



Strathprints Institutional Repository

de Souza, Ana Luísa Caires and Acurcio, Francisco de Assis and Guerra Júnior, Augusto Afonso and do Nascimento, Renata Cristina Rezende Macedo and Godman, Brian and Diniz, Leonardo Maurício (2014) Authors' reply to Dr. Malerbi: "Insulin glargine in a Brazilian state: should the government disinvest?". Applied Health Economics and Health Policy, 12 (5). pp. 561-563. ISSN 1175-5652, http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s40258-014-0113-x

This version is available at http://strathprints.strath.ac.uk/50970/

Strathprints is designed to allow users to access the research output of the University of Strathclyde. Unless otherwise explicitly stated on the manuscript, Copyright © and Moral Rights for the papers on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Please check the manuscript for details of any other licences that may have been applied. You may not engage in further distribution of the material for any profitmaking activities or any commercial gain. You may freely distribute both the url (http://strathprints.strath.ac.uk/) and the content of this paper for research or private study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge.

Any correspondence concerning this service should be sent to Strathprints administrator: strathprints@strath.ac.uk

Authors' Reply to Dr Malerbi: "Insulin Glargine in a Brazilian State: Should the Government Disinvest?"

- *Ana Luísa Caires de Souza^{1,2}, Francisco de Assis Acurcio^{1,3}, Augusto Afonso Guerra Júnior³, Renata Cristina Rezende Macedo do Nascimento³, Brian Godman^{4,5,6}, Leonardo Maurício Diniz¹
- ¹A. L. Caires de Souza, F. A. Acurcio, L. M. Diniz. Medical College, Federal University of Minas Gerais (UFMG), Av. Antônio Carlos, 6627, Bloco 02, sl 1048, CEP 31270-901, Belo Horizonte, MG, Brazil. e-mail: analuisacaires@gmail.com; F. A. Acurcio e-mail: acurcio@ufmg.br; L. M. Diniz e-mail: lmdiniz@medicina.ufmg.br
- ²A. L. Caires de Souza. Health Secretariat of Minas Gerais, Rodovia Américo René, Gianetti, s/n, Edifício Minas, 12° andar, Serra Verde, Belo Horizonte, MG 31630-900, Brazil
- ³F. A. Acurcio, A. A. Guerra Júnior, R. C. Rezende Macedo do Nascimento. Pharmacy College, Federal University of Minas Gerais (UFMG), Av. Antônio Carlos, 6627, sl 1048, CEP 31270-901, Belo Horizonte, MG, Brazil. e-mail <u>augustoguerramg@gmail.com</u>; R. C. Rezende Macedo do Nascimento email: recmacedo@gmail.com
- ⁴B. Godman. Strathclyde Institute of Pharmacy and Biomedical Sciences, Strathclyde University, Glasgow, UK. e-mail: Brian.Godman@ki.se; Brian.godman@strath.ac.uk
- ⁵B. Godman. Division of Clinical Pharmacology, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden
- ⁶B. Godman. National Institute for Science and Technology on Innovation on Neglected Diseases, Center for Technological Development in Health, Oswaldo Cruz Foundation, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

*Corresponding author - Ana Luísa Caires de Souza, Rodovia Américo René, Gianetti, s/n, Edifício Minas, 12º andar, Serra Verde, Belo Horizonte, MG 31630-900, Brazil; Email: analuisacaires@gmail.com. Tel: +55 31 87410302

(Accepted for publication Applied Health Economics and Health Policy)

Dear Editor,

We thank Dr. Malerbi for his comments regarding the systematic review [1]: "Insulin Glargine in the Brazilian State: Should the Government disinvest? An Assessment Based on a Systematic Review". [2]. In particular, we thank Dr Malerbi for pointing out the recently published ORIGIN study [3] clarifying the situation regarding insulin glargine and its neutral effects on the development of cancer following the previous observations of Hemkens et al and Jonasson et al [4,5]. This will be welcomed by patients.

However, we were concerned by his comments that we 'seem to have prepared their review with the primary motivation of justifying – both on administrative and juridical grounds – the denial from the government to dispense insulin analogues to those diabetic patients who need them'. This is because the rationale for undertaking this review within a public health system with finite resources was the following:

- a) Substantial increase in the expenditure on insulin glargine in the State of Minas Gerais in recent years facilitated by a 536% difference in costs between insulin glargine and NPH insulins [2]
- b) Published reviews from World-renowned organisations including the WHO [6], the Cochrane Collaboration [7,8], NICE (UK) [9,10], IQWiG (Germany) [11] and the Canadian Agency for Drugs and Technologies in Health [10] showed at best only minor health gain from long-acting insulins vs. standard (NPH) insulins
- c) There are concerns with the independence of panel members who have produced national or international guidelines for patients with diabetes in the past [12]

Consequently, we believed there was a need to undertake a robust systematic review to ascertain the potential value of insulin glargine in the State of Minas Gerais. In addition, use the combined outcome measures of glycated haemoglobin as well as episodes of hypoglycaemia [2] alongside adverse effects and outcomes on microvascular and macrovascular end-organs in the review to document all key aspects of insulin therapy.

The study used a validated methodology for the preparation of Systematic Reviews and the principles of preparing this review were rigorously respected in the methodology [2]. Consequently, the review was developed based on best available scientific evidence and methodologies. We prepared the review with the primary motivation of summarising the available evidence accurately and reliably, in order to provide the best information for clinicians and policy makers to judge risks, benefits, and harms caused by the use of insulin glargine. In view of this, appearing to contradict the comments of Dr Malerbi.

