7 | 0.6 | 15.6 | 0.7 |
| January (p) | 26.4 | 1.4 | 31.6 | 0.6 | 25.5 | 0.8 | 16.1 | 0.5 |
| Change on year | 3.0 |  | 0.4 |  | 0.6 |  | 0.4 |  |
| Change on year (\%) | 12.8 |  | 1.3 |  | 2.4 |  | 2.5 |  |

Source: Employment Service

Quarterly Economic Commentary

| TABLE 5 CLAIMANT COUNT* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Claimant Count (seasonally adjusted) |  | Inflows(standardised) | Outflows <br> (standardised) <br> Level | Claimant Count (unadjusted) |  |
|  |  | Level | Rate (\%) |  |  | Level | Rate (\%) |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| People | 1997 October | 147.1 | 6.0 | 28.8 | 30.7 | 142.1 | 5.8 |
|  | November | 143.4 | 5.8 | 28.9 | 31.3 | 138.7 | 5.6 |
|  | December | 139.3 | 5.7 | 29.9 | 32.9 | 139.0 | 5.7 |
|  | 1998 January | 141.0 | 5.7 | 29.8 | 28.4 | 152.2 | 6.2 |
|  | February | 140.2 | 5.7 | 30.1 | 30.7 | 149.5 | 6.1 |
|  | March | 139.5 | 5.7 | 29.4 | 30.0 | 144.5 | 5.9 |
|  | April | 139.4 | 5.7 | 28.1 | 29.3 | 143.4 | 5.8 |
|  | May | 139.9 | 5.7 | 29.1 | 28.0 | 139.7 | 5.7 |
|  | June | 139.7 | 5.7 | 28.7 | 28.9 | 138.0 | 5.6 |
|  | July | 137.5 | 5.6 | 26.6 | 29.0 | 148.7 | 6.0 |
|  | August | 136.4 | 5.5 | 28.6 | 29.6 | 149.0 | 6.1 |
|  | September | 135.9 | 5.5 | 27.7 | 29.7 | 135.4 | 5.5 |
|  | October | 136.8 | 5.6 | 28.4 | 27.0 | 132.1 | 5.4 |
|  | November | 136.3 | 5.5 | 29.1 | 28.6 | 132.1 | 5.4 |
|  | December | 134.7 | 5.5 | 28.8 | 30.7 | 132.3 | 5.4 |
|  | 1999 January | 135.3 | 5.5 | 28.0 | 29.0 | 133.0 | 5.4 |
|  | Change on mnth | 0.6 | 0.0 | -0.8 | -1.7 | 13.5 | 0.5 |
|  | Change \% | 0.4 |  | -2.8 | -5.5 | 10.2 |  |
|  | Change on year | -5.7 | -0.2 | -1.8 | 0.6 | -5.8 | -0.2 |
|  | Change \% | -4.0 |  | -6.0 | -2.1 | -3.8 |  |
| Men | 1997 October | 114.1 | 8.6 | 20.5 | 22.4 | 110.3 | 8.3 |
|  | November | 111.4 | 8.4 | 20.8 | 22.8 | 108.0 | 8.1 |
|  | December | 107.7 | 8.1 | 21.4 | 24.0 | 108.8 | 8.2 |
|  | 1998 January | 109.4 | 8.2 | 21.6 | 20.3 | 118.8 | 9.0 |
|  | February | 108.5 | 8.2 | 21.6 | 22.2 | 115.9 | 8.7 |
|  | March | 107.9 | 8.1 | 21.1 | 21.7 | 112.0 | 8.4 |
|  | April | 107.9 | 8.1 | 20.0 | 21.1 | 110.8 | 8.4 |
|  | May | 108.1 | 8.2 | 20.8 | 20.1 | 108.6 | 8.2 |
|  | June | 107.5 | 8.1 | 20.1 | 20.7 | 106.4 | 8.0 |
|  | July | 105.8 | 8.0 | 18.2 | 20.4 | 109.8 | 8.3 |
|  | August | 105.2 | 7.9 | 19.9 | 20.5 | 109.7 | 8.3 |
|  | September | 104.9 | 7.9 | 19.5 | 20.2 | 103.5 | 7.8 |
|  | October | 105.3 | 7.9 | 20.0 | 19.5 | 101.6 | 7.7 |
|  | November | 104.9 | 7.9 | 20.6 | 20.4 | 102.2 | 7.7 |
|  | December | 103.4 | 7.8 | 20.4 | 22.1 | 103.3 | 7.7 |
|  | 1999 January | 103.9 | 7.8 | 19.8 | 20.7 | 113.3 | 7.8 |
|  | Change on mnth | 0.5 | 0.0 | -0.6 | -1.4 | 9.9 | 0.8 |
|  | Change \% | 0.5 |  | -2.9 | -6.3 | 9.6 |  |
|  | Change on year | -5.5 | -0.4 | -1.8 | 0.4 | -5.5 | -0.4 |
|  | Change \% | -5.0 |  | -8.3 | 2.0 | -4.7 |  |
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| Women | 1997 October | 33.0 | 2.9 | 8.3 | 8.3 | 31.8 | 2.8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | November | 32.0 | 2.8 | 8.1 | 8.5 | 30.7 | 2.7 |
|  | December | 31.6 | 2.8 | 8.5 | 8.9 | 30.2 | 2.7 |
|  | 1998 January | 31.6 | 2.8 | 8.2 | 8.1 | 33.4 | 2.9 |
|  | February | 31.7 | 2.8 | 8.5 | 8.5 | 33.6 | 3.0 |
|  | March | 31.6 | 2.8 | 8.3 | 8.3 | 32.5 | 2.9 |
|  | April | 31.5 | 2.8 | 8.1 | 8.2 | 32.7 | 2.9 |
|  | May | 31.8 | 2.8 | 8.3 | 7.9 | 31.1 | 2.7 |
|  | June | 32.2 | 2.8 | 8.6 | 8.2 | 31.6 | 2.8 |
|  | July | 31.7 | 2.8 | 8.4 | 8.6 | 39.0 | 3.4 |
|  | August | 31.2 | 2.8 | 8.7 | 9.1 | 39.3 | 3.5 |
|  | September | 31.0 | 2.7 | 8.2 | 9.5 | 31.9 | 2.8 |
|  | October | 31.5 | 2.8 | 8.4 | 7.5 | 30.5 | 2.7 |
|  | November | 31.4 | 2.8 | 8.5 | 8.2 | 30.2 | 2.7 |
|  | December | 31.3 | 2.8 | 8.4 | 8.6 | 29.7 | 2.6 |
|  | 1999 January | 31.4 | 2.8 | 8.2 | 8.3 | 33.2 | 2.9 |
|  | Change on mnth | 0.1 | 0.0 | -0.2 | -0.3 | 3.6 | 0.3 |
|  | Change \% | 0.3 |  | -2.4 | -3.5 | 12.0 |  |
|  | Change on year | -0.2 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0.2 | -0.2 | 0.0 |
|  | Change \% | -0.6 |  | 0.0 | 2.5 | -06 |  |

Source: Benefits Agency administrative system
Note: * Count of claimants of unemployment-related benefit
Denominator $=$ employee jobs + self-employment jobs + Government-supported trainees + HM Forces + claimants of unemployment-related benefits


[^0]:    Source: Figures within (.) reflect estimates prior to the Spring 1998 LFS
    indicates revision in series

[^1]:    See notes to Table 1