Our findings are further endorsed by the consistency of our results with the published reviews already mentioned [6-11] as well as those of Singh et al [13], Monami et al [14], and REBRATS [15]. We are not aware of any more recent studies that would contest our findings. Dr Malerbi comments that 'Insulin analogues are better insulins' but without supplying any references/ published RCTs to support this statement. Consequently, we would still argue that the available robust published evidence (according to acknowledged Systematic Review techniques) would suggest the documentation of any health gain for long-term analogues insulins vs. NPH insulins is weak, inconsistent and presents conflict of interest. We believe this is an important point to be considered in the debate of public financing in a resource constrained scenario, especially in underdeveloped and developing countries. It is also relevant that high income countries such as Germany and UK have restricted the use of long acting insulins on the basis of their limited perceived value versus NPH insulins in the majority of patients. This is particularly important since in the UK the cost of insulin glargine is only twice that of NPH insulins versus the current 536% difference in the State of Minas Gerais[2,10]. Larger and better conducted studies are needed to support either the incorporation or delisting of insulin glargine at premium prices vs. NPH insulins. In the meantime, the authorities in the State of Minas Gerais should seek a price reduction for the continued reimbursement, similar to the situation in for instance Germany [5].

Finally, it should be emphasized once again that the authors of the study do not have conflicts of interest that might affect the study design or the outcomes given the rigorous process used and the consistency of our findings with other published meta analyses and reviews from many national and international organisations and academic units. Consequently, we are perturbed and concerned by the inference from Dr Malerbi and hope our explanation addresses this.

Acknowledgements

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest that may have influenced this study.

No writing assistance was provided in the production of the manuscript.

References:

- 1. Malerbi D. Comment on: "Insulin Glargine in a Brazilian State: Should the Government Disinvest? Appl Health Econ Health Policy 2014???
- 2. de Souza A, Acurcio F, Júnior A, do Nascimento R, Godman B, Diniz M. Insulin glargine in a Brazilian State: Should the government disinvest? An assessment based on a systematic review. Appl Health Econ Health Policy. 2014; 12(1):19-32
- 3. The ORIGIN Trial Investigators. Basal Insulin and Cardiovascular and Other Outcomes in Dysglycemia. N Engl J Med. 2012;367:319-28.
- 4. Hemkens LG, Grouven U, Bender R, Günster C, Gutschmidt S, Selke G W, et al.: Risk of malignancies in patients with diabetes treated with human insulin or insulin analogues: a cohort study. *Diabetologia*. Sep. 2009; 52(9): 1732–1744.
- 5. Jonasson JM, Ljung R, Talbäck M, Haglund B, Gudbjörnsdòttir S, Steineck G: Insulin glargine use and short-term incidence of malignancies—a population-based follow-up study in Sweden. *Diabetologia*. Sep. 2009; 52(9):1745-54. Epub 2009 Jul 9.
- 6 World Health Organization: 18th Expert Committee on the selection and use of Essential Medicines. Review of the Evidence Comparing Insulin (Human or Animal) with Analogue

Insulins (2011).

http://www.who.int/selection_medicines/committees/expert/18/applications/Insulin_review.pdf

- 7. Vardi M, Eyal J, Asaph N, Haim B: Intermediate acting versus long acting insulin for type 1 diabetes mellitus. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. 2008;(3):CD006297.
- 8. Horvath K, Jeitler K, Berghold A, Ebrahim SH, Gratzer TW, Plank J, Kaiser T, Pieber TR, Siebenhofer A. Long-acting insulin analogues versus NPH insulin (human isophane insulin) for type 2 diabetes mellitus. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2007, Issue 2. Art. No.: CD005613. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD005613.pub3.
- 9. National Institute for Clinical Excellence. Guidance On the Use of Long-acting Insulin Analogues for the Treatment of Diabetes Insulin Glargine. London: NICE, 2002. (Technology appraisal 53). Available via URL: http://www.nice.org.uk/nicemedia/live/11482/32518/32518.pdf
- 10. Holden SE, Poole CD, Morgan CL et al. Evaluation of the incremental cost to the National Health Service of prescribing analogue insulin. BMJ Open 2011;1:e000258. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2011-000258
- 11. Institut für qualität und Wirtschaftlichkeit im Gesundheitswesen. Long-acting insulin analogues in the treatment of diabetes mellitus type 1 (2010). http://www.iqwig.de/download/A05-01_Executive-Summary_Long-acting_insulin_analogues_in_diabetes_mellitus_type_1.pdf
- 12. Neuman J, Korenstein D, Ross J, Keyhani S. Prevalence of financial conflicts of interest among panel members producing clinical practice guidelines in Canada and United States: cross sectional study. *BMJ* 2011;343:d5621 doi: 10.1136/bmj.d5621
- 13. Singh SR, Ahmad F, Lal A, Yu C, Bai Z, Bennett H. Efficacy and safety of insulin analogues for the management of diabetes mellitus: a meta-analysis. *CMAJ*. Feb. 2009; 180 (4).
- 14. Monami M, Marchionni N, Mannucci E. Long-acting insulin analogues vs. NPH human insulin in type 1 diabetes: a meta-analysis. *Diabetes Obes Metab*. Abr. 2009; 11(4):372-8.
- 15. Rede Brasileira de Avaliação de Tecnologia em Saúde [Brazilian Network for Health Technology Assessment]: Boletim Brasileiro de Avaliação de Tecnologias em Saúde [Brazilian Bulletin of Health Technology Assessment]. Insulina Glargina e Insulina Detemir no Controle da Diabetes Mellitus Tipo 1 (2010) [Glargine insulin and detemir insulin: the control of type 1 diabetes mellitus (2010)] http://bvsms.saude.gov.br/bvs/ct/pdf/brats2010 n13.pdf